



## IDEAL CONVERGENT SEQUENCE SPACES WITH RESPECT TO INVARIANT MEAN AND A MUSIELAK-ORLICZ FUNCTION OVER $n$ -NORMED SPACES

SUNIL K. SHARMA\*

*Department of Mathematics, Model Institute of Engineering & Technology,  
Kot Bhalwal 181122, J & K, INDIA*

\*Corresponding author: [sunilksharma42@gmail.com](mailto:sunilksharma42@gmail.com)

ABSTRACT. In the present paper we defined  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent sequence spaces with respect to invariant mean and a Musielak-Orlicz function  $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$  over  $n$ -normed spaces. We also make an effort to study some topological properties and prove some inclusion relation between these spaces.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let  $\sigma$  be an injective mapping from the set of the positive integers to itself such that  $\sigma^p(n) \neq n$  for all positive integers  $n$  and  $p$ , where  $\sigma^p(n) = \sigma(\sigma^{p-1}(n))$ . An invariant mean or a  $\sigma$ -mean is a continuous linear functional defined on the space  $\ell_\infty$  such that for all  $x = (x_n) \in \ell_\infty$ :

- (1) If  $x_n \geq 0$  for all  $n$ , then  $\phi(x) \geq 0$ ,
- (2)  $\phi(e) = 1$ ,
- (3)  $\phi(Sx) = \phi(x)$ , where  $Sx = (x_{\sigma(n)})$ .

$V_\sigma$  denotes the set of bounded sequences all of whose invariant means are equal which is also called as the space of  $\sigma$ -convergent sequences. In [26], it is defined by

$$V_\sigma = \left\{ x \in \ell_\infty : \lim_k t_{kn}(x) = \ell, \text{ uniformly in } n, \ell = \sigma - \lim x \right\},$$

---

Received 2017-09-21; accepted 2017-12-07; published 2018-11-02.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 40A05, 40A35, 46A45.

*Key words and phrases.*  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent, invariant mean, Orlicz function, Musielak-Orlicz function,  $n$ -normed space,  $A$ -transform.

©2018 Authors retain the copyrights of their papers, and all open access articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License.

where  $t_{kn}(x) = \frac{x_n + x_{\sigma^1(n)} + \dots + x_{\sigma^k(n)}}{k+1}$ .

$\sigma$ -mean is called a Banach limit if  $\sigma$  is the translation mapping  $n \rightarrow n + 1$ . In this case,  $V_\sigma$  becomes the set of almost convergent sequences which is denoted by  $\hat{c}$  and defined in [11] as

$$\hat{c} = \left\{ x \in \ell_\infty : \lim_k d_{kn}(x) \text{ exists uniformly in } n \right\},$$

where  $d_{kn}(x) = \frac{x_n + x_{n+1} + \dots + x_{n+k}}{k+1}$ .

The space of strongly almost convergent sequences was introduced by Maddox [12] as follow:

$$\hat{c} = \left\{ x \in \ell_\infty : \lim_k d_{kn}(|x - \ell e|) \text{ exists uniformly in } n \text{ for some } \ell \right\}.$$

The notion of ideal convergence was first introduced by P. Kostyrko [8] as a generalization of statistical convergence which was further studied in topological spaces by Das, Kostyrko, Wilczynski and Malik see [1]. More applications of ideals can be seen in ([1], [2]). Mursaleen and Sharma [19] continue in this direction and introduced  $I$ -convergence of generalized sequences with respect to Musielak-Orlicz function.

A family  $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^X$  of subsets of a non empty set  $X$  is said to be an ideal in  $X$  if

- (1)  $\phi \in \mathcal{I}$
- (2)  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$  imply  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$
- (3)  $A \in \mathcal{I}, B \subset A$  imply  $B \in \mathcal{I}$ ,

while an admissible ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $X$  further satisfies  $\{x\} \in \mathcal{I}$  for each  $x \in X$  see [8].

A sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X$  is said to be  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $x \in X$ , if for each  $\epsilon > 0$  the set  $A(\epsilon) = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_n - x\| \geq \epsilon \right\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$ .

A sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X$  is said to be  $\mathcal{I}$ -bounded to  $x \in X$  if there exists an  $K > 0$  such that  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : |x_n| > K\} \in \mathcal{I}$ . For more details about ideal convergence sequence spaces (see [7], [9], [15], [16], [17], [18], [21], [25], [26], [27]) and references therein.

Let  $A = A_{ij}$  be an infinite matrix of complex numbers  $a_{ij}$ , where  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . We write  $Ax = (A_i(x))$  if  $A_i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}x_j$  converges for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Throughout the paper, by  $t_{kn}(Ax)$ , we mean

$$t_{kn}(Ax) = \frac{A_n(x) + A_{\sigma^1(n)}(x) + \dots + A_{\sigma^k(n)}(x)}{k+1}, \text{ for all } k, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

A sequence space  $X$  is called as solid (or normal) if  $(\alpha_k x_k) \in X$  whenever  $(x_k) \in X$  and  $(\alpha_k)$  is a sequence of scalars such that  $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $X$  be a sequence space and  $K = \{k_1 < k_2 < \dots\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . The sequence space  $Z_K^X = \{(x_{kn}) \in w : (x_n) \in X\}$  is called  $K$ -step space of  $X$ .

A canonical preimage of a sequence  $(x_{kn}) \in Z_K^X$  is a sequence  $(y_n) \in w$  defined by

$$y_n = \begin{cases} x_n, & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

A sequence space  $X$  is monotone if it contains the canonical preimages of all its step spaces.

An Orlicz function  $M$  is a function, which is continuous, non-decreasing and convex with  $M(0) = 0$ ,  $M(x) > 0$  for  $x > 0$  and  $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [10] used the idea of Orlicz function to define the following sequence space. Let  $w$  be the space of all real or complex sequences  $x = (x_k)$ , then

$$\ell_M = \left\{ x \in w : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty \right\}$$

which is called as an Orlicz sequence space. The space  $\ell_M$  is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

It is shown in [10] that every Orlicz sequence space  $\ell_M$  contains a subspace isomorphic to  $\ell_p$  ( $p \geq 1$ ). The  $\Delta_2$ -condition is equivalent to  $M(Lx) \leq kLM(x)$  for all values of  $x \geq 0$ , and for  $L > 1$ .

A sequence  $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$  of Orlicz function is called a Musielak-Orlicz function see ([13],[20]). A sequence  $\mathcal{N} = (N_k)$  defined by

$$N_k(v) = \sup\{|v|u - (M_k) : u \geq 0\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

is called the complementary function of a Musielak-Orlicz function  $\mathcal{M}$ . For a given Musielak-Orlicz function  $\mathcal{M}$ , the Musielak-Orlicz sequence space  $t_{\mathcal{M}}$  and its subspace  $h_{\mathcal{M}}$  are defined as follows

$$t_{\mathcal{M}} = \left\{ x \in w : I_{\mathcal{M}}(cx) < \infty \text{ for some } c > 0 \right\},$$

$$h_{\mathcal{M}} = \left\{ x \in w : I_{\mathcal{M}}(cx) < \infty \text{ for all } c > 0 \right\},$$

where  $I_{\mathcal{M}}$  is a convex modular defined by

$$I_{\mathcal{M}}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k(x_k), \quad x = (x_k) \in t_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

We consider  $t_{\mathcal{M}}$  equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ k > 0 : I_{\mathcal{M}}\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

or equipped with the Orlicz norm

$$\|x\|^0 = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{k} \left( 1 + I_{\mathcal{M}}(kx) \right) : k > 0 \right\}.$$

For more details about sequence spaces defined by Orlicz function see ([22], [23], [24]) and reference therein.

The concept of 2-normed spaces was initially developed by Gähler[3] in the mid of 1960's, while that of

$n$ -normed spaces one can see in Misiak [14]. Since then, many others have studied this concept and obtained various results, see Gunawan ([4],[5]) and Gunawan and Mashadi [6]. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $X$  be a linear space over the field  $\mathbb{K}$ , where  $\mathbb{K}$  is field of real or complex numbers of dimension  $d$ , where  $d \geq n \geq 2$ . A real valued function  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$  on  $X^n$  satisfying the following four conditions:

- (1)  $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = 0$  if and only if  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are linearly dependent in  $X$ ;
- (2)  $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$  is invariant under permutation;
- (3)  $\|\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = |\alpha| \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ , and
- (4)  $\|x + x', x_2, \dots, x_n\| \leq \|x, x_2, \dots, x_n\| + \|x', x_2, \dots, x_n\|$

is called a  $n$ -norm on  $X$ , and the pair  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is called a  $n$ -normed space over the field  $\mathbb{K}$ .

For example, we may take  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$  being equipped with the Euclidean  $n$ -norm  $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_E =$  the volume of the  $n$ -dimensional parallelopiped spanned by the vectors  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  which may be given explicitly by the formula

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_E = |\det(x_{ij})|,$$

where  $x_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{in}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  be a  $n$ -normed space of dimension  $d \geq n \geq 2$  and  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  be linearly independent set in  $X$ . Then the following function  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$  on  $X^{n-1}$  defined by

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|_\infty = \max\{\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, a_i\| : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

defines an  $(n - 1)$ -norm on  $X$  with respect to  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ .

A sequence  $(x_k)$  in a  $n$ -normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is said to converge to some  $L \in X$  if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - L, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| = 0 \text{ for every } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X.$$

A sequence  $(x_k)$  in a  $n$ -normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is said to be Cauchy if

$$\lim_{k,p \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x_p, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| = 0 \text{ for every } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X.$$

If every cauchy sequence in  $X$  converges to some  $L \in X$ , then  $X$  is said to be complete with respect to the  $n$ -norm. Any complete  $n$ -normed space is said to be  $n$ -Banach space.

In the present paper, we define some new sequence spaces by using the concept of ideal convergence, invariant mean, Musielak-Orlicz function,  $n$ -normed and  $A$  transform as follows:

$$\mathcal{I} - c_0^g(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) =$$

$$\left\{ x \in w : \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \epsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{I} - c^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) =$$

$$\left\{ x \in w : \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x) - L)}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \epsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \ \& \ \text{for some } L \in \mathbb{C} \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{I} - \ell_\infty^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) =$$

$$\left\{ x \in w : \exists K > 0 \text{ such that } \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq K \right\} \in \mathcal{I}, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

If we take  $p = (p_k) = 1$ , we get the spaces

$$\mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) =$$

$$\left\{ x \in w : \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right] \geq \epsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{I} - c^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) =$$

$$\left\{ x \in w : \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x) - L)}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right] \geq \epsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \ \& \ \text{for some } L \in \mathbb{C} \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{I} - \ell_\infty^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) =$$

$$\left\{ x \in w : \exists K > 0 \text{ such that } \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}A(x)}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right] \geq K \right\} \in \mathcal{I}, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

The following inequality will be used throughout the paper. If  $0 \leq p_k \leq \sup p_k = H$ ,  $D = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$  then

$$|a_k + b_k|^{p_k} \leq D\{|a_k|^{p_k} + |b_k|^{p_k}\} \tag{1.1}$$

for all  $k$  and  $a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{C}$ . Also  $|a|^{p_k} \leq \max(1, |a|^H)$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ .

The main goal of this paper is to introduce the sequence spaces  $\mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ ,  $\mathcal{I} - c^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  and  $\mathcal{I} - \ell_\infty^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  defined by a Musielak-Orlicz function  $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$  over  $n$ -normed spaces. We also make an effort to study some topological properties and prove some inclusion relation between these spaces.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$  be a Musielak-Orlicz function,  $p = (p_k)$  be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers. Then the spaces  $\mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ ,  $\mathcal{I} - c^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  and  $\mathcal{I} - \ell_\infty^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  are linear.*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  and let  $\alpha, \beta$  be scalars. Then there exist positive numbers  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$

$$D_1 = \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2D} \right\} \in \mathcal{I}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$D_1 = \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(y))}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2D} \right\} \in \mathcal{I}, \tag{2.2}$$

Let  $\rho_3 = \max \{2|\alpha|\rho_1, 2|\beta|\rho_2\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$  is non-decreasing, convex function and so by using inequality (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(\alpha x + \beta y))}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(\alpha A(x))}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} + \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(\beta A(y))}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} + \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(y))}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \end{aligned}$$

Now by (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$\left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(\alpha x + \beta y))}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} > \epsilon \right\} \subset D_1 \cup D_2.$$

Therefore  $\alpha x + \beta y \in \mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . Hence  $\mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is a linear space. Similarly we can prove that  $\mathcal{I} - c^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  and  $\mathcal{I} - \ell_\infty^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  are linear spaces.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$  be a Musielak-Orlicz function. Then*

$$\mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subset \mathcal{I} - c^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subset \mathcal{I} - \ell_\infty^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|).$$

*Proof.* The first inclusion is obvious. For second inclusion, let  $x \in \mathcal{I} - c^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . Then there exists  $\rho_1 > 0$  such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$

$$A_1 = \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x) - L)}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \epsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Let us define  $\rho = 2\rho_1$ . Since  $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$  is non-decreasing and convex, we have

$$M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \leq M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x) - L)}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) + M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(L)}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right).$$

Suppose that  $k \notin A_1$ . Hence by above inequality and (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq D \left\{ \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x) - L)}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} + \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(L)}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \right\} \\ & < D \left\{ \epsilon + \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(L)}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Because of the fact that  $\left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(L)}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq \max \left\{ 1, \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(L)}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^H \right\}$ , we have

$$\left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(L)}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} < \infty.$$

Put  $K = D \left\{ \epsilon + \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(L)}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \right\}$ . It follows that

$$\left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} > K \right\} \in \mathcal{I}$$

which means  $x \in \mathcal{I} - \ell_\infty^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . This completes the proof of the theorem. □

**Theorem 2.3** *Let  $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$  be a Musielak-Orlicz function,  $p = (p_k)$  be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers. Then  $\mathcal{I} - \ell_\infty^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is a paranormed space with paranorm defined by*

$$g(x) = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

*Proof.* It is clear that  $g(x) = g(-x)$ . Since  $M_k(0) = 0$ , we get  $g(0) = 0$ . Let us take  $x, y \in \mathcal{I} - \ell_\infty^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . Let

$$B(x) = \left\{ \rho > 0 : \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1 \right\},$$

$$B(y) = \left\{ \rho > 0 : \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(y))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Let  $\rho_1 \in B(x)$  and  $\rho_2 \in B(y)$ . If  $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x+y))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right] \\ & \leq \left( \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right) \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right] + \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(y))}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x+y))}{\rho_1 + \rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} g(x+y) & \leq \inf \left\{ (\rho_1 + \rho_2) > 0 : \rho_1 \in B(x), \rho_2 \in B(y) \right\} \\ & \leq \inf \left\{ \rho_1 > 0 : \rho_1 \in B(x) \right\} + \inf \left\{ \rho_2 > 0 : \rho_2 \in B(y) \right\} \\ & = g(x) + g(y). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\eta^s \rightarrow \eta$  where  $\eta, \eta^s \in \mathbb{C}$  and let  $g(x^s - x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ . We have to show that  $g(\eta^s x^s - \eta x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ . Let

$$B(x^s) = \left\{ \rho_s > 0 : \left[ M \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x^s))}{\rho_s}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1 \right\},$$

$$B(x^s - x) = \left\{ \rho'_s > 0 : \left[ M \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x^s - x))}{\rho'_s}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

If  $\rho_s \in B(x^s)$  and  $\rho'_s \in B(x^s - x)$  then we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(\eta^s x^s - \eta x))}{\rho_s |\eta^s - \eta| + \rho'_s |\eta|} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right] \\ & \leq \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(\eta^s x^s - \eta x))}{\rho_s |\eta^s - \eta| + \rho'_s |\eta|} + \frac{|\eta x^s - \eta x|}{\rho_s |\eta^s - \eta| + \rho'_s |\eta|} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right] \\ & \leq \frac{|\eta^s - \eta| \rho_s}{\rho_s |\eta^s - \eta| + \rho'_s |\eta|} \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x^s))}{\rho_s} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right] \\ & + \frac{|\eta| \rho'_s}{\rho_s |\eta^s - \eta| + \rho'_s |\eta|} \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x^s - x))}{\rho'_s} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From the above inequality, it follows that

$$\left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(\eta^s x^s - \eta x))}{\rho_s |\eta^s - \eta| + \rho'_s |\eta|} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1$$

and consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} g(\eta^s x^s - \eta x) & \leq \inf \left\{ (\rho_s |\eta^s - \eta| + \rho'_s |\eta|) > 0 : \rho_s \in B(x^s), \rho'_s \in B(x^s - x) \right\} \\ & \leq (|\eta^s - \eta|) > 0 \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \rho \in B(x^s) \right\} \\ & + (|\eta|) > 0 \inf \left\{ (\rho'_s)^{\frac{p_n}{H}} : \rho'_s \in B(x^s - x) \right\} \\ & \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } s \longrightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

**Theorem 2.4** Let  $\mathcal{M}' = (M'_k)$  and  $\mathcal{M}'' = (M''_k)$  are Musielak-Orlicz functions that satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition. Then

- (i)  $\mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}', p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subseteq \mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}' \circ \mathcal{M}'', p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$
- (ii)  $\mathcal{I} - c^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}', p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subseteq \mathcal{I} - c^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}' \circ \mathcal{M}'', p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$
- (iii)  $\mathcal{I} - l_\infty^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}', p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subseteq \mathcal{I} - l_\infty^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}' \circ \mathcal{M}'', p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ .

*Proof.* (i) We prove the theorem in two parts. Firstly, let  $M'_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) > \delta$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}'$  is nondecreasing, convex and satisfies  $\Delta_2$ -condition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ M''_k \left( M'_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq (K\delta^{-1} M''_2(2)^{p_k}) \left[ M'_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(Ax)}{\rho} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \max\{1, (K\delta^{-1} M''_k(2)^H)\}^H \left[ M'_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(Ax)}{\rho} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right]^{p_k}, \end{aligned}$$



where  $K \geq 1$  and  $\delta < 1$ . From the last inequality, the inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M_k'' \left( M_k' \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(Ax)}{\rho} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \epsilon \right\} \\ \subseteq \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M_k' \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(Ax)}{\rho} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right. \\ \left. \geq \frac{\epsilon}{\max\{1, (K\delta^{-1}M_k''(2)^H)\}} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

is obtained. If  $x \in \mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(\mathcal{M}', A, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ , then the set in the right side of the above inclusion belongs to the ideal and so

$$\left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M_k'' \left( M_k' \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(Ax)}{\rho} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \epsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Secondly, suppose that  $M_k' \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(Ax)}{\rho} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \leq \delta$ . Since  $M_k''$  is continuous, we have

$$M_k'' \left( M_k' \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(Ax)}{\rho} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right) < \epsilon \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0$$

which implies

$$\mathcal{I} - \lim_k \left[ M_k'' \left( M_k' \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(Ax)}{\rho} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0 \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

This completes the proof of (i) part. Similarly, we can prove other parts. □

**Theorem 2.5** *Let  $\mathcal{M}' = (M_k')$  and  $\mathcal{M}'' = (M_k'')$  are Musielak-Orlicz functions that satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition. Then*

- (i)  $\mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \cap \mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}', p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subseteq \mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}' + \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$
- (ii)  $\mathcal{I} - c^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \cap \mathcal{I} - c^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}', p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subseteq \mathcal{I} - c^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}' + \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$
- (iii)  $\mathcal{I} - l_\infty^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \cap \mathcal{I} - l_\infty^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}', p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subseteq \mathcal{I} - l_\infty^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}' + \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $x \in \mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \cap \mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}', p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . Then there exists  $K_1 > 0$  and  $K_2 > 0$  such that

$$A_1 = \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho_1} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq K_1 \right\} \in \mathcal{I}$$

and

$$A_2 = \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M_k' \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho_1} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq K_2 \right\} \in \mathcal{I}$$

for some  $\rho > 0$ . Let  $k \notin A_1 \cup A_2$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ (M_k + M_k') \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ \leq D \left\{ \left( M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right)^{p_k} \right. \\ \left. + \left( M_k' \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right)^{p_k} \right) \\ < \{K_1 + K_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

$k \notin B = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : [(M'_k + M_k)(\|\frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\|)]^{p_k} > K\}$ . We have  $A_1 \cup A_2 \in \mathcal{I}$  and so  $B \subset A_1 \cup A_2$  which implies  $B \in \mathcal{I}$ . This means that  $x \in \mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}' + \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . This completes the proof of (i) part of the theorem. Similarly, we can prove (ii) and (iii) part.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.6** *If  $\sup_k [M_k(t)]^{p_k} < \infty$  for all  $t > 0$ , then we have*

$$\mathcal{I} - c^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subseteq \mathcal{I} - \ell_\infty^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|).$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathcal{I} - c^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . By using inequality (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [M_k(\|\frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}\|)]^{p_k} &\leq D\{[M_k(\|\frac{t_{kn}(A(x) - L)}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\|)]^{p_k} \\ &+ [M_k(\|\frac{t_{kn}(L)}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\|)]^{p_k}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\rho = 2\rho_1$ . Hence, we have

$$\{k \in \mathbb{N} : [M_k(\|\frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}\|)]^{p_k} \geq K\} \subseteq \{k \in \mathbb{N} : [M_k(\|\frac{t_{kn}(A(x) - L)}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\|)]^{p_k} \geq \epsilon\}$$

for all  $n$  and some  $K > 0$ . Since the set in the right side of the above inclusion belongs to the ideal, all of its subsets are in the ideal. Hence

$$\{k \in \mathbb{N} : [M_k(\|\frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}\|)]^{p_k} \geq K\} \in \mathcal{I}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.7** *Let  $0 < p_k \leq q_k < \infty$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(\frac{q_k}{p_k})$  be bounded. Then following inclusions hold*

(i)  $\mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subseteq \mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$

(ii)  $\mathcal{I} - c^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subseteq \mathcal{I} - c^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $x \in \mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . Write  $\alpha_k = \frac{p_k}{q_k}$ . By hypothesis, we have  $0 < \alpha \leq \alpha_k \leq 1$ . If  $[M_k(\|\frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\|)]^{q_k} \geq 1$ , the inequality

$$[M_k(\|\frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\|)]^{p_k} \leq [M_k(\|\frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\|)]^{q_k}$$

holds. This implies the inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} \{k \in \mathbb{N} : [M_k(\|\frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\|)]^{p_k} \geq \epsilon\} \\ \subseteq \{k \in \mathbb{N} : [M_k(\|\frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\|)]^{q_k} \geq \epsilon\} \end{aligned}$$

and so the result is obvious. Conversely, if  $\left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{q_k} < 1$ , we obtain the following inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \epsilon \right\} \\ & \subseteq \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{q_k} \geq \epsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

since then the inequality

$$\left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq \left( \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{q_k} \right)^\alpha$$

holds. Hence we conclude that  $x \in \mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . This completes the proof of (i) part. Similarly, we can prove (ii) part. □

**Theorem 2.8** *If  $0 < \inf p_k \leq p_k \leq 1$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the following inclusions hold:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subseteq \mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$
- (ii)  $\mathcal{I} - c^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subseteq \mathcal{I} - c^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . Suppose that  $k \notin \left\{ \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \epsilon \right\}$  for  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ . By hypothesis, the inequality

$$M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \leq \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k}$$

holds. Then we have  $k \notin \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \geq \epsilon \right\}$  which implies  $\left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \geq \epsilon \right\}$

$$\subseteq \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \epsilon \right\}.$$

Hence  $x \in \mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  since the set

$$\left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t_{kn}(A(x))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \geq \epsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

This completes the proof of (i) part. Similarly, we can prove (ii) part. □

**Corollary 2.9** *If  $0 < \inf p_k \leq p_k \leq 1$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the following inclusions hold:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subseteq \mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$
- (ii)  $\mathcal{I} - c^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subseteq \mathcal{I} - c^\sigma(A, \mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ .

*Proof.* The proof is obvious by Theorem 2.8. □

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Das, P. Kostyrko, W. Wilczynski and P. Malik, *I and I\* convergence of double sequences*, Math. Slovaca, 58 (2008), 605-620.
- [2] P. Das and P. Malik, *On the statistical and I- variation of double sequences*, Real Anal. Exch. 33 (2)(2007-2008), 351-364.
- [3] S. Gähler, *Linear 2-normietre Rume*, Math. Nachr., 28 (1965), 1-43.
- [4] H. Gunawan, *On n-Inner Product, n-Norms, and the Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality*, Sci. Math. Jap., 5 (2001), 47-54.
- [5] H. Gunawan, *The space of p-summable sequence and its natural n-norm*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., 64 (2001), 137-147.
- [6] H. Gunawan and M. Mashadi, *On n-normed spaces*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 27 (2001), 631-639.
- [7] E. E. Kara, M. Daştan and M. İlkhan, *On almost ideal convergence with respect to an Orlicz function*, Konuralp J. Math. 4 (2016), 87-94.
- [8] P. Kostyrko, T. Salat and W. Wilczynski, *I-Convergence*, Real Anal. Exch. 26 (2) (2000), 669-686.
- [9] V. Kumar, *On I and I\* convergence of double sequences*, Math. Commun., 12 (2007), 171-181.
- [10] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *On Orlicz sequence spaces*, Israel J. Math. 10(1971), 345-355.
- [11] G. G. Lorentz, *A contribution to the theory of divergent series*, Acta Math. 80(1948), 167-190.
- [12] I. J. Maddox, *Spaces of strongly summable sequence*, Q. J. Math; 18(1967), 345-355.
- [13] L. Maligranda, *Orlicz spaces and interpolation*, Seminars in Mathematics 5, Polish Academy of Science, 1989.
- [14] A. Misiak, *n-inner product spaces*, Math. Nachr. 140 (1989), 299-319.
- [15] M. Mursaleen and A. Alotaibi, *On I-convergence in radom 2-normed spaces*, Math. Slovaca, 61(6)(2011), 933-940.
- [16] M. Mursaleen, S. A. Mohiuddine and O. H. H. Edely, *On ideal convergence of double sequences in intuitionistic fuzzy normed spaces*, Comput. Math. Appl., 59 (2010), 603-611.
- [17] M. Mursaleen and S. A. Mohiuddine, *On ideal convergence of double sequences in probabilistic normed spaces*, Math. Reports, 12(64)(4) (2010), 359-371.
- [18] M. Mursaleen and S. A. Mohiuddine, *On ideal convergence in probabilistic normed spaces*, Math. Slovaca, 62(2012), 49-62.
- [19] M. Mursaleen and S. K. Sharma, *Spaces of ideal convergent sequences*, World Sci. J. 2014(2014), Art. ID 134534.
- [20] J. Musielak, *Orlicz spaces and modular spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, (1983).
- [21] K. Raj and S. K. Sharma, *Ideal convergent sequence spaces defined by a Musielak-Orlicz function*, Thai. J. Math., 11 (2013), 577-587.
- [22] K. Raj and S. K. Sharma, *Some sequence spaces in 2-normed spaces defined by Musielak-Orlicz functions*, Acta Univ. Sapientiae Math., 3 (2011), 97-109.
- [23] K. Raj and S. K. Sharma, *Some generalized difference double sequence spaces defined by a sequence of Orlicz-function*, Cubo, 14 (2012), 167-189.
- [24] K. Raj and S. K. Sharma, *Some multiplier sequence spaces defined by a Musielak-Orlicz function in n-normed spaces*, N. Z. J. Math. 42 (2012), 45-56.
- [25] A. Şahiner, M. Gürdal, S. Saltan and H. Gunawan, *On ideal convergence in 2-normed spaces*, Taiwanese J. Math., 11 (2007), 1477-1484.
- [26] P. Schaefer, *Invariant matrices and invariant means*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.; 36(1972), 104-110.
- [27] B. C. Tripathy and B. Hazarika, *Some I-convergent sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions*, Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser. 27 (2011), 149-154.