

Strong and Δ -Convergence of a New Iteration for Common Fixed Points of Two Asymptotically Nonexpansive Mappings

J. Robert Dhiliban^{1,*}, A. Anthony Eldred²

¹Department of Mathematics, Arul Anandar College (Autonomous), Madurai-625514, Tamilnadu, India

²Department of Mathematics, St. Joseph's College (Autonomous), Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli-620002, Tamilnadu, India

*Corresponding author: jrdhiliban@gmail.com

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to study strong and Δ - convergence of a newly defined iteration to a common fixed point of two asymptotically nonexpansive self mappings in a hyperbolic space framework. We provide an example and a comparison table to support our assertions.

1. Introduction

Globel and Kirk [1] introduced the concept of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and proved that every asymptotically nonexpansive self mapping on a non empty closed subset K of a uniformly convex Banach space X posseses a fixed point. Ever since, many authors (see, [2], [3], [4] and [5]) have established strong and weak convergence theorems for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings based on the modified Mann [6] and Ishikawa [7] iterations. Tan and Xu [8] studied the modified Ishikawa iteration scheme:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 & \in K \\ x_{n+1} & = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T^n y_n \\ y_n & = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n T^n x_n, \quad n \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are sequences in $(0, 1)$ bounded away from 0 and 1.

Received: Apr. 28, 2023.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47H10, 47J25.

Key words and phrases. asymptotically nonexpansive mapping; common fixed points; Δ -convergence; hyperbolic space.

Aggarwal et al [9] in an attempt to obtain a faster rate of convergence, modified the above iteration process (1.1) as following:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 & \in K \\ x_{n+1} & = (1 - \alpha_n)T^n x_n + \alpha_n T^n y_n \\ y_n & = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n T^n x_n, \quad n \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

This iteration is called the modified S-iteration process. For further results on Ishikawa iteration process, (refer, [10], [11], [12] and [13]). Recently, iterative approximations are defined and investigated in the framework of hyperbolic spaces. Several authors (refer, [14], [15] and [16]) have put forward different notions of hyperbolic spaces in order to blend convexity and metric structure. The following definition given by Kohlenbach [17] is widely used.

Definition 1.1. [17] A hyperbolic space is a triplet (X, d, W) , where (X, d) is a metric space and $W : X^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a mapping that satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $d(u, W(x, y, \alpha)) \leq (1 - \alpha)d(u, x) + \alpha d(u, y)$
- (2) $d(W(x, y, \alpha), W(x, y, \beta)) = |\alpha - \beta|d(x, y)$
- (3) $W(x, y, \alpha) = W(y, x, (1 - \alpha))$
- (4) $d(W(x, z, \alpha), W(y, v, \alpha)) \leq (1 - \alpha)d(x, y) + \alpha d(z, v)$

for all $x, y, z, u, v \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$.

2. Preliminaries

We recall some definitions and basic concepts which will be useful for our work.

Definition 2.1. [1] Let (X, d) be a metric space and let K be a closed convex subset of X . A mapping $T : K \rightarrow K$ is said to be asymptotically nonexpansive, if there is a sequence of real numbers $\{k_n\} \in [1, \infty)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 1$ and $d(T^n x, T^n y) \leq k_n d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The concept of an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping is a natural generalization of a nonexpansive mapping ($d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y)$). The set $F(T) = \{Tx = x : x \in K\}$ shall denote the set of all fixed points of any mapping T .

Definition 2.2. [23] A subset K of a hyperbolic space (X, d, W) is convex if $W(x, y, \alpha) \in K$ for all $x, y \in K$ and $\forall \alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.3. [24] A hyperbolic space (X, d, W) is said to be uniformly convex if for any $x, y, z \in X$, $r > 0$ and $\epsilon \in (0, 2]$, there is a $\delta \in (0, 1]$ so that $d(W(x, y, \frac{1}{2}), z) \leq (1 - \delta)r$ whenever $d(x, z) \leq r$, $d(y, z) \leq r$ and $d(x, y) \geq \epsilon r$.

Definition 2.4. [25], [26] Consider a bounded sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a hyperbolic space (X, d, W) . For any $x \in X$, define, $r(x, \{x_n\}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x)$ and $r(\{x_n\}) = \inf\{r(x, \{x_n\})/x \in X\}$. The

asymptotic center $A(\{x_n\})$ of a bounded sequence $\{x_n\}$ is defined as $A(\{x_n\}) = \{x \in X / r(x, \{x_n\}) \leq r(y, \{x_n\}), \forall y \in X\}$.

It is well known that in uniformly convex Banach spaces, bounded sequences have unique asymptotic centers. The following Lemma proved by Leustean [27] guarantees that complete uniformly convex hyperbolic spaces also enjoy this property.

Lemma 2.1. [27] Let (X, d, W) be a complete uniformly convex hyperbolic space. Then every bounded sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X has a unique asymptotic center.

Definition 2.5. [28] A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a hyperbolic space (X, d, W) is said to Δ -converge to a point $x \in X$, if every subsequence $\{z_n\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ has x as its unique asymptotic center.

Lemma 2.2. [29] Let K be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex hyperbolic space (X, d, W) and let $\{x_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in K such that $A(\{x_n\}) = \{z\}$ and $r(\{x_n\}) = \omega$. If $\{z_m\}$ is a sequence in K such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} r(z_m, \{x_n\}) = \omega$, then $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} z_m = z$.

Lemma 2.3. [29] Let (X, d, W) be a uniformly convex hyperbolic space. Let $x \in X$ and let $\{t_n\}$ be a sequence in $(0, 1)$ such that $\delta \leq t_n \leq 1 - \delta$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for some $\delta > 0$. If $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are sequences in X such that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) \leq c$, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, x) \leq c$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(W(x_n, y_n, t_n), x) = c$ for some $c \geq 0$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = 0$.

Lemma 2.4. [3] Let $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$ and $\{\delta_n\}$ be sequences of nonnegative numbers such that

$$\delta_{n+1} \leq \alpha_n \delta_n + \beta_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If $\alpha_n \geq 1 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_n - 1) < \infty$ and $\beta_n < \infty$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n$ exists.

Uniformly convex Banach spaces and CAT(0) spaces are some of the known examples of hyperbolic spaces. Sahin and Basarir [18] studied the following iterative process in a hyperbolic space setting and established some convergence results under suitable conditions:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 & \in K \\ x_{n+1} & = W(T^n x_n, T^n y_n, \alpha_n) \\ y_n & = W(x_n, T^n x_n, \beta_n), \quad n \geq 1 \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

Ishikawa type iteration is also employed to study the convergence of common fixed points of two asymptotically nonexpansive mappings. In a Banach space framework, Das and Debata [19] initiated the study of two mapping iterative procedure. The authors in [20] and [21] have studied the following iteration for the convergence of common fixed points:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 & \in K \\ x_{n+1} & = W(x_n, S^n y_n, \alpha_n) \\ y_n & = W(x_n, T^n x_n, \beta_n), \quad n \geq 1 \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

where S and T are asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with atleast one common fixed point and $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are sequences in $(0, 1)$.

Recently, Saluja [22] modified the iterative procedure introduced by Khan et al [13] in hyperbolic spaces to obtain a faster iterative procedure:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 & \in K \\ x_{n+1} & = W(T^n x_n, S^n y_n, \alpha_n) \\ y_n & = W(x_n, T^n x_n, \beta_n), \quad n \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where S and T are asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with atleast one common fixed point and $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are sequences in $(0, 1)$.

The purpose of this paper is to introduce and study a new iterative procedure (3.1) even in Banach spaces to approximate the common fixed points of two asymptotically nonexpansive mappings. We prove strong and Δ - convergence of such an iteration in the general nonlinear framework of hyperbolic spaces.

3. Main Results

In this section, we introduce and study a new iterative scheme to approximate common fixed points of two asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in a hyperbolic space.

Let (X, d, W) be a uniformly convex hyperbolic space. Let K be a non-empty subset of X . Let S and T be two asymptotically nonexpansive self mappings on K . Let $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ be sequences in $(0, 1)$ such that, $\delta \leq \alpha_n, \beta_n \leq 1 - \delta$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for some $\delta > 0$.

We define the following iteration:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 & = x \in K \\ x_{n+1} & = W(S^n x_n, T^n y_n, \alpha_n) \\ y_n & = W(x_n, S^n(T^n x_n), \beta_n), \quad n \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let K be a non-empty subset of a uniformly convex hyperbolic space X . Let S and T be asymptotically nonexpansive self mappings on K with a common sequence of real numbers $k_n \geq 1$ satisfying $\sum(k_n^2 - 1) < \infty$. Let F denote the set of all common fixed points of S and T . i.e., $F = F(S) \cap F(T)$. Let $p \in F$. If $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are sequences as defined in (3.1), then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, p)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, p)$ exist and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, p) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, p).$$

Proof. Since $p \in F(S) \cap F(T)$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, p) &= d(W(S^n x_n, T^n y_n, \alpha_n), p) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)d(S^n x_n, p) + \alpha_n d(T^n y_n, p) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq (1 - \alpha_n)k_n d(x_n, p) + \alpha_n k_n d(y_n, p) \quad (3.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, p) &= d(W(x_n, S^n(T^n x_n), \beta_n), p) \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n)d(x_n, p) + \beta_n d(S^n(T^n x_n), p) \\ &= (1 - \beta_n)d(x_n, p) + \beta_n d(S^n(T^n x_n), S^n(T^n p)) \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n)d(x_n, p) + \beta_n k_n d(T^n x_n, T^n p) \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n)d(x_n, p) + \beta_n k_n^2 d(x_n, p) \\ &= d(x_n, p) [(1 - \beta_n) + \beta_n k_n^2] \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

By substituting (3.3) in (3.2), we get,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, p) &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)k_n d(x_n, p) + \alpha_n k_n [(1 - \beta_n) + \beta_n k_n^2] d(x_n, p) \\ &= [(1 - \alpha_n)k_n + \alpha_n k_n ((1 - \beta_n) + \beta_n k_n^2)] d(x_n, p) \\ &= [k_n - \alpha_n k_n \beta_n + \alpha_n \beta_n k_n^3] d(x_n, p) \\ &= [1 + (k_n - 1) - \alpha_n \beta_n k_n + \alpha_n \beta_n k_n^3] d(x_n, p) \\ &= [1 + (k_n - 1) + (k_n^2 - 1)\alpha_n \beta_n k_n] d(x_n, p) \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

$$\text{Hence, } d(x_{n+1}, p) \leq [1 + (k_n - 1) + (k_n^2 - 1)\alpha_n \beta_n k_n] d(x_n, p)$$

By Lemma 2.4, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, p)$ exists.

$$\text{Let } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, p) = c. \quad (3.5)$$

From (3.2), we have,

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, p) &\leq [(1 - \beta_n) + \beta_n k_n^2] d(x_n, p) \\ \text{Hence, } \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, p) &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, p) \\ &\text{i.e., } \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, p) \leq c. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Now consider,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, p) &= d(W(S^n x_n, T^n y_n, \alpha_n), p) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)k_n d(x_n, p) + \alpha_n k_n d(y_n, p) \\ &= [1 + (k_n - 1) + (k_n^2 - 1)\alpha_n \beta_n k_n] d(x_n, p) \end{aligned}$$

By (3.5), we have, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n+1}, p) = c$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, p) = c$. Hence, from (3.2) and (3.4),

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [(1 - \alpha_n)k_n d(x_n, p) + \alpha_n k_n d(y_n, p)] = c.$$

i.e.,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [k_n d(x_n, p) - k_n \alpha_n d(x_n, p) + \alpha_n k_n d(y_n, p)] = c.$$

Since, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 1$, we have,

$$c + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n k_n [d(y_n, p) - d(x_n, p)] = c \implies \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n k_n [d(y_n, p) - d(x_n, p)] = 0.$$

Since, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n k_n > 0$, this will imply that,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [d(y_n, p) - d(x_n, p)] = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, p) = c.$$

Similarly, we can show that, $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, p) = c$.

Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, p) = c \tag{3.7}$$

□

Lemma 3.2. *Let K be a non-empty subset of a uniformly convex hyperbolic space X . Let S and T be asymptotically nonexpansive self mappings on K with a common sequence of real numbers $k_n \geq 1$ satisfying $\sum (k_n^2 - 1) < \infty$. If $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence as defined in (3.1) and $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, Sx_n) = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0$.*

Proof. Let F denote the set of all common fixed points of S and T .

i.e., $F = F(S) \cap F(T)$. Let $p \in F$. Now since, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 1$, from (3.5), we have,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T^n y_n, p) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, p) = c.$$

Similarly,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(S^n x_n, p) \leq c. \tag{3.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } d(x_{n+1}, p) &= d(W(S^n x_n, T^n y_n, \alpha_n), p) \\ &\leq [1 + (k_n - 1) + (k_n^2 - 1)\alpha_n \beta_n k_n] d(x_n, p). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.5), we have, $d(W(S^n x_n, T^n y_n, \alpha_n), p) = c$.

By Lemma 2.3, we have,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(S^n x_n, T^n y_n) = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Now consider,

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, p) &= d(W(x_n, S^n(T^n x_n), \beta_n), p) \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n)d(x_n, p) + \beta_n d(S^n(T^n x_n), p) \\ &= d(x_n, p)[(1 - \beta_n) + \beta_n k_n^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Since, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, p) = c$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, p) = c$, we have,

$$d(W(x_n, S^n(T^n x_n), \beta_n), p) \rightarrow c$$

$$\text{Further, } \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(S^n(T^n x_n), p) \leq c. \quad (3.10)$$

So, using Lemma 2.3, we conclude that,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, S^n(T^n x_n)) = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } d(y_n, x_n) &= d(W(x_n, S^n(T^n x_n), \beta_n), x_n) \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n)d(x_n, x_n) + \beta_n d(S^n(T^n x_n), x_n). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Using (3.11), } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

$$\text{From } d(y_n, S^n(T^n x_n)) \leq d(y_n, x_n) + d(x_n, S^n(T^n x_n)),$$

$$\text{we have, } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, S^n(T^n x_n)) = 0. \quad (3.13)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, S^n x_n) &= d(W(S^n x_n, T^n y_n, \alpha_n), S^n x_n) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)d(S^n x_n, S^n x_n) + \alpha_n d(T^n y_n, S^n x_n) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)k_n d(x_n, x_n) + \alpha_n d(T^n y_n, S^n x_n). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So, } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n+1}, S^n x_n) = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, T^n y_n) &= d(W(S^n x_n, T^n y_n, \alpha_n), T^n y_n) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)d(S^n x_n, T^n y_n) + \alpha_n d(T^n y_n, T^n y_n) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)d(S^n x_n, T^n y_n) + \alpha_n k_n d(y_n, y_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{yields, } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n+1}, T^n y_n) = 0. \quad (3.15)$$

$$\text{Now, } d(x_n, S^n x_n) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, S^n x_n)$$

$$\text{So, } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, S^n x_n) = 0. \quad (3.16)$$

Now consider,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, Sx_n) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, S^{n+1}x_{n+1}) + d(S^{n+1}x_{n+1}, S^{n+1}x_n) \\ &\quad + d(S^{n+1}x_n, Sx_n) \\ &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, S^{n+1}x_{n+1}) + k_{n+1}d(x_{n+1}, x_n) + k_1d(S^n x_n, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we conclude that, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, Sx_n) = 0$. (3.17)

And from,

$$d(x_n, T^n y_n) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, T^n y_n)$$

we obtain, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T^n y_n) = 0$ (3.18)

and therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, T^n y_n) = 0$. (3.19)

$$\text{Also, } d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq d(y_n, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}).$$

Thus, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) = 0$. (3.20)

Now consider,

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, Ty_n) &\leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, T^{n+1}y_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + d(T^{n+1}y_{n+1}, T^{n+1}y_n) + d(T^{n+1}y_n, Ty_n) \\ &\leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, T^{n+1}y_{n+1}) + k_{n+1}d(y_{n+1}, y_n) + k_1d(T^n y_n, y_n). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, Ty_n) = 0$. (3.21)

By the asymptotic nonexpansive property of T , $d(Tx_n, Ty_n) \leq k_1d(x_n, y_n)$.

Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Tx_n, Ty_n) = 0$. (3.22)

From,

$$d(x_n, Tx_n) \leq d(x_n, y_n) + d(y_n, Ty_n) + d(Ty_n, Tx_n),$$

we conclude that, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0$. This completes the proof. (3.23)

□

Theorem 3.1. Let K be a non-empty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex hyperbolic space (X, d, W) . Let $T : K \rightarrow K$ and $S : K \rightarrow K$ be asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with $F(T) \neq \phi$ and $F(S) \neq \phi$ and $k_n \geq 1$ satisfying $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (k_n^2 - 1) < \infty$. For any initial point $x_1 \in K$, define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ iteratively by (3.1). Suppose $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then, $\{x_n\}$ Δ -converges to an element of $F(T) \cap F(S)$.

Proof. From Lemma 3.2, $d(x_n, Tx_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $d(x_n, Sx_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.1 ensures that any bounded sequence has a unique asymptotic center.

Let $\{z_n\}$ be a subsequence of $\{x_n\}$. Since $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, $\{z_n\}$ is also bounded and suppose that $A(\{x_n\}) = x$ and $A(\{z_n\}) = z$.

Using the asymptotic nonexpansive property of T , we have, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T^k z_n, T^{k+1} z_n) = 0$, where $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Our purpose is to show that, $z = x$ and $z \in F(T) \cap F(S)$.

Let m and n be positive integers.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } d(T^m z, z_n) &\leq d(T^m z, T^m z_n) + d(T^m z_n, T^{m-1} z_n) + \dots + d(T z_n, z_n) \\ &\leq k_m d(z, z_n) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d(T^k z_n, T^{k+1} z_n). \end{aligned}$$

Taking \limsup as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for any fixed m , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} r(T^m z, \{z_n\}) &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T^m z, \{z_n\}) \\ &\leq k_m \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z, \{z_n\}) \\ &= k_m r(z, \{z_n\}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, taking \limsup as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain, $\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} r(T^m z, \{z_n\}) \leq r(z, \{z_n\})$.

Since $A(\{z_n\}) = z$, we have, $r(z, \{z_n\}) \leq r(T^m z, \{z_n\})$, for any fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies that, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} r(T^m z, \{z_n\}) = r(z, \{z_n\})$. Using Lemma 2.2, we conclude that, $T^m z \rightarrow z$ and $z \in F(T)$. By a similar argument, we can show that $z \in F(S)$.

We now claim that, z is the unique asymptotic center for each subsequence $\{z_n\}$ of $\{x_n\}$.

Suppose $x \neq z$. Since $z \in F(T) \cap F(S)$, by Lemma 3.1, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z)$ exists and therefore by the uniqueness of asymptotic centers, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, z) &< \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, x) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) \\ &< \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z) \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, z). \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction proves that z must be equal to x . Since the choice of the subsequence $\{z_n\}$ is arbitrary, we have, $A(\{z_n\}) = \{x\}$, for all subsequences $\{z_n\}$ of $\{x_n\}$. Thus, we conclude that, $\{x_n\}$ Δ -converges to a common fixed point of T and S . □

Theorem 3.2. *Let K be a non-empty subset of a uniformly convex hyperbolic space X . Let S and T be asymptotically nonexpansive self mappings on K . Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be sequences as defined in*

(3.1) and $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If either of the mappings T or S is demi-compact, then $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ converge strongly to an element of $F(T) \cap F(S)$.

Proof. Assume T is demi-compact. By Theorem 3.1, we have, $d(x_n, Tx_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_p}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $Tx_{n_p} \rightarrow z^*$.

Now, $d(x_{n_p}, z^*) \leq d(x_{n_p}, Tx_{n_p}) + d(Tx_{n_p}, z^*) \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Since, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, Tx_n) \rightarrow 0$, we have $z^* \in F(T)$. Also, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z^*)$ exists. Hence, $x_n \rightarrow z^*$ and $d(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow 0$ implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, z^*)$ exists. Further, $d(x_n, Sx_n) \rightarrow 0$ implies that $z^* \in F(S)$.

Hence, $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ converges strongly to $z^* \in F(T) \cap F(S)$. \square

As an illustration, we consider the following example in a Banach space setting.

Example 3.1. Consider $K = B(0; 0.9)$, the ball centred at 0 and radius 0.9 in \mathbb{R}^2 . Let S and T be self mappings on K defined by $S(x_1, x_2) = (x_1^2, x_2^2)$ and $T(x_1, x_2) = (\sin x_1, \sin x_2)$. Let $x, y \in K$, so that $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2)$.

Assume that $y_1 < x_1$ and $y_2 < x_2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } d(S^n x, S^n y) &= \|S^n x - S^n y\| \\ &= \|(x_1^{2^n}, x_2^{2^n}) - (y_1^{2^n}, y_2^{2^n})\| \\ &= \left[(x_1^{2^n} - y_1^{2^n})^2 + (x_2^{2^n} - y_2^{2^n})^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left[|x_1 - y_1|^2 \{x_1^{2n-1} + y_1 x_1^{2n-2} + \dots + y_1^{2n-1}\}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |x_2 - y_2|^2 \{x_2^{2n-1} + y_2 x_2^{2n-2} + \dots + y_2^{2n-1}\}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left[|x_1 - y_1|^2 \{2^n x_1^{2n-1}\}^2 + |x_2 - y_2|^2 \{2^n x_2^{2n-1}\}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Take $l_n = \max\{1, 2^n x_1^{2n-1}\}$ and $m_n = \max\{1, 2^n x_2^{2n-1}\}$. Let $k_n = \max\{l_n, m_n\}$. Then clearly $k_n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So, } d(S^n x, S^n y) &\leq k_n \left[|x_1 - y_1|^2 + |x_2 - y_2|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= k_n \|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence S is an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping on K . Also T is a nonexpansive mapping on K and $(0, 0)$ is a common fixed point of T and S .

The following table shows that our new iterative scheme has a comparatively better rate of convergence than some of the existing iterative schemes. Here, we take $x_1 = \left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right)$ and $\alpha_n = \beta_n = \frac{1}{2}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

<i>Iterations</i>	new iteration defined as in (3.1)	iteration defined as in (2.3)	iteration defined as in (2.2)
<i>I</i>	$y_1 = (0.607316, 0.607316)$ $x_2 = (0.566583, 0.566583)$	$y_1 = (0.715819, 0.715819)$ $x_2 = (0.597018, 0.597018)$	$y_1 = (0.715819, 0.715819)$ $x_2 = (0.631199, 0.631199)$
<i>II</i>	$y_2 = (0.286736, 0.286736)$ $x_3 = (0.091520, 0.091520)$	$y_2 = (0.456532, 0.456532)$ $x_3 = (0.179742, 0.179742)$	$y_2 = (0.489716, 0.489716)$ $x_3 = (0.344357, 0.344357)$
<i>III</i>	$y_3 = (0.045760, 0.045760)$ $x_4 = (0.000048, 0.000048)$	$y_3 = (0.092728, 0.092728)$ $x_4 = (0.002857, 0.002857)$	$y_3 = (0.191416, 0.191416)$ $x_4 = (0.172179, 0.172179)$
<i>IV</i>	$y_4 = (0.000024, 0.000024)$ $x_5 = (0.000000, 0.000000)$	$y_4 = (0.001428, 0.001428)$ $x_5 = (0.000000, 0.000000)$	$y_4 = (0.086520, 0.086520)$ $x_5 = (0.086090, 0.086090)$
<i>V</i>	$y_5 = (0.000000, 0.000000)$ $x_6 = (0.000000, 0.000000)$	$y_5 = (0.000000, 0.000000)$ $x_6 = (0.000000, 0.000000)$	$y_5 = (0.043047, 0.043047)$ $x_6 = (0.043045, 0.043045)$
<i>VI</i>			$y_6 = (0.021522, 0.021522)$ $x_7 = (0.021522, 0.021522)$
<i>VII</i>			$y_7 = (0.010761, 0.010761)$ $x_8 = (0.010761, 0.010761)$
<i>VIII</i>			$y_8 = (0.005381, 0.005381)$ $x_9 = (0.005381, 0.005381)$
<i>IX</i>			$y_9 = (0.002690, 0.002690)$ $x_{10} = (0.002690, 0.002690)$
<i>X</i>			$y_{10} = (0.001345, 0.001345)$ $x_{11} = (0.001345, 0.001345)$
<i>XI</i>			$y_{11} = (0.000673, 0.000673)$ $x_{12} = (0.000673, 0.000673)$
<i>XII</i>			$y_{12} = (0.000336, 0.000336)$ $x_{13} = (0.000336, 0.000336)$
<i>XIII</i>			$y_{13} = (0.000168, 0.000168)$ $x_{14} = (0.000168, 0.000168)$
<i>XIV</i>			$y_{14} = (0.000084, 0.000084)$ $x_{15} = (0.000084, 0.000084)$
<i>XV</i>			$y_{15} = (0.000042, 0.000042)$ $x_{16} = (0.000042, 0.000042)$
<i>XVI</i>			$y_{16} = (0.000021, 0.000021)$ $x_{17} = (0.000021, 0.000021)$
<i>XVII</i>			$y_{17} = (0.000011, 0.000011)$ $x_{18} = (0.000011, 0.000011)$
<i>XVIII</i>			$y_{18} = (0.000005, 0.000005)$ $x_{19} = (0.000005, 0.000005)$
<i>XIX</i>			$y_{19} = (0.000003, 0.000003)$ $x_{20} = (0.000003, 0.000003)$
<i>XX</i>			$y_{20} = (0.000001, 0.000001)$ $x_{21} = (0.000001, 0.000001)$
<i>XXI</i>			$y_{21} = (0.000001, 0.000001)$ $x_{22} = (0.000001, 0.000001)$
<i>XXII</i>			$y_{22} = (0.000000, 0.000000)$ $x_{23} = (0.000000, 0.000000)$

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] K. Goebel, W. Kirk, A Fixed Point Theorem for Asymptotically Nonexpansive Mappings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 35 (1972), 171-174.
- [2] D.R. Sahu, D. O'Regan, R.P. Agarwal, *Fixed Point Theory for Lipschitzian-Type Mappings with Applications*, Springer, New York, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-75818-3>.
- [3] M.O. Osilike, S.C. Aniagbosor, Weak and Strong Convergence Theorems for Fixed Points of Asymptotically Nonexpansive Mappings, *Math. Computer Model.* 32 (2000), 1181-1191. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0895-7177\(00\)00199-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0895-7177(00)00199-0).
- [4] J. Schu, Iterative Construction of Fixed Points of Asymptotically Nonexpansive Mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 158 (1991), 407-413. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247x\(91\)90245-u](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247x(91)90245-u).
- [5] K.K. Tan, H.K. Xu, Approximating Fixed Points of Nonexpansive Mappings by the Ishikawa Iteration Process, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 178 (1993), 301-308. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1993.1309>.
- [6] W.R. Mann, Mean Value Methods in Iteration, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 4 (1953), 506-510.
- [7] S. Ishikawa, Fixed Points by a New Iteration Method, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 44 (1974), 147-150.
- [8] K.K. Tan, H.K. Xu, Fixed Point Iteration Processes for Asymptotically Nonexpansive Mappings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 122 (1994), 733-739.
- [9] R. Agarwal, D.O. Regan, D. Sahu, Iterative Construction of Fixed Points of Nearly Asymptotically Nonexpansive Mappings, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 8 (2007), 61-79.
- [10] M. Abbas, B.S. Thakur, D. Thakur, Fixed Points of Asymptotically Nonexpansive Mappings in the Intermediate Sense in CAT(0) Spaces, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* 28 (2013), 107-121. <https://doi.org/10.4134/CKMS.2013.28.1.107>.
- [11] S. Chang, L. Wang, H. J. Lee, C. K. Chan, and L. Yang, Demiclosed Principle and δ -Convergence Theorems for Total Asymptotically Nonexpansive Mappings in CAT(0) Spaces, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 219 (2012), 2611-2617. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2012.08.095>.
- [12] S. Dhompongsa, B. Panyanak, On δ -Convergence Theorems in CAT(0) Spaces, *Computers Math. Appl.* 56 (2008), 2572-2579. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2008.05.036>.
- [13] S.H. Khan, M. Abbas, Strong and Δ -Convergence of Some Iterative Schemes in CAT(0) Spaces, *Computers Math. Appl.* 61 (2011), 109-116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2010.10.037>.
- [14] K. Goebel, Iteration Processes for Nonexpansive Mappings. In: S.P. Singh, S. Thomeier, (eds.) *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 21, pp. 115-123. American Math. Soc, Providence, 1983.
- [15] W.A. Kirk, Krasnoselskii's Iteration Process in Hyperbolic Space, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* 4 (1982), 371-381. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01630568208816123>.
- [16] S. Reich, I. Shafrir, Nonexpansive Iterations in Hyperbolic Spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.: Theory Meth. Appl.* 15 (1990), 537-558. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0362-546x\(90\)90058-o](https://doi.org/10.1016/0362-546x(90)90058-o).
- [17] U. Kohlenbach, Some Logical Metatheorems With Applications in Functional Analysis, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 357 (2005), 89-128.
- [18] A. Şahin, M. Başarır, On the Strong Convergence of a Modified S-Iteration Process for Asymptotically Quasi-Nonexpansive Mappings in a CAT(0) Space, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2013 (2013), 12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2013-12>.
- [19] G. Das, Fixed Points of Quasinonexpansive Mappings, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 17 (1986), 1263-1269.

- [20] S. H. Khan and W. Takahashi, Approximating Common Fixed Points of Two Asymptotically Nonexpansive Mappings, *Sci. Math. Japon.* 53 (2001), 143-148.
- [21] W. Takahashi, T. Tamura, Limit Theorems of Operators by Convex Combinations of Nonexpansive Retractions in Banach Spaces, *J. Approx. Theory.* 91 (1997), 386-397. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jath.1996.3093>.
- [22] G.S. Saluja, Convergence of Modified S-Iteration Process for Two Asymptotically Quasi-Nonexpansive Type Mappings in CAT(0) Spaces, *Demonstr. Math.* 49 (2016), 107-118. <https://doi.org/10.1515/dema-2016-0010>.
- [23] W. Takahashi, A Convexity in Metric Space and Nonexpansive Mappings. I., *Kodai Math. J.* 22 (1970), 142-149. <https://doi.org/10.2996/kmj/1138846111>.
- [24] T. Shimizu, W. Takahashi, Fixed Points of Multivalued Mappings in Certain Convex Metric Spaces, *Topol. Meth. Nonlinear Anal.* 8 (1996), 197-203.
- [25] M. Edelstein, The Construction of an Asymptotic Center With a Fixed-Point Property, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 78 (1972), 206-208.
- [26] K. Goebel, W.A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, 1st ed., Cambridge University Press, 1990. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511526152>.
- [27] L. Leustean, Nonexpansive Iterations in Uniformly Convex w-Hyperbolic Spaces, In: *Nonlinear Analysis and Optimization I: Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 513, pp. 193-209, 2010.
- [28] T.C. Lim, Remarks on Some Fixed Point Theorems, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 60 (1976), 179-182.
- [29] A.R. Khan, H. Fukhar-ud-din, M.A. Ahmad Khan, An Implicit Algorithm for Two Finite Families of Nonexpansive Maps in Hyperbolic Spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2012 (2012), 54. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2012-54>.