

ON STABILITY OF CONVOLUTION OF JANOWSKI FUNCTIONS

KHALIDA INAYAT NOOR AND HUMAYOUN SHAHID*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, the classes $S^*[A, B]$ and $C[A, B]$ are discussed in terms of dual sets. Using duality, various geometric properties of mentioned class are analyzed. Problem of neighborhood as well as stability of convolution of $S^*[A, B]$ and $C[A, B]$ are studied. Some of our results generalize previously known results.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of all functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in open unit disc $E = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$.

Let $S \subset \mathcal{A}$ be the class of functions which are univalent and also $S^*(\alpha)$ and $C(\alpha)$ be the well known subclasses of S which, respectively consist of starlike and convex functions of order α . If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are analytic in E , we say that $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$, written as $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)$ if there exist a Schwarz function $w(z)$ which is analytic in E with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ where $z \in E$, such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$, $z \in E$. Also, if $g \in S$, then

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \text{ if and only if } f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(E) \subset g(E).$$

A number of subclasses of analytic functions were introduced using subordination. In 1973, Janowski [2] introduced the class $P[A, B]$ which is defined as

$$P[A, B] = \left\{ p(z) : p(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \right\},$$

where $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. Geometrically, $p(E)$ is contained in the open disc centered on the real axis having diameter end points $\frac{1-A}{1-B}$ and $\frac{1+A}{1+B}$ with centered at $\frac{1-AB}{1-B^2}$. For specific values of A and B we obtain many known subclasses of $P[A, B]$. Some specific cases include

- (i). $P[1, -1] = P$, the class of Caratheodory functions.
- (ii). $P[1 - 2\alpha, -1] = P(\alpha)$, the class of Caratheodory functions of order α .
- (iii). $p(z) \in P[\alpha, 0]$ satisfies the condition $|p(z) - 1| < \alpha$, see [4].

Using $P[A, B]$, Janowski [2] introduced $S^*[A, B]$ and $C[A, B]$ which are defined as

$$S^*[A, B] = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, z \in E \right\}$$

and

$$C[A, B] = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, z \in E \right\},$$

where $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. We note that Alexander relation holds between $S^*[A, B]$ and $C[A, B]$.

Received 27th October, 2016; accepted 9th January, 2017; published 1st March, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

Key words and phrases. convex; univalent functions; convolution; coefficient result; neighbourhood; stability of convolution; Janowski functions.

The convolution (Hadamard) of two functions $f(z)$ given by (1.1) and $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ is defined as

$$(f * g)(z) = (g * f)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n.$$

Let $V \subset \mathcal{A}$ the dual set V^* (see [6]) is defined as following

$$V^* = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{(f * g)(z)}{z} \neq 0, \forall f \in A, z \in E \right\}. \tag{1.2}$$

Silverman et al. [8] proved that $S^*[A, B] = G^*$, where G^* represents the dual set of G defined in (1.2) and G is given by

$$G = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{A} : g(z) = \frac{z - Lz^2}{(1 - z)^2} \right\}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $L = \frac{e^{-i\theta} + A}{A - B}$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$. Using the Alexander type relation, $C[A, B] = H^*$ where

$$H = \left\{ h \in \mathcal{A} : h(z) = \frac{z + (1 - 2L)z^2}{(1 - z)^3} \right\}, \tag{1.4}$$

where L is same as given in (1.3) and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$.

For $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and is of form (1.1) and $\delta \geq 0$, the N_δ neighborhood of function f is defined as following (see [7]).

$$N_\delta(f) = \left\{ g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in A : \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |b_n - a_n| \leq \delta \right\}.$$

Ruscheweyh proved many inclusion results of $N_\delta(f)$ especially $N_{\frac{1}{4}}(f) \subset S^*$ for all $f \in C$.

For $X, Y \subset \mathcal{A}$. The convolution is called stable univalent if there exist $\delta > 0$ such that $N_\delta(f) * N_\delta(g) \subset S$, where $f \in X$ and $g \in Y$. The constant δ is defined as

$$\delta(X * Y, Z) = \sup \{ \delta : N_\delta(f) * N_\delta(g) \subset Z \}. \tag{1.5}$$

In the current paper, we estimate the coefficient bounds of functions given in (1.3) and (1.4). Using these estimates we discuss some interesting properties of $N_\delta(f)$ for different classes and inclusion properties of $N_\delta(f)$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

To prove our main results, we need the following Lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. [8]. Let $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$. Then $G^* = S^*[A, B]$, where

$$G = \left\{ g \in A : g(z) = \frac{z - \frac{e^{-i\theta} + A}{A - B} z^2}{(1 - z)^2} \right\}.$$

Lemma 2.2. [8]. Let $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$. Then $H^* = C[A, B]$, where

$$H = \left\{ h \in A : h(z) = \frac{z + \left(1 - 2\frac{e^{-i\theta} + A}{A - B}\right) z^2}{(1 - z)^3} \right\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2.3. [5]. Let Ψ be convex and g be starlike in E . Then, for F analytic in E with $F(0) = 1$, $\frac{\Psi * Fg}{\Psi * g}$ is contained in the convex hull of $F(E)$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. Let $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, then for $h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^n \in G$,

$$\left| \frac{n(1+B) - (A+1)}{A-B} \right| \leq |c_n| \leq \frac{n(1-B) + A - 1}{A-B},$$

Proof. For $h \in G$, the coefficients can be written as

$$c_n = n(1-L) + L,$$

where $L = \frac{e^{-i\theta} + A}{A-B}$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$. To find the maximum value of $|c_n(\theta)|$ where θ varies from 0 to 2π , consider

$$|c_n(\theta)|^2 = \frac{(nB-A)^2 + (n-1)^2 + 2(n-1)(nB-A)\cos\theta}{(A-B)^2} = \phi(\theta),$$

$\phi(\theta)$ attains its maximum value at $\theta = \pi$ as $\phi'(\pi) = -\frac{2(n-1)(nB-A)}{(A-B)^2} \sin\pi = 0$ and $\phi''(\pi) = -\frac{2(n-1)(nB-A)}{(A-B)^2} \cos\pi < 0$ as $nB-A < 0$. The maximum value of $\phi(\theta)$ is $\phi(\pi) = \left(\frac{n(B-1)+1-A}{A-B}\right)^2$, we note that $\phi(\theta) \leq \phi(\pi)$ for all $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$. Substituting the value of $\phi(\pi)$ we obtain

$$|c_n| \leq \frac{n(1-B) + A - 1}{A-B}.$$

Now again consider $\phi(\theta)$ and we note that $\phi(z)$ has its minimum at $\theta = 0$ and $\phi(0) = \left(\frac{n(B+1)-(A+1)}{A-B}\right)^2$. Thus we obtain

$$|c_n| \geq \left| \frac{n(B+1) - (A+1)}{A-B} \right|.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Applying the Alexander type relation between set G and H we obtain following

Corollary 3.1. Let $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, then for $h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^n \in H$,

$$\left| \frac{n[n(B+1) - (A+1)]}{A-B} \right| \leq |c_n| \leq \frac{n[n(1-B) + A - 1]}{A-B}.$$

Corollary 3.2. Let $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ and let $f(z) = z + \lambda z^n$, $n \geq 2$. Then $f \in S^*[A, B]$ if and only if

$$|\lambda| \leq \frac{A-B}{n(1-B) + A - 1}. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Let $f(z) = z + \lambda z^n$ where λ is given in inequality (3.1) and then for $g \in G$, consider

$$\left| \frac{(f * g)(z)}{z} \right| \geq 1 - |\lambda| |c_n| z^{n-1}, \quad z \in E.$$

Now using Theorem 3.1 and value of λ given in (3.1), we obtain

$$\left| \frac{(f * g)(z)}{z} \right| > 0, \quad z \in E.$$

Hence $f \in S^*[A, B]$. Conversely, now consider $f(z) = z + \lambda z^n \in S^*[A, B]$ and let $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n(1-B)+A-1}{A-B} z^n$ and

$$\frac{(f * g)(z)}{z} = 1 + \lambda \frac{n(1-B) + A - 1}{A-B} z^{n-1} \neq 0.$$

If $|\lambda| > \frac{A-B}{n(1-B)+A-1}$, then there exist $\xi \in E$ such that

$$\frac{(f * g)(\xi)}{\xi} = 0.$$

which is a contradiction, hence $|\lambda| \leq \frac{A-B}{n(1-B)+A-1}$. \square

Corollary 3.3. *Let $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ and let $f(z) = z + \lambda z^n, n \geq 2$. Then $f \in C[A, B]$ if and only if*

$$|\lambda| \leq \frac{A - B}{n[n(1 - B) + A - 1]}.$$

Using the coefficient bounds of functions in set G , we now give alternate method to prove the Theorem given in [1].

Corollary 3.4. *Let $-1 \leq B < A \leq -1$ and let f is of the form (1.1) and satisfy*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(1 - B) + A - 1] |a_n| \leq A - B,$$

then $f \in S^*[A, B]$.

Proof. Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n(1-B)+A-1}{A-B} z^n$, consider

$$\frac{(f * g)(z)}{z} = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n(1 - B) + A - 1}{A - B} a_n z^{n-1}, \quad z \in E.$$

it is known from Lemma 2.1 that $f \in S^*[A, B]$ if and only if $\frac{(f * g)(z)}{z} \neq 0$. Now

$$\left| \frac{(f * g)(z)}{z} \right| \geq 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n(1 - B) + A - 1}{A - B} |a_n| |z|^{n-1} > 0,$$

which gives us the required condition. □

We now consider two specific functions

$$F_{\alpha}(z) = \frac{f(z) + \alpha z}{1 + \alpha} \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$F_{n,\alpha}(z) = f(z) + \frac{\alpha}{n} z^n \quad (n \geq 2). \tag{3.3}$$

Here α is a non zero complex number also we note that if $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$, then both $F_{\alpha}(z)$ and $F_{n,\alpha}(z) \in \mathcal{A}$. The geometric properties of these functions are studied by various authors (see [3]). Using these two functions, we study the geometric properties of $N_{\delta}(f)$ for classes of $S^*[A, B]$ and $C[A, B]$. We first discuss the relation between $f(z)$ and $F_{\alpha}(z)$ in the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1, f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\delta > 0$ and let for for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, F_{\alpha} \in S^*[A, B]$ (or $C[A, B]$), then $f \in S^*[A, B]$ (or $C[A, B]$) furthermore for all $g \in G$ (or H)*

$$\left| \frac{(f * g)(z)}{z} \right| > \delta,$$

where $|\alpha| < \delta$ and $z \in E$.

Proof. Since $F_{\alpha} \in S^*[A, B]$ then by Lemma 2.1, we know that for all $g \in G$,

$$\frac{(F_{\alpha} * g)(z)}{z} \neq 0, \quad z \in E.$$

Using (3.2) and simplifying, we obtain

$$\frac{(f * g)(z)}{z} \neq -\alpha,$$

for all α . Thus we obtain

$$\left| \frac{(f * g)(z)}{z} \right| > \delta.$$

Using Lemma 2.1, we obtain that $f \in S^*[A, B]$. This completes the proof. □

Applying the similar method, we have the following result.

Lemma 3.2. Let $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $f \in A$ and $\delta > 0$ and let for for all α , $F_{n,\alpha} \in S^*[A, B]$, then for all $h \in G$

$$\left| \frac{(f * h)(z)}{zc_n} \right| > \frac{\delta}{n},$$

where $|\alpha| < \delta$ and $z \in E$.

Using Theorem 3.1 in Lemma 3.1, we obtain the following.

Corollary 3.5. Let $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $f \in A$ and $\delta > 0$ and let for for all α , $F_{n,\alpha} \in S^*[A, B]$, then for all $h \in G$

$$\left| \frac{(f * h)(z)}{z} \right| > \frac{\delta}{n} \left| \frac{n(B+1) - (A+1)}{A-B} \right|,$$

where $|\alpha| < \delta$ and $z \in E$.

We now prove the following

Theorem 3.2. Let $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ and $\delta > 0$ if for all α , $F_\alpha \in S^*[A, B]$ then $N_{\delta_1}(f) \subset S^*[A, B]$ where

$$\delta_1 = \frac{\delta(A-B)}{1-B}.$$

Proof. Let $g \in N_{\delta_1}(f)$ and $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$. To prove that $g \in S^*[A, B]$, it is enough to show that

$$\frac{(g * h)(z)}{z} \neq 0,$$

where $h \in G$ and $z \in E$.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{(g * h)(z)}{z} \right| &= \left| \frac{(f * h)(z)}{z} + \frac{((g-f) * h)(z)}{z} \right| \\ &\geq \left| \frac{(f * h)(z)}{z} \right| - \left| \frac{((g-f) * h)(z)}{z} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.1 and series representations of $f(z)$, $g(z)$ and $h(z)$, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{(g * h)(z)}{z} \right| > \delta - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n(1-B) - (1-A)) |b_n - a_n|}{A-B}. \quad (3.4)$$

Since

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n(1-B) - (1-A)) |b_n - a_n|}{A-B} \leq \frac{1-B}{A-B} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |b_n - a_n| \leq \frac{1-B}{A-B} \delta_1. \quad (3.5)$$

Using (3.4) in (3.5), we obtain

$$\left| \frac{(g * h)(z)}{z} \right| > \delta - \frac{1-B}{A-B} \delta_1 > 0.$$

Hence

$$\delta_1 = \frac{\delta(A-B)}{1-B}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.3. Let $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. $f \in C[A, B]$, then $F_\alpha \in S^*[A, B]$ for $|\alpha| < \frac{1}{4}$.

Proof. Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F_\alpha(z) &= \frac{f(z) + \alpha z}{(1+\alpha)} \\ &= (f(z) * \psi(z)), \quad z \in E. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\psi(z) = \frac{z - \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}z^2}{1-z}.$$

Using the properties of convolution we obtain

$$f(z) * \psi(z) = zf'(z) * \left(\psi(z) * \log\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right) \right).$$

Since $f \in C[A, B]$, $zf' \in S^*[A, B]$, also if $|\alpha| < \frac{1}{4}$, $\psi \in S^*$. Applying the convolution we obtain

$$\psi(z) * \log\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right) = \int_0^z \frac{\psi(t)}{t} dt. \tag{3.6}$$

Using the Alexander relation in (3.6), we obtain $\psi(z) * \log\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right) \in C$. Using Lemma 2.3 one can prove that $C * S^*[A, B] \subset S^*[A, B]$, hence

$$F_\alpha(z) = zf'(z) * \left(\psi(z) * \log\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right) \right) \in S^*[A, B], \quad |\alpha| < \frac{1}{4}.$$

This completes the proof. □

We now prove the following.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $-1 \leq B < A \leq -1$. If $f \in C[A, B]$, then $N_\delta(f) \subset S^*[A, B]$ where $\delta = \frac{A-B}{4(1-B)}$.*

Proof. If $f \in C[A, B]$, then by Theorem 3.3 $F_\alpha \in S^*[A, B]$ for $|\alpha| < \frac{1}{4}$. choosing $\delta = \frac{1}{4}$ and applying Theorem 3.2, we obtain our required result. □

For specific values of A and B we have the following

Corollary 3.6. [7]. *If $f \in C[1, -1] = C$, then $N_\delta(f) \subset S^*$ where $\delta = \frac{1}{4}$.*

Corollary 3.7. *If $f \in C[1 - 2\beta, -1] = C(\beta)$, then $N_\delta(f) \subset S^*(\beta)$ where $\delta = \frac{1-\beta}{4}$ and $0 \leq \beta < 1$.*

We now prove the stability of convolution given in (1.5) for different classes of $N_\delta(f)$. In the next Theorem I represent the identity function $I(z) = z$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. The following relation holds*

$$\delta(I * I, C[A, B]) \geq \sqrt{\frac{A-B}{1-B}} \tag{3.7}$$

$$\delta(I * I, S^*[A, B]) \geq \sqrt{\frac{2(A-B)}{1-B}} \tag{3.8}$$

$$\delta(C[A, B] * C, C[A, B]) = 0 \tag{3.9}$$

$$\delta(S^*[A, B] * C, C[A, B]) = 0 \tag{3.10}$$

$$\delta(C[A, B] * C, S^*[A, B]) \geq \sqrt{4 + \frac{(A-B)^2}{2(1-B)^2}} - 2 = \delta_0. \tag{3.11}$$

Proof. Let $f, g \in N_\delta(I)$, then applying definition of $N_\delta(f)$, we obtain $\sum_{n=2}^\infty n|a_n| \leq \delta$ and $\sum_{n=2}^\infty n|b_n| \leq \delta$.

Consider

$$\sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{n(n(1-B) - 1 + A)|a_n||b_n|}{A-B} \leq \frac{1-B}{A-B} \sum_{n=2}^\infty n^2|a_n||b_n| \leq \frac{1-B}{A-B} \delta^2.$$

Now for $h \in H$,

$$\left| \frac{((f * g) * h)(z)}{z} \right| \geq \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{n(n(1-B) - 1 + A)|a_n||b_n|}{A-B} - 1 \geq \frac{1-B}{A-B} \delta^2 - 1 > 0.$$

Using value of δ given in (3.7), we obtain our first inequality.

Similarly consider $f, g \in N_\delta(I)$ and consider

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n(1-B) - 1 + A) |a_n| |b_n|}{A - B} \leq \frac{1 - B}{A - B} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 |a_n| |b_n| \leq \frac{1 - B}{2(A - B)} \delta^2,$$

Thus we obtain

$$\left| \frac{((f * g) * h)(z)}{z} \right| \geq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n(1-B) - 1 + A) |a_n| |b_n|}{A - B} - 1 \geq \frac{1 - B}{2(A - B)} \delta^2 - 1 > 0.$$

Which gives us inequality in (3.8).

To prove (3.9), consider $f(z) = z + \left(\frac{A-B}{2(2(1-B)-1+A)}\right) z^2 \in C[A, B]$ and $g(z) = g_0(z) + \frac{\delta}{2} z^2 \in C$, where $g_0(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$. Taking the convolution of f and g , we get

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \left(\frac{A - B}{2(2(1 - B) - 1 + A)} + \frac{\delta(A - B)}{4(2(1 - B) - 1 + A)}\right) z^2,$$

Applying Corollary 3.3 with $n = 2$, $(f * g)(z) \in C[A, B]$ if and only if, $\delta = 0$.

To prove (3.10), we are applying the same method with $f(z) = z + \left(\frac{A-B}{2(2(1-B)-1+A)}\right) z^2$ and $g(z) = g_0(z) + \frac{\delta}{2} z^2$.

For relation given in (3.11), consider $f_0 \in C[A, B]$ and $g_0 \in C$ and $f \in N_\delta(f_0)$ and $g \in N_\delta(g_0)$, then for $h \in G$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{(f * g * h)(z)}{z} \right| &\geq \left| \frac{(f_0 * g_0 * h)(z)}{z} \right| - \left| \frac{(f_0 * (g - g_0) * h)(z)}{z} \right| \\ &\quad - \left| \frac{(g_0 * (f - f_0) * h)(z)}{z} \right| \\ &\quad - \left| \frac{((f - f_0) * (g - g_0) * h)(z)}{z} \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 one can prove $f_0 * g_0 \in S^*[A, B]$ and using Theorem 3.4, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{(f * g * h)(z)}{z} \right| > \frac{A - B}{4(1 - B)}. \tag{3.13}$$

If $f_0(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{0n} z^n$ and $g_0(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{0n} z^n$ and we know that $f_0(z) \in C[A, B] \subset C$ therefore $|a_{0n}| \leq 1$ and $|b_{0n}| \leq 1$. Now

$$\left| \frac{(f_0 * (g - g_0) * h)(z)}{z} \right| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{0n}| |b_n - b_{0n}| |n(1 - B) - 1 + A|}{A - B} \leq \frac{1 - B}{A - B} \delta. \tag{3.14}$$

Using definition of $N_\delta(f)$, we know that $n|a_n - a_{0n}| \leq \delta$ or $|a_n - a_{0n}| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ as $n \geq 2$. Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{((f - f_0) * (g - g_0) * h)(z)}{z} \right| &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n - a_{0n}| |b_n - b_{0n}| |n(1 - B) - 1 + A|}{A - B} \\ &\leq \frac{(1 - B) \delta^2}{2(A - B)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Using (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15) in (3.12), we obtain

$$\left| \frac{(f * g * h)(z)}{z} \right| \geq \frac{A - B}{4(1 - B)} - \frac{2(1 - B)}{A - B} \delta - \frac{(1 - B) \delta^2}{2(A - B)} > 0.$$

Solving for δ we obtain relation given in (3.11) which is non negative when $\delta \leq \delta_0$. This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] O. P. Ahuja, Families of analytic functions related to Ruscheweyh derivatives and subordinate to convex functions, *Yokohama Math. J.*, 41(1993), 39-50.
- [2] W. Janowski, Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions, I. *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 28(1973), 298-326.
- [3] S. Kanas, Stability of convolution and dual sets for the class of k -uniformly convex and k -starlike functions, *Zeszyty Naukowe Politechniki Rzeszowskiej Matematyka*, 22(1998), 51-64.
- [4] S. Ponnusamy and V. Singh, Convolution properties of some classes of analytic functions, *J. Math. Sci.*, 89(1998), 1008-1020.
- [5] S. Ruscheweyh, T. Sheil-Small, Hadamard products of Schlicht functions and the Pólya-Schoenberg conjecture, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 48(1973), 119-135.
- [6] S. Ruscheweyh, Duality for Hadamard products with applications to extremal problems for functions regular in the unit disc, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 210(1975), 63-74.
- [7] S. Ruscheweyh, Neighborhoods of univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 81(1981), 521-527.
- [8] H. Silverman and E. M. Silvia, Subclasses of starlike functions subordinate to convex functions, *Canad. J. Math.*, 37(1985), 48-61.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS COMSATS INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY PARK ROAD, ISLAMABAD,
PAKISTAN

*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: shahid_humayoun@yahoo.com