



## ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS RELATED WITH MOCANU CLASS

AKHTER RASHEED<sup>1,\*</sup>, SAQIB HUSSAIN<sup>2</sup>, MUHAMMAD ASAD ZAIGHUM<sup>1</sup> AND  
ZAHID SHAREEF<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Riphah International University, Islamabad, Pakistan*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Mathematics, COMSATS University Islamabad, Abbottabad Campus, Pakistan*

<sup>3</sup>*Division of Engineering, Higher Colleges of Technology, P.O. Box 4114, Fujairah, UAE*

\* *Corresponding author: akhter@ciit.net.pk*

ABSTRACT. In this article, we define a new class of analytic functions. This class generalizes the mocanu class. We obtain relationships of this class with other subclasses of analytic functions and derived many interesting results.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of functions  $f$  analytic in  $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ , normalized by  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f'(0) = 1$ . So each  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  has series representation of form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n. \quad (1.1)$$

A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is in class  $\mathcal{S}$  if and only if  $f(z_1) = f(z_2)$  implies  $z_1 = z_2$ , for all  $z_1, z_2 \in \Delta$ . An analytic function  $f$  is subordinate to an analytic function  $g$  (written as  $f \prec g$ ) if and only if there exists an analytic function  $w$  with  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$  for  $z \in \Delta$  such that  $f(z) = g(w(z))$ .

---

Received 2018-01-24; accepted 2018-03-14; published 2018-11-02.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45, 30C50.

*Key words and phrases.* convex functions; strongly starlike functions; subordination.

©2018 Authors retain the copyrights of their papers, and all open access articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License.

For  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , Mocanu [15] introduced the class  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$  of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\frac{f(z)f'(z)}{z} \neq 0$  for all  $z \in \Delta$  and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \right\} > 0, \quad z \in \Delta. \tag{1.2}$$

Mocanu defined the class  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$  geometrically as a class of functions that maps the circle centered at the origin on  $\alpha$ -convex arcs and derived the condition (1.2).

The class  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$  was extensively studied in literature by several authors, for instance, see [4–6, 18–21]. For particular values of  $\alpha$ , we obtain a number of interesting classes of analytic functions having nice geometry, for instance  $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{S}^*$  and  $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{C}$  are well known classes of starlike and convex univalent functions introduced by Alexander [1]. By  $\mathcal{S}^*(\delta)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(\delta)$ ,  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ , we mean the subclasses of starlike and convex function of order  $\delta$  given by (1.3) and (1.4) respectively.

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \delta, \quad z \in \Delta, \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \delta, \quad z \in \Delta. \tag{1.4}$$

We denote the classes of uniformly starlike and uniformly convex functions by  $\mathcal{UST}$  and  $\mathcal{UCV}$ , see [7, 13, 14]. A function  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  is uniformly starlike if  $f$  maps every circular arc  $\gamma$  contained in  $\Delta$  with center  $\zeta \in \Delta$  onto a starlike arc with respect to  $f(\zeta)$ . A function  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  is uniformly convex if  $f$  maps every circular arc  $\gamma$  contained in  $\Delta$  with center  $\zeta \in \Delta$  onto a convex arc.

In 1999, Kanas and Wisnoiska [9] introduced the class  $k - \mathcal{UCV}$ , ( $k \geq 0$ ) of  $k$ -uniformly convex functions as:

$$f \in k - \mathcal{UCV} \iff f \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > k \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right|, \quad z \in \Delta, \tag{1.5}$$

where its geometric definition and connections with the conic domains were considered. It is worth mentioning that  $1 - \mathcal{UCV} = \mathcal{UCV}$ . In recent years many authors investigated interesting properties of these classes. For some details see [2, 8–12, 26, 30] and references cited there in. Let  $\mathcal{SS}^*(\lambda)$  denote the class of strongly starlike functions of order  $\lambda$  as:

$$\mathcal{SS}^*(\lambda) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \left| \arg \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right| < \frac{\lambda\pi}{2} \right\}, \tag{1.6}$$

where  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . This class of functions was introduced and discussed by [3, 27].

In our current investigation, we extend the work of J. sokol [25] and introduced a new class of analytic function as:

**Definition 1.1.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $k \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ . Then  $f \in k - \mathcal{UM}_\alpha$  if and only if*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \right\} > k \left| \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} - 1 \right|, \quad z \in \Delta. \tag{1.7}$$

For special values of parameters  $k$  and  $\alpha$ , we obtain a number of known classes of analytic functions. Here we present few of them.

- (i)  $0 - \mathcal{UM}_\alpha = \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ , [15].
- (ii)  $0 - \mathcal{UM}_0 = \mathcal{S}^*$ , [1].
- (iii)  $1 - \mathcal{UM}_1 = \mathcal{UCV}$ , [7].
- (iv)  $k - \mathcal{UM}_1 = k - \mathcal{UCV}$ , [9].

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $u = u_1 + iu_2$  and  $v = v_1 + iv_2$  and let  $\Psi(u, v) : \mathbb{C}^2 \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a complex-valued function satisfying the conditions:*

- (i)  $\Psi(u, v)$  is continuous in a domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ ,
- (ii)  $(1, 0) \in D$  and  $\Psi(1, 0) > 0$ .
- (iii)  $\text{Re } \Psi(iu_2, v_1) \leq 0$  whenever  $(iu_2, v_1) \in D$  and  $v_1 \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + u_2^2)$ .

If  $h(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$  is a function that is analytic in  $\Delta$  such that  $(h(z), zh'(z)) \in D$  and  $\text{Re } \Psi(h(z), zh'(z)) > 0$  for  $z \in \Delta$ , then  $\text{Re } h(z) > 0$ .

This result is due to Miller [16].

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $\beta > 0$  and  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ . Let*

$$p(z) + \frac{\beta zp'(z)}{p(z)} \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)}{1 - z}.$$

Then

$$p(z) \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\delta)}{1 - z},$$

where

$$\delta = \frac{(2\gamma - \beta) + \sqrt{(2\gamma - \beta)^2 + 8\beta}}{4}. \tag{1.8}$$

**Lemma 1.3.** [17] *If  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  and the complex number  $\gamma$  satisfies  $\text{Re } \{\gamma\} \geq -\lambda(1 - A)/(1 - B)$ , then the differential equation*

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\lambda q(z) + \gamma} = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad z \in \Delta,$$

has a univalent solution in  $E$  given by

$$q(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{z^{\lambda+\gamma}(1+Bz)^{\lambda(A-B)/B}}{\lambda \int_0^z t^{\lambda+\gamma-1}(1+Bt)^{\lambda(A-B)/B} dt} - \frac{\gamma}{\lambda}, & B \neq 0, \\ \frac{z^{\lambda+\gamma}e^{\lambda Az}}{\lambda \int_0^z t^{\lambda+\gamma-1}e^{\lambda At} dt} - \frac{\gamma}{\lambda}, & B = 0. \end{cases}$$

If  $h(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$  is analytic in  $\Delta$  and satisfies

$$h(z) + \frac{zh'(z)}{\lambda h(z) + \gamma} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad z \in \Delta,$$

then

$$h(z) \prec q(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},$$

and  $q(z)$  is the best dominant.

**Lemma 1.4.** [29] Let  $\varepsilon$  be a positive measure on  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $g$  be a complex-valued function defined on  $\Delta \times [0, 1]$  such that  $g(\cdot, t)$  is analytic in  $\Delta$  for each  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $g(z, \cdot)$  is  $\varepsilon$ -integrable on  $[0, 1]$  for all  $z \in \Delta$ . In addition, suppose that  $\operatorname{Re} g(z, t) > 0$ ,  $g(-r, t)$  is real and  $\operatorname{Re} \{1/g(z, t)\} \geq 1/g(-r, t)$  for  $|z| \leq r < 1$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . If  $g(z) = \int_0^1 g(z, t) d\varepsilon(t)$ , then  $\operatorname{Re} \{1/g(z)\} \geq 1/g(-r)$ .

**Lemma 1.5.** [28, Chapter 14] Let  $a, b$  and  $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$  be complex numbers. Then, for  $\operatorname{Re} c > \operatorname{Re} b > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad {}_2F_1(a, b, c; z) &= \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(c-b)\Gamma(b)} \int_0^1 t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-b-1} (1-tz)^{-a} dt. \\ (ii) \quad {}_2F_1(a, b, c; z) &= {}_2F_1(b, a, c; z). \\ (iii) \quad {}_2F_1(a, b, c; z) &= (1-z)^{-a} {}_2F_1\left(a, c-b, c; \frac{z}{z-1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 1.6.** Let  $p$  be analytic in  $\Delta$  of the form

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} c_n z^n, \quad c_m \neq 0,$$

with  $p(z) \neq 0$  in  $\Delta$ . If there exists a point  $z_0, |z_0| < 1$  such that  $|\arg p(z)| < \frac{\pi\varphi}{2}$  ( $|z| < |z_0|$ ) and  $|\arg p(z_0)| = \frac{\pi\varphi}{2}$  for some  $\varphi > 0$ , then we have  $\frac{z_0 p'(z_0)}{p(z_0)} = i l \varphi$ , where

$$\begin{cases} l \geq \frac{m}{2} \left(a + \frac{1}{a}\right), & \text{when } \arg p(z_0) = \frac{\pi\varphi}{2}, \\ l \leq -\frac{m}{2} \left(a + \frac{1}{a}\right), & \text{when } \arg p(z_0) = -\frac{\pi\varphi}{2}, \end{cases}$$

where  $(p(z_0))^{1/\varphi} = \pm ia$  ( $a > 0$ ).

This result is generalization of the Nunokawa's lemma [23].

## 2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $f \in k - \mathcal{UM}_\alpha$ . Then  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\delta)$ , where

$$\delta = \frac{(2\gamma - \beta) + \sqrt{(2\gamma - \beta)^2 + 8\beta}}{4}, \tag{2.1}$$

with  $\beta = \frac{\alpha+k}{1+k}$  and  $\gamma = \frac{k}{1+k}$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = p(z),$$

where  $p$  is analytic in  $\cdot$  with  $p(0) = 1$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \alpha)p(z) + \alpha \left( p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right) \right\} &> k \left| p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} - 1 \right| \\ &= k \left| 1 - p(z) - \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right| \\ \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \alpha)p(z) + \alpha \left( p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right) \right\} &> \operatorname{Re} k \left\{ (1 - p(z)) - \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\frac{1}{\beta}p(z)} \right\} > \gamma, \tag{2.2}$$

where  $\beta = \frac{\alpha+k}{1+k}$  and  $\gamma = \frac{k}{1+k}$ . The above relation can be written in the following Briot-Bouquet differential subordination

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\frac{1}{\beta}p(z)} \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)z}{1 - z}.$$

Now using Lemma 2, we have  $p \in \mathcal{S}^*(\delta)$ , where  $\delta$  is given by (2.1). □

**Special Cases**

(i) For  $\alpha = 0, k = 1$ , we have  $\beta = \gamma = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then for  $f \in 1 - \mathcal{UM}_0$ , we have  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\delta)$ , where  $\delta \simeq 0.64$ .

(ii) For  $\alpha = 0$ , we have  $\beta = \frac{k}{1+k}$  and  $\gamma = \frac{k}{1+k}$ . Then

$$\delta_1 = \frac{k + \sqrt{9k^2 + 8k}}{4(k + 1)}.$$

In other words for  $f \in k - \mathcal{UM}_0$ , we have  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\delta_1)$ .

(iii) If  $\alpha = 1, \beta = 1, \gamma = \frac{k}{1+k}$ , then

$$\delta_2 = \frac{(k - 1) + \sqrt{(k - 1)^2 + 8(k + 1)^2}}{4(k + 1)}.$$

In other words  $f \in k - \mathcal{UCV}$  implies  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\delta_2)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $f \in k - \mathcal{UM}_\alpha$  and of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad a_{m+1} \neq 0.$$

Then  $f$  is strongly starlike of order  $\beta_0$ , where

$$\beta_0 = \min_{\beta \in (0,1)} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 - 2a^\beta \cos \beta \frac{\pi}{2} + (k^2 - 1) (a^\beta \cos \beta \frac{\pi}{2})^2 + \\ \left( \frac{\beta m(a^2 + 1)}{2a} + a^\beta \sin \beta \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2 \end{array} \right\}. \tag{2.3}$$

*Proof.* Let

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = p(z).$$

Then  $p$  is the form

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} c_n z^n, \quad c_m \neq 0.$$

Now using the definition of the class  $k - \mathcal{UM}_\alpha$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \alpha)p(z) + \alpha \left( p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right) \right\} > k \left| p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} - 1 \right|. \tag{2.4}$$

If there exists a point  $z_0 \in \Delta$  such that

$$|\arg p(z)| < \frac{\beta\pi}{2}, \quad |z| < |z_0|$$

and

$$|\arg p(z_0)| = \frac{\beta\pi}{2},$$

then from Lemma 1.6, we have  $\frac{z_0 p'(z_0)}{p(z_0)} = il\beta$ , where  $(p(z_0))^{1/\beta} = \pm ia$  ( $a > 0$ ) and

$$l : \begin{cases} l \geq \frac{m}{2} \left( a + \frac{1}{a} \right), & \text{when } \arg p(z_0) = \frac{\beta\pi}{2}, \\ l \leq -\frac{m}{2} \left( a + \frac{1}{a} \right), & \text{when } \arg p(z_0) = -\frac{\beta\pi}{2}. \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

For the case  $\arg p(z_0) = \frac{\beta\pi}{2}$ , we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ p(z_0) + \frac{\alpha z_0 p'(z_0)}{p(z_0)} \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (ia)^\beta + i\alpha l\beta \right\} = a^\beta \cos \frac{\beta\pi}{2}. \tag{2.6}$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} k \left| p(z_0) - 1 + \frac{z_0 p'(z_0)}{p(z_0)} \right| &= k \left| (ia)^\beta + il\beta - 1 \right| \\ &= k \left| a^\beta \cos \frac{\beta\pi}{2} - 1 + i \left( a^\beta \sin \frac{\beta\pi}{2} + l\beta \right) \right| \\ &= k \sqrt{\left( a^\beta \cos \frac{\beta\pi}{2} - 1 \right)^2 + \left( a^\beta \sin \frac{\beta\pi}{2} + l\beta \right)^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Then from  $l \geq m(a^2 + 1)/2a$  for  $\beta \geq \beta_0$ , we have

$$0 \leq 1 - 2a^\beta \cos \beta \frac{\pi}{2} + (k^2 - 1) \left( a^\beta \cos \beta \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\beta m (a^2 + 1)}{2a} + a^\beta \sin \beta \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2. \tag{2.8}$$

Therefore

$$0 \leq 1 - 2a^{\beta_0} \cos \beta_0 \frac{\pi}{2} + (k^2 - 1) \left( a^{\beta_0} \cos \beta_0 \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\beta_0 m (a^2 + 1)}{2a} + a^{\beta_0} \sin \beta_0 \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2. \tag{2.9}$$

By using (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \alpha)p(z_0) + \alpha \left\{ p(z_0) + \frac{zp'(z_0)}{p(z_0)} \right\} \right\} < k \left| p(z_0) + \frac{zp'(z_0)}{p(z_0)} - 1 \right|.$$

which is contradiction, therefore  $|\arg p(z)| < \frac{\beta\pi}{2}$  for  $|z| < 1$ .

Similarly we can prove the case  $\arg p(z_0) = -\frac{\beta\pi}{2}$  by using the same method as the above we will get a contradiction. This proves that  $f$  is strongly starlike of order  $\beta_0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{UCV}$  and of the form*

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad a_{m+1} \neq 0.$$

*Then  $f$  is strongly starlike of order  $\beta_0$ , where*

$$\beta_0 = \min_{\beta \in (0,1)} \left\{ 1 - 2a^\beta \cos \beta \frac{\pi}{2} + \left( \frac{\beta m (a^2 + 1)}{2a} + a^\beta \cos \beta \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2 \right\}.$$

This result is due to Nunokawa and Sokol [24].

**Theorem 2.3.** *If  $f \in k - \mathcal{UM}_\alpha$ , then*

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec q(z) = \frac{1}{g(z)}, \tag{2.10}$$

where  $g(z) = \left[ {}_2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{\beta} (1 - \gamma), 1; \frac{1}{\beta} + 1; \frac{z}{z-1} \right) \right]$  with  $\beta = \frac{k+\alpha}{1+k}$  and  $\gamma = \frac{k}{1+k}$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = p(z),$$

where  $p$  is analytic in  $\Delta$  with  $p(0) = 1$ . Now using (1.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \alpha)p(z) + \alpha \left( p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right) \right\} &> k \left| p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} - 1 \right| \\ &= k \left| 1 - p(z) - \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right| \\ \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \alpha)p(z) + \alpha \left( p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right) \right\} &> \operatorname{Re} k \left\{ (1 - p(z)) - \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\frac{1}{\beta}p(z)} \right\} > \gamma,$$

where  $\beta = \frac{k+\alpha}{1+k}$  and  $\gamma = \frac{k}{1+k}$ . The above relation can be written in the following Briot-Bouquet differential subordination

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\frac{1}{\beta}p(z)} \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)z}{1 - z}. \tag{2.11}$$

Using Lemma 1.3 for  $\lambda = \frac{1}{\beta}$  and  $\gamma = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(z) \prec q(z) &= \frac{1}{g(z)} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\beta} \int_0^1 t^{1/\beta-1} \left( \frac{1-tz}{1-z} \right)^{-2(\gamma-1)/\beta} dt} \\ &= \left\{ {}_2F_1 \left( \frac{2}{\beta} (1 - \gamma), 1; \frac{1}{\beta} + 1; \frac{z}{z-1} \right) \right\}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

which is the required result.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *If  $f \in k - \mathcal{UM}_\alpha$ . Then*

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} > \frac{1}{g(-1)} = \gamma_0 = \left\{ {}_2F_1 \left( \frac{2}{\beta} (1 - \gamma), 1; \frac{1}{\beta} + 1; \frac{1}{2} \right) \right\}^{-1}.$$

*In other words  $k - \mathcal{UM}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{S}^*(\gamma_0)$ , where*

$$\gamma_0 = \left\{ {}_2F_1 \left( \frac{2}{\beta} (1 - \gamma), 1; \frac{1}{\beta} + 1; \frac{1}{2} \right) \right\}^{-1}. \tag{2.12}$$

*Proof.* To prove  $k - \mathcal{UM}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{S}^*(\gamma_0)$ , we show that  $\inf_{|z|<1} \{\operatorname{Re} q(z)\} = q(-1)$ . Now for  $a = \frac{2}{\beta} (1 - \gamma)$ ,  $b = \frac{1}{\beta}$ ,  $c = \frac{1}{\beta} + 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) &= (1 + Bz)^a \int_0^1 t^{b-1} (1 + Btz)^{-a} dt. \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(c)} {}_2F_1 \left( 1, a, c; \frac{z}{z-1} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

To prove that  $\inf_{|z|<1} \{\operatorname{Re} q(z)\} = q(-1)$ , we need to show that

$$\operatorname{Re} \{1/g(z)\} \geq 1/g(-1).$$

Now by using Lemma 1.4, (2.13) it can easily follows that

$$g(z) = \int_0^1 g(z, t) d\varepsilon(t),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g(z, t) &= \frac{1 - z}{1 - (1 - t)z}, \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1), \\ d\varepsilon(t) &= \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(c-a)} t^{a-1} (1 - t)^{c-a-1} dt, \end{aligned}$$

which is a positive measure on  $[0, 1]$ . It is clear that  $\operatorname{Re} g(z, t) > 0$  and  $g(-r, t)$  is real for  $0 \leq |z| \leq r < 1$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Also

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{g(z, t)} \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1 - (1 - t)z}{1 - z} \right\} \geq \frac{1 + (1 - t)r}{1 + r} = \frac{1}{g(-r, t)}$$

for  $|z| \leq r < 1$ . Therefore using Lemma 1.4, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \{1/g(z)\} \geq 1/g(-r).$$

Now letting  $r \rightarrow 1^-$ , it follows

$$\operatorname{Re} \{1/g(z)\} \geq 1/g(-1).$$

Therefore  $k - \mathcal{UM}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{S}^*(\gamma_0)$ . □



## REFERENCES

- [1] J. W. Alexander, Functions which map the interior of the unit circle upon simple regions, *Ann. Math.*, 17(1915), 12–22.
- [2] M. Arif, A. Ali and J. Muhammad, Some sufficient conditions for alpha convex functions of order beta, *VFAST Trans. Math.*, 1(2)(2013), 8–12.
- [3] D. A. Brannan and W. E. Kirwan, On some classes of bounded univalent functions, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 1(2)(1969), 431–443.
- [4] J. Dziok, Characterizations of analytic functions associated with functions of bounded variation, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 109(2013), 199–207.
- [5] J. Dziok, Classes of functions associated with bounded Mocanu variation, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2013, Article ID 349.
- [6] J. Dziok, Generalizations of multivalent Mocanu functions, *Appl. Math. Computation.*, 269(2015), 965–971.
- [7] A. W. Goodman, On uniformly convex functions, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 56(1991), 87–92.
- [8] S. Kanas and A. Wiśniowska, Conic regions and k-uniform convexity II, *Folia Sci. Univ. Tech. Resov.*, 22(1998), 65–78.
- [9] S. Kanas and A. Wiśniowska, Conic regions and k-uniform convexity, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 105(1999), 327–336.
- [10] S. Kanas and H. M. Srivastava, Linear operators associated with k-uniformly convex functions, *Integral Transform. Spec. Funct.*, 9(2)(2000), 121–132.
- [11] A. Lecko and A. Wiśniowska, Geometric properties of subclasses of starlike functions, *J. Comp. Appl. Math.*, 155(2003), 383–387.
- [12] X. Li, D. Ding, L. Xu, C. Qin and S. Hu, *J. Funt. Spac*, Certain Subclasses of Multivalent Functions Defined by Higher-Order Derivative., 2017, Article ID 5739196.
- [13] W. Ma and D. Minda, Uniformly convex functions, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 2(57)(1992), 165–175.
- [14] W. Ma and D. Minda, Uniformly convex functions II, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 3(58)(1993), 275–285.
- [15] P. T. Mocanu, Une propriété de convexité g énéralisée dans la théorie de la représentation conforme. *Mathematica (Cluj*, 1929) 11(34)(1969), 127-133.
- [16] S. S. Miller, Differential inequalities and Carathéodory functions, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 81(1975), 79 - 81.
- [17] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Univalent solutions of Briot-Bouquet differential subordination, *J. Differential Eqns.*, 56(1985), 297-309.
- [18] K. I. Noor and W. Ul-Haq, On some implication type results involving generalized bounded Mocanu variations, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 63(10)(2012), 1456-1461.
- [19] K. I. Noor and S. Hussain, On certain analytic functions associated with Ruscheweyh derivatives and bounded Mocanu variation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 340(2008), 1145–1152.
- [20] K. I. Noor and A. Muhammad, On analytic functions with generalized bounded Mocanu variation, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 196(2) (2008), 802-811.
- [21] K. I. Noor and S. N. Malik, On generalized bounded Mocanu variation associated with conic domain, *Math. Comput. Model.*, 55(2012), 844-852.
- [22] M. Nunokawa and J. Sokol, Remarks on some starlike functions, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 593(2013), 1-8.
- [23] M. Nunokawa, On the order of strongly starlikeness of strongly convex functions, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A.*, 69(7)(1993), 234-237.
- [24] M. Nunokawa, J. Sokól, On order of strongly starlikeness in the class of uniformly convex functions, *Math. Nachr.*, (2015), 1-6..
- [25] J. Sokól and M. Nunokawa, On some class of convex functions, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I.*, 353(2015), 427-431.

- 
- [26] J. Sokoł and A. Wiśniowska, On some classes of starlike functions related with parabola, *Folia Sci. Univ. Tech. Resov.*, 28 (1993), 35-42.
- [27] J. Stankiewicz, Quelques problèmes extrémaux dans les classes des fonctions  $\alpha$ -angulairement étoilées, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska, Sect. A.*, 20(1966), 59-75.
- [28] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A course of modern analysis*, 4th ed. Cambridge Univ. Press., 1958.
- [29] D. R. Wilken and J. Feng, A remark on convex and starlike functions, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 21(1980)., 287-290.
- [30] A. Wiśniowska Wajnryb, Some extremal bounds for subclasses of univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 215(2009), 2634-2641.