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Abstract. We generalized the concepts in probability of rough Cesàro and lacunary statistical by introducing the difference operator $\Delta^\gamma_\alpha$ of fractional order, where $\alpha$ is a proper fraction and $\gamma = (\gamma_{mnk})$ is any fixed sequence of nonzero real or complex numbers. We study some properties of this operator involving lacunary sequence $\theta$ and arbitrary sequence $p = (p_{rst})$ of strictly positive real numbers and investigate the topological structures of related with triple difference sequence spaces.

The main focus of the present paper is to generalized rough Cesàro and lacunary statistical of triple difference sequence spaces and investigate their topological structures as well as some inclusion concerning the operator $\Delta^\gamma_\alpha$.

1. Introduction

A triple sequence (real or complex) can be defined as a function $x : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} (\mathbb{C})$, where $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ denote the set of natural numbers, real numbers and complex numbers respectively. The different types of notions of triple sequence was introduced and investigated at the initial by Sahiner et al. [10,11], Esi et
where $\Delta^i$, $i = (i)$ $\Delta$ In particular, we have the operator is a positive proper fraction. We have defined the generalized fractional triple sequence spaces of difference sequence spaces $\Delta$.

Proposition 2.1. (i) For a proper fraction $\alpha$, $\Delta^\alpha : W \times W \times W \to W \times W \times W$ defined by equation of (2.1) is a linear operator.
(ii) For $\alpha, \beta > 0$, $\Delta^\alpha (\Delta^\beta (x_{mnk})) = \Delta^{\alpha+\beta} (x_{mnk})$ and $\Delta^\alpha (\Delta^{-\alpha} (x_{mnk})) = x_{mnk}$.

**Proof:** Omitted.

**Proposition 2.2.** For a proper fraction $\alpha$ and $f$ be an Musielak-Orlicz function, if $\chi_3^f (x)$ is a linear space, then $\chi_3^{\alpha f} (x)$ is also a linear space.

**Proof:** Omitted

### 3. Definitions and Preliminaries

Throughout the article $w^3, \chi^3 (\Delta), \Lambda^3 (\Delta)$ denote the spaces of all, triple gai difference sequence spaces and triple analytic difference sequence spaces respectively.

Subramanian et al. (see [12]) introduced by a triple entire sequence spaces, triple analytic sequences spaces and triple gai sequence spaces. The triple sequence spaces of $\chi^3 (\Delta), \Lambda^3 (\Delta)$ are defined as follows:

$$\chi^3 (\Delta) = \left\{ x \in w^3 : ((m + n + k)! |\Delta x_{mnk}|)^{1/m+n+k} \to 0 \text{ as } m, n, k \to \infty \right\},$$

$$\Lambda^3 (\Delta) = \left\{ x \in w^3 : \sup_{m,n,k} |\Delta x_{mnk}|^{1/m+n+k} < \infty \right\}.$$

**Definition 3.1.** An Orlicz function (see [7]) is a function $M : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ which is continuous, non-decreasing and convex with $M (0) = 0$, $M (x) > 0$, for $x > 0$ and $M (x) \to \infty$ as $x \to \infty$. If convexity of Orlicz function $M$ is replaced by $M (x + y) \leq M (x) + M (y)$, then this function is called modulus function.

Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri ([8]) used the idea of Orlicz function to construct Orlicz sequence space. A sequence $g = (g_{mn})$ defined by $g_{mn} (v) = \sup \{ |v| u - (f_{mnk}) (u) : u \geq 0 \}, m, n, k = 1, 2, \ldots$ is called the complementary function of a Musielak-Orlicz function $f$. For a given Musielak-Orlicz function $f$, [see [9]] the Musielak-Orlicz sequence space $t_f$ is defined as follows

$$t_f = \left\{ x \in w^3 : I_f ((|x_{mnk}|)^{1/m+n+k} \to 0 \text{ as } m, n, k \to \infty \right\},$$

where $I_f$ is a convex modular defined by

$$I_f (x) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{k=1}^\infty f_{mnk} (|x_{mnk}|)^{1/m+n+k}, x = (x_{mnk}) \in t_f.$$

We consider $t_f$ equipped with the Luxemburg metric

$$d (x, y) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{k=1}^\infty f_{mnk} \left( \frac{|x_{mnk}|^{1/m+n+k}}{|y_{mnk}|^{1/m+n+k}} \right)$$

is an extended real number.

**Definition 3.2.** Let $\alpha$ be a proper fraction. A triple difference sequence spaces of $\Delta^\alpha x = (\Delta^\alpha x_{mnk})$ is said to be $\Delta^\alpha$ strong Cesàro summable to $\bar{0}$ if
\[ \lim_{uvw \to \infty} \frac{1}{uvw} \sum_{m=1}^u \sum_{n=1}^w \sum_{k=1}^v |\Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} x_{mnk}, 0| = 0. \] In this we write \( \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} x_{mnk} \to^{[C,1,1]} \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} x_{mnk}. \) The set of all \( \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} \) strong Cesàro summable triple sequence spaces is denoted by \([C,1,1] \).

**Definition 3.3.** Let \( \alpha \) be a proper fraction and \( \beta \) be a nonnegative real number. A triple difference sequence spaces of \( \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} x = (\Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} x_{mnk}) \) is said to be \( \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} \) rough strong Cesàro summable in probability to a random variable \( \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} x : W \times W \times W \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \) with respect to the roughness of degree \( \beta \) if for each \( \epsilon > 0 \),

\[ \lim_{uvw \to \infty} \frac{1}{uvw} \sum_{m=1}^u \sum_{n=1}^w \sum_{k=1}^v \left( |\Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} x_{mnk}, 0| \geq \beta + \epsilon \right) = 0. \] In this case we write \( \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} x_{mnk} \to^{[C,1,1]} \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} x_{mnk}. \) The class of all \( \beta \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} \) - strong Cesàro summable triple sequence spaces of random variables in probability and it will be denoted by \( \beta [C,1,1] \).

4. Rough Cesàro summable of triple of \( \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} \)

In this section by using the operator \( \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} \), we introduce some new triple difference sequence spaces of rough Cesàro summable involving lacunary sequences \( \theta \) and arbitrary sequence \( p = (p_{rst}) \) of strictly positive real numbers.

If \( \alpha \) be a proper fraction and \( \beta \) be nonnegative real number. A triple difference sequence spaces of 

\[ \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} X = (\Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} x_{mnk}) \] is said to be \( \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} \) - rough strong Cesàro summable in probability to a random variable \( \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} X : W \times W \times W \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \) with respect to the roughness of degree \( \beta \) if for each \( \epsilon > 0 \) then define the triple difference sequence spaces as follows:

1) \[ C \left( \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma}, p \right)_\theta = \sum_{r=1}^\infty \sum_{s=1}^\infty \sum_{t=1}^\infty P \left( f_{mnk} \left[ \frac{1}{hrst} \sum_{(mnk) \in I_{rst}} \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} X \right]^{[\theta]} \geq \beta + \epsilon \right) < \infty. \] In this case we write \( C \left( \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma}, p \right)_\theta \to^{[C,1,1]} \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} X \). The class of all \( \beta C \left( \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma}, p \right)_\theta \) - rough strong Cesàro summable triple sequence spaces of random variables in probability and it will be denoted by \( \beta [C,1,1] \).

2) \[ C \left( \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma}, p \right)_\theta = \sum_{r=1}^\infty \sum_{s=1}^\infty \sum_{t=1}^\infty P \left( \frac{1}{hrst} \sum_{(mnk) \in I_{rst}} f_{mnk} \left[ \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} X \right]^{[\theta]} \geq \beta + \epsilon \right) < \infty. \] In this case we write \( C \left( \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma}, p \right)_\theta \to^{[C,1,1]} \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} X \). The class of all \( \beta C \left( \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma}, p \right)_\theta \) - rough strong Cesàro summable triple sequence spaces of random variables in probability.

3) \[ C \left( \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma}, p \right)_\theta = P \left( f_{mnk} \left[ \frac{1}{hrst} \sum_{(mnk) \in I_{rst}} \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} X \right]^{[\theta]} \geq \beta + \epsilon \right) < \infty. \] In this case we write \( C \left( \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma}, p \right)_\theta \to^{[C,1,1]} \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} X \). The class of all \( \beta C \left( \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma}, p \right)_\theta \) - rough strong Cesàro summable triple sequence spaces of random variables in probability.

4) \[ C \left( \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma}, p \right)_\theta = \frac{1}{hrst} \sum_{(mnk) \in I_{rst}} P \left( f_{mnk} \left[ \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} X \right]^{[\theta]} \geq \beta + \epsilon \right) < \infty. \] In this case we write \( C \left( \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma}, p \right)_\theta \to^{[C,1,1]} \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} X \). The class of all \( \beta C \left( \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma}, p \right)_\theta \) - rough strong Cesàro summable triple sequence spaces of random variables in probability.

5) \[ N \left( \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma}, p \right)_\theta = \lim_{rst \to \infty} \frac{1}{hrst} \sum_{(mnk) \in I_{rst}} P \left( f_{mnk} \left[ \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} X, 0 \right]^{[\theta]} \geq \beta + \epsilon \right) = 0. \] In this case we write \( N \left( \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma}, p \right)_\theta \to^{[C,1,1]} \Delta^\alpha_{\gamma} X \).
The class of all $\beta N (\Delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma}, p)_{\theta}$ – rough strong Cesàro summable triple sequence spaces of random variables in probability.

**Theorem 4.1.** If $\alpha$ be a proper fraction, $\beta$ be nonnegative real number, $f$ be an Musielak-Orlicz function and $(p_{rst})$ is a triple difference analytic sequence then the sequence spaces $C (\Delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma}, p)_{\theta}$, $C [\Delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma}, p]_{\theta}$, $C \Lambda \left( \Delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma}, p \right)_{\theta}$ and $N \left( \Delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma}, p \right)_{\theta}$ are linear spaces.

**Proof:** Because the linearity may be proved in a similar way for each of the sets of triple sequences, hence it is omitted.

**Theorem 4.2.** If $\alpha$ be a proper fraction, $\beta$ be nonnegative real number, $f$ be an Musielak-Orlicz function and $(p_{rst})$, for all $r, s, t \in \mathbb{N}$, then the triple difference sequence spaces $C [\Delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma}, p]_{\theta}$ is a BK-space with the luxemburg metric is defined by

\[
d (x, y)_{2} = \sum_{u, v=0}^{\infty} \sum_{w=0}^{\infty} f_{m,n,k} \left[ \frac{\theta_{uvw}}{x_{uvw}} \right] + \lim_{uvw \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_{rst}} \sum_{r,s,t=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{f_{m,n,k}} \left[ \frac{\theta_{uvw} x_{uvw}}{x_{uvw}} \right] + \frac{1}{f_{m,n,k}} \left[ \frac{\theta_{uvw} x_{uvw}}{x_{uvw}} \right].
\]

Also if $p_{rst}$ = 1 for all $(r, s, t) \in \mathbb{N}$, then the triple difference spaces $C \Lambda \left( \Delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma}, p \right)_{\theta}$ and $N \left( \Delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma}, p \right)_{\theta}$ are BK-spaces with the luxemburg metric is defined by

\[
d (x, y)_{2} = \sum_{u, v=0}^{\infty} \sum_{w=0}^{\infty} f_{m,n,k} \left[ \frac{\theta_{uvw}}{x_{uvw}} \right] + \lim_{uvw \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_{rst}} \sum_{r,s,t=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{f_{m,n,k}} \left[ \frac{\theta_{uvw} x_{uvw}}{x_{uvw}} \right] + \frac{1}{f_{m,n,k}} \left[ \frac{\theta_{uvw} x_{uvw}}{x_{uvw}} \right].
\]

**Proof:** We give the proof for the space $C \Lambda \left( \Delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma}, p \right)_{\theta}$ and that of others followed by using similar techniques. Suppose $(x^{n})$ is a Cauchy sequence in $C \Lambda \left( \Delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma}, p \right)_{\theta}$, where $x^{n} = (x_{ij\ell})^{n}$ and $x^{m} = (x_{ij\ell}^{m})$ are two elements in $C \Lambda \left( \Delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma}, p \right)_{\theta}$. Then there exists a positive integer $n_{0} (\epsilon)$ such that $|x^{n} - x^{m}| \to 0$ as $m, n \to \infty$. for all $m, n \geq n_{0} (\epsilon)$ and for each $i, j, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  x^{11}_{uvw} & x^{12}_{uvw} & \cdots & \cdots \\
  x^{21}_{uvw} & x^{22}_{uvw} & \cdots & \cdots \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

are Cauchy sequences in complex field $\mathbb{C}$ and $C \Lambda \left( \Delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma}, p \right)_{\theta}$ respectively. By using the completeness of $\mathbb{C}$ and $C \Lambda \left( \Delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma}, p \right)_{\theta}$ we have that they are convergent and suppose that $x_{ij\ell}^{n} \to x_{ij\ell}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ and $(\Delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma} x_{ij\ell}^{n}) \to y_{ij\ell}$ in $C \Lambda \left( \Delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma}, p \right)_{\theta}$ for each $i, j, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ as $n \to \infty$. Then we can find a triple sequence space of $(x_{ij\ell})$ such that $y_{ij\ell} = \Delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma} x_{ij\ell}$ for $i, j, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$. These $y_{ij\ell}$ can be interpreted as

\[
x_{ij\ell} = \frac{1}{\gamma_{ij\ell}} \sum_{u=1}^{i} \sum_{v=1}^{j} \sum_{w=1}^{k} \Delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma} y_{uvw} = \frac{1}{\gamma_{ij\ell}} \sum_{u=1}^{i} \sum_{v=1}^{j} \sum_{w=1}^{k} \Delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma} y_{-m,v,n,w-k}, (y_{1,1}=0, y_{2,1}=0, y_{3,1}=0, \ldots) = 0 \right) \text{ for sufficiently large } (i, j, \ell) \text{; that is,}
\]
\[
\left( \Delta_{\gamma}^n x^n \right) = \begin{bmatrix}
\Delta_{\gamma}^{x_{ij\ell}^{11}} & \Delta_{\gamma}^{x_{ij\ell}^{12}} & \ldots & \ldots \\
\Delta_{\gamma}^{x_{ij\ell}^{21}} & \Delta_{\gamma}^{x_{ij\ell}^{22}} & \ldots & \ldots \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

converges to \( \left( \Delta_{\gamma}^{x_{ij\ell}} \right) \) for each \( i, j, \ell \in \mathbb{N} \) as \( n \to \infty \). Thus \( |x^n - x|_2 \to 0 \) as \( m \to \infty \). Since \( C_{\Lambda} [\Delta_{\gamma}^\alpha, p]_\vartheta \) is a Banach luxemburg metric with continuous coordinates, that is \( |x^n - x|_2 \to 0 \) implies \( |x_{ij\ell}^{n} - x_{ij\ell}^{\ell}| \to 0 \) for each \( i, j, \ell \in \mathbb{N} \) as \( n \to \infty \), this shows that \( C_{\Lambda} [\Delta_{\gamma}^\alpha, p]_\vartheta \) is a BK-space.

**Theorem 4.3.** If \( \alpha \) be a proper fraction, \( \beta \) be nonnegative real number, \( f \) be an Musielak-Orlicz function and \( (p_{rst}) \) , for all \( r, s, t \in \mathbb{N} \), then the triple difference sequence space \( C \left( \Delta_{\gamma}^{\alpha}, p \right)_\vartheta \) is a BK-space with the luxemburg metric is defined by

\[
d(x,y)_3 = \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \sum_{w=0}^{\infty} f_{mnk} \left[ \frac{1}{u^{p_{rst}}} \sum_{r=1}^{u} \sum_{s=1}^{v} \sum_{t=1}^{w} \left| \frac{1}{h_{rst}} \sum_{(m,n,k) \in I_{rst}} \Delta_{\gamma}^{\alpha} x^{u,v,w} \right|^p \right] \geq \beta + \varepsilon \right]^{1/p}, 1 \leq p.
\]

Also if \( p_{rst} = 1 \) for all \( (r,s,t) \in \mathbb{N} \), then the triple difference spaces \( C_{\Lambda} \left( \Delta_{\gamma}^{\alpha}, p \right)_\vartheta \) is a BK-spaces with the luxemburg metric is defined by

\[
d(x,y)_4 = \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \sum_{w=0}^{\infty} f_{mnk} \left[ \frac{1}{u^{p_{rst}}} \sum_{r=1}^{u} \sum_{s=1}^{v} \sum_{t=1}^{w} \left| \frac{1}{h_{rst}} \sum_{(m,n,k) \in I_{rst}} \Delta_{\gamma}^{\alpha} x^{u,v,w} \right| \right] \geq \beta + \varepsilon \).
\]

**Proof:** The proof follows from Theorem 4.2.

Now, we can present the following theorem, determining some inclusion relations with out proof, since it is a routine verification.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let \( \alpha, \xi \) be two positive proper fractions \( \alpha > \xi > 0 \) and \( \beta \) be two nonnegative real number, \( f \) be an Musielak-Orlicz function and \( (p_{rst}) \) , for each \( r, s, t \in \mathbb{N} \) be given. Then the following inclusions are satisfied:

(i) \( C \left( \Delta_{\gamma}^{\xi}, p \right)_\vartheta \subset C \left( \Delta_{\gamma}^{\alpha}, p \right)_\vartheta \),

(ii) \( C \left[ \Delta_{\gamma}^{\xi}, p \right]_\vartheta \subset C \left[ \Delta_{\gamma}^{\alpha}, p \right]_\vartheta \),

(iii) \( C \left( \Delta_{\gamma}^{\alpha}, p \right)_\vartheta \subset C \left( \Delta_{\gamma}^{\alpha}, q \right)_\vartheta \), \( 0 < p < q \).

5. **Rough Lacunary statistical convergence of triple of \( \Delta_{\gamma}^{\alpha} \)**

In this section by using the operator \( \Delta_{\gamma}^{\alpha} \), we introduce some new triple difference sequence spaces involving rough lacunary statistical sequences spaces and arbitrary sequence \( p = (p_{rst}) \) of strictly positive real numbers.
Definition 5.1. The triple sequence \( \theta_{i,j} = \{(m_i, n_i, k_i)\} \) is called triple lacunary if there exist three increasing sequences of integers such that
\[
m_0 = 0, h_i = m_i - m_{i-1} \to \infty \text{ as } i \to \infty \text{ and } n_0 = 0, h_\ell = n_\ell - n_{\ell-1} \to \infty \text{ as } \ell \to \infty.
\]
\[
k_0 = 0, h_j = k_j - k_{j-1} \to \infty \text{ as } j \to \infty.
\]

Let \( m_i, n_i, k_i \) be determined by
\[
\theta_{i,j} = \{(m_i, n_i, k_i) : m_{i-1} < m_i \text{ and } n_{\ell-1} < n_i \text{ and } k_{j-1} < k_j \}, q_i = \frac{m_i}{m_{i-1}}, \quad q_\ell = \frac{n_\ell}{n_{\ell-1}}, \quad q_j = \frac{k_j}{k_{j-1}}.
\]

Definition 5.2. Let \( \alpha \) be a proper fraction, \( f \) be an Musielak-Orlicz function and \( \theta = \{m_i, n_i, k_i\}_{(rst) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \emptyset} \) be the triple difference lacunary sequence spaces of \( (\Delta^\alpha X_{mnk}) \) is said to be \( \Delta^\alpha \)- lacunary statistically convergent to a number \( \bar{0} \) if for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \),
\[
\lim_{rst \to \infty} \frac{1}{N_{rst}} \left| \left\{ (m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : f_{mnk} \left[ (\Delta^\alpha X_{mnk})_{rst} \right] \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0,
\]
where
\[
I_{rst} = \{(m, n, k) : m_{r-1} < m < m_r \text{ and } n_{s-1} < n < n_s \text{ and } k_{t-1} < k < k_t \}, q_r = \frac{m_r}{m_{r-1}}, q_s = \frac{n_s}{n_{s-1}}, q_t = \frac{k_t}{k_{t-1}}.
\]
In this case write \( \Delta^\alpha X \to^{S\theta} \Delta^\alpha \bar{X} \).

Definition 5.3. If \( \alpha \) be a proper fraction, \( \beta \) be nonnegative real number, \( f \) be an Musielak-Orlicz function and \( \theta = \{m_i, n_i, k_i\}_{(rst) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \emptyset} \) be the triple difference sequence spaces of lacunary. A number \( X \) is said to be \( \Delta^\alpha \)- \( N_\theta \)- convergent to a real number \( \bar{0} \) if for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \),
\[
\lim_{rst \to \infty} \frac{1}{N_{rst}} \sum_{m \in I_r} \sum_{n \in I_s} \sum_{k \in I_t} f_{mnk} \left[ \left( \Delta^\alpha X_{mnk} \right)_{rst} \right] = 0.
\]
In this case write \( \Delta^\alpha X_{mnk} \to^{N_\theta} \bar{0} \).

Definition 5.4. Let \( \alpha \) be a proper fraction, \( \beta \) be nonnegative real number, \( f \) be an Musielak-Orlicz function and arbitrary sequence \( p = (p_{rst}) \) of strictly positive real numbers. A triple difference sequence spaces of random variables is said to be \( \Delta^\alpha \)- rough lacunary statistically convergent in probability to \( \Delta^\alpha X : W \times W \times W \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \) with respect to the roughness of degree \( \beta \) if for any \( \varepsilon, \delta > 0 \),
\[
\lim_{rst \to \infty} \frac{1}{N_{rst}} \left| \left\{ (m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : P \left( f_{mnk} \left[ \left( \Delta^\alpha X_{mnk} \right)_{rst} \right] \geq \beta + \varepsilon \right) \geq \delta \right\} \right| = 0,
\]
it will be denoted by \( \beta S^\alpha_\theta \).

Definition 5.5. Let \( \alpha \) be a proper fraction, \( \beta \) be nonnegative real number, \( f \) be an Musielak-Orlicz function and arbitrary sequence \( p = (p_{rst}) \) of strictly positive real numbers. A triple difference sequence spaces of random variables is said to be \( \Delta^\alpha \)- rough \( N_\theta \)- convergent in probability to \( \Delta^\alpha X : W \times W \times W \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \) with respect to the roughness of degree \( \beta \) if for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \),
\[
\lim_{rst \to \infty} \frac{1}{N_{rst}} \sum_{m \in I_r} \sum_{n \in I_s} \sum_{k \in I_t} \left| P \left( f_{mnk} \left[ \left( \Delta^\alpha X_{mnk} \right)_{rst} \right] \geq \beta + \varepsilon \right) \right| = 0,
\]
and write \( \Delta^\alpha X_{mnk} \to^{N_\theta} \Delta^\alpha X \). The class of all \( \beta \)- \( N_\theta \)- convergent triple difference sequence spaces of random variables in probability will be denoted by \( \beta N^\alpha_\theta \).

Definition 5.6. Let \( \alpha \) be a proper fraction, \( \beta \) be nonnegative real number, \( f \) be an Musielak-Orlicz function and arbitrary sequence \( p = (p_{rst}) \) of strictly positive real numbers. A triple difference sequence spaces of random variables is said to be \( \Delta^\alpha \)- rough lacunary statistically Cauchy if there exists a number \( N = N(\epsilon) \)
in probability to $\Delta^n_\gamma X : W \times W \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ with respect to the roughness of degree $\beta$ if for any $\epsilon, \delta > 0$, $\lim_{r,s,t \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_{rst}} |\{(m,n,k) \in I_{rst} : p \left( \left[ f_{mnk} \left( \left| \Delta^n_\gamma (x_{mnk} - x_N) \right| \right) \right]^{\alpha} \geq \beta + \epsilon \right) \geq \delta \}| = 0.$

Theorem 5.1. Let $\alpha$ be a proper fraction, $\beta$ be nonnegative real number, $f$ be an Musielak-Orlicz function and arbitrary sequence $p = (p_{rst})$ of strictly positive real numbers, $0 < p < \infty$ (i) If $(x_{mnk}) \to \left( N \left( \Delta^n_\gamma, p \right) \right)_\theta$ for $p_{rst} = p$ then $(x_{mnk}) \to \left( \Delta^n_\gamma (S_\theta) \right)_\theta$. (ii) If $x \in \left( \Delta^n_\gamma (S_\theta) \right)_\theta$, then $(x_{mnk}) \to \left( N \left( \Delta^n_\gamma, p \right) \right)_\theta$.

Proof: Let $x = (x_{mnk}) \in \left( N \left( \Delta^n_\gamma, p \right) \right)_\theta$ and $\epsilon > 0$, $\left| \left\{ p \left( \left[ f_{mnk} \left( \left| \Delta^n_\gamma X_{mnk} \right| \right) \right]^{\alpha} \geq \beta + \epsilon \right) \right\} \right| = 0$. We have

$$\frac{1}{h_{rst}} \sum_{(m,n,k) \in I_{rst}} \left| \left( \left[ f_{mnk} \left( \left| \Delta^n_\gamma X_{mnk} \right| \right) \right]^{\alpha} \geq \beta + \epsilon \right) \right| \geq$$

$$\frac{1}{h_{rst}} \sum_{(m,n,k) \in I_{rst}} \left( \left[ f_{mnk} \left( \left| \Delta^n_\gamma X_{mnk} \right| \right) \right]^{\alpha} \geq \beta + \epsilon \right) \geq \delta \left| \left( \frac{\beta + \epsilon}{\alpha} \right)^p \right|.$$

So we observe by passing to limit as $r,s,t \to \infty$,

$$\lim_{r,s,t \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_{rst}} \left| \left\{ (m,n,k) \in I_{rst} : p \left( \left[ f_{mnk} \left( \left| \Delta^n_\gamma (x_{mnk}) \right| \right) \right]^{\alpha} \geq \beta + \epsilon \right) \right\} \right| \leq$$

$$\frac{1}{h_{rst}} \sum_{(m,n,k) \in I_{rst}} \left| \left( \left[ f_{mnk} \left( \left| \Delta^n_\gamma x_{mnk} \right| \right) \right]^{\alpha} \geq \beta + \epsilon \right) \right| \leq \left( \frac{\epsilon}{\alpha} \right)^p \frac{1}{h_{rst}} \sum_{(m,n,k) \in I_{rst}} \left| \left( \left[ f_{mnk} \left( \left| \Delta^n_\gamma x_{mnk} \right| \right) \right]^{\alpha} \geq \beta + \epsilon \right) \right| = 0,$$

which implies that $x_{mnk} \to \left( \Delta^n_\gamma (S_\theta) \right)_\theta$. Suppose that $x \in \left( \Delta^n_\gamma (\Lambda^3) \right)_\theta$ and $(x_{mnk}) \to \left( \Delta^n_\gamma (S_\theta) \right)_\theta$. Then it is obvious that $(\Delta^n_\gamma x) \in \Lambda^3$ and

$$\frac{1}{h_{rst}} \left| \left\{ (m,n,k) \in I_{rst} : p \left( \left[ f_{mnk} \left( \left| \Delta^n_\gamma (x_{mnk}) \right| \right) \right]^{\alpha} \geq \beta + \epsilon \right) \right\} \right| \to 0 \text{ as } r,s,t \to \infty.$$ 

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given and there exists $u_{0,v_{0}} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\left| \left\{ (m,n,k) \in I_{rst} : p \left( \left[ f_{mnk} \left( \left| \Delta^n_\gamma (x_{mnk}) \right| \right) \right]^{\alpha} \geq \beta + \epsilon \right) \right\} \right| \leq \left( \frac{\epsilon}{\alpha} \right)^p \frac{1}{h_{rst}} \sum_{(m,n,k) \in I_{rst}} \left| \left( \left[ f_{mnk} \left( \left| \Delta^n_\gamma x_{mnk} \right| \right) \right]^{\alpha} \geq \beta + \epsilon \right) \right| \leq \left( \frac{\epsilon}{\alpha} \right)^p \frac{1}{h_{rst}} \sum_{(m,n,k) \in I_{rst}} \left| \left( \left[ f_{mnk} \left( \left| \Delta^n_\gamma x_{mnk} \right| \right) \right]^{\alpha} \geq \beta + \epsilon \right) \right| = 0,$$

where $\sum_{u=1}^{\infty} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \sum_{w=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_{uvw}x_{uvw}| = 0$, for all $r \geq u_0, s \geq v_0, t \geq w_0$. Further more, we can write

$$\left| \left\{ (m,n,k) \in I_{rst} : p \left( \left[ f_{mnk} \left( \left| \Delta^n_\gamma (x_{mnk}) \right| \right) \right]^{\alpha} \geq \beta + \epsilon \right) \right\} \right| \leq \left( \frac{\epsilon}{\alpha} \right)^p \frac{1}{h_{rst}} \sum_{(m,n,k) \in I_{rst}} \left| \left( \left[ f_{mnk} \left( \left| \Delta^n_\gamma x_{mnk} \right| \right) \right]^{\alpha} \geq \beta + \epsilon \right) \right| = 0.$$ 

Corollary 5.1. If $\alpha$ be a proper fraction, $\beta$ be nonnegative real number, $f$ be an Musielak-Orlicz function and arbitrary sequence $p = (p_{rst})$ of strictly positive real numbers then the following statements hold:

(i) $S \cap \Lambda^3 \subset \Delta^n_\gamma (S_\theta) \cap \Delta^n_\gamma (\Lambda^3)$, (ii) $\Delta^n_\gamma (S_\theta) \cap \Delta^n_\gamma (\Lambda^3) = \Delta^n_\gamma (w^3_\gamma).$

Theorem 5.2. Let $\alpha$ be a proper fraction, $\beta$ be nonnegative real number, $f$ be an Musielak-Orlicz function and arbitrary sequence $p = (p_{rst})$ of strictly positive real numbers. if $x = (x_{mnk})$ is a $\Delta^n_\gamma$- triple difference rough lacunary statistically convergent sequence, then $x$ is a $\Delta^n_\gamma$- triple difference rough lacunary statistically Cauchy sequence.

Proof: Assume that $(x_{mnk}) \to \left( \Delta^n_\gamma (S_\theta) \right)_\theta$ and $\epsilon, \delta > 0$. Then

$$\frac{1}{\delta} \left| \left\{ (m,n,k) \in I_{rst} : p \left( \left[ f_{mnk} \left( \left| \Delta^n_\gamma x_{mnk} \right| \right) \right]^{\alpha} \geq \beta + \frac{\epsilon}{\delta} \right) \right\} \right| \text{ for almost all } m,n,k \text{ and if we select } N, \text{ then}$$

$$\frac{1}{\delta} \left| \left\{ (m,n,k) \in I_{rst} : p \left( \left[ f_{mnk} \left( \left| \Delta^n_\gamma x_N \right| \right) \right]^{\alpha} \geq \beta + \frac{\epsilon}{\delta} \right) \right\} \right| \text{ holds. Now, we have}$$

$$\left| \left\{ (m,n,k) \in I_{rst} : p \left( \left[ f_{mnk} \left( \left| \Delta^n_\gamma (x_{mnk} - x_N) \right| \right) \right]^{\alpha} \geq \beta + \frac{\epsilon}{\delta} \right) \right\} \right| \leq$$

$$\frac{1}{\delta} \left| \left\{ (m,n,k) \in I_{rst} : p \left( \left[ f_{mnk} \left( \left| \Delta^n_\gamma x_{mnk} \right| \right) \right]^{\alpha} \geq \beta + \frac{\epsilon}{\delta} \right) \right\} \right| +$$
\[ \{m,n,k \in I_{rst}: P \left( \left\{ f_{mnk} \left( \left\{ \Delta_{rst}^{\alpha} x_{N} \right\} \right) \right\}^{p_{rst}} \geq \beta + \gamma \right) \} \leq \left( \beta + \epsilon \right), \text{ for almost } m,n,k. \] Hence \((x_{mnk})\) is a \(\Delta_{rst}^{\alpha}\) rough lacunary statistically Cauchy.

**Theorem 5.3.** If \(\alpha\) be a proper fraction, \(\beta\) be nonnegative real number, \(f\) be an Musielak-Orlicz function and arbitrary sequence \(p = (p_{rst})\) of strictly positive real numbers and \(0 < p < \infty\), then \(N \left( \Delta_{rst}^{\alpha}, p \right) \subset \Delta_{r}^{\alpha} \left( S_{0} \right) \).

**Proof:** Suppose that \(x = (x_{mnk}) \in N \left( \Delta_{rst}^{\alpha}, p \right)\) and

\[ \left\{ m,n,k \in I_{rst}: P \left( \left\{ f_{mnk} \left( \left\{ \Delta_{rst}^{\alpha} x_{mnk} \right\} \right) \right\}^{p} \geq \beta + \epsilon \right) \right\}. \therefore we have \]

\[ \frac{1}{h_{rst}} \sum_{(m,n,k) \in I_{rst}} P \left( \left\{ f_{mnk} \left( \left\{ \Delta_{rst}^{\alpha} x_{mnk} \right\} \right) \right\}^{p} \geq \beta + \epsilon \right) \geq \frac{1}{h_{rst}} \sum_{(m,n,k) \in I_{rst}} \left( \beta + \epsilon \right)^{p} \geq \frac{1}{h_{rst}} \left\{ \left\{ m,n,k \in I_{rst}: P \left( \left\{ f_{mnk} \left( \left\{ \Delta_{rst}^{\alpha} x_{mnk} \right\} \right) \right\}^{p} \geq \beta + \epsilon \right) \right\} \right\} \left( \beta + \epsilon \right)^{p}. \]

So we observe by passing to limit as \(r,s,t \to \infty\),

\[ \lim_{r,s,t \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_{rst}} \left\{ \left\{ m,n,k \in I_{rst}: P \left( \left\{ f_{mnk} \left( \left\{ \Delta_{rst}^{\alpha} x_{mnk} \right\} \right) \right\}^{p} \geq \beta + \epsilon \right) \right\} \right\} < \frac{1}{\left( \beta + \epsilon \right)^{p}} \left( \lim_{r,s,t \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_{rst}} \sum_{(m,n,k) \in I_{rst}} \left[ f_{mnk} \left( \left\{ \Delta_{rst}^{\alpha} x_{mnk} \right\} \right) \right]^{p} \right) = 0 \implies x \in \Delta_{r}^{\alpha} \left( S_{0} \right). \] Hence \(N \left( \Delta_{rst}^{\alpha}, p \right) \subset \Delta_{r}^{\alpha} \left( S_{0} \right) \).
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