

On Certain Fixed Point Theorems in S_b -Metric Spaces With Applications

N. Mangapathi^{1,3,*}, B. Srinuvasa Rao², K.R.K. Rao³, M.I. Pasha^{1,3}

¹*Department of Mathematics, B V Raju Institute of Technology, Narsapur, Medak-502313, Telangana, India*

²*Department of Mathematics, Dr.B.R.Ambedkar University, Srikakulam, Etcherla-532410, Andhra Pradesh, India*

³*Department of Mathematics, Gitam School of Science, GITAM Deemed to be University, Hyderabad, Rudraram-502329, Telangana, India*

*Corresponding author: nmp.maths@gmail.com

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce the notion of generalized (α, ϕ, ψ) -Geraghty contractive type mappings in the setup of S_b -metric spaces and α -orbital admissible mappings with respect to ϕ . Furthermore, the fixed-point theorems for such mappings in complete S_b -metric spaces are proven without assuming the subadditivity of ψ . Some examples are provided for supporting of our main results. Also, we gave an application to integral equations as well as Homotopy.

1. Introduction

The Banach contraction principle [1] is one of the most significant findings in fixed point theory since it has applications in many areas of mathematics and mathematical sciences. By combining the ideas of S and b -metric spaces, Sedghi et al. [2] created S_b -metric spaces and established common fixed point outcomes in S_b -metric spaces. In order to improve, numerous authors developed numerous findings in S_b -metric spaces (see e.g. [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8]).

One of the more intriguing findings is Geraghty's [9] generalisation of the Banach contraction theorem. Multiple researchers have since studied this type of research in different metric spaces (see e.g [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18]).

Received: Dec. 9, 2022.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54H25, 47H10, 54E50.

Key words and phrases. (α, ϕ, ψ) -Geraghty type contraction mappings; S_b -metric spaces; α -orbital admissible mappings with respect to ϕ and completeness.

Triangular α -admissible mappings are a novel idea that Karapinar et al. [15] introduced to study fixed points for such mappings in metric spaces. Three new concepts triangular α -orbital admissible, α -orbital admissible and α -orbital attractive mappings were developed by Popescu [17] in 2014. The idea of triangular α -orbital admissible mappings with respect to η was first suggested in 2016 by Chuadchawna et al. [19]. The concept of generalised $\alpha - \eta - \psi$ -Geraghty contractive type mappings and α -orbital attractive mappings with regard to is introduced by Farajzadeh et al. in 2018 [20] in the framework of partial b -metric spaces, which will be effectively applied for establishing our key findings.

In the context of S_b -metric spaces and α -orbital admissible mappings with regard to ϕ , this paper aims to demonstrate unique fixed point theorems for generalised (α, ϕ, ψ) -Geraghty contractive type mapping. Additionally, we may provide relevant applications for homotopy, integral equations, and appropriate examples.

We first review some fundamental findings.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. ([2]) Let \mathfrak{P} be a non-empty set and $b \geq 1$ be given real number. Suppose that a mapping $S_b : \mathfrak{P}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying the following properties :

$$(S_b1) \quad 0 < S_b(\sigma, \varsigma, \tau) \text{ for all } \sigma, \varsigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{P} \text{ with } \sigma \neq \varsigma \neq \tau \neq \sigma,$$

$$(S_b2) \quad S_b(\sigma, \varsigma, \tau) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \sigma = \varsigma = \tau,$$

$$(S_b3) \quad S_b(\sigma, \varsigma, \tau) \leq b(S_b(\sigma, \sigma, a) + S_b(\varsigma, \varsigma, a) + S_b(\tau, \tau, a)) \text{ for all } \sigma, \varsigma, \tau, a \in \mathfrak{P}.$$

The function S_b is then referred to as a S_b -metric on \mathfrak{P} , and the pair (\mathfrak{P}, S_b) is referred to as a S_b -metric space.

Remark 2.1. ([2]) It should be noted that the S_b -metric space class is effectively larger than the S -metric space class. Each S -metric space is, in fact, a S_b -metric space with $b = 1$.

Example 2.1. ([2]) Let (\mathfrak{P}, S) be S -metric space and $S_*(\sigma, \varsigma, \tau) = S(\sigma, \varsigma, \tau)^p$, where $p > 1$ is a real number. Then obviously, S_* is a S_b -metric with $b = 2^{2(p-1)}$ but (\mathfrak{P}, S_*) is not necessarily a S -metric space.

Definition 2.2. ([2]) Let (\mathfrak{P}, S_b) be a S_b -metric space. Then, for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{P}$, $\lambda > 0$ we defined the open ball $B_{S_b}(\sigma, \lambda)$ and closed ball $B_{S_b}[\sigma, \lambda]$ with center σ and radius λ as follows respectively:

$$B_{S_b}(\sigma, \lambda) = \{\varsigma \in \mathfrak{P} : S_b(\varsigma, \varsigma, \sigma) < \lambda\} \text{ and } B_{S_b}[\sigma, \lambda] = \{\varsigma \in \mathfrak{P} : S_b(\varsigma, \varsigma, \sigma) \leq \lambda\}.$$

Lemma 2.1. ([2]) In the S_b -metric space, we have

$$S_b(\mu, \mu, \nu) \leq 2bS_b(\mu, \mu, \xi) + b^2S_b(\xi, \xi, \nu).$$

Definition 2.3. ([2]) Let $\{\sigma_n\}$ be a sequence in S_b -metric space (\mathfrak{P}, S_b) is said to be:

- (1) S_b -Cauchy sequence if, for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_m) < \epsilon$ for each $m, n \geq n_0$.

- (2) S_b -convergent to a point $\sigma \in \mathfrak{P}$ if, for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer n_0 such that $S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma) < \epsilon$ or $S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma_n) < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$ and we denote by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n = \sigma$.
- (3) (\mathfrak{P}, S_b) is S_b -complete if every S_b -Cauchy sequence is S_b -convergent in \mathfrak{P} .

Lemma 2.2. ([2]) If (\mathfrak{P}, S_b) be a S_b -metric space with $b \geq 1$ and suppose that $\{\sigma_n\}$ is a S_b -convergent to σ , then we have

$$(i) \frac{1}{2b} S_b(\varsigma, \varsigma, \sigma) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\varsigma, \varsigma, \sigma_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\varsigma, \varsigma, \sigma_n) \leq 2b S_b(\varsigma, \varsigma, \sigma)$$

$$(ii) \frac{1}{b^2} S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \varsigma) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \varsigma) \leq b^2 S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma)$$

for all $\varsigma \in \mathfrak{P}$. In particular, if $\sigma = \varsigma$, then we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \varsigma) = 0$.

We should always consider the following factors in order to obtain our results.

3. Main Results

We say \mathfrak{F} be the class of all functions $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ satisfying the following condition:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(t_n) = 1 \text{ implies } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0$$

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{G} : \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}$ be a self mapping defined on non-empty set \mathfrak{P} and $\alpha, \phi : \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ be two functions. We say that \mathcal{G} is an α -admissible mapping with respect to ϕ ,

$$\text{if } \alpha(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma) \geq \phi(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma) \text{ implies } \alpha(\mathcal{G}\sigma, \mathcal{G}\sigma, \mathcal{G}\varsigma) \geq \phi(\mathcal{G}\sigma, \mathcal{G}\sigma, \mathcal{G}\varsigma) \text{ for all } \sigma, \varsigma \in \mathfrak{P}.$$

We say that \mathcal{G} is an α -admissible mapping if for all $\sigma, \varsigma \in \mathfrak{P}$,

$$\alpha(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma) \geq 1 \text{ implies } \alpha(\mathcal{G}\sigma, \mathcal{G}\sigma, \mathcal{G}\varsigma) \geq 1.$$

Definition 3.2. Let \mathfrak{P} be a non-empty set. $\mathcal{G} : \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}$ be a self mapping and $\alpha : \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$. We say that \mathcal{G} is a triangular α -admissible mapping, if

- (a) \mathcal{G} is an α -admissible mapping;
- (b) $\alpha(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma) \geq 1$ and $\alpha(\varsigma, \varsigma, \tau) \geq 1$ implies $\alpha(\sigma, \sigma, \tau) \geq 1$ for all $\sigma, \varsigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{P}$.

Definition 3.3. Let \mathfrak{P} be a non-empty set. $\mathcal{G} : \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}$ be a self mapping and $\alpha, \phi : \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ be two functions. We say that \mathcal{G} is an α -orbital admissible mapping with respect to ϕ ,

$$\text{if } \alpha(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{G}\sigma) \geq \phi(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{G}\sigma) \text{ implies } \alpha(\mathcal{G}\sigma, \mathcal{G}\sigma, \mathcal{G}^2\sigma) \geq \phi(\mathcal{G}\sigma, \mathcal{G}\sigma, \mathcal{G}^2\sigma) \text{ for all } \sigma \in \mathfrak{P}.$$

Definition 3.4. Let $\mathcal{G} : \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}$ be a self mapping defined on nonempty set \mathfrak{P} and $\alpha, \phi : \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$. We say that \mathcal{G} is a triangular α -orbital admissible mapping with respect to ϕ , if

- (a) \mathcal{G} is an α -orbital admissible mapping with respect to ϕ ;
- (b) $\alpha(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma) \geq \phi(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma)$ and $\alpha(\varsigma, \varsigma, \mathcal{G}\varsigma) \geq \phi(\varsigma, \varsigma, \mathcal{G}\varsigma)$ imply $\alpha(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{G}\varsigma) \geq \phi(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{G}\varsigma)$ for all $\sigma, \varsigma \in \mathfrak{P}$.

Definition 3.5. Let $\Omega = \{\Gamma/\Gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)\}$ be a family of functions that satisfy the following properties;

- (i) Γ is a continuously nondecreasing map;
- (ii) $\Gamma(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$;
- (iii) $\Gamma(t)$ is subadditive, $\Gamma(p + q) \leq \Gamma(p) + \Gamma(q)$.

Definition 3.6. Let (\mathfrak{X}, S_b) be an S_b -metric space, a mapping $\mathcal{G} : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is said to be a generalized (α, ϕ, Γ) -Geraghty contractive type mapping if there exist $\Gamma \in \Omega$, $\alpha, \phi : \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\beta \in \mathfrak{F}$ such that

$$\alpha(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma) \geq \phi(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma) \text{ implies } \Gamma \left(\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2} \right) S_b(\mathcal{G}\sigma, \mathcal{G}\sigma, \mathcal{G}\varsigma) \right) \leq \beta \left(\Gamma(M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma)) \right) \Gamma(M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma)) \quad (3.1)$$

where $M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma), S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{G}\sigma), \\ S_b(\varsigma, \varsigma, \mathcal{G}\varsigma), \frac{S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{G}\varsigma) + S_b(\varsigma, \varsigma, \mathcal{G}\sigma)}{4b^3} \end{array} \right\} \forall \sigma, \varsigma \in \mathfrak{X}$

Lemma 3.1. Let $\mathcal{G} : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be a triangular α -orbital admissible mapping with respect to ϕ . Assume that there exists $\sigma_1 \in \mathfrak{X}$ such that $\alpha(\sigma_1, \mathcal{G}\sigma_1) \geq \phi(\sigma_1, \mathcal{G}\sigma_1)$. Define a sequence $\{\sigma_n\}$ by $\sigma_{n+1} = \mathcal{G}\sigma_n$. Then we have $\alpha(\sigma_n, \sigma_m) \geq \phi(\sigma_n, \sigma_m)$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n < m$.

Proof. Since $\alpha(\sigma_1, \sigma_1, \mathcal{G}\sigma_1) \geq \phi(\sigma_1, \sigma_1, \mathcal{G}\sigma_1)$ and \mathcal{G} is α -orbital admissible with respect to ϕ , we obtain that

$$\alpha(\sigma_2, \sigma_2, \sigma_3) = \alpha(\mathcal{G}\sigma_1, \mathcal{G}\sigma_1, \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{G}\sigma_1)) \geq \phi(\mathcal{G}\sigma_1, \mathcal{G}\sigma_1, \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{G}\sigma_1)) = \phi(\sigma_2, \sigma_2, \sigma_3).$$

By continuing the process as above, we have $\alpha(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}) \geq \Gamma(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that

$$\alpha(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_m) \geq \phi(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_m) \quad (3.2)$$

and we will prove that $\alpha(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{m+1}) \geq \phi(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{m+1})$, where $m > n$.

Since $\alpha(\sigma_m, \sigma_m, \sigma_{m+1}) \geq \phi(\sigma_m, \sigma_m, \sigma_{m+1})$, we obtain that

$$\alpha(\sigma_m, \sigma_m, \mathcal{G}\sigma_m) = \alpha(\sigma_m, \sigma_m, \sigma_{m+1}) \geq \phi(\sigma_m, \sigma_m, \sigma_{m+1}) = \phi(\sigma_m, \sigma_m, \mathcal{G}\sigma_m). \quad (3.3)$$

By (3.2), (3.3) and triangular α -orbital admissibility of \mathcal{G} , we have

$$\alpha(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \mathcal{G}\sigma_m) \geq \phi(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \mathcal{G}\sigma_m). \text{ This implies that } \alpha(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{m+1}) \geq \phi(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{m+1}).$$

Hence, $\alpha(\sigma_n, \sigma_m) \geq \phi(\sigma_n, \sigma_m)$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n < m$. \square

Theorem 3.1. Let (\mathfrak{X}, S_b) be a complete S_b -metric space with coefficient $b \geq 1$. Let $\mathcal{G} : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be an be a generalized (α, ϕ, Γ) -Geraghty contractive type mapping. Assume the following conditions are hold:

- (i) \mathcal{G} is a triangular α -orbital admissible mapping w.r.t ϕ ;
- (ii) there exists $\sigma_1 \in \mathfrak{X}$ such that $\alpha(\sigma_1, \sigma_1, \mathcal{G}\sigma_1) \geq \phi(\sigma_1, \sigma_1, \mathcal{G}\sigma_1)$;

(iii) if $\{\sigma_n\}$ is a S_b -convergent sequence to ν in \mathfrak{X} and

$$\alpha(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}) \geq \phi(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}) \text{ for each } n \in N \text{ then } \alpha(\nu, \nu, \nu) \geq \phi(\nu, \nu, \nu);$$

(iv) \mathcal{G} is continuous.

Then \mathcal{G} has a fixed point.

Proof. Let $\sigma_1 \in \mathfrak{X}$ such that $\alpha(\sigma_1, \sigma_1, \mathcal{G}\sigma_1) \geq \phi(\sigma_1, \sigma_1, \mathcal{G}\sigma_1)$. Define the sequence $\{\sigma_n\}$ in \mathfrak{X} by $\sigma_{n+1} = T\sigma_n$ for all $n \in N$. By Lemma(2.1), we get that

$$\alpha(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}) \geq \phi(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}) \text{ for all } n \in N. \quad (3.4)$$

If $\sigma_n = \sigma_{n+1}$ for some $n \in N$, then σ_n is a fixed point of \mathcal{G} . Assume that $\sigma_n \neq \sigma_{n+1}$ for all $n \in N$. The sequence $\{S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1})\}$ is first shown to be non-increasing and to trend to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By using (3.4), for each $n \in N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma\left(\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2}\right)S_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2})\right) &= \Gamma\left(\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2}\right)S_b(\mathcal{G}\sigma_n, \mathcal{G}\sigma_n, \mathcal{G}\sigma_{n+1})\right) \\ &\leq \beta\left(\Gamma(M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}))\right)\Gamma(M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1})) \\ &< \Gamma(M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1})) \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}) &= \max\left\{ S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}), S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \mathcal{G}\sigma_n), \right. \\ &\quad \left. S_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \mathcal{G}\sigma_{n+1}), \frac{S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \mathcal{G}\sigma_{n+1}) + S_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \mathcal{G}\sigma_n)}{4b^3} \right\} \\ &= \max\left\{ S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}), S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. S_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}), \frac{S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+2}) + S_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1})}{4b^3} \right\} \\ &= \max\left\{ S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}), S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. S_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}), \frac{2bS_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}) + b^2S_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2})}{4b^3} \right\} \\ &= \max\left\{ S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}), S_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\max\left\{ S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}), S_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}) \right\} = S_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2})$ then

$\Gamma\left(\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2}\right)S_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2})\right) < \Gamma(S_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}))$ implies that

$\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2}\right)S_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}) < S_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2})$ which is contradiction.

Hence, $\max\left\{ S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}), S_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}) \right\} = S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1})$.

It follows that $0 < S_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}) \leq S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1})$. Hence the sequence $\{S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1})\}$ is nonnegative non-increasing and bounded below. Thus there exist some $\xi \geq 0$ such that

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}) = \xi$. Suppose that $\xi > 0$.

By using (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(S_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}))}{\Gamma(S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}))} &\leq \frac{\Gamma\left(\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2}\right)S_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2})\right)}{\Gamma(S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}))} \\ &\leq \beta(\Gamma(M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}))) < 1, \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in N$. On letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in above inequality, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\Gamma(M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}))) = 1.$$

Since $\beta \in \mathfrak{F}$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma(M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1})) = 0$ and so $\xi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}) = 0$. We now demonstrate that the (\mathfrak{P}, S_b) Cauchy sequence is $\{\sigma_n\}$. On the other hand, we assume that $\{\sigma_n\}$ is not Cauchy. In this case, a monotonically rising sequence of the natural numbers $\{m_k\}$ and $\{n_k\}$ exists, where $n_k > m_k$.

$$S_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k}) \geq \epsilon \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$S_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1}) < \epsilon. \quad (3.7)$$

From (3.6) and (3.7), we have

$$\epsilon \leq S_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k}) \leq 2bS_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k+1}) + b^2S_b(\sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{n_k}).$$

So that $(\frac{1+b^3}{2b^2})\epsilon \leq (\frac{1+b^3}{b})S_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k+1}) + (\frac{1+b^3}{2})S_b(\sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{n_k})$.

We obtain that by applying Γ on both sides and letting $k \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma\left(\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2b^2}\right)\epsilon\right) &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma\left(\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2}\right)S_b(\sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{n_k})\right) \\ &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma\left(\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2}\right)S_b(\mathcal{G}\sigma_{m_k}, \mathcal{G}\sigma_{m_k}, \mathcal{G}\sigma_{n_k-1})\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

By applying the triangular inequality, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} S_b(\sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{n_k}) &\leq 2bS_b(\sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{m_k}) + b^2S_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k}) \\ &\leq 2bS_b(\sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{m_k}) + 2b^3S_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1}) \\ &\quad + b^3S_b(\sigma_{n_k}, \sigma_{n_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1}) \\ &\leq 2bS_b(\sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{m_k}) + 2b^3\epsilon + b^3S_b(\sigma_{n_k}, \sigma_{n_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

In the above inequality, we get that by taking the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{n_k}) \leq 2b^3\epsilon. \quad (3.9)$$

We obtain (3.5) because \mathcal{G} is a triangular α -orbital admissible mapping with respect to ϕ . and $\alpha(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1}) \geq \phi(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1})$. By using (3.1), we have

$$\Gamma\left(\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2}\right)S_b(\sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{n_k})\right) \leq \beta\left(\Gamma(M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1}))\right)\Gamma(M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1})) \tag{3.10}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} & M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1}) \\ &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1}), S_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \mathcal{G}\sigma_{m_k}), \\ S_b(\sigma_{n_k-1}, \sigma_{n_k-1}, \mathcal{G}\sigma_{n_k-1}), \frac{S_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \mathcal{G}\sigma_{n_k-1}) + S_b(\sigma_{n_k-1}, \sigma_{n_k-1}, \mathcal{G}\sigma_{m_k})}{4b^3} \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1}), S_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k+1}), S_b(\sigma_{n_k-1}, \sigma_{n_k-1}, \sigma_{n_k}), \\ \frac{2bS_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1}) + b^2S_b(\sigma_{n_k-1}, \sigma_{n_k-1}, \sigma_{n_k}) + S_b(\sigma_{n_k-1}, \sigma_{n_k-1}, \sigma_{m_k+1})}{4b^3} \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1}), S_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k+1}), S_b(\sigma_{n_k-1}, \sigma_{n_k-1}, \sigma_{n_k}), \\ \frac{2bS_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1}) + b^2S_b(\sigma_{n_k-1}, \sigma_{n_k-1}, \sigma_{n_k})}{4b^3} \\ + \frac{2bS_b(\sigma_{n_k-1}, \sigma_{n_k-1}, \sigma_{n_k}) + bS_b(\sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{n_k})}{4b^3} \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.7) and (3.9) and treating the limit of the inequality above as $k \rightarrow \infty$, this results.

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1}) \\ &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1}), S_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k+1}), S_b(\sigma_{n_k-1}, \sigma_{n_k-1}, \sigma_{n_k}), \\ \frac{2bS_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1}) + b^2S_b(\sigma_{n_k-1}, \sigma_{n_k-1}, \sigma_{n_k})}{4b^3} \\ + \frac{2bS_b(\sigma_{n_k-1}, \sigma_{n_k-1}, \sigma_{n_k}) + bS_b(\sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{n_k})}{4b^3} \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \epsilon, 0, 0, \epsilon\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2b^2}\right) \right\} = \epsilon\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2b^2}\right) \tag{3.11} \end{aligned}$$

By taking the limit in (3.10) as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and using (3.8) and (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma\left(\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2b^2}\right)\epsilon\right) &\leq \Gamma\left(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1+b^3}{2}\right)S_b(\sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{n_k})\right) \\ &\leq \beta\left(\Gamma\left(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1})\right)\right)\Gamma\left(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1})\right) \\ &\leq \beta\left(\Gamma\left(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1})\right)\right)\Gamma\left(\epsilon\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2b^2}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\frac{\Gamma\left(\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2b^2}\right)\epsilon\right)}{\Gamma\left(\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2b^2}\right)\epsilon\right)} \leq \beta\left(\Gamma\left(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1})\right)\right)$.

Since $\beta \in \mathfrak{F}$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta\left(\Gamma\left(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1})\right)\right) = 1$.

It follows that $\Gamma(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1})) = 0$. By using (3.10) we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{n_k}) = 0$. which contradicts to (3.9). In the S_b -metric space (\mathfrak{X}, S_b) , the sequence $\{\sigma_n\}$ is a S_b -Cauchy sequence. The sequence $\{\sigma_n\} \rightarrow \nu \in (\mathfrak{X}, S_b)$ emerges from the completeness of (\mathfrak{X}, S_b) . We begin by presuming that \mathcal{G} is continuous. Therefore, we have $\nu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{G}\sigma_n = \mathcal{G} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n = \mathcal{G}\nu$. Since $\{\sigma_n\}$ is a S_b -convergent sequence to ν in \mathfrak{X} and $\alpha(\nu, \nu, \nu) \geq \phi(\nu, \nu, \nu)$. Then to prove $\nu = \mathcal{G}\nu$. Suppose that $\nu \neq \mathcal{G}\nu$. From (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(S_b(\nu, \nu, \mathcal{G}\nu)) &\leq \Gamma\left(\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2}\right)S_b(\nu, \nu, \mathcal{G}\nu)\right) = \Gamma\left(\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2}\right)\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \mathcal{G}\sigma_{n+1})\right) \\ &= \Gamma\left(\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2}\right)\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\mathcal{G}\sigma_n, \mathcal{G}\sigma_n, \mathcal{G}\sigma_{n+1})\right) \\ &\leq \beta\left(\Gamma(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}))\right) \Gamma(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1})) \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}), S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \mathcal{G}\sigma_n), \\ S_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \mathcal{G}\sigma_{n+1}), \\ \frac{S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \mathcal{G}\sigma_{n+1}) + S_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \mathcal{G}\sigma_n)}{4b^3} \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\nu, \nu, \nu), S_b(\nu, \nu, \mathcal{G}\nu), \\ S_b(\nu, \nu, \mathcal{G}\nu), \frac{S_b(\nu, \nu, \mathcal{G}\nu)}{2b^3} \end{array} \right\} = S_b(\nu, \nu, \mathcal{G}\nu). \end{aligned}$$

By taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(S_b(\nu, \nu, \mathcal{G}\nu)) &\leq \beta\left(\Gamma(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}))\right) \Gamma(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1})) \\ &\leq \beta(\Gamma(S_b(\nu, \nu, \mathcal{G}\nu))) \Gamma(S_b(\nu, \nu, \mathcal{G}\nu)) \end{aligned}$$

we can deduce that $\frac{\Gamma(S_b(\nu, \nu, \mathcal{G}\nu))}{\Gamma(S_b(\nu, \nu, \mathcal{G}\nu))} \leq \beta(\Gamma(S_b(\nu, \nu, \mathcal{G}\nu)))$

We obtain that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\Gamma(S_b(\nu, \nu, \mathcal{G}\nu))) = 1$. Therefore, $S_b(\nu, \nu, \mathcal{G}\nu) = 0$ implies $\mathcal{G}\nu = \nu$. and thus ν is a fixed point of \mathcal{G} . Assume further that ν and ν^* are two fixed points of \mathcal{G} such that $\nu \neq \nu^*$.

Consider

$$\Gamma(S_b(\nu, \nu, \nu^*)) \leq \Gamma\left(\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2}\right)S_b(\mathcal{G}\nu, \mathcal{G}\nu, \mathcal{G}\nu^*)\right) \leq \beta(\Gamma(M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\nu, \nu, \nu^*))) \Gamma(M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\nu, \nu, \nu^*)) \quad (3.13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\nu, \nu, \nu^*) &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\nu, \nu, \nu^*), S_b(\nu, \nu, \mathcal{G}\nu), \\ S_b(\nu^*, \nu^*, \mathcal{G}\nu^*), \frac{S_b(\nu, \nu, \mathcal{G}\nu^*) + S_b(\nu^*, \nu^*, \mathcal{G}\nu)}{4b^3} \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\nu, \nu, \nu^*), S_b(\nu, \nu, \nu), \\ S_b(\nu^*, \nu^*, \nu^*), \frac{S_b(\nu, \nu, \nu^*) + 2bS_b(\nu^*, \nu^*, \nu^*) + S_b(\nu, \nu, \nu^*)}{4b^3} \end{array} \right\} = S_b(\nu, \nu, \nu^*) \end{aligned}$$

Using by (3.13), we have $\Gamma(S_b(\nu, \nu, \nu^*)) \leq \beta(\Gamma(S_b(\nu, \nu, \nu^*)))\Gamma(S_b(\nu, \nu, \nu^*))$.

we can deduce that $\frac{\Gamma(S_b(\nu, \nu, \nu^*))}{\Gamma(S_b(\nu, \nu, \nu^*))} \leq \beta(\Gamma(S_b(\nu, \nu, \nu^*)))$.

We obtain that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\Gamma(S_b(\nu, \nu, \nu^*))) = 1$.

Therefore, $S_b(\nu, \nu, \nu^*) = 0$ implies $\nu = \nu^*$. Consequently, ν is a unique fixed point of \mathcal{G} . □

Example 3.1. Let $S_b : \mathfrak{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be defined as $S_b(\mu, \nu, \xi) = (|\nu + \xi - 2\mu| + |\nu - \xi|)^2$ where $\mathfrak{R} = [0, \infty)$. It is obvious that (\mathfrak{R}, S_b) is a complete with $b = 4$. Define $\mathcal{G} : \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ by $\mathcal{G}(\mu) = \frac{\mu}{4^3}$

and $\Gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ as $\Gamma(t) = t, \beta(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{-\frac{4^5}{3969}t}}{1 + \frac{4^5}{3969}t}, & t \in (0, \infty) \\ 0, & t = 0 \end{cases}$

also define $\alpha, \phi : \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ $\alpha(\mu, \mu, \nu) = \begin{cases} 4, & (\mu, \mu, \nu) \in [0, 1] \\ 0, & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$

$\phi(\mu, \mu, \nu) = \begin{cases} 1, & (\mu, \mu, \nu) \in [0, 1] \\ 0, & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$

Let $\alpha(\mu, \mu, \mathcal{G}\mu) \geq \phi(\mu, \mu, \mathcal{G}\mu)$. Thus $\mu, \mathcal{G}\mu \in [0, 1]$ and so $\mathcal{G}^2\mu = \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{G}\mu) \in [0, 1]$ which implies that $\alpha(\mathcal{G}\mu, \mathcal{G}\mu, \mathcal{G}^2\mu) \geq \phi(\mathcal{G}\mu, \mathcal{G}\mu, \mathcal{G}^2\mu)$ that is \mathcal{G} is α -orbital admissible with respect to ϕ . Now, let $\alpha(\mu, \mu, \nu) \geq \phi(\mu, \mu, \nu)$ and $\alpha(\nu, \nu, \mathcal{G}\nu) \geq \phi(\nu, \nu, \mathcal{G}\nu)$, we get that $\mu, \nu, \mathcal{G}\nu \in [0, 1]$ and so $\alpha(\mu, \mu, \mathcal{G}\nu) \geq \phi(\mu, \mu, \mathcal{G}\nu)$. Therefore \mathcal{G} is triangular α -orbital admissible with respect to ϕ . Let $\{\mu_n\}$ be a sequence such that $\{\mu_n\}$ is S_b -convergent to χ

and $\alpha(\mu_n, \mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) \geq \phi(\mu_n, \mu_n, \mu_{n+1})$ for all $n \in N$. Then $\{\mu_n\} \in [0, 1]$ for any $n \in N$ and so $\chi \in [0, 1]$ which we have, $\alpha(\chi, \chi, \chi) \geq \phi(\chi, \chi, \chi)$ and obviously the function \mathcal{G} is continuous. Following that, we show that \mathcal{G} is a generalised (α, ϕ, Γ) -Geraghty contraction type mapping. Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathfrak{R}$ with $\alpha(\mu, \mu, \nu) \geq \phi(\mu, \mu, \nu)$. Thus $\mu, \nu \in [0, 1]$. We can assume without losing generality that $0 \leq \nu \leq \mu \leq 1$.

Therefore,

$$S_b(\mathcal{G}\mu, \mathcal{G}\mu, \mathcal{G}\nu) = (|\mathcal{G}\mu + \mathcal{G}\nu - 2\mathcal{G}\mu| + |\mathcal{G}\mu - \mathcal{G}\nu|)^2 = \left(2\left|\frac{\mu}{4^3} - \frac{\nu}{4^3}\right|\right)^2 = \frac{1}{4^6}S_b(\mu, \mu, \nu)$$

and

$$M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\mu, \mu, \nu) = \max \left\{ \frac{(2|\mu - \nu|)^2, \frac{3969}{4^5}\mu^2, \frac{3969}{4^5}\nu^2}{\frac{(|4^3\mu - \nu|^2 + (|\mu - 4^3\nu|)^2)}{4^6 b^3}} \right\} = \frac{3969}{4^5}\mu^2$$

Since $\frac{65}{2 \times 4^6} \leq \frac{1}{2e} \leq \frac{e^{-\mu^2}}{1 + \mu^2}$ so that $\frac{65}{2 \times 4^6} \frac{3969}{4^5} \mu^2 \leq \frac{e^{-\mu^2}}{1 + \mu^2} \frac{3969}{4^5} \mu^2$

$$\Gamma\left(\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2}\right)S_b(\mathcal{G}\mu, \mathcal{G}\mu, \mathcal{G}\nu)\right) = \Gamma\left(\frac{65}{2 \times 4^6}S_b(\mu, \mu, \nu)\right) = \frac{65}{2 \times 4^6}S_b(\mu, \mu, \nu)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{65}{2 \times 4^6} \frac{3969}{4^5} \mu^2 \leq \frac{e^{-\mu^2}}{1 + \mu^2} \frac{3969}{4^5} \mu^2 \leq \beta\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{3969}{4^5}\mu^2\right)\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{3969}{4^5}\mu^2\right) \\ &\leq \beta\left(\Gamma\left(M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\mu, \mu, \nu)\right)\right)\Gamma\left(M_b^{\mathcal{G}}(\mu, \mu, \nu)\right) \end{aligned}$$

As a result, all of Theorem (3.1)'s requirements are satisfied, and 0 is the only fixed point of \mathcal{G} .

4. Application to Integral Equations

As an application of Theorem (3.1), we will look at the existence of a unique solution to an initial value problem in this section.

Theorem 4.1. Consider the I. V. P.

$$\sigma'(t) = \mathcal{G}(t, \sigma(t)), \quad t \in I = [0, 1], \quad \sigma(0) = \sigma_0 \quad (4.1)$$

where $\mathcal{G} : I \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a continuous function and $\sigma_0 \in \mathbf{R}$.

Let $\Gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ be a two functions defined as $\Gamma(t) = t$ and $\beta(t) = \frac{1}{3}$. Also examine the following conditions,

(i) If there exist a function $\alpha, \phi : \mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that there is an $\sigma_1 \in C(I)$, for all $t \in I$, we've

$$\alpha \left(\sigma_1(t), \sigma_1(t), \int_0^t \mathcal{G}(s, \sigma_1(s)) ds \right) \geq \phi \left(\sigma_1(t), \sigma_1(t), \int_0^t \mathcal{G}(s, \sigma_1(s)) ds \right).$$

(ii) $\forall t \in I$, and $\forall x, y \in C(I)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\sigma(t), \sigma(t), \varsigma(t)) &\geq \alpha(\sigma(t), \sigma(t), \varsigma(t)) \Rightarrow \\ \alpha \left(\frac{\sigma_0}{3b^3} + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}(s, \sigma(s)) ds, \frac{\sigma_0}{3b^3} + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}(s, \sigma(s)) ds, \frac{\varsigma_0}{3b^3} + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}(s, \varsigma(s)) ds \right) \\ &\geq \phi \left(\frac{\sigma_0}{3b^3} + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}(s, \sigma(s)) ds, \frac{\sigma_0}{3b^3} + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}(s, \sigma(s)) ds, \frac{\varsigma_0}{3b^3} + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}(s, \varsigma(s)) ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) for any point σ of a sequence $\{\sigma_n\}$ of points in $C(I)$ with

$$\alpha(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}) \geq \phi(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}), \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma) \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma). \quad \text{Then (4.1) has unique solution .}$$

Proof. The integral equation of I. V. P. (4.1) is

$$\sigma(t) = \sigma_0 + 3 \left(\frac{1+b^3}{2} \right) \int_0^t \mathcal{G}(s, \sigma(s)) ds.$$

Let $\mathfrak{B} = C(I)$ and $S_b(\sigma, \varsigma, \tau) = (|\varsigma + \tau - 2\sigma| + |\varsigma - \tau|)^2$ for $\sigma, \varsigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{B}$. Then (\mathfrak{B}, S_b) is a complete, also define $T : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ by

$$T(\sigma)(t) = \frac{2\sigma_0}{3(1+b^3)} + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}(s, \sigma(s)) ds. \quad (4.2)$$

Now

$$\Gamma \left(\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2} \right) S_b(T\sigma(t), T\sigma(t), T\varsigma(t)) \right) = \left(\frac{1+b^3}{2} \right) \{ |T\sigma(t) + T\varsigma(t) - 2T\sigma(t)| + |T\sigma(t) - T\varsigma(t)| \}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{8(1+b^3)}{9(1+b^3)^2} \left| \sigma_0 + 3\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2}\right) \int_0^t \mathcal{G}(s, \sigma(s)) ds - \varsigma_0 - 3\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2}\right) \int_0^t \mathcal{G}(s, \varsigma(s)) ds \right|^2 \\
 &= \frac{8}{9(1+b^3)} |\sigma(t) - \varsigma(t)|^2 = \frac{8}{9(1+b^3)} S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma) \leq \frac{1}{3} S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma) \\
 &\leq \beta (\Gamma(S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma))) \Gamma(S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma)) \leq \beta (\Gamma(M_b^T(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma))) \Gamma(M_b^T(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma))
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $\Gamma\left(\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2}\right)S_b(T\sigma(t), T\sigma(t), T\varsigma(t))\right) \leq \beta (\Gamma(M_b^T(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma))) \Gamma(M_b^T(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma)) \forall \sigma, \varsigma \in \mathfrak{P}$
 Let us define $\alpha : \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\phi : \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\alpha(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma) = \begin{cases} 6, & \sigma, \varsigma \in [0, 1] \\ 0, & \sigma, \varsigma \in (1, \infty) \end{cases}, \quad \phi(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma) = \begin{cases} 2, & \sigma, \varsigma \in [0, 1] \\ 1, & \sigma, \varsigma \in (1, \infty) \end{cases}$$

Then obviously, T is triangular α -orbital admissible with respect to ϕ . Let $\sigma, \varsigma \in \mathfrak{P}$, if $\alpha(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma) = 6$ and $\phi(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma) = 2$, then $\alpha(\sigma(t), \sigma(t), \varsigma(t)) \geq \phi(\sigma(t), \sigma(t), \varsigma(t))$. From (ii) we have $\alpha(T\sigma(t), T\sigma(t), T\varsigma(t)) \geq \phi(T\sigma(t), T\sigma(t), T\varsigma(t))$ and so $\alpha(T\sigma, T\sigma, T\varsigma) = 6$ and $\phi(T\sigma, T\sigma, T\varsigma) = 2$. Thus T is triangular α -orbital admissible with respect to ϕ . From (i), there exist $\sigma_1, \varsigma_1 \in \mathfrak{P}$ such that $\alpha(\sigma_1, \sigma_1, T\sigma_1) = 6$ and $\phi(\varsigma_1, \varsigma_1, T\varsigma_1) = 2$. By (iii), we have that for any point σ of a sequence $\{\sigma_n\}$ of points in $C(I)$ with $\alpha(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}) = 6$, $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma) = 6$ and $\phi(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}) = 2$, $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma) = 2$. Therefore, for all $\sigma, \varsigma \in \mathfrak{P}$ and $t \in I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha(\sigma(t), \sigma(t), \varsigma(t)) \geq \phi(\sigma(t), \sigma(t), \varsigma(t)) &\implies \Gamma\left(\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2}\right)S_b(T\sigma(t), T\sigma(t), T\varsigma(t))\right) \\
 &\leq \beta (\Gamma(M_b^T(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma))) \Gamma(M_b^T(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma))
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (3.1) states that T has a unique solution in \mathfrak{P} .

□

5. Application to Homotopy

The existence of a unique homotopy theory solution is investigated in this section.

Theorem 5.1. Let (\mathfrak{P}, S_b) be a complete S_b -metric space, \mathcal{U} and $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$ be a open and closed subset of \mathfrak{P} such that $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{U}}$. Suppose $\alpha, \phi : \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\mathfrak{H}_b : \bar{\mathcal{U}} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}$ is a triangular α -orbital admissible operator with respect to ϕ and $\beta \in \mathfrak{F}$ satisfying the following conditions:

(τ_0) $\sigma \neq \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \kappa)$, for each $\sigma \in \partial\mathcal{U}$ and $\kappa \in [0, 1]$ (Here $\partial\mathcal{U}$ is boundary of \mathcal{U} in \mathfrak{P})

(τ_1) for all $\sigma, \varsigma \in \bar{\mathcal{U}}$ and $\kappa \in [0, 1]$, $\alpha(\sigma, \sigma, \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \kappa)) \geq \phi(\sigma, \sigma, \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \kappa))$ implies

$$\Gamma\left(\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2}\right)S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \kappa), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \kappa), \mathfrak{H}_b(\varsigma, \kappa))\right) \leq \beta (\Gamma(S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma))) \Gamma(S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma))$$

(τ_2) $\exists M_b \geq 0 \ni S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \kappa), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \kappa), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \zeta)) \leq M_b|\kappa - \zeta|$ for every $\sigma \in \bar{\mathcal{U}}$ and $\kappa, \zeta \in [0, 1]$.

Then $\mathfrak{H}_b(\cdot, 0)$ has a fixed point $\iff \mathfrak{H}_b(\cdot, 1)$ has a fixed point.

Proof. Let $A = \{\kappa \in [0, 1] : \sigma = \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \kappa) \text{ for some } \sigma \in \mathcal{U}\}$. We have that $0 \in A$ since $\mathfrak{H}_b(\cdot, 0)$ has a fixed point in \mathcal{U} . As a result, the set A is not empty. By demonstrating that A is both open and closed in $[0, 1]$, we shall establish that $A = [0, 1]$. As a result, in \mathcal{U} , $H_b(\cdot, 1)$ has a fixed point. First, we demonstrate that A is a closed set in $[0, 1]$. Let $\{\kappa_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq A$ with $\kappa_n \rightarrow \kappa \in [0, 1]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We have to demonstrate that $\kappa \in A$. Since $\kappa_n \in A$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, there exists $\sigma_n \in \mathcal{U}$ with $\sigma_{n+1} = \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_n, \kappa_n)$. Since \mathfrak{H}_b is a triangular α -orbital admissible operator with respect to ϕ . $\alpha(\sigma_0, \sigma_0, \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_0, \kappa_0)) \geq \phi(\sigma_0, \sigma_0, \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_0, \kappa_0))$. We can deduce from Lemma (2.1) that

$$\alpha(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}) \geq \phi(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}) \text{ for all } n \geq 0$$

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} S_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}) &= S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_n, \kappa_n), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_n, \kappa_n), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \kappa_{n+1})) \\ &\leq 2bS_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_n, \kappa_n), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_n, \kappa_n), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \kappa_n)) \\ &\quad + b^2S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \kappa_n), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \kappa_n), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \kappa_{n+1})) \\ &\leq 2bS_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_n, \kappa_n), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_n, \kappa_n), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \kappa_n)) + b^2M_b|\kappa_n - \kappa_{n+1}| \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2bS_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_n, \kappa_n), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_n, \kappa_n), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \kappa_n))$$

. We get Γ since it is continuous and non-decreasing.

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma \left(\left(\frac{1+b^3}{4b} \right) S_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}) \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma \left(\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2} \right) S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_n, \kappa_n), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_n, \kappa_n), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \kappa_n)) \right) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\Gamma(S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}))) \Gamma(S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1})) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma \left(\left(\frac{1+b^3}{4b} \right) S_b(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}) \right)}{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma(S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}))} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\Gamma(S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}))) < 1.$$

In above inequality, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\Gamma(S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}))) = 1$. Since $\beta \in \mathfrak{F}$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma(S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1})) = 0$ and so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}) = 0$. It is now time to demonstrate $\{\sigma_n\}$, a S_b -Cauchy sequence in (\mathfrak{P}, S_b) . On the other hand, suppose $\{\sigma_n\}$ is not a S_b -Cauchy sequence. There is a monotone increasing sequence with $\epsilon > 0$ and Natural numbers with the property that $\{m_k\}$ and $\{n_k\}$ such that $n_k > m_k$.

$$S_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k}) \geq \epsilon \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$S_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1}) < \epsilon. \quad (5.2)$$

From (5.1) and (5.2), we have

$$\epsilon \leq S_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k}) \leq 2bS_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k+1}) + b^2S_b(\sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{n_k}).$$

So that $(\frac{1+b^3}{2b^2})\epsilon \leq (\frac{1+b^3}{b})S_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k+1}) + (\frac{1+b^3}{2})S_b(\sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{n_k})$.

We get that by setting $k \rightarrow \infty$ and Γ is applied on both sides,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma((\frac{1+b^3}{2b^2})\epsilon) &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma\left(\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2}\right)S_b(\sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{n_k})\right) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\Gamma(S_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1})))\Gamma(S_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1})) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\Gamma(S_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1})))\Gamma((\frac{1+b^3}{2b^2})\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$1 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\Gamma(S_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1}))) \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\Gamma(S_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1}))) = 1$$

. This leads to the result $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{m_k}, \sigma_{n_k-1}) = 0$. and hence,

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{m_k+1}, \sigma_{n_k}) = 0$. It contradicts itself. In the S_b -metric space (\mathfrak{X}, S_b) , the sequence $\{\sigma_n\}$ is a S_b -Cauchy sequence. The sequence $\{\sigma_n\} \rightarrow \nu \in (\mathfrak{X}, S_b)$ emerges from the completeness of (\mathfrak{X}, S_b) . $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n+1} = \nu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n$. Since $\{\sigma_n\}$ is a S_b -convergent sequence to ν in X and $\alpha(\nu, \nu, \nu) \geq \phi(\nu, \nu, \nu)$. Then to prove $\nu = \mathfrak{H}_b(\nu, \kappa)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2b}S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\nu, \kappa), \mathfrak{H}_b(\nu, \kappa), \nu)\right) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \Gamma(S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\nu, \kappa), \mathfrak{H}_b(\nu, \kappa), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_n, \kappa))) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \Gamma\left(\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2}\right)S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\nu, \kappa), \mathfrak{H}_b(\nu, \kappa), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_n, \kappa))\right) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\Gamma(S_b(\nu, \nu, \sigma_n)))\Gamma(S_b(\nu, \nu, \sigma_n)). \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2b}S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\nu, \kappa), \mathfrak{H}_b(\nu, \kappa), \nu)\right)}{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma(S_b(\nu, \nu, \sigma_n))} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\Gamma(S_b(\nu, \nu, \sigma_n)))$$

That is $1 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\Gamma(S_b(\nu, \nu, \sigma_n)))$ implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\Gamma(S_b(\nu, \nu, \sigma_n))) = 1$.

As a result, we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma(S_b(\nu, \nu, \sigma_n)) = 0$ and hence $S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\nu, \kappa), \mathfrak{H}_b(\nu, \kappa), \nu) = 0$. Thus, it follows $\nu = \mathfrak{H}_b(\nu, \kappa)$. Thus $\kappa \in A$. Clearly, $[0, 1]$ closes A . Let $\kappa_0 \in A$. Consequently, there is $\sigma_0 \in U$ such that $\sigma_0 = \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_0, \kappa_0)$. Due to the fact that \mathcal{U} is open, $r > 0$ exists such that $B_{S_b}(\sigma_0, r) \subseteq \mathcal{U}$. Choose $\kappa \in (\kappa_0 - \epsilon, \kappa_0 + \epsilon)$ such that $|\kappa - \kappa_0| \leq \frac{1}{M^n} < \epsilon$.

Then, for $\overline{B_p(\sigma_0, r)} = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{X} : S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma_0) \leq r + b^2S_b(\sigma_0, \sigma_0, \sigma_0)\}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \kappa), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \kappa), \sigma_0) &= S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \kappa), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \kappa), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_0, \kappa_0)) \\ &\leq 2bS_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \kappa), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \kappa), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \kappa_0)) \\ &\quad + b^2S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \kappa_0), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \kappa_0), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_0, \kappa_0)) \\ &\leq 2bM|\kappa - \kappa_0| + b^2S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \kappa_0), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \kappa_0), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_0, \kappa_0)) \end{aligned}$$

Upon letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and applying Γ to both sides,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \kappa), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \kappa), \sigma_0)) &\leq \Gamma(b^2 S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \kappa_0), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \kappa_0), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_0, \kappa_0))) \\ &\leq \Gamma\left(\left(\frac{1+b^3}{2}\right) S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \kappa_0), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \kappa_0), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma_0, \kappa_0))\right) \\ &\leq \beta(\Gamma(S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma_0))) \Gamma(S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma_0)) \leq \Gamma(S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma_0)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $S_b(\mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \kappa), \mathfrak{H}_b(\sigma, \kappa), \sigma_0) \leq S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma_0) \leq r + b^2 S_b(\sigma_0, \sigma_0, \sigma_0)$. Thus for each fixed $\kappa \in (\kappa_0 - \epsilon, \kappa_0 + \epsilon)$, $\mathfrak{H}_b(\cdot; \kappa) : \overline{B_p(\sigma_0, r)} \rightarrow \overline{B_p(\sigma_0, r)}$. Thus, Theorem (5.1)'s criteria are met in full. Consequently, it may be said that $\mathfrak{H}_b(\cdot; \kappa)$ has a fixed point in $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$. But this must be in \mathcal{U} . Therefore, $\kappa \in A$ for $\kappa \in (\kappa_0 - \epsilon, \kappa_0 + \epsilon)$. Hence $(\kappa_0 - \epsilon, \kappa_0 + \epsilon) \subseteq A$. In $[0, 1]$, A is clearly open. The converse can be proven using a similar method. \square

Conclusion: Using generalised (α, ϕ, Γ) -Geraghty contractive type fixed point theorems in the setup of S_b -metric spaces through α -orbital admissible mappings with respect to ϕ , we conclude several applications to homotopy theory and integral equations in this study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] S. Banach, Sur les Operations dans les Ensembles Abstraites et Leur Applications aux Equations Integrales, Fund. Math. 3 (1922), 133-181. <https://eudml.org/doc/213289>.
- [2] S. Radenovic, S. Sedghi, A. Gholidahneh, T. Dosenovic, J. Esfahani, Common fixed point of four maps in S_b -Metric spaces, J. Linear Topol. Algebra, 5 (2016), 93-104.
- [3] N. Souayaha, N. Mlaikib, A fixed point theorem in S_b -metric spaces, J. Math. Computer Sci. 16 (2016), 131-139. <https://doi.org/10.22436/jmcs.016.02.01>.
- [4] M.V.R. Kameswari, K. Sujatha, D.M.K Kiran, Fixed Point Results in S_b -Metric Spaces via (α, ψ, ϕ) - Generalized Weakly Contractive Maps, Glob. J. Pure Appl. Math. 15 (2019), 411-428.
- [5] N. Souayah, A Fixed Point in Partial S_b -Metric Spaces, An. Univ. "Ovidius" Constanta - Ser. Mat. 24 (2016), 351-362. <https://doi.org/10.1515/auom-2016-0062>.
- [6] Y. Rohen, T. Dosenovic, S. Radenovic, A Note on the Paper "A Fixed Point Theorems in S_b -Metric Spaces", Filomat. 31 (2017), 3335-3346. <https://doi.org/10.2298/fil1711335r>.
- [7] B. Srinuvasa, G.N. Venkata Ki, M. Sarwar, N. Konda Redd, Fixed Point Theorems in Ordered S_b -Metric Spaces by Using (α, β) -Admissible Geraghty Contraction and Applications, J. Appl. Sci. 18 (2017), 9-18. <https://doi.org/10.3923/jas.2018.9.18>.
- [8] G. Kishore, K. Rao, D. Panthi, B. Srinuvasa Rao, S. Satyanaraya, Some Applications via Fixed Point Results in Partially Ordered S_b -Metric Spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2017 (2016), 10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13663-017-0603-2>.
- [9] M.A. Geraghty, On Contractive Mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (1973), 604-608.
- [10] A. Amini-Harandi, H. Emami, A Fixed Point Theorem for Contraction Type Maps in Partially Ordered Metric Spaces and Application to Ordinary Differential Equations, Nonlinear Anal.: Theory Methods Appl. 72 (2010), 2238-2242. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2009.10.023>.

- [11] M.M.M. Jaradat, Z. Mustafa, A.H. Ansari, P.S. Kumari, D. Dolicanin-Djekic, H.M. Jaradat, Some Fixed Point Results for $F_{\alpha-\omega,\varphi}$ -Generalized Cyclic Contractions on Metric-Like Space With Applications to Graphs and Integral Equations, *J. Math. Anal.* 8 (2017), 28-45.
- [12] J.R. Roshan, V. Parvaneh, S. Radenovic, M. Rajovic, Some Coincidence Point Results for Generalized (α,β) -Weakly Contractions in Ordered b -Metric Spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2015 (2015), 68. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13663-015-0313-6>.
- [13] M. Dinarvand, Some Fixed Point Results for Admissible Geraghty Contraction Type Mappings in Fuzzy Metric Spaces, *Iran. J. Fuzzy Syst.* 14 (2017), 161-177.
- [14] S.H. Cho, J.S. Bae, E. Karapinar, Fixed Point Theorems for α -Geraghty Contraction Type Maps in Metric Spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2013 (2013), 329. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2013-329>.
- [15] E. Karapinar, $\alpha - \psi$ -Geraghty Contraction Type Mappings and Some Related Fixed Point Results, *Filomat.* 28 (2014), 37-48. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/24896705>.
- [16] A. Mukheimer, $\alpha - \psi - \varphi$ -Contractive Mappings in Ordered Partial b -Metric Spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 7 (2014), 168-179.
- [17] O. Popescu, Some New Fixed Point Theorems for α -Geraghty Contraction Type Maps in Metric Spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2014 (2014), 190. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2014-190>.
- [18] P. Salimi, A. Latif, N. Hussain, Modified $\alpha - \psi$ -Contractive Mappings With Applications, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2013 (2013), 151. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2013-151>.
- [19] P. Chuadchawna, A. Kaewcharoen, S. Plubtieng, Fixed Point Theorems for Generalized $\alpha - \eta - \psi$ -Geraghty Contraction Type Mappings in $\alpha - \eta$ -Complete Metric Spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 09 (2016), 471-485. <https://doi.org/10.22436/jnsa.009.02.13>.
- [20] A. Farajzadeh, C. Noytaptim, A. Kaewcharoen, Some Fixed Point Theorems for Generalized $\alpha - \eta - \psi$ -Geraghty Contractive Type Mappings in Partial b -Metric Spaces, *J. Inform. Math. Sci.* 10 (2018), 455-478.