



## $\mathfrak{c}$ -ALGEBRABILITY OF PATHOLOGICAL SETS OF PRODUCT INTEGRABLE FUNCTIONS

FATEMEH FARMANESH, ALI FAROKHINIA\*

*Department of Mathematics, Shiraz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shiraz, Iran*

\*Corresponding author: [alifarokinia@gmail.com](mailto:alifarokinia@gmail.com)

ABSTRACT. In this paper we investigate linear algebraic structures in the set of product integrable matrix-valued functions and find  $\mathfrak{c}$ -generated algebras in  $L([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}) \setminus L^*([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$  and  $D([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}) \setminus L([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

If  $X$  is a vector space, a subset  $M$  of  $X$  is called *lineable* if  $M \cup \{0\}$  contains an infinite dimensional vector space. If  $X$  is a linear algebra and  $M \subseteq X$ , one calls  $M$  a  $\kappa$ -algebrable set if  $M \cup \{0\}$  contains a  $\kappa$ -generated algebra, that is, an algebra which has a minimal system of generators of cardinality  $\kappa$ . These notions were coined by V.I. Guariy [1, 9] and then became a criterion for measuring how much large linear algebraic structures could be found in a set of functions with weird properties (see [2, 6–8]).

Another criterion is the concept of *strong algebrability* introduced by Glab and Bartoszewicz in [5]. Let  $\kappa$  be a cardinal number and  $X$  be a linear commutative algebra. A subset  $M$  of  $X$  is called *strongly  $\kappa$ -algebrable* if  $M \cup \{0\}$  contains a  $\kappa$ -generated algebra isomorphic to a free algebra.

In this paper we seek a linear algebraic structures in the spaces of product integrable function. The notion of product integral has been introduced by Vito Volterra about the end of the 19th century, who studied

---

Received 2018-04-26; accepted 2018-08-02; published 2018-09-05.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A16, 47L10.

*Key words and phrases.* algebrable; Lebesgue integrable; product integrable.

©2018 Authors retain the copyrights of their papers, and all open access articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License.

linear systems of differential equations

$$W'(t) = A(t)W(t), t \in [a, b]$$

$$W(a) = I,$$

where  $I$  is the identity matrix,  $A : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is a given continuous function and  $W : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is the unknown function (see [17]). Later, Ludwig Schlesinger introduced the definition of the Riemann product integral as follows: Given a tagged partition of an interval  $[a, b]$ , which is a collection of point-interval pairs  $D = (\xi_i, [t_{i-1}, t_i])_{i=1}^m$ , where  $a = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_m = b$  and  $\xi_i \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . We refer to  $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_m$  as the division points of  $D$ , while  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m$  are the tags of  $D$ .

**Remark 1.1.** If we replace  $\xi_i \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$  by  $\xi_i \in [a, b]$ , then the collection  $D$  is called a free tagged partition. Given a function  $\delta : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  (called a gauge on  $[a, b]$ ), a free tagged partition is called  $\delta$ -fine if

$$[t_{i-1}, t_i] \subset (\xi_i - \delta(\xi_i), \xi_i + \delta(\xi_i)), \quad i = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}.$$

Now consider a matrix function  $A : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  with entries  $\{a_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ . Put

$$\Delta t_i = t_i - t_{i-1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad v(D) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \Delta t_i,$$

and define

$$\begin{aligned} P(A, D) &= \prod_{i=1}^m (I + A(\xi_i) \Delta t_i) \\ &= (I + A(\xi_1) \Delta t_1)(I + A(\xi_2) \Delta t_2) \dots (I + A(\xi_m) \Delta t_m). \end{aligned}$$

In case the limit  $\lim_{v(D) \rightarrow 0} P(A, D)$  exists, it is called the *Riemann product integral* of the function  $A$  on the interval  $[a, b]$  and is denoted by the symbol  $(I + A(t) dt) \prod_a^b$ .

In this paper  $R([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$  denotes the set of all Riemann product integrable functions.

Utilizing step functions Schlesinger generalized this definition and introduced the Lebesgue product integral (see [11, 12, 16]). Let us recall some facts that will be needed:

1. A function  $A : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is called a *step function* if there exists numbers  $a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m = b$  such that  $A$  is constant function on every interval  $(t_{k-1}, t_k)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .
2. For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  we will use the operator norm  $\|A\| = \sup \{\|Ax\| : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ , where  $\|Ax\|$  and  $\|x\|$  denote the Euclidean norms of vectors  $Ax$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
3. A sequence of functions  $\{A_k : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is called *uniformly bounded* if there exists a number  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\|A_k(x)\| \leq M$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $x \in [a, b]$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** [16, Lemma 3.5.4 and Theorem 3.5.5] Let  $A_k : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , be a uniformly bounded sequence of step functions such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} A_k(x) = A(x)$  a.e. on  $[a, b]$ . Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|A_k - A\|_1 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b \|A_k(x) - A(x)\| dx = 0,$$

and the limit  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (I + A_k(x)dx) \prod_a^b$  exists and is independent of the choice of the sequence  $\{A_k\}$ .

**Definition 1.2.** [16, Definiton 3.5.6] Consider the function  $A : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Assume there exists a uniformly bounded sequence of step functions  $A_k : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} A_k(x) = A(x)$  a.e. on  $[a, b]$ , then the function  $A$  is called Lebesgue product integrable and we define

$$(I + A(x)dx) \prod_a^b = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (I + A_k(x)dx) \prod_a^b.$$

The symbole  $L^*([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$  denotes the set of all Lebesgue product integrable functions. It is easy to show that

$$L^*([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}) = \{A : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : A \text{ is measurable and bounded}\}.$$

Let us recall that a function  $A : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is called Bochner intagrabl if there is a sequence of simple functions  $A_k : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} A_k(t) = A(t)$  a.e. on  $[a, b]$  and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|A_k - A\|_1 = 0.$$

Thus by Theorem 1.1 and Definition 1.2, each  $A \in L^*([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$  is Bochner intagrabl.

After that Schlesinger extended the definition of  $L^*([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$  to all matrix functions with Lebesgue integrable (not necessarily bounded) entries and used the next symbole:

$$L([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}) = \{A : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : (L) \int_a^b \|A(x)\| dx < \infty\}.$$

The symbole (L) estands for the Lebesgue integral. Taking account of Theorem 1.1 it is natural to state the following definition.

**Definition 1.3.** [16, Definiton 3.8.1] A function  $A : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is called product integrable if there exists a sequence of step functions  $\{A_k\}$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|A_k - A\|_1 = 0.$$

We define

$$(I + A(x)dx) \prod_a^b = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (I + A_k(x)dx) \prod_a^b$$

**Remark 1.2.** *Since step functions belong to the complete space  $L([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ , every product integrable function also belongs to  $L([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ . Moreover, step functions form a dense subset in this space, and hence  $(I + A(x)dx) \prod_a^b$  exists if and only if  $A \in L([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ , i.e., the Lebesgue integral  $\int_a^b \|A(t)\|dt$  is finite.*

Concerning the above definitions of product integral we have the following chain of strict inclusions:

$$R([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}) \subset L^*([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}) \subset L([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}).$$

## 2. THE EXPONENTIAL FUNCTION AND THE PRODUCT INTEGRAL

Recall that for every  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  the matrix exponential is defined by  $e^A = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^k}{k!}$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** [16, Theorem 3.2.2] *Consider a Riemann integrable function  $A : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Then*

$$\lim_{v(D) \rightarrow 0} \prod_{k=1}^m e^{A(\xi_k)\Delta t_k} = \lim_{v(D) \rightarrow 0} \prod_{k=1}^m (I + A(\xi_k)\Delta t_k) = (I + A(t)dt) \prod_a^b,$$

where partitions are as in introduction.

**Remark 2.1.** *If  $A \in L^*([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$  and  $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a uniformly bounded sequence of step functions in  $L^*([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$  such that  $A_k \rightarrow A$  a.e. on  $[a, b]$ , then by [16, Theorem 3.6.3] we have  $(I + A(x)dx) \prod_a^b = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (I + A_k(x)dx) \prod_a^b$ . Now every function  $A_k$  is associated with a partition*

$$D_k : a = t_0^k < t_1^k < \dots < t_{m(k)}^k = b$$

such that

$$A_k(x) = A_j^k, \quad x \in (t_{j-1}^k, t_j^k),$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} v(D_k) = 0.$$

So by the definition of Lebesgue product integrable functions,

$$(I + A(x)dx) \prod_a^b = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (I + A_k(x)dx) \prod_a^b = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{m(k)} \exp(A_j^k \Delta t_j^k).$$

Moreover Schlesinger in [16, p. 485-486] proved the product integral might be also calculated as

$$(I + A(x)dx) \prod_a^b = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{m(k)} (I + (A_j^k \Delta t_j^k)).$$

We remark that each  $A \in L^*([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$  is Bochner integrable and hence the product integrals  $\prod_a^b \exp(A(t)dt)$  and  $\prod_a^b (I + A(t)dt)$  exist and equal to each other; see [13, Theorem 14, Theorem 16]. Thus according to the previous discussion, Theorem 2.1 holds for all  $A \in L^*([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ .

Now consider a function  $A \in L([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ . By the definition 1.3 there exists a sequence of step functions  $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|A_k - A\|_1 = 0 \text{ and } (I + A(t)dt) \prod_a^b = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (I + A_k(t)dt) \prod_a^b.$$

Thus Theorem 2.1 does also hold for  $A \in L([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ . So we can state the next theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $A : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a matrix function and  $A \in L([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ , then  $\exp \circ A$  is product integrable.

### 3. LEBESGUE PRODUCT INTEGRABLE FUNCTIONS

The next definition and theorem provide important tools for proving the existence of infinitely generated algebras in the family of real or complex functions.

**Definition 3.1** ([3]). We say that a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an exponential-like function (of rank  $m$ ) whenever  $f$  is given by  $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i e^{b_i x}$  for some distinct nonzero real numbers  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m$  and some nonzero real numbers  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m$ .

**Theorem 3.2** ([3,4]). Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$  and assume that there exists a function  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $f \circ F \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\}$  for every exponential-like function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is strongly  $\mathfrak{c}$ -algebrable. More exactly, if  $H \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a set of cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$  and linearly independent over the rationals  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then  $\exp \circ (rF)$ ,  $r \in H$ , are free generators of an algebra contained in  $\mathcal{F} \cup \{0\}$ .

Note that in all proofs we apply Theorem 3.2

**Theorem 3.3.** The set of Riemann real valued integrable functions is strongly  $\mathfrak{c}$ -algebrable.

*Proof.* Volterra in [17] showed that the Riemann integrable functions are product integrable, thus by Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.2 the proof follows. □

**Theorem 3.4.** The set of real valued Lebesgue integrable functions is strongly  $\mathfrak{c}$ -algebrable.

*Proof.* Schlesinger in [12, 16] showed the product integrability of Lebesgue integrable functions. So by Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 3.2, the proof is complete. □

**Theorem 3.5.** The set  $L([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}) \setminus L^*([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$  is strongly  $\mathfrak{c}$ -algebrable.

*Proof.* Let  $A : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be given by  $A(x) = (a_{ij}(x))_{i,j=1}^n$  such that for each  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,

$$a_{ij}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} & x \in (0, 1] \\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}.$$

So for some  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$  and  $\|y\| \leq 1$ ,

$$A(x)y = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}y_1 + a_{12}y_2 + \cdots + a_{1n}y_n \\ \vdots \\ a_{n1}y_1 + a_{n2}y_2 + \cdots + a_{nn}y_n \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\|A(x)y\| \geq \sqrt{\frac{n}{x}(y_1 + \cdots + y_n)^2} \geq \frac{1}{x}, \quad x \in (0, 1].$$

Thus  $A$  is not bounded and so  $A$  and  $\exp \circ (A)$  are not in  $L^*([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ . Now let  $A_m : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be given by  $A_m(x) = (b_{ij}^{(m)}(x))_{i,j=1}^n$  such that for each  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,

$$b_{ij}^{(m)}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in [0, \frac{1}{m}] \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} & x \in (\frac{1}{m}, 1] \end{cases}.$$

Given an arbitrary  $i$  and  $j$ , and note that for  $m \geq 2$ ,  $b_{ij}^{(m)}(x)$  is Lebesgue integrable on  $[0, 1]$ . Since  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} b_{ij}^{(m)}(x) = a_{ij}(x)$  for each  $x \in [0, 1]$ , so by the Monotone Convergence Theorem  $a_{ij}(x)$  is Lebesgue integrable. Thus  $A$  and  $\exp \circ (A)$  are in  $L([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$  so  $f \circ (A)$  is in  $L([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ , for every exponential-like function  $f$ , and the proof is complete by Theorem 3.2. □

**Theorem 3.6.** *The set of  $L([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}) \setminus R([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$  is  $\mathfrak{c}$ -algebrable.*

*Proof.* Since  $L([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}) \setminus L^*([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}) \subseteq L([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}) \setminus R([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ , the preceding theorem implies that  $L([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}) \setminus R([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$  is  $\mathfrak{c}$ -algebrable. □

#### 4. PRODUCT INTEGRABILITY OF DENJOY INTEGRABLE MATRIX-VALUED FUNCTIONS

The following definition generalizes the concept of Denjoy product integration.

**Definition 4.1.** *Consider the function  $A : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and let  $[c, d] \subset [a, b]$ . The oscillation of  $A$  on the interval  $[c, d]$  is the number*

$$osc(A, [c, d]) = \sup \{ \|A(\xi_1) - A(\xi_2)\| : \xi_1, \xi_2 \in [c, d] \}.$$

The abbreviations  $AC$ ,  $BV$  and  $ACG$  stand for “absolutely continuous”, “bounded variations” and “generalized absolutely continuous”, respectively.

**Definition 4.2.** *Let  $A : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $E \in [a, b]$ .*

1. *The strong variation of  $F$  on  $E$  is defined by*

$$V_*(F, E) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n osc(F, [c_i, d_i]) \right\},$$

*where the supremum is taken over all finite collections  $\{[c_i, d_i] : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  of non-overlapping intervals that have endpoints in  $E$ .*

2. The function  $F$  is of bounded variation in the restricted sense on  $E$  (briefely  $A$  is  $BV_*$  on  $E$  ) if  $V_*(F, E)$  is finite.
3. The function  $A$  is absolutely continuous in the restricted sense on  $E$  (briefely  $A$  is  $AC_*$  on  $E$  ) if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \text{osc}(A, [c_i, d_i]) < \varepsilon$ , whenever  $\{[c_i, d_i] : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  is a finite collection of non-overlapping intervals that have endpoints in  $E$  and satisfy  $\sum_{i=1}^n (d_i - c_i) < \delta$ .
4. The function  $A$  is generalized absolutely continuous in the restricted sense on  $E$  (briefely  $A$  is  $ACG_*$  on  $E$  ) if  $A|_E$  is continuous on  $E$  and  $E$  can be written as a countable union sets on each of which  $A$  is  $AC_*$ .

Note that in general,  $V(F, E) \leq V_*(F, E)$  and hence  $A$  is  $BV(AC, BVG, ACG)$  on  $E$  if it is  $BV_*(AC_*, BVG_*, ACG_*)$  on  $E$ .

**Definition 4.3.** The function  $A : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is Denjoy integrable on  $[a, b]$  if there exists an  $ACG_*$  function  $\mathcal{A} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such that  $\mathcal{A}' = A$  a.e. on  $[a, b]$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** [15, Theorem 6.2] Let  $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $E \subseteq [a, b]$ .

- (1) If  $F$  is  $AC(ACG, AC_*, ACG_*)$  on  $E$ , then  $F$  is  $BV(BVG, BV_*, BVG_*)$  on  $E$ .
- (2) If  $F$  is  $BV_*$  on  $E$ , then  $F$  is  $BV_*$  on  $\bar{E}$ .
- (3) Suppose that  $E$  is closed with  $a, b \in E$  and let  $G$  be the linear extension of  $F$  to  $[a, b]$ . If  $F$  is  $BV(AC)$  on  $E$ , then  $G$  is  $BV(AC)$  on  $[a, b]$ .

**Remark 4.1.** Let  $P$  be a perfect set. A perfect portion of  $P$  is a set of the form  $P \cap [c, d]$  where  $P \cap (c, d) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $c, d \in P$ , and  $P \cap [c, d]$  is a perfect set.

**Theorem 4.5.** [15, Theorem 6.10] Suppose that  $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is  $ACG(ACG_*)$  on  $[a, b]$  and let  $E \subset [a, b]$  be a perfect set. Then there is a perfect portion  $E \cap [c, d]$  of  $E$  such that  $F$  is  $AC(AC_*)$  on  $E \cap [c, d]$ .

( Note that in this case, each subinterval of  $[a, b]$  contains an interval on which the function  $F$  is  $AC(AC_*)$ . The endpoints of all the intervals on which  $F$  is  $AC(AC_*)$  form a dence set in  $[a, b]$  ).

We recall that the next Lemma and proposition are mentioned in [15] as exercises.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , and  $E$  be a closed set with bounds  $a$  and  $b$ , and let  $[a, b] - E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n, b_n)$ . Suppose that  $G$  is the linear extension of  $F$  from  $E$  to  $[a, b]$  and  $c \in E$ . Then  $\frac{G(x)-G(c)}{x-c}$  is between  $\frac{F(a_n)-F(c)}{a_n-c}$  and  $\frac{F(b_n)-F(c)}{b_n-c}$  for each  $x \in (a_n, b_n)$ . In particular, if  $c$  is two-sided limit point of  $E$  and  $F$  is differentiable at  $c$ , then  $G$  is differentiable at  $c$  and  $G'(c) = F'(c)$ .

*Proof.* First we note that  $G = F$  on  $E$  and  $G$  is linear on each of the intervals contiguous to  $E$ . For each  $x \in [a_n, b_n]$ , we have

$$G(x) = \frac{F(b_n) - F(a_n)}{b_n - a_n}(x - a_n) + F(a_n),$$

and hence an easy calculation completes the proof. □

**Proposition 4.1.** *Suppose that  $A : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is Denjoy integrable on  $[a, b]$ . Then  $[a, b] = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  where each  $E_n$  is closed and  $A$  is Lebesgue integrable on each  $E_n$ .*

*Proof.* By the hypothesis, there exists an  $ACG_*$  function  $\mathcal{A} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such that  $\mathcal{A}' = A$  a.e. on  $[a, b]$ , and we can write  $[a, b] = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $AC_*$  on each  $E_n$ . By Theorem 4.4 we can assume that each  $E_n$  is closed. Then by Theorem 4.5 there exists a perfect portion  $E_n \cap [c, d]$  of  $E_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $AC_*$  on  $E_n \cap [c, d]$ . Let  $G : [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be the linear extension of  $\mathcal{A}|_{E_n \cap [c, d]}$  to  $[c, d]$ . By part 3 of Theorem 4.4,  $G$  is  $AC$  on  $[c, d]$ . So the function  $G'$  exists a.e. and is Lebesgue integrable on  $[c, d]$ . But by Lemma 4.1  $\mathcal{A}' = G' = A$  a.e. on  $E_n \cap [c, d]$ , so the function  $A$  is Lebesgue integrable. □

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $A : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be Denjoy integrable on  $[a, b]$ , then it is product integrable.*

*Proof.* Let  $D([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$  be endowed by the norm  $\|A\| = (D) \int_a^b \|A(t)\| dt$ , where (D) stands for the Denjoy integral. By Proposition 4.1 there exists subsets  $E_n$  such that  $[a, b] = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  where for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $E_n$  is non-overlapping, closed and  $A$  is Lebesgue integrable on  $E_n$ . Let  $A_n$  be the restriction of  $A$  to  $E_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then each  $A_n$  is Lebesgue integrable and so product integrable and hence for each  $A_n$  there exists a sequence of step functions  $\{A_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $A_{n_k} : E_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|A_{n_k} - A_n\|_{E_n} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_n} \|A_{n_k}(x) - A_n(x)\| dx = 0$$

For each  $n$ , put  $a_n = \inf E_n$  and  $b_n = \sup E_n$ , so both  $a_n, b_n$  are in  $E_n$ . Thus for each  $E_n$  there exist  $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n$  such that

$$t_0 = a_n \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n = b_n,$$

and  $A_{n_k}$  is constant on  $(t_{k-1}, t_k)$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Now let  $\{B_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of step functions on  $[a, b]$  such that  $[a, b] = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  and  $B_k = A_{n_k}$  on each  $E_n$ . Then by Dominated Convergence Theorem we have the followings:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|B_k - A\|_1 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b \|B_k(x) - A(x)\| dx \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_n} \|A_{n_k}(x) - A_n(x)\| dx = 0, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $B_k$  converges to  $A$  also in the norm of space  $D([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$  and hence by [16, Theorem 3.5.5]  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (I + B_k(x)) dx \prod_a^b$  exists. So the proof is complete.  $\square$

5.  $\mathfrak{c}$ -ALGEBRABILITY OF THE SET OF DENJOY PRODUCT INTEGRABLE

In this section, some pathological properties (more precisely algebrability) of sets of product integrable functions contained in  $D([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}) \setminus L([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$  are investigated. First we note that a matrix  $A = \{a_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$  is called regular if it has a nonzero determinant.

**Definition 5.1.** A function  $A : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is called Perron product integrable if there is a regular matrix  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a function  $\delta : [a, b] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that  $\|B - P(A, D)\| < \varepsilon$  for every  $\delta$ -fine partition  $D$  of  $[a, b]$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** Consider the function  $A : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  in  $D([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ . Then

$$\prod_a^b e^{A(t)dt} = (I + A(t)dt) \prod_a^b.$$

*Proof.* By [10, Theorem 2.12] and [15, Theorem 11.2], the proof is clear.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.1.** If  $A : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is product integrable function, then  $\exp \circ (A)$  is product integrable function.

**Theorem 5.3.** The set of product integrable functions is strongly  $\mathfrak{c}$ -algebrable.

*Proof.* By Corollary 5.1 and Theorem 3.2 the proof follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.1.** [15, Theorem 7.11] Suppose that  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Denjoy integrable on each subinterval  $[c, d] \subseteq (a, b)$ . If  $\int_c^d f$  converges to a finite limit as  $c \rightarrow a^+$  and  $d \rightarrow b^-$ , then  $f$  is Denjoy integrable on  $[a, b]$  and  $\int_a^b f = \lim_{\substack{c \rightarrow a^+ \\ d \rightarrow b^-}} \int_c^d f$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** The set of  $D([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}) \setminus L([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$  is strongly  $\mathfrak{c}$ -algebrable.

*Proof.* Let  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n$  be a nonabsolutely convergent series of real numbers and let  $I_n = (2^{-n}, 2^{-n+1})$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define the function  $A : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  by  $A(x) = (a_{ij}(x))_{i,j=1}^n$ , such that for each  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$(a_{ij}(x)) = \begin{cases} 2^n c_n & x \in I_n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$\int_0^1 |a_{ij}(x)| dx = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_{I_n} |a_{ij}(x)| dx = \sum_{n=1}^\infty |2^{-n} c_n 2^n| = \sum_{n=1}^\infty |c_n| = \infty.$$

Hence neither  $a_{ij}$  nor  $A$  is Lebesgue integrable on  $[0, 1]$ . Now we are going to show that  $A$  is Denjoy integrable on  $[0, 1]$ . For each  $0 < \alpha < 1$  both of functions  $a_{ij}$  and  $A$  are bounded on  $[\alpha, 1]$ , so they are Lebesgue integrable on  $[\alpha, 1]$ . Let  $B(x) = \int_x^1 a_{ij}$  for each  $x \in (0, 1]$ . The function  $B$  is linear on each  $I_n$ . It follows that  $B(x)$  is between  $B(2^{-n})$  and  $B(2^n)$  for each  $x \in I_n$ . Now  $B(2^{-n}) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B(2^{-n}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k$ . Therefore  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} B(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n$  and according to Proposition 5.1,  $a_{ij}$  is Denjoy integrable on  $[0, 1]$  for each  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Thus for each  $a_{ij}(x)$  there exists an  $ACG_*$  function  $f'_{ij}$  such that  $f'_{ij}(x) = a_{ij}(x)$  a.e. on  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Now put  $F(x) = (f'_{ij}(x))_{i,j=1}^n$  for each  $x \in [0, 1]$ . So

$$F'(x) = (f'_{ij}(x))_{i,j=1}^n = (a_{ij}(x))_{i,j=1}^n = A(x) \text{ a.e. on } [0, 1].$$

Hence  $A$  is Denjoy integrable on  $x \in [0, 1]$ . One can see easily that  $\exp \circ a_{ij}$  is Denjoy integrable and so is  $\exp \circ A$ . Thus by Theorem 3.2 the proof is complete.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Aron, V. I. Gurariy and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *lineability and spaceability of sets of functions on  $\mathbb{R}$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (3) (2005), 795-803.
- [2] R. Aron and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Algebrability of the set of everywhere surjective functions on  $\mathbb{C}$* , Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **14** (1) (2007), 25-31.
- [3] M. Balcerzak, A. Bartoszewicz and M. Filipczak, *Nonseparable spaceability and strong algebrability of sets of continuous singular functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **407** (2) (2013), 263-269.
- [4] A. Bartoszewicz, M. Bieniea, M. Filipczak and S. Glab, *Strong  $\mathfrak{c}$ -algebrability of strong Sierpinski-Zygmund, smooth nowhere analytic and other sets of functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **412** (2) (2015), 620-630.
- [5] A. Bartoszewicz and S. Glab, *Strong  $\mathfrak{c}$ -algebrability of sets of sequences and functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (2013), 827-835.
- [6] A. Farokhinia, *Algebrability of space of quasi-everywhere surjective functions*. B. Math. Anal. Appl. **6** (6) (2014), 38-43.
- [7] A. Farokhinia, *Lineability of Denjoy integrable function*, J. Math. Ext. **11** (1) (2017), 57-65.
- [8] A. Farokhinia, *Lineability of space of quasi-everywhere surjective functions*. J. Math. Ext. **6** (3) (2013), 45-51.
- [9] V. I. Gurariy and L. Qurta, *On lineability of sets of continuous functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. (1) (2004), 62-72.
- [10] J. Jarník and J. Kurzweil, *A general form of the product integral and linear ordinary*, Czech. Math. J. **37** (4) (1987), 642-659.
- [11] F. R. Riesz, *Sur l'integrale de Lebesgue*. Acta Mathematica **42** (1919), 191-205.
- [12] L. Schlesinger, *Neue Grandlagen fur einen Infinitesimalcalculus der Matrizen*, Mathematische Zeitschrift **33** (1931), 33-61.
- [13] A. Slavík and Š. Schwabich, *Henstock-Kurzweil and McShane product integrals; descriptive definitions*, Czech. Math. J. **58** (133) (2008), 241-269.
- [14] Š. Schwabich, *Bochner product integration*, Math. Bohem. **119** (1994), 305-335.
- [15] R. Gordon, *The integrals of Lebesgue, Denjoy, Perron and Henstock*, American Mathematical Society, 1994.
- [16] A. Slavík, *Product integration, its history and applications*, Matfyzpress, Prague, 2007.
- [17] V. Volterra and B. Hostinsky, *Operations infinitesimales lineaires*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1938. Adison-Wesley. Publishing Company 1979.