International Journal of Analysis and Applications



Characteristic Picture Fuzzy Sets and Level Subsets in UP (BCC)-Algebras

Pimwaree Kankaew¹, Sunisa Yuphaphin¹, Nattacha Lapo¹, Ronnason Chinram², Pongpun Julatha³, Aiyared Iampan^{1,*}

¹Fuzzy Algebras and Decision-Making Problems Research Unit, Department of Mathematics, School of Science, University of Phayao, Mae Ka, Mueang, Phayao 56000, Thailand ²Division of Computational Science, Faculty of Science, Prince of Songkla University, Hat Yai, Songkhla 90110, Thailand

³Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Pibulsongkram Rajabhat University, Phitsanulok 65000, Thailand

* Corresponding author: aiyared.ia@up.ac.th

Abstract. The eight new concepts of picture fuzzy sets in UP (BCC)-algebras are introduced by Kankaew et al. in 2022. This idea is extended to the lower and upper level subsets of picture fuzzy sets in UP (BCC)-algebras. Moreover, we define a picture fuzzy set in the same way as a characteristic function and study its characterizations from the related subset.

1. Introduction

Among many algebraic structures, algebras of logic form important class of algebras. Examples of these are BCK-algebras [16], BCl-algebras [17], BE-algebras [23], UP-algebras [11], fully UP-semigroups [12], topological UP-algebras [28], UP-hyperalgebras [14], extension of KU/UP-algebras [27] and others. They are strongly connected with logic. For example, BCl-algebras introduced by Iséki [17] in 1966 have connections with BCl-logic being the BCl-system in combinatory logic which has application in the language of functional programming. BCK and BCl-algebras are two classes of logical algebras. They were introduced by Imai and Iséki [16, 17] in 1966 and have been extensively investigated by many researchers.

Received: Jun. 13, 2023.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 06F35, 08A72, 03E72.

Key words and phrases. UP (BCC)-algebra; picture fuzzy set; characteristic picture fuzzy set; lower and upper level subset.

ISSN: 2291-8639

The concept of fuzzy sets was first considered by Zadeh [38] in 1965. The fuzzy set theories developed by Zadeh and others have found many applications in the domain of mathematics and elsewhere. After the introduction of the concept of fuzzy sets by Zadeh [38], Atanassov [3,4] defined a new concept called an intuitionistic fuzzy set which is a generalization of fuzzy set. The concept of picture fuzzy sets was first considered by Cuong and Kreinovich [6] in 2013, which is direct extensions of the fuzzy sets and the intuitionistic fuzzy sets. The picture fuzzy set is characterized by three functions expressing the degree of membership, the degree of neutral membership, and the degree of nonmembership. The only constraint is that the sum of the three degrees must not exceed 1. Cuong [5] presented the concept of picture fuzzy sets in the Journal of Computer Science and Cybernetics in 2014. Some operations on picture fuzzy sets with some properties are considered. The Zadeh Extension Principle, picture fuzzy relations, and picture fuzzy soft sets are studied. Several researches were conducted on the generalizations of the concept of picture fuzzy sets in a variety of different fields and its application to a decision-making problem. In 2015, Singh [33] presented a geometrical interpretation of picture fuzzy sets. The author proposed correlation coefficients for picture fuzzy sets which considers the degree of positive membership, degree of neutral membership, degree of negative membership and the degree of refusal membership. In 2017, Wei [35] presented another form of eight similarity measures between picture fuzzy sets based on the cosine function between picture fuzzy sets by considering the degree of positive membership, degree of neutral membership, degree of negative membership and degree of refusal membership in picture fuzzy sets. The author applied these weighted cosine function similarity measures between picture fuzzy sets to strategic decision making. In 2018, Wei and Gao [37] presented some novel Dice similarity measures of picture fuzzy sets and the generalized Dice similarity measures of picture fuzzy sets and indicate that the Dice similarity measures and asymmetric measures (projection measures) are the special cases of the generalized Dice similarity measures in some parameter values. Wei [36] presented some novel process to measure the similarity between picture fuzzy sets. The author applied these similarity measures between picture fuzzy sets to building material recognition and minerals field recognition. In 2020, Ganie et al. [8] introduced two correlation coefficients of picture fuzzy sets. These correlation coefficients of picture fuzzy sets are better than existing ones and effective in expressing the nature of correlation (positive or negative correlation). In 2022, Jun et al. [18] have shown that the concept of UP-algebras (see [11]) and the concept of BCC-algebras (see [24]) are the same concept. Therefore, in this article and future research, our research team will use the name BCC instead of UP in honor of Komori, who first defined it in 1984.

In this paper, we applied the concept of picture fuzzy sets in BCC-algebras to introduce the eight new concepts of picture fuzzy sets: picture fuzzy BCC-subalgebras, picture fuzzy near BCC-filters, picture fuzzy BCC-filters, picture fuzzy implicative BCC-filters, picture fuzzy shift BCC-filters, picture fuzzy BCC-ideals, and picture fuzzy strong BCC-ideals. Also,

we discuss the relationship between the eight new concepts of picture fuzzy sets in BCC-algebras. This idea is extended to the lower and upper level subsets of picture fuzzy sets in BCC-algebras. Moreover, we define a picture fuzzy set in the same way as a characteristic function and study its characterizations from the related subset.

2. Basic results on BCC-algebras

The concept of BCC-algebras (see [24]) can be redefined without the condition (2.6) as follows:

Definition 2.1. [10] An algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 0)$ of type (2, 0) is called a BCC-algebra, where X is a nonempty set, \cdot is a binary operation on X, and 0 is a fixed element of X (i.e., a nullary operation) if it satisfies the following axioms:

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)((y \cdot z) \cdot ((x \cdot y) \cdot (x \cdot z)) = 0), \tag{2.1}$$

$$(\forall x \in X)(0 \cdot x = x), \tag{2.2}$$

$$(\forall x \in X)(x \cdot 0 = 0), \tag{2.3}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(x \cdot y = 0, y \cdot x = 0 \Rightarrow x = y). \tag{2.4}$$

From [11], we know that the concept of BCC-algebras is a generalization of KU-algebras (see [26]). The binary relation \leq on a BCC-algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 0)$ is defined as follows:

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(x \le y \Leftrightarrow x \cdot y = 0) \tag{2.5}$$

and the following assertions are valid (see [11, 12]).

$$(\forall x \in X)(x \le x),\tag{2.6}$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(x \le y, y \le z \Rightarrow x \le z), \tag{2.7}$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(x \le y \Rightarrow z \cdot x \le z \cdot y), \tag{2.8}$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(x \le y \Rightarrow y \cdot z \le x \cdot z), \tag{2.9}$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(x \le y \cdot x, \text{ in particular, } y \cdot z \le x \cdot (y \cdot z)), \tag{2.10}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(y \cdot x \le x \Leftrightarrow x = y \cdot x), \tag{2.11}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(x \le y \cdot y), \tag{2.12}$$

$$(\forall a, x, y, z \in X)(x \cdot (y \cdot z) \le x \cdot ((a \cdot y) \cdot (a \cdot z))), \tag{2.13}$$

$$(\forall a, x, y, z \in X)(((a \cdot x) \cdot (a \cdot y)) \cdot z \le (x \cdot y) \cdot z), \tag{2.14}$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)((x \cdot y) \cdot z \le y \cdot z), \tag{2.15}$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(x \le y \Rightarrow x \le z \cdot y), \tag{2.16}$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)((x \cdot y) \cdot z \le x \cdot (y \cdot z)), \tag{2.17}$$

$$(\forall a, x, y, z \in X)((x \cdot y) \cdot z \le y \cdot (a \cdot z)). \tag{2.18}$$

Example 2.1. [30] Let U be a nonempty set and let $X \in \mathcal{P}(U)$ where $\mathcal{P}(U)$ means the power set of U. Let $\mathcal{P}_X(U) = \{A \in \mathcal{P}(U) \mid X \subseteq A\}$. Define a binary operation \triangle on $\mathcal{P}_X(U)$ by putting $A \triangle B = B \cap (A^C \cup X)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{P}_X(U)$ where A^C means the complement of a subset A. Then $(\mathcal{P}_X(U), \triangle, X)$ is a BCC-algebra. Let $\mathcal{P}^X(U) = \{A \in \mathcal{P}(U) \mid A \subseteq X\}$. Define a binary operation \triangle on $\mathcal{P}^X(U)$ by putting $A \triangle B = B \cup (A^C \cap X)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{P}^X(U)$. Then $(\mathcal{P}^X(U), \triangle, X)$ is a BCC-algebra.

Example 2.2. [7] Let \mathbb{Z}^* be the set of all nonnegative integers. Define two binary operations \circ and \star on \mathbb{Z}^* by:

$$(\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^*) \left(m \circ n = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} n & \textit{if } m < n, \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise} \end{array} \right) \right.$$

and

$$(\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^*) \left(m \star n = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } m > n \text{ or } m = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \right).$$

Then $(\mathbb{Z}^*, \circ, 0)$ and $(\mathbb{Z}^*, \star, 0)$ are BCC-algebras.

For more examples of BCC-algebras, see [1, 2, 12, 15, 25, 29–32].

For a nonempty subset S of a BCC-algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 0)$ which satisfies the following condition:

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(y \in S \Rightarrow x \cdot y \in S). \tag{2.19}$$

Then the constant 0 of X is in S. Indeed, let $x \in S$. By (2.6) and (2.19), we have $0 = x \cdot x \in S$.

Definition 2.2. [9, 11, 13, 19–21, 34] A nonempty subset S of a BCC-algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 0)$ is called

(1) a BCC-subalgebra of X if it satisfies the following condition:

$$(\forall x, y \in S)(x \cdot y \in S), \tag{2.20}$$

- (2) a near BCC-filter of X if it satisfies the condition (2.19),
- (3) a BCC-filter of X if it satisfies the following conditions:

the constant 0 of
$$X$$
 is in S , (2.21)

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(x \cdot y \in S, x \in S \Rightarrow y \in S), \tag{2.22}$$

(4) an implicative BCC-filter of X if it satisfies the condition (2.21) and the following condition:

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in S, x \cdot y \in S \Rightarrow x \cdot z \in S), \tag{2.23}$$

(5) a comparative BCC-filter of X if it satisfies the condition (2.21) and the following condition:

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y) \in S, x \in S \Rightarrow y \in S), \tag{2.24}$$

(6) a shift BCC-filter of X if it satisfies the condition (2.21) and the following condition:

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in S, x \in S \Rightarrow ((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z \in S), \tag{2.25}$$

(7) a BCC-ideal of X if it satisfies the condition (2.21) and the following condition:

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in S, y \in S \Rightarrow x \cdot z \in S), \tag{2.26}$$

(8) a strong BCC-ideal of X if it satisfies the condition (2.21) and the following condition:

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)((z \cdot y) \cdot (z \cdot x) \in S, y \in S \Rightarrow x \in S). \tag{2.27}$$

Guntasow et al. [9] proved that the only strong BCC-ideal of a BCC-algebra X is X. The following theorem is easy to verify.

Theorem 2.1. Let \mathscr{F} be a nonempty family of BCC-subalgebras (resp., near BCC-filters, BCC-filters, implicative BCC-filters, comparative BCC-filters, shift BCC-filters, BCC-ideals, strong BCC-ideals) of a BCC-algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 0)$. Then $\bigcap \mathscr{F}$ is a BCC-subalgebra (resp., near BCC-filter, BCC-filter, implicative BCC-filter, comparative BCC-filter, shift BCC-filter, BCC-ideal, strong BCC-ideal) of X.

3. PFSs in BCC-algebras

In 2013, Cuong and Kreinovich [6] introduced the concept of picture fuzzy sets as the following definition.

A picture fuzzy set (briefly, PFS) in a nonempty set X is a structure of the form:

$$P = \{(x, r_P(x), g_P(x), b_P(x)) \mid x \in X\},\$$

where $r_P: X \to [0,1]$ is a positive membership, $g_P: X \to [0,1]$ is a neutral membership, and $b_P: X \to [0,1]$ is a negative membership satisfy the following condition:

$$(\forall x \in X)(r_P(x) + g_P(x) + b_P(x) \le 1).$$

For our convenience, we will denote a PFS as $P = (X, r_P, g_P, b_P)$.

A PFS P in X is said to be *constant* if P is a constant function from X to $[0,1]^3$. That is, r_P , g_P , and b_P are constant functions from X to [0,1].

In what follows, let X denote a BCC-algebra $(X, \cdot, 0)$ unless otherwise specified.

Kankaew et al. [22] introduced the eight new concepts of PFSs in BCC-algebras: picture fuzzy BCC-subalgebras, picture fuzzy near BCC-filters, picture fuzzy BCC-filters, picture fuzzy implicative BCC-filters, picture fuzzy comparative BCC-filters, picture fuzzy shift BCC-filters, picture fuzzy BCC-ideals, and picture fuzzy strong BCC-ideals.

Definition 3.1. A PFS P in X is called

(1) a picture fuzzy BCC-subalgebra of X if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(r_P(x \cdot y) \ge \min\{r_P(x), r_P(y)\}),\tag{3.1}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(g_P(x \cdot y) \ge \min\{g_P(x), g_P(y)\}), \tag{3.2}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(b_P(x \cdot y) \le \max\{b_P(x), b_P(y)\}), \tag{3.3}$$

(2) a picture fuzzy near BCC-filter of X if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(r_P(x \cdot y) \ge r_P(y)), \tag{3.4}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(g_P(x \cdot y) \ge g_P(y)), \tag{3.5}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(b_P(x \cdot y) \le b_P(y)), \tag{3.6}$$

(3) a picture fuzzy BCC-filter of X if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$(\forall x \in X)(r_P(0) \ge r_P(x)), \tag{3.7}$$

$$(\forall x \in X)(q_P(0) \ge q_P(x)), \tag{3.8}$$

$$(\forall x \in X)(b_P(0) \le b_P(x)),\tag{3.9}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(r_P(y) \ge \min\{r_P(x \cdot y), r_P(x)\}), \tag{3.10}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(g_P(y) \ge \min\{g_P(x \cdot y), g_P(x)\}),\tag{3.11}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(b_P(y) < \max\{b_P(x \cdot y), b_P(x)\}),$$
 (3.12)

(4) a picture fuzzy implicative BCC-filter of X if it satisfies the following conditions: (3.7), (3.8), (3.9), and

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(r_P(x \cdot z) \ge \min\{r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_P(x \cdot y)\}), \tag{3.13}$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(g_P(x \cdot z) \ge \min\{g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_P(x \cdot y)\}), \tag{3.14}$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(b_P(x \cdot z) \le \max\{b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_P(x \cdot y)\}), \tag{3.15}$$

(5) a picture fuzzy comparative BCC-filter of X if it satisfies the following conditions: (3.7), (3.8), (3.9), and

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(r_P(y) \ge \min\{r_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), r_P(x)\}), \tag{3.16}$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(g_P(y) \ge \min\{g_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), g_P(x)\}), \tag{3.17}$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(b_P(y) \le \max\{b_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), b_P(x)\}), \tag{3.18}$$

(6) a picture fuzzy shift BCC-filter of X if it satisfies the following conditions: (3.7), (3.8), (3.9), and

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(r_P(((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z) \ge \min\{r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_P(x)\}), \tag{3.19}$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X(g_P(((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z) \ge \min\{g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_P(x)\}), \tag{3.20}$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(b_P(((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z) \le \max\{b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_P(x)\}), \tag{3.21}$$

(7) a picture fuzzy BCC-ideal of X if it satisfies the following conditions: (3.7), (3.8), (3.9), and

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(r_P(x \cdot z) \ge \min\{r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_P(y)\}), \tag{3.22}$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(g_P(x \cdot z) \ge \min\{g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_P(y)\}), \tag{3.23}$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(b_P(x \cdot z) \le \max\{b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_P(y)\}), \tag{3.24}$$

(8) a picture fuzzy strong BCC-ideal of X if it satisfies the following conditions: (3.7), (3.8), (3.9), and

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(r_P(x) \ge \min\{r_P((z \cdot y) \cdot (z \cdot x)), r_P(y)\}), \tag{3.25}$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(g_P(x) \ge \min\{g_P((z \cdot y) \cdot (z \cdot x)), g_P(y)\}), \tag{3.26}$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(b_P(x) \le \max\{b_P((z \cdot y) \cdot (z \cdot x)), b_P(y)\}). \tag{3.27}$$

Kankaew et al. [22] proved the generalization that the concept of picture fuzzy BCC-subalgebras is a generalization of picture fuzzy near BCC-filters, picture fuzzy near BCC-filters is a generalization of picture fuzzy BCC-filters, picture fuzzy BCC-filters is a generalization of picture fuzzy shift BCC-filters, picture fuzzy BCC-filters, picture fuzzy BCC-filters is a generalization of picture fuzzy BCC-ideals is a generalization of picture fuzzy BCC-ideals, picture fuzzy BCC-ideals is a generalization of picture fuzzy implicative BCC-filters, and picture fuzzy implicative BCC-filters, picture fuzzy comparative BCC-filters, and picture fuzzy shift BCC-filters is a generalization of picture fuzzy strong BCC-ideals. Moreover, they proved that picture fuzzy strong BCC-ideals and constant PFSs coincide.

In this part, we define a PFS in the same way as a characteristic function and study its characterizations from the related subset.

For any fixed numbers r^+ , r^- , g^+ , g^- , b^+ , $b^- \in [0,1]$ such that $r^+ > r^-$, $g^+ > g^-$, $b^+ > b^-$ and a nonempty subset G of X, a PFS $P^G[^{r^+}_{r^-,g^-,b^+}] = (X, r_P^G[^{r^+}_{r^-}], g_P^G[^{g^+}_{g^-}], b_P^G[^{b^-}_{b^+}])$ in X where $r_P^G[^{r^+}_{r^-}], g_P^G[^{g^+}_{g^-}], g_P^G[^{g^+}_{g^-}]$ are functions on X which are given as follows:

$$r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x) = \begin{cases} r^+ & \text{if } x \in G, \\ r^- & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$g_P^G[g^+](x) = \begin{cases} g^+ & \text{if } x \in G, \\ g^- & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x) = \begin{cases} b^- & \text{if } x \in G, \\ b^+ & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.1. If the constant 0 of X is in a nonempty subset G of X, then the PFS $P^G[_{r^-,g^-,b^+}^{r^+,g^+,b^-}]$ in X satisfies the conditions (3.7), (3.8), and (3.9).

Proof. If $0 \in G$, then $r_P^G[r_+^+](0) = r^+$, $g_P^G[g_+^+](0) = g^+$, and $g_P^G[g_+^+](0) = g^-$. Thus

$$(\forall x \in X) \begin{pmatrix} r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](0) = r^+ \ge r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x) \\ g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](0) = g^+ \ge g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x) \\ b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](0) = b^- \le b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, $P^{G}[_{r^{-},q^{-},b^{+}}^{r^{+},b^{-}}]$ satisfies the conditions (3.7), (3.8), and (3.9).

Lemma 3.2. If the PFS $P^G[_{r^-,g^-,b^+}^{r^+,g^+,b^-}]$ in X satisfies the condition (3.7) (resp., (3.8), (3.9)), then the constant 0 of X is in a nonempty subset G of X.

Proof. Assume that the PFS $P^G[_{r^-,g^-,b^+}^{r^+,b^-}]$ in X satisfies the condition (3.7). Then $r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](0) \ge r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x)$ for all $x \in X$. Since G is nonempty, there exists $g \in G$. Thus $r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](g) = r^+$ and so $r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](0) \ge r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](g) = r^+ \ge r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](0)$, that is, $r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](0) = r^+$. Hence, $0 \in G$. □

Theorem 3.1. The PFS $P^G[_{r^-,g^-,b^+}^{r^+,b^-}]$ in X is a picture fuzzy BCC-subalgebra of X if and only if a nonempty subset G of X is a BCC-subalgebra of X.

Proof. Assume that $P^G[r^+,g^+,b^-]$ is a picture fuzzy BCC-subalgebra of X. Let $x,y \in G$. Then $r_P^G[r^+](x) = r^+ = r_P^G[r^+](y)$. By (3.1), we have

$$r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x \cdot y) \geq \min\{r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x), r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](y)\} = \min\{r^+, r^+\} = r^+ \geq r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x \cdot y)$$

and so $r_P^G[r^+](x \cdot y) = r^+$. Thus $x \cdot y \in G$. Hence, G is a BCC-subalgebra of X.

Conversely, assume that G is a BCC-subalgebra of X. Let $x, y \in X$.

Case 1: $x, y \in G$. Then

$$r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x) = r^{+} = r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](y),$$

$$g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](x) = g^{+} = g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](y),$$

$$b_{P}^{G}[_{h^{+}}^{b^{-}}](x) = b^{-} = b_{P}^{G}[_{h^{+}}^{b^{-}}](y).$$

Thus

$$\min\{r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x), r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](y)\} = \min\{r^+, r^+\} = r^+,$$

$$\min\{g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x), g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](y)\} = \min\{g^+, g^+\} = g^+,$$

$$\max\{b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x), b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](y)\} = \max\{b^-, b^-\} = b^-.$$

Since G is a BCC-subalgebra of X, we have $x \cdot y \in G$ and so $r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x \cdot y) = r^+$, $g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x \cdot y) = g^+$, and $b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x \cdot y) = b^-$. Hence,

$$r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x \cdot y) = r^+ \ge r^+ = \min\{r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x), r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](y)\},$$

$$g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x \cdot y) = g^+ \ge g^+ = \min\{g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x), g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](y)\},$$

$$b_P^G[_{h^+}^{b^-}](x \cdot y) = b^- \le b^- = \max\{b_P^G[_{h^+}^{b^-}](x), b_P^G[_{h^+}^{b^-}](y)\}.$$

Case 2: $x \notin G$ or $y \notin G$. Then

$$r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x) = r^- \text{ or } r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](y) = r^-,$$
 $g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x) = g^- \text{ or } g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](y) = g^-,$
 $b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x) = b^+ \text{ or } b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](y) = b^+.$

Thus

$$\min\{r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x), r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](y)\} = r^-,$$

$$\min\{g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x), g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](y)\} = g^-,$$

$$\max\{b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x), b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](y)\} = b^+.$$

Therefore,

$$r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x \cdot y) \ge r^{-} = \min\{r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x), r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](y)\},$$

$$g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](x \cdot y) \ge g^{-} = \min\{g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](x), g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](y)\},$$

$$b_{P}^{G}[_{h^{+}}^{b^{-}}](x \cdot y) \le b^{+} = \max\{b_{P}^{G}[_{h^{+}}^{b^{-}}](x), b_{P}^{G}[_{h^{+}}^{b^{-}}](y)\}.$$

Hence, $P^G[^{r^+,g^+,b^-}_{r^-,g^-,b^+}]$ is a picture fuzzy BCC-subalgebra of X.

Theorem 3.2. The PFS $P^G[_{r^-,g^-,b^+}^{r^+,g^+,b^-}]$ in X is a picture fuzzy near BCC-filter of X if and only if a nonempty subset G of X is a near BCC-filter of X.

Proof. Assume that $P^G[r^+,g^+,b^-]$ is picture fuzzy near BCC-filter of X. Let $x \in X$ and $y \in G$. Then $r_P^G[r^+](y) = r^+$. By (3.4), we have

$$r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x \cdot y) \ge r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](y) = r^+ \ge r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x \cdot y)$$

and so $r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x\cdot y)=r^+$. Thus $x\cdot y\in G$. Hence, G is a near BCC-filter of X.

Conversely, assume that G is a near BCC-filter of X. Let $x, y \in X$.

Case 1: $y \in G$. Then $r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](y) = r^+$, $g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](y) = g^+$, and $b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](y) = b^-$. Since G is a near BCC-filter of X, we have $x \cdot y \in G$ and so $r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x \cdot y) = r^+$, $g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x \cdot y) = g^+$, and $b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x \cdot y) = b^-$. Thus

$$r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x \cdot y) = r^{+} \geq r^{+} = r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](y),$$

$$g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](x \cdot y) = g^{+} \geq g^{+} = g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](y),$$

$$b_{P}^{G}[_{b^{+}}^{b^{-}}](x \cdot y) = b^{-} \leq b^{-} = b_{P}^{G}[_{b^{+}}^{b^{-}}](y).$$

Case 2: $y \notin G$. Then $r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](y) = r^-$, $g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](y) = g^-$, and $b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](y) = b^+$. Thus $r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x \cdot y) \ge r^- = r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](y)$, $g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x \cdot y) \ge g^- = g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](y)$, $b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x \cdot y) < b^+ = b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](y)$.

Hence, $P^G[_{r^-,q^-,b^+}^{r^+,b^-}]$ is a picture fuzzy near BCC-filter of X.

Theorem 3.3. The PFS $P^G[_{r^-,g^-,b^+}^{r^+,g^+,b^-}]$ in X is a picture fuzzy BCC-filter of X if and only if a nonempty subset G of X is a BCC-filter of X.

Proof. Assume that $P^G[r^+,g^+,b^-]$ is a picture fuzzy BCC-filter of X. Since $P^G[r^+,g^+,b^-]$ satisfies the condition (3.7), it follows from Lemma 3.2 that $0 \in G$. Next, let $x, y \in X$ be such that $x \cdot y \in G$ and $x \in G$. Then $r_P^G[r^+](x \cdot y) = r^+ = r_P^G[r^+](x)$. By (3.10), we have

$$r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](y) \ge \min\{r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x \cdot y), r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x)\} = \min\{r^+, r^+\} = r^+ \ge r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](y)$$

and so $r_P^G[r_+^{r-1}](y) = r^+$. Thus $y \in G$. Hence, G is a BCC-filter of X.

Conversely, assume that G is a BCC-filter of X. Since $0 \in G$, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $P^G[r^+,g^+,b^-]$ satisfies the conditions (3.7), (3.8), and (3.9). Next, let $x,y \in X$.

Case 1: $x \cdot y \in G$ and $x \in G$. Then

$$r_{P}^{G} \begin{bmatrix} r^{+} \\ r^{-} \end{bmatrix} (x \cdot y) = r^{+} = r_{P}^{G} \begin{bmatrix} r^{+} \\ r^{-} \end{bmatrix} (x),$$

$$g_{P}^{G} \begin{bmatrix} g^{+} \\ g^{-} \end{bmatrix} (x \cdot y) = g^{+} = g_{P}^{G} \begin{bmatrix} g^{+} \\ g^{-} \end{bmatrix} (x),$$

$$b_{P}^{G} \begin{bmatrix} b^{-} \\ b^{+} \end{bmatrix} (x \cdot y) = b^{-} = b_{P}^{G} \begin{bmatrix} b^{-} \\ b^{+} \end{bmatrix} (x).$$

Thus

$$\min\{r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x \cdot y), r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x)\} = \min\{r^+, r^+\} = r^+,$$

$$\min\{g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x \cdot y), g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x)\} = \min\{g^+, g^+\} = g^+,$$

$$\max\{b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x \cdot y), b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x)\} = \max\{b^-, b^-\} = b^-.$$

Since G is a BCC-filter of X, we have $y \in G$ and so $r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](y) = r^+$, $g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](y) = g^+$, and $b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](y) = b^-$. Thus

$$\begin{split} r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](y) &= r^+ \geq r^+ = \min\{r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x \cdot y), r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x)\}, \\ g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](y) &= g^+ \geq g^+ = \min\{g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x \cdot y), g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x)\}, \\ b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](y) &= b^- \leq b^- = \max\{b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x \cdot y), b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x)\}. \end{split}$$

Case 2: $x \cdot y \notin G$ or $x \notin G$. Then

$$r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x \cdot y) = r^- \text{ or } r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x) = r^-,$$
 $g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x \cdot y) = g^- \text{ or } g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x) = g^-,$
 $b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x \cdot y) = b^+ \text{ or } b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x) = b^+.$

Thus

$$\begin{split} \min\{r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x\cdot y), r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x)\} &= r^-, \\ \min\{g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x\cdot y), g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x)\} &= g^-, \\ \max\{b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x\cdot y), b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x)\} &= b^+. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](y) \geq r^{-} = \min\{r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x \cdot y), r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x)\},$$

$$g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](y) \geq g^{-} = \min\{g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](x \cdot y), g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](x)\},$$

$$b_{P}^{G}[_{b^{+}}^{b^{-}}](y) \leq b^{+} = \max\{b_{P}^{G}[_{b^{+}}^{b^{-}}](x \cdot y), b_{P}^{G}[_{b^{+}}^{b^{-}}](x)\}.$$

Hence, $P^G[_{r^-,g^-,b^+}^{r^+,g^+,b^-}]$ is a picture fuzzy BCC-filter of X.

Theorem 3.4. The PFS $P^G[_{r^-,g^-,b^+}^{r^+,b^-}]$ in X is a picture fuzzy implicative BCC-filter of X if and only if a nonempty subset G of X is an implicative BCC-filter of X.

Proof. Assume that $P^G[r^+,g^+,b^-]$ is a picture fuzzy implicative BCC-filter of X. Since $P^G[r^+,g^+,b^-]$ satisfies the condition (3.7), it follows from Lemma 3.2 that $0 \in G$. Next, let $x,y,z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in G$ and $x \cdot y \in G$. Then $r_P^G[r^+](x \cdot (y \cdot z)) = r^+ = r_P^G[r^+](x \cdot y)$. By (3.13), we have

$$r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x \cdot z) \ge \min\{r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x \cdot y)\}$$

$$= \min\{r^{+}, r^{+}\} = r^{+} \ge r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x \cdot z)$$

and so $r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x \cdot z) = r^+$. Thus $x \cdot z \in G$. Hence, G is an implicative BCC-filter of X.

Conversely, assume that G is an implicative BCC-filter of X. Since $0 \in G$, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $P^G[_{r^-,g^-,b^+}^{r^+,b^-}]$ satisfies the conditions (3.7), (3.8), and (3.9). Next, let $x,y,z \in X$.

Case 1: $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in G$ and $x \cdot y \in G$. Then

$$r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)) = r^+ = r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x \cdot y),$$

$$g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)) = g^+ = g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x \cdot y),$$

$$b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)) = b^- = b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x \cdot y).$$

Thus

$$\min\{r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x\cdot(y\cdot z)), r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x\cdot y)\} = \min\{r^+, r^+\} = r^+,$$

$$\min\{g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x\cdot(y\cdot z)), g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x\cdot y)\} = \min\{g^+, g^+\} = g^+,$$

$$\max\{b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x\cdot(y\cdot z)), b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x\cdot y)\} = \max\{b^-, b^-\} = b^-.$$

Since G is an implicative BCC-filter of X, we have $x \cdot z \in G$ and so $r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x \cdot z) = r^+$, $g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x \cdot z) = g^+$, and $g_P^G[_{h^+}^{b^-}](x \cdot z) = g^-$. Thus

$$\begin{split} r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x\cdot z) &= r^+ \geq r^+ = \min\{r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x\cdot (y\cdot z)), r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x\cdot y)\},\\ g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x\cdot z) &= g^+ \geq g^+ = \min\{g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x\cdot (y\cdot z)), g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x\cdot y)\},\\ b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x\cdot z) &= b^- \leq b^- = \max\{b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x\cdot (y\cdot z)), b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x\cdot y)\}. \end{split}$$

Case 2: $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \notin G$ or $x \cdot y \notin G$. Then

$$\begin{split} r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x\cdot (y\cdot z)) &= r^- \text{ or } r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x\cdot y) = r^-, \\ g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x\cdot (y\cdot z)) &= g^- \text{ or } g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x\cdot y) = g^-, \\ b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x\cdot (y\cdot z)) &= b^+ \text{ or } b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x\cdot y) = b^+. \end{split}$$

Thus

$$\min\{r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x\cdot(y\cdot z)), r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x\cdot y)\} = r^-,$$

$$\min\{g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x\cdot(y\cdot z)), g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x\cdot y)\} = g^-,$$

$$\max\{b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x\cdot(y\cdot z)), b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x\cdot y)\} = b^+.$$

Therefore,

$$r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x \cdot z) \geq r^{-} = \min\{r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x \cdot y)\},$$

$$g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](x \cdot z) \geq g^{-} = \min\{g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](x \cdot y)\},$$

$$b_{P}^{G}[_{h^{+}}^{b^{-}}](x \cdot z) \leq b^{+} = \max\{b_{P}^{G}[_{h^{+}}^{b^{-}}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_{P}^{G}[_{h^{+}}^{b^{-}}](x \cdot y)\}.$$

Hence, $P^G[_{r^-,g^-,b^+}^{r^+,g^+,b^-}]$ is a picture fuzzy implicative BCC-filter of X.

Theorem 3.5. The PFS $P^G[_{r^-,g^-,b^+}^{r^+,b^-}]$ in X is a picture fuzzy comparative BCC-filter of X if and only if a nonempty subset G of X is a comparative BCC-filter of X.

Proof. Assume that $P^G[_{r^-,g^-,b^+}^{r^+,b^-}]$ is a picture fuzzy comparative BCC-filter of X. Since $P^G[_{r^-,g^-,b^+}^{r^+,b^-}]$ satisfies the condition (3.7), it follows from Lemma 3.2 that $0 \in G$. Next, let $x,y,z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y) \in G$ and $x \in G$. Then $r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)) = r^+ = r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x)$. By (3.16), we have

$$r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](y) \ge \min\{r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x)\} = \min\{r^+, r^+\} = r^+ \ge r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](y)$$

and so $r_P^G[r_-^+](y) = r^+$. Thus $y \in G$. Hence, G is a comparative BCC-filter of X.

Conversely, assume that G is a comparative BCC-filter of X. Since $0 \in G$, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $P^G[r^+,g^+,b^-]$ satisfies the conditions (3.7), (3.8), and (3.9). Next, let $x,y,z \in X$.

Case 1: $x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y) \in G$ and $x \in G$. Then

$$r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)) = r^{+} = r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x),$$

$$g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)) = g^{+} = g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](x),$$

$$b_{P}^{G}[_{b^{+}}^{b^{-}}](x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)) = b^{-} = b_{P}^{G}[_{b^{+}}^{b^{-}}](x).$$

Thus

$$\min\{r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x\cdot((y\cdot z)\cdot y)), r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x)\} = \min\{r^+, r^+\} = r^+,$$

$$\min\{g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x\cdot((y\cdot z)\cdot y)), g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x)\} = \min\{g^+, g^+\} = g^+,$$

$$\max\{b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x\cdot((y\cdot z)\cdot y)), b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x)\} = \max\{b^-, b^-\} = b^-.$$

Since G is a comparative BCC-filter of X, we have $y \in G$ and so $r_P^G[r^+](y) = r^+$, $g_P^G[g^+](y) = g^+$, and $g_P^G[g^+](y) = g^-$. Thus

$$r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](y) = r^{+} \geq r^{+} = \min\{r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x)\},$$

$$g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](y) = g^{+} \geq g^{+} = \min\{g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](x)\},$$

$$b_{P}^{G}[_{b^{+}}^{b^{-}}](y) = b^{-} \leq b^{-} = \max\{b_{P}^{G}[_{b^{+}}^{b^{-}}](x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), b_{P}^{G}[_{b^{+}}^{b^{-}}](x)\}.$$

Case 2: $x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y) \notin G$ or $x \notin G$. Then

$$r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)) = r^- \text{ or } r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x) = r^-,$$

$$g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)) = g^- \text{ or } g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x) = g^-,$$

$$b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)) = b^+ \text{ or } b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x) = b^+.$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} & \min\{r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x\cdot((y\cdot z)\cdot y)), r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x)\} = r^-, \\ & \min\{g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x\cdot((y\cdot z)\cdot y)), g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x)\} = g^-, \\ & \max\{b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x\cdot((y\cdot z)\cdot y)), b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x)\} = b^+. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](y) &\geq r^- = \min\{r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x\cdot((y\cdot z)\cdot y)), r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x)\},\\ g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](y) &\geq g^- = \min\{g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x\cdot((y\cdot z)\cdot y)), g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x)\},\\ b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](y) &\leq b^+ = \max\{b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x\cdot((y\cdot z)\cdot y)), b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x)\}. \end{split}$$

Hence, $P^G[_{r^-,q^-,b^+}^{r^+,b^-}]$ is a picture fuzzy comparative BCC-filter of X.

Theorem 3.6. The PFS $P^G[r^+,g^+,b^-]$ in X is a picture fuzzy shift BCC-filter of X if and only if a nonempty subset G of X is a shift BCC-filter of X.

Proof. Assume that $P^G[r^+,g^+,b^-]$ is a picture fuzzy shift BCC-filter of X. Since $P^G[r^+,g^+,b^-]$ satisfies the condition (3.7), it follows from Lemma 3.2 that $0 \in G$. Next, let $x,y,z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in G$ and $x \in G$. Then $P^G[r^+](x \cdot (y \cdot z)) = r^+ = P^G[r^+](x)$. By (3.19), we have

$$r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z) \ge \min\{r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x)\}$$

$$= \min\{r^{+}, r^{+}\} = r^{+} \ge r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z)$$

and so $r_P^G[r^+](((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z) = r^+$. Thus $((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z \in G$. Hence, G is a shift BCC-filter of X.

Conversely, assume that G is a shift BCC-filter of X. Since $0 \in G$, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $P^G[_{r^-,g^-,b^+}^{r^+,b^-}]$ satisfies the conditions (3.7), (3.8), and (3.9). Next, let $x,y,z \in X$.

Case 1: $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in G$ and $x \in G$. Then

$$r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)) = r^{+} = r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x),$$

$$g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)) = g^{+} = g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](x),$$

$$b_{P}^{G}[_{b^{+}}^{b^{-}}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)) = b^{-} = b_{P}^{G}[_{b^{+}}^{b^{-}}](x).$$

Thus

$$\min\{r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x\cdot(y\cdot z)), r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x)\} = \min\{r^+, r^+\} = r^+,$$

$$\min\{g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x\cdot(y\cdot z)), g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x)\} = \min\{g^+, g^+\} = g^+,$$

$$\max\{b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x\cdot(y\cdot z)), b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x)\} = \max\{b^-, b^-\} = b^-.$$

Since G is a shift BCC-filter of X, we have $((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z \in G$ and so $r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z) = r^+$, $g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z) = g^+$, and $g_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z) = g^-$. Thus

$$r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z) = r^{+} \geq r^{+} = \min\{r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x)\},$$

$$g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z) = g^{+} \geq g^{+} = \min\{g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](x)\},$$

$$b_{P}^{G}[_{h^{+}}^{b^{-}}](((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z) = b^{-} \leq b^{-} = \max\{b_{P}^{G}[_{h^{+}}^{b^{-}}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_{P}^{G}[_{h^{+}}^{b^{-}}](x)\}.$$

Case 2: $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \notin G$ or $x \notin G$. Then

$$r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)) = r^- \text{ or } r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x) = r^-,$$

$$g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)) = g^- \text{ or } g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x) = g^-,$$

$$b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)) = b^+ \text{ or } b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x) = b^+.$$

Thus

$$\min\{r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x\cdot(y\cdot z)), r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x)\} = r^-, \\ \min\{g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x\cdot(y\cdot z)), g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x)\} = g^-, \\ \max\{b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x\cdot(y\cdot z)), b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x)\} = b^+.$$

Therefore,

$$r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z) \geq r^{-} = \min\{r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x)\},$$

$$g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z) \geq g^{-} = \min\{g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](x)\},$$

$$b_{P}^{G}[_{b^{+}}^{b^{-}}](((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z) \leq b^{+} = \max\{b_{P}^{G}[_{b^{+}}^{b^{-}}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_{P}^{G}[_{b^{+}}^{b^{-}}](x)\}.$$

Hence, $P^G[_{r^-,g^-,b^+}^{r^+,b^-}]$ is a picture fuzzy shift BCC-filter of X.

Theorem 3.7. The PFS $P^G[_{r^-,g^-,b^+}^{r^+,g^+,b^-}]$ in X is a picture fuzzy BCC-ideal of X if and only if a nonempty subset G of X is a BCC-ideal of X.

Proof. Assume that $P^G[_{r^-,g^-,b^+}^{r^+,b^-}]$ is a picture fuzzy BCC-ideal of X. Since $P^G[_{r^-,g^-,b^+}^{r^+,g^+,b^-}]$ satisfies the condition (3.7), it follows from Lemma 3.2 that $0 \in G$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in G$ and $y \in G$. Then $r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)) = r^+ = r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](y)$. By (3.22), we have

$$r_P^G[r_-^+](x \cdot z) \ge \min\{r_P^G[r_-^+](x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_P^G[r_-^+](y)\} = \min\{r_-^+, r_-^+\} = r_-^+ \ge r_P^G[r_-^+](x \cdot z)$$

and so $r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x \cdot z) = r^+$. Thus $x \cdot z \in G$. Hence, G is a BCC-ideal of X.

Conversely, assume that G is a BCC-ideal of X. Since $0 \in G$, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $P^G[r^+,g^+,b^-]$ satisfies the conditions (3.7), (3.8), and (3.9). Next, let $x,y,z \in X$.

Case 1: $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in G$ and $y \in G$. Then

$$r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)) = r^{+} = r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](y),$$

$$g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)) = g^{+} = g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](y),$$

$$b_{P}^{G}[_{b^{+}}^{b^{-}}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)) = b^{-} = b_{P}^{G}[_{b^{+}}^{b^{-}}](y).$$

Thus

$$\min\{r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x\cdot(y\cdot z)), r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](y)\} = \min\{r^+, r^+\} = r^+,$$

$$\min\{g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x\cdot(y\cdot z)), g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](y)\} = \min\{g^+, g^+\} = g^+,$$

$$\max\{b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x\cdot(y\cdot z)), b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](y)\} = \max\{b^-, b^-\} = b^-.$$

Since G is a BCC-ideal of X, we have $x \cdot z \in G$ and so $r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x \cdot z) = r^+$, $g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x \cdot z) = g^+$, and $b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x \cdot z) = b^-$. Thus

$$r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x \cdot z) = r^{+} \geq r^{+} = \min\{r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](y)\},$$

$$g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](x \cdot z) = g^{+} \geq g^{+} = \min\{g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](y)\},$$

$$b_{P}^{G}[_{h^{+}}^{b^{-}}](x \cdot z) = b^{-} \leq b^{-} = \max\{b_{P}^{G}[_{h^{+}}^{b^{-}}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_{P}^{G}[_{h^{+}}^{b^{-}}](y)\}.$$

Case 2: $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \notin G$ or $y \notin G$. Then

$$r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)) = r^{-} \text{ or } r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](y) = r^{-},$$

$$g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)) = g^{-} \text{ or } g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](y) = g^{-},$$

$$b_{P}^{G}[_{b^{+}}^{b^{-}}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)) = b^{+} \text{ or } b_{P}^{G}[_{b^{+}}^{b^{-}}](y) = b^{+}.$$

Thus

$$\min\{r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x\cdot(y\cdot z)), r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](y)\} = r^-, \\ \min\{g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x\cdot(y\cdot z)), g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](y)\} = g^-, \\ \max\{b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x\cdot(y\cdot z)), b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](y)\} = b^+.$$

Therefore,

$$r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x \cdot z) \geq r^{-} = \min\{r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_{P}^{G}[_{r^{-}}^{r^{+}}](y)\},$$

$$g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](x \cdot z) \geq g^{-} = \min\{g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_{P}^{G}[_{g^{-}}^{g^{+}}](y)\},$$

$$b_{P}^{G}[_{b^{+}}^{b^{-}}](x \cdot z) \leq b^{+} = \max\{b_{P}^{G}[_{b^{+}}^{b^{-}}](x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_{P}^{G}[_{b^{+}}^{b^{-}}](y)\}.$$

Hence, $P^G[^{r+,g^+,b^-}_{r-,g^-,b^+}]$ is a picture fuzzy BCC-ideal of X.

Theorem 3.8. The PFS $P^G[_{r^-,g^-,b^+}^{r^+,b^-}]$ in X is a picture fuzzy strong BCC-ideal of X if and only if a nonempty subset G of X is a strong BCC-ideal of X.

Proof. Assume that $P^G[r^+,g^+,b^-]$ is a picture fuzzy strong BCC-ideal of X. Then $P^G[r^+,g^+,b^-]$ is constant, that is, $r_P^G[r^+]$ is constant. Since G is nonempty, we have $r_P^G[r^+](x) = r^+$ for all $x \in X$. Thus G = X. Hence, G is a strong BCC-ideal of X.

Conversely, assume that G is a strong BCC-ideal of X. Then G = X, so

$$(\forall x \in X) \begin{pmatrix} r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}](x) = r^+ \\ g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}](x) = g^+ \\ b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}](x) = b^- \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus $r_P^G[_{r^-}^{r^+}]$, $g_P^G[_{g^-}^{g^+}]$, and $b_P^G[_{b^+}^{b^-}]$ are constant, that is, $P^G[_{r^-,g^-,b^+}^{r^+,b^-}]$ is constant. Hence, $P^G[_{r^-,g^-,b^+}^{r^+,b^-}]$ is a picture fuzzy strong BCC-ideal of X.

4. Level subsets of a PFS

In this section, we discuss the relationships between picture fuzzy BCC-subalgebras (resp., picture fuzzy near BCC-filters, picture fuzzy BCC-filters, picture fuzzy implicative BCC-filters, picture fuzzy comparative BCC-filters, picture fuzzy shift BCC-filters, picture fuzzy BCC-ideals, and picture fuzzy strong BCC-ideals) of BCC-algebras and their level subsets.

Definition 4.1. [34] Let f be a fuzzy set in X. For any $t \in [0, 1]$, the sets

$$U(f;t) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \ge t\},\$$

$$U^{+}(f;t) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) > t\},\$$

$$L(f;t) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \le t\},\$$

$$L^{-}(f;t) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) < t\},\$$

$$E(f;t) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = t\}$$

are called an upper t-level subset, an upper t-strong level subset, a lower t-level subset, a lower t-strong level subset and an equal t-level subset of f, respectively.

Theorem 4.1. A PFS P in X is a picture fuzzy BCC-subalgebra of X if and only if for all $t \in [0, 1]$, the sets $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $L(b_P; t)$ are BCC-subalgebras of X if $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $L(b_P; t)$ are nonempty.

Proof. Assume that P is a picture fuzzy BCC-subalgebra of X. Let $t \in [0,1]$ be such that $U(r_P;t), U(g_P;t)$, and $L(b_P;t)$ are nonempty.

Let $x, y \in U(r_P; t)$. Then $r_P(x) \ge t$ and $r_P(y) \ge t$, so t is a lower bound of $\{r_P(x), r_P(y)\}$. By (3.1), we have $r_P(x \cdot y) \ge \min\{r_P(x), r_P(y)\} \ge t$. Thus $x \cdot y \in U(r_P; t)$.

Let $x, y \in U(g_P; t)$. Then $g_P(x) \ge t$ and $g_P(y) \ge t$, so t is a lower bound of $\{g_P(x), g_P(y)\}$. By (3.2), we have $g_P(x \cdot y) \ge \min\{g_P(x), g_P(y)\} \ge t$. Thus $x \cdot y \in U(g_P; t)$.

Let $x, y \in L(b_P; t)$. Then $b_P(x) \le t$ and $b_P(y) \le t$, so t is an upper bound of $\{b_P(x), b_P(y)\}$. By (3.3), we have $b_P(x \cdot y) \le \max\{b_P(x), b_P(y)\} \le t$. Thus $x \cdot y \in L(b_P; t)$.

Hence, $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $L(b_P; t)$ are BCC-subalgebras of X.

Conversely, assume that for all $t \in [0,1]$, the sets $U(r_P;t)$, $U(g_P;t)$, and $L(b_P;t)$ are BCC-subalgebras of X if $U(r_P;t)$, $U(g_P;t)$, and $L(b_P;t)$ are nonempty.

Let $x, y \in X$. Then $r_P(x), r_P(y) \in [0, 1]$. Choose $t = \min\{r_P(x), r_P(y)\}$. Thus $r_P(x) \ge t$ and $r_P(y) \ge t$, so $x, y \in U(r_P; t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $U(r_P; t)$ is a BCC-subalgebra of X and so $x \cdot y \in U(r_P; t)$. Thus $r_P(x \cdot y) \ge t = \min\{r_P(x), r_P(y)\}$.

Let $x, y \in X$. Then $g_P(x), g_P(y) \in [0, 1]$. Choose $t = \min\{g_P(x), g_P(y)\}$. Thus $g_P(x) \ge t$ and $g_P(y) \ge t$, so $x, y \in U(g_P; t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $U(g_P; t)$ is a BCC-subalgebra of X and so $x \cdot y \in U(g_P; t)$. Thus $g_P(x \cdot y) \ge t = \min\{g_P(x), g_P(y)\}$.

Let $x, y \in X$. Then $b_P(x), b_P(y) \in [0, 1]$. Choose $t = \max\{b_P(x), b_P(y)\}$. Thus $b_P(x) \le t$ and $b_P(y) \le t$, so $x, y \in L(b_P; t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $L(b_P; t)$ is a BCC-subalgebra of X and so $x \cdot y \in L(b_P; t)$. Thus $b_P(x \cdot y) \le t = \max\{b_P(x), b_P(y)\}$.

Therefore, P is a picture fuzzy BCC-subalgebra of X.

Theorem 4.2. If P is a picture fuzzy BCC-subalgebra of X, then for all $t \in [0,1]$, the sets $U^+(r_P;t)$, $U^+(g_P;t)$, and $L^-(b_P;t)$ are BCC-subalgebras of X if $U^+(r_P;t)$, $U^+(g_P;t)$, and $L^-(b_P;t)$ are nonempty.

Proof. Assume that P is a picture fuzzy BCC-subalgebra of X. Let $t \in [0,1]$ be such that $U^+(r_P;t), U^+(g_P;t)$, and $L^-(b_P;t)$ are nonempty.

Let $x, y \in U^+(r_P; t)$. Then $r_P(x) > t$ and $r_P(y) > t$, so t is a lower bound of $\{r_P(x), r_P(y)\}$. By (3.1), we have $r_P(x \cdot y) \ge \min\{r_P(x), r_P(y)\} > t$. Thus $x \cdot y \in U^+(r_P; t)$.

Let $x, y \in U^+(g_P; t)$. Then $g_P(x) > t$ and $g_P(y) > t$, so t is a lower bound of $\{g_P(x), g_P(y)\}$. By (3.2), we have $g_P(x \cdot y) \ge \min\{g_P(x), g_P(y)\} > t$. Thus $x \cdot y \in U^+(g_P; t)$.

Let $x, y \in L^{-}(b_{P}; t)$. Then $b_{P}(x) < t$ and $b_{P}(y) < t$, so t is an upper bound of $\{b_{P}(x), b_{P}(y)\}$. By (3.3), we have $b_{P}(x \cdot y) \leq \max\{b_{P}(x), b_{P}(y)\} < t$. Thus $x \cdot y \in L^{-}(b_{P}; t)$.

Hence, $U^+(r_P;t)$, $U^+(g_P;t)$, and $L^-(b_P;t)$ are BCC-subalgebras of X.

Theorem 4.3. A PFS P in X is a picture fuzzy near BCC-filter of X if and only if for all $t \in [0, 1]$, the sets $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $L(b_P; t)$ are near BCC-filters of X if $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $U(b_P; t)$ are nonempty.

Proof. Assume that P is a picture fuzzy near BCC-filter of X. Let $t \in [0,1]$ be such that $U(r_P;t), U(g_P;t)$, and $L(b_P;t)$ are nonempty.

Let $x \in X$ and $y \in U(r_P; t)$. Then $r_P(y) \ge t$. By (3.4), we have $r_P(x \cdot y) \ge r_P(y) \ge t$. Thus $x \cdot y \in U(r_P; t)$.

Let $x \in X$ and $y \in U(g_P; t)$. Then $g_P(y) \ge t$. By (3.5), we have $g_P(x \cdot y) \ge g_P(y) \ge t$. Thus $x \cdot y \in U(g_P; t)$.

Let $x \in X$ and $y \in L(b_P; t)$. Then $b_P(y) \le t$. By (3.6), we have $b_P(x \cdot y) \le b_P(y) \le t$. Thus $x \cdot y \in L(b_P; t)$.

Hence, $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $L(b_P; t)$ are near BCC-filters of X.

Conversely, assume that for all $t \in [0, 1]$, the sets $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $L(b_P; t)$ are near BCC-filters of X if $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $U(g_P; t)$ are nonempty.

Let $x, y \in X$. Then $r_P(y) \in [0, 1]$. Choose $t = r_P(y)$. Thus $r_P(y) \ge t$, so $y \in U(r_P; t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $U(r_P; t)$ is a near BCC-filter of X and so $x \cdot y \in U(r_P; t)$. Thus $r_P(x \cdot y) \ge t = r_P(y)$.

Let $x, y \in X$. Then $g_P(y) \in [0, 1]$. Choose $t = g_P(y)$. Thus $g_P(y) \ge t$, so $y \in U(g_P; t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $U(g_P; t)$ is a near BCC-filter of X and so $x \cdot y \in U(g_P; t)$. Thus $g_P(x \cdot y) \ge t = g_P(y)$.

Let $x, y \in X$. Then $b_P(y) \in [0, 1]$. Choose $t = b_P(y)$. Thus $b_P(y) \le t$, so $y \in L(b_P; t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $L(b_P; t)$ is a near BCC-filter of X and so $x \cdot y \in L(b_P; t)$. Thus $b_P(x \cdot y) \le t = b_P(y)$.

Therefore, P is a picture fuzzy near BCC-filter of X.

Theorem 4.4. If P is a picture fuzzy near BCC-filter of X, then for all $t \in [0,1]$, the sets $U^+(r_P;t)$, $U^+(g_P;t)$, and $L^-(b_P;t)$ are near BCC-filters of X if $U^+(r_P;t)$, $U^+(g_P;t)$, and $L^-(b_P;t)$ are nonempty.

Proof. Assume that P is a picture fuzzy near BCC-filter of X. Let $t \in [0,1]$ be such that $U^+(r_P;t), U^+(g_P;t)$, and $L^-(b_P;t)$ are nonempty.

Let $x \in X$ and $y \in U^+(r_P; t)$. Then $r_P(y) > t$. By (3.4), we have $r_P(x \cdot y) \ge r_P(y) > t$. Thus $x \cdot y \in U^+(r_P; t)$.

Let $x \in X$ and $y \in U^+(g_P; t)$. Then $g_P(y) > t$. By (3.5), we have $g_P(x \cdot y) \ge g_P(y) > t$. Thus $x \cdot y \in U^+(g_P; t)$.

Let $x \in X$ and $y \in L^-(b_P; t)$. Then $b_P(y) < t$. By (3.6), we have $b_P(x \cdot y) \le b_P(y) < t$. Thus $x \cdot y \in L^-(b_P; t)$.

Hence, $U^+(r_P;t)$, $U^+(g_P;t)$, and $L^-(b_P;t)$ are near BCC-filters of X.

Theorem 4.5. A PFS P in X is a picture fuzzy BCC-filter of X if and only if for all $t \in [0, 1]$, the sets $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $L(b_P; t)$ are BCC-filters of X if $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $U(g_P; t)$ are nonempty.

Proof. Assume that P is a picture fuzzy BCC-filter of X. Let $t \in [0, 1]$ be such that $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $L(b_P; t)$ are nonempty.

Let $x \in U(r_P;t)$. Then $r_P(x) \ge t$. By (3.7), we have $r_P(0) \ge r_P(x) \ge t$. Thus $0 \in U(r_P;t)$. Next, let $x,y \in X$ be such that $x \cdot y \in U(r_P;t)$ and $x \in U(r_P;t)$. Then $r_P(x \cdot y) \ge t$ and $r_P(x) \ge t$, so t is a lower bound of $\{r_P(x \cdot y), r_P(x)\}$. By (3.10), we have $r_P(y) \ge \min\{r_P(x \cdot y), r_P(x)\} \ge t$. Thus $y \in U(r_P;t)$.

Let $x \in U(g_P; t)$. Then $g_P(x) \ge t$. By (3.8), we have $g_P(0) \ge g_P(x) \ge t$. Thus $0 \in U(g_P; t)$. Next, let $x, y \in X$ be such that $x \cdot y \in U(g_P; t)$ and $x \in U(g_P; t)$. Then $g_P(x \cdot y) \ge t$ and $g_P(x) \ge t$,

so t is a lower bound of $\{g_P(x \cdot y), g_P(x)\}$. By (3.11), we have $g_P(y) \ge \min\{g_P(x \cdot y), g_P(x)\} \ge t$. Thus $y \in U(g_P; t)$.

Let $x \in L(b_P; t)$. Then $b_P(x) \le t$. By (3.9), we have $b_P(0) \le b_P(x) \le t$. Thus $0 \in L(b_P; t)$. Next, let $x, y \in X$ be such that $x \cdot y \in L(b_P; t)$ and $x \in L(b_P; t)$. Then $b_P(x \cdot y) \le t$ and $b_P(x) \le t$, so t is an upper bound of $\{b_P(x \cdot y), b_P(x)\}$. By (3.12), we have $b_P(y) \le \max\{b_P(x \cdot y), b_P(x)\} \le t$. Thus $y \in L(b_P; t)$.

Hence, $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $L(b_P; t)$ are BCC-filters of X.

Conversely, assume that for all $t \in [0, 1]$, the sets $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $L(b_P; t)$ are BCC-filters of X if $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $L(b_P; t)$ are nonempty.

Let $x \in X$. Then $r_P(x) \in [0,1]$. Choose $t = r_P(x)$. Thus $r_P(x) \ge t$, so $x \in U(r_P;t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $U(r_P;t)$ is a BCC-filter of X and so $0 \in U(r_P;t)$. Thus $r_P(0) \ge t = r_P(x)$. Next, let $x,y \in X$. Then $r_P(x \cdot y), r_P(x) \in [0,1]$. Choose $t = \min\{r_P(x \cdot y), r_P(x)\}$. Thus $r_P(x \cdot y) \ge t$ and $r_P(x) \ge t$, so $x \cdot y, x \in U(r_P;t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $U(r_P;t)$ is a BCC-filter of X and so $y \in U(r_P;t)$. Thus $r_P(y) \ge t = \min\{r_P(x \cdot y), r_P(x)\}$.

Let $x \in X$. Then $g_P(x) \in [0,1]$. Choose $t = g_P(x)$. Thus $g_P(x) \ge t$, so $x \in U(g_P;t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $U(g_P;t)$ is a BCC-filter of X and so $0 \in U(g_P;t)$. Thus $g_P(0) \ge t = g_P(x)$. Next, let $x,y \in X$. Then $g_P(x \cdot y), g_P(x) \in [0,1]$. Choose $t = \min\{g_P(x \cdot y), g_P(x)\}$. Thus $g_P(x \cdot y) \ge t$ and $g_P(x) \ge t$, so $x \cdot y, x \in U(g_P;t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $U(g_P;t)$ is a BCC-filter of X and so $y \in U(g_P;t)$. Thus $g_P(y) \ge t = \min\{g_P(x \cdot y), g_P(x)\}$.

Let $x \in X$. Then $b_P(x) \in [0,1]$. Choose $t = b_P(x)$. Thus $b_P(x) \le t$, so $x \in L(b_P;t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $L(b_P;t)$ is a BCC-filter of X and so $0 \in L(b_P;t)$. Thus $b_P(0) \le t = b_P(x)$. Next, let $x,y \in X$. Then $b_P(x \cdot y), b_P(x) \in [0,1]$. Choose $t = \max\{b_P(x \cdot y), b_P(x)\}$. Thus $b_P(x \cdot y) \le t$ and $b_P(x) \le t$, so $x \cdot y, x \in L(b_P;t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $L(b_P;t)$ is a BCC-filter of X and so $y \in L(b_P;t)$. Thus $b_P(y) \le t = \max\{b_P(x \cdot y), b_P(x)\}$.

Therefore, P is a picture fuzzy BCC-filter of X.

Theorem 4.6. If P is a picture fuzzy BCC-filter of X, then for all $t \in [0,1]$, the sets $U^+(r_P;t), U^+(g_P;t)$, and $L^-(b_P;t)$ are BCC-filters of X if $U^+(r_P;t), U^+(g_P;t)$, and $L^-(b_P;t)$ are nonempty.

Proof. Assume that P is a picture fuzzy BCC-filter of X. Let $t \in [0,1]$ be such that $U^+(r_P;t), U^+(g_P;t)$, and $L^-(b_P;t)$ are nonempty.

Let $x \in U^+(r_P; t)$. Then $r_P(x) > t$. By (3.7), we have $r_P(0) \ge r_P(x) > t$. Thus $0 \in U^+(r_P; t)$. Next, let $x, y \in X$ be such that $x \cdot y \in U^+(r_P; t)$ and $x \in U^+(r_P; t)$. Then $r_P(x \cdot y) > t$ and $r_P(x) > t$, so t is a lower bound of $\{r_P(x \cdot y), r_P(x)\}$. By (3.10), we have $r_P(y) \ge \min\{r_P(x \cdot y), r_P(x)\} > t$. Thus $y \in U^+(r_P; t)$.

Let $x \in U^+(g_P; t)$. Then $g_P(x) > t$. By (3.8), we have $g_P(0) \ge g_P(x) > t$. Thus $0 \in U^+(g_P; t)$. Next, let $x, y \in X$ be such that $x \cdot y \in U^+(g_P; t)$ and $x \in U^+(g_P; t)$. Then $g_P(x \cdot y) > t$ and $g_P(x) > t$,

so t is a lower bound of $\{g_P(x \cdot y), g_P(x)\}$. By (3.11), we have $g_P(y) \ge \min\{g_P(x \cdot y), g_P(x)\} > t$. Thus $y \in U^+(g_P; t)$.

Let $x \in L^-(b_P; t)$. Then $b_P(x) < t$. By (3.9), we have $b_P(0) \le b_P(x) < t$. Thus $0 \in L^-(b_P; t)$. Next, let $x, y \in X$ be such that $x \cdot y \in L^-(b_P; t)$ and $x \in L^-(b_P; t)$. Then $b_P(x \cdot y) < t$ and $b_P(x) < t$, so t is an upper bound of $\{b_P(x \cdot y), b_P(x)\}$. By (3.12), we have $b_P(y) \le \max\{b_P(x \cdot y), b_P(x)\} < t$. Thus $y \in L^-(b_P; t)$.

Hence, $U^+(r_P;t)$, $U^+(g_P;t)$, and $L^-(b_P;t)$ are BCC-filters of X.

Theorem 4.7. A PFS P in X is a picture fuzzy implicative BCC-filter of X if and only if for all $t \in [0, 1]$, the sets $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $L(b_P; t)$ are implicative BCC-filters of X if $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $L(b_P; t)$ are nonempty.

Proof. Assume that P is a picture fuzzy implicative BCC-filter of X. Let $t \in [0,1]$ be such that $U(r_P;t)$, $U(g_P;t)$, and $L(b_P;t)$ are nonempty.

Let $x \in U(r_P; t)$. Then $r_P(x) \ge t$. By (3.7), we have $r_P(0) \ge r_P(x) \ge t$. Thus $0 \in U(r_P; t)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in U(r_P; t)$ and $x \cdot y \in U(r_P; t)$. Then $r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) \ge t$ and $r_P(x \cdot y) \ge t$, so t is a lower bound of $\{r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_P(x \cdot y)\}$. By (3.13), we have $r_P(x \cdot z) \ge \min\{r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_P(x \cdot y)\} \ge t$. Thus $x \cdot z \in U(r_P; t)$.

Let $x \in U(g_P;t)$. Then $g_P(x) \ge t$. By (3.8), we have $g_P(0) \ge g_P(x) \ge t$. Thus $0 \in U(g_P;t)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in U(g_P;t)$ and $x \cdot y \in U(g_P;t)$. Then $g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) \ge t$ and $g_P(x \cdot y) \ge t$, so t is a lower bound of $\{g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_P(x \cdot y)\}$. By (3.14), we have $g_P(x \cdot z) \ge \min\{g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_P(x \cdot y)\} \ge t$. Thus $x \cdot z \in U(g_P;t)$.

Let $x \in L(b_P; t)$. Then $b_P(x) \le t$. By (3.9), we have $b_P(0) \le b_P(x) \le t$. Thus $0 \in L(b_P; t)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in L(b_P; t)$ and $x \cdot y \in L(b_P; t)$. Then $b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) \le t$ and $b_P(x \cdot y) \le t$, so t is an upper bound of $\{b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_P(x \cdot y)\}$. By (3.15), we have $b_P(x \cdot z) \le \max\{b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_P(x \cdot y)\} \le t$. Thus $x \cdot z \in L(b_P; t)$.

Hence, $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $L(b_P; t)$ are implicative BCC-filters of X.

Conversely, assume that for all $t \in [0, 1]$, the sets $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $L(b_P; t)$ are implicative BCC-filters of X if $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $L(b_P; t)$ are nonempty.

Let $x \in X$. Then $r_P(x) \in [0,1]$. Choose $t = r_P(x)$. Thus $r_P(x) \ge t$, so $x \in U(r_P;t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $U(r_P;t)$ is an implicative BCC-filter of X and so $0 \in U(r_P;t)$. Thus $r_P(0) \ge t = r_P(x)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$. Then $r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_P(x \cdot y) \in [0,1]$. Choose $t = \min\{r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_P(x \cdot y)\}$. Thus $r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) \ge t$ and $r_P(x \cdot y) \ge t$, so $x \cdot (y \cdot z), x \cdot y \in U(r_P;t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $U(r_P;t)$ is an implicative BCC-filter of X and so $x \cdot z \in U(r_P;t)$. Thus $r_P(x \cdot z) \ge t = \min\{r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_P(x \cdot y)\}$.

Let $x \in X$. Then $g_P(x) \in [0,1]$. Choose $t = g_P(x)$. Thus $g_P(x) \ge t$, so $x \in U(g_P;t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $U(g_P;t)$ is an implicative BCC-filter of X and so $0 \in U(g_P;t)$. Thus

 $g_P(0) \ge t = g_P(x)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$. Then $g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_P(x \cdot y) \in [0, 1]$. Choose $t = \min\{g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_P(x \cdot y)\}$. Thus $g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) \ge t$ and $g_P(x \cdot y) \ge t$, so $x \cdot (y \cdot z), x \cdot y \in U(g_P; t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $U(g_P; t)$ is an implicative BCC-filter of X and so $x \cdot z \in U(g_P; t)$. Thus $g_P(x \cdot z) \ge t = \min\{g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_P(x \cdot y)\}$.

Let $x \in X$. Then $b_P(x) \in [0,1]$. Choose $t = b_P(x)$. Thus $b_P(x) \le t$, so $x \in L(b_P;t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $L(b_P;t)$ is an implicative BCC-filter of X and so $0 \in L(b_P;t)$. Thus $b_P(0) \le t = b_P(x)$. Next, let $x,y,z \in X$. Then $b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_P(x \cdot y) \in [0,1]$. Choose $t = \max\{b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_P(x \cdot y)\}$. Thus $b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) \le t$ and $b_P(x \cdot y) \le t$, so $x \cdot (y \cdot z), x \cdot y \in L(b_P;t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $L(b_P;t)$ is an implicative BCC-filter of X and so $x \cdot z \in L(b_P;t)$. Thus $b_P(x \cdot z) \le t = \max\{b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_P(x \cdot y)\}$.

Therefore, P is a picture fuzzy implicative BCC-filter of X.

Theorem 4.8. If P is a picture fuzzy implicative BCC-filter of X, then for all $t \in [0,1]$, the sets $U^+(r_P;t), U^+(g_P;t)$, and $L^-(b_P;t)$ are implicative BCC-filters of X if $U^+(r_P;t), U^+(g_P;t)$, and $L^-(b_P;t)$ are nonempty.

Proof. Assume that P is a picture fuzzy implicative BCC-filter of X. Let $t \in [0,1]$ be such that $U^+(r_P;t), U^+(g_P;t)$, and $L^-(b_P;t)$ are nonempty.

Let $x \in U^+(r_P;t)$. Then $r_P(x) > t$. By (3.7), we have $r_P(0) \ge r_P(x) > t$. Thus $0 \in U^+(r_P;t)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in U^+(r_P;t)$ and $x \cdot y \in U^+(r_P;t)$. Then $r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) > t$ and $r_P(x \cdot y) > t$, so t is a lower bound of $\{r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_P(x \cdot y)\}$. By (3.13), we have $r_P(x \cdot z) \ge \min\{r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_P(x \cdot y)\} > t$. Thus $x \cdot z \in U^+(r_P;t)$.

Let $x \in U^+(g_P; t)$. Then $g_P(x) > t$. By (3.8), we have $g_P(0) \ge g_P(x) > t$. Thus $0 \in U^+(g_P; t)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in U^+(g_P; t)$ and $x \cdot y \in U^+(g_P; t)$. Then $g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) > t$ and $g_P(x \cdot y) > t$, so t is a lower bound of $\{g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_P(x \cdot y)\}$. By (3.14), we have $g_P(x \cdot z) \ge \min\{g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_P(x \cdot y)\} > t$. Thus $x \cdot z \in U^+(g_P; t)$.

Let $x \in L^-(b_P;t)$. Then $b_P(x) < t$. By (3.9), we have $b_P(0) \le b_P(x) < t$. Thus $0 \in L^-(b_P;t)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in L^-(b_P;t)$ and $x \cdot y \in L^-(b_P;t)$. Then $b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) < t$ and $b_P(x \cdot y) < t$, so t is an upper bound of $\{b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_P(x \cdot y)\}$. By (3.15), we have $b_P(x \cdot z) \le \max\{b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_P(x \cdot y)\} < t$. Thus $x \cdot z \in L^-(b_P;t)$.

Hence, $U^+(r_P;t)$, $U^+(q_P;t)$, and $L^-(b_P;t)$ are implicative BCC-filters of X.

Theorem 4.9. A PFS P in X is a picture fuzzy comparative BCC-filter of X if and only if for all $t \in [0, 1]$, the sets $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $L(b_P; t)$ are comparative BCC-filters of X if $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $L(b_P; t)$ are nonempty.

Proof. Assume that P is a picture fuzzy comparative BCC-filter of X. Let $t \in [0,1]$ be such that $U(r_P;t)$, $U(g_P;t)$, and $L(b_P;t)$ are nonempty.

Let $x \in U(r_P; t)$. Then $r_P(x) \ge t$. By (3.7), we have $r_P(0) \ge r_P(x) \ge t$. Thus $0 \in U(r_P; t)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y) \in U(r_P; t)$ and $x \in U(r_P; t)$. Then $r_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)) \ge t$ and $r_P(x) \ge t$, so t is a lower bound of $\{r_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), r_P(x)\}$. By (3.16), we have $r_P(y) \ge \min\{r_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), r_P(x)\} \ge t$. Thus $y \in U(r_P; t)$.

Let $x \in U(g_P; t)$. Then $g_P(x) \ge t$. By (3.8), we have $g_P(0) \ge g_P(x) \ge t$. Thus $0 \in U(g_P; t)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y) \in U(g_P; t)$ and $x \in U(g_P; t)$. Then $g_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)) \ge t$ and $g_P(x) \ge t$, so t is a lower bound of $\{g_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), g_P(x)\}$. By (3.17), we have $g_P(y) \ge \min\{g_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), g_P(x)\} \ge t$. Thus $y \in U(g_P; t)$.

Let $x \in L(b_P; t)$. Then $b_P(x) \le t$. By (3.9), we have $b_P(0) \le b_P(x) \le t$. Thus $0 \in L(b_P; t)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y) \in L(b_P; t)$ and $x \in L(b_P; t)$. Then $b_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)) \le t$ and $b_P(x) \le t$, so t is an upper bound of $\{b_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), b_P(x)\}$. By (3.18), we have $b_P(y) \le \max\{b_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), b_P(x)\} \le t$. Thus $y \in L(b_P; t)$.

Hence, $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $L(b_P; t)$ are comparative BCC-filters of X.

Conversely, assume that for all $t \in [0, 1]$, the sets $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $L(b_P; t)$ are comparative BCC-filters of X if $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $U(g_P; t)$ are nonempty.

Let $x \in X$. Then $r_P(x) \in [0,1]$. Choose $t = r_P(x)$. Thus $r_P(x) \ge t$, so $x \in U(r_P;t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $U(r_P;t)$ is a comparative BCC-filter of X and so $0 \in U(r_P;t)$. Thus $r_P(0) \ge t = r_P(x)$. Next, let $x,y,z \in X$. Then $r_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), r_P(x) \in [0,1]$. Choose $t = \min\{r_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), r_P(x)\}$. Thus $r_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)) \ge t$ and $r_P(x) \ge t$, so $x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y), x \in U(r_P;t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $U(r_P;t)$ is a comparative BCC-filter of X and so $y \in U(r_P;t)$. Thus $r_P(y) \ge t = \min\{r_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), r_P(x)\}$.

Let $x \in X$. Then $g_P(x) \in [0,1]$. Choose $t = g_P(x)$. Thus $g_P(x) \ge t$, so $x \in U(g_P;t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $U(g_P;t)$ is a comparative BCC-filter of X and so $0 \in U(g_P;t)$. Thus $g_P(0) \ge t = g_P(x)$. Next, let $x,y,z \in X$. Then $g_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), g_P(x) \in [0,1]$. Choose $t = \min\{g_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), g_P(x)\}$. Thus $g_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)) \ge t$ and $g_P(x) \ge t$, so $x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y), x \in U(g_P;t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $U(g_P;t)$ is a comparative BCC-filter of X and so $y \in U(g_P;t)$. Thus $g_P(y) \ge t = \min\{g_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), g_P(x)\}$.

Let $x \in X$. Then $b_P(x) \in [0,1]$. Choose $t = b_P(x)$. Thus $b_P(x) \le t$, so $x \in L(b_P;t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $L(b_P;t)$ is a comparative BCC-filter of X and so $0 \in L(b_P;t)$. Thus $b_P(0) \le t = b_P(x)$. Next, let $x,y,z \in X$. Then $b_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), b_P(x) \in [0,1]$. Choose $t = \max\{b_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), b_P(x)\}$. Thus $b_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)) \le t$ and $b_P(x) \le t$, so $x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y), x \in L(b_P;t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $L(b_P;t)$ is a comparative BCC-filter of X and so $y \in L(b_P;t)$. Thus $b_P(y) \le t = \max\{b_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), b_P(x)\}$.

Therefore, P is a picture fuzzy comparative BCC-filter of X.

Theorem 4.10. If P is a picture fuzzy comparative BCC-filter of X, then for all $t \in [0, 1]$, the sets $U^+(r_P; t), U^+(g_P; t)$, and $L^-(b_P; t)$ are comparative BCC-filters of X if $U^+(r_P; t), U^+(g_P; t)$, and $L^-(b_P; t)$ are nonempty.

Proof. Assume that P is a picture fuzzy comparative BCC-filter of X. Let $t \in [0,1]$ be such that $U^+(r_P;t)$, $U^+(g_P;t)$, and $L^-(b_P;t)$ are nonempty.

Let $x \in U^+(r_P; t)$. Then $r_P(x) > t$. By (3.7), we have $r_P(0) \ge r_P(x) > t$. Thus $0 \in U^+(r_P; t)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y) \in U^+(r_P; t)$ and $x \in U^+(r_P; t)$. Then $r_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)) > t$ and $r_P(x) > t$, so t is a lower bound of $\{r_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), r_P(x)\}$. By (3.16), we have $r_P(y) \ge \min\{r_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), r_P(x)\} > t$. Thus $y \in U^+(r_P; t)$.

Let $x \in U^+(g_P; t)$. Then $g_P(x) > t$. By (3.8), we have $g_P(0) \ge g_P(x) > t$. Thus $0 \in U^+(g_P; t)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y) \in U^+(g_P; t)$ and $x \in U^+(g_P; t)$. Then $g_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)) > t$ and $g_P(x) > t$, so t is a lower bound of $\{g_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), g_P(x)\}$. By (3.17), we have $g_P(y) \ge \min\{g_P(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), g_P(x)\} > t$. Thus $y \in U^+(g_P; t)$.

Let $x \in L^{-}(b_{P};t)$. Then $b_{P}(x) < t$. By (3.9), we have $b_{P}(0) \leq b_{P}(x) < t$. Thus $0 \in L^{-}(b_{P};t)$. Next, let $x,y,z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y) \in L^{-}(b_{P};t)$ and $x \in L^{-}(b_{P};t)$. Then $b_{P}(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)) < t$ and $b_{P}(x) < t$, so t is an upper bound of $\{b_{P}(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), b_{P}(x)\}$. By (3.18), we have $b_{P}(y) \leq \max\{b_{P}(x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot y)), b_{P}(x)\} < t$. Thus $y \in L^{-}(b_{P};t)$.

Hence, $U^+(r_P;t)$, $U^+(g_P;t)$, and $L^-(b_P;t)$ are comparative BCC-filters of X.

Theorem 4.11. A PFS P in X is a picture fuzzy shift BCC-filter of X if and only if for all $t \in [0,1]$, the sets $U(r_P;t)$, $U(g_P;t)$, and $L(b_P;t)$ are shift BCC-filters of X if $U(r_P;t)$, $U(g_P;t)$, and $U(g_P;t)$ are nonempty.

Proof. Assume that P is a picture fuzzy shift BCC-filter of X. Let $t \in [0,1]$ be such that $U(r_P;t)$, $U(g_P;t)$, and $L(b_P;t)$ are nonempty.

Let $x \in U(r_P; t)$. Then $r_P(x) \ge t$. By (3.7), we have $r_P(0) \ge r_P(x) \ge t$. Thus $0 \in U(r_P; t)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in U(r_P; t)$ and $x \in U(r_P; t)$. Then $r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) \ge t$ and $r_P(x) \ge t$, so t is a lower bound of $\{r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_P(x)\}$. By (3.19), we have $r_P(((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z) \ge \min\{r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_P(x)\} \ge t$. Thus $((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z \in U(r_P; t)$.

Let $x \in U(g_P; t)$. Then $g_P(x) \ge t$. By (3.8), we have $g_P(0) \ge g_P(x) \ge t$. Thus $0 \in U(g_P; t)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in U(g_P; t)$ and $x \in U(g_P; t)$. Then $g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) \ge t$ and $g_P(x) \ge t$, so t is a lower bound of $\{g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_P(x)\}$. By (3.20), we have $g_P(((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z) \ge \min\{g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_P(x)\} \ge t$. Thus $((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z \in U(g_P; t)$.

Let $x \in L(b_P; t)$. Then $b_P(x) \le t$. By (3.9), we have $b_P(0) \le b_P(x) \le t$. Thus $0 \in L(b_P; t)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in L(b_P; t)$ and $x \in L(b_P; t)$. Then $b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) \le t$ and $b_P(x) \le t$, so t is an upper bound of $\{b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_P(x)\}$. By (3.21), we have $b_P(((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z) \le \max\{b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_P(x)\} \le t$. Thus $((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z \in L(b_P; t)$.

Hence, $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $L(b_P; t)$ are shift BCC-filters of X.

Conversely, assume that for all $t \in [0, 1]$, the sets $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $L(b_P; t)$ are shift BCC-filters of X if $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $U(g_P; t)$ are nonempty.

Let $x \in X$. Then $r_P(x) \in [0,1]$. Choose $t = r_P(x)$. Thus $r_P(x) \ge t$, so $x \in U(r_P;t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $U(r_P;t)$ is a shift BCC-filter of X and so $0 \in U(r_P;t)$. Thus $r_P(0) \ge t = r_P(x)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$. Then $r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_P(x) \in [0,1]$. Choose $t = \min\{r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_P(x)\}$. Thus $r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) \ge t$ and $r_P(x) \ge t$, so $x \cdot (y \cdot z), x \in U(r_P;t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $U(r_P;t)$ is a shift BCC-filter of X and so $((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z \in U(r_P;t)$. Thus $r_P(((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z) \ge t = \min\{r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_P(x)\}$. Let $x \in X$. Then $g_P(x) \in [0,1]$. Choose $t = g_P(x)$. Thus $g_P(x) \ge t$, so $x \in U(g_P;t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $U(g_P;t)$ is a shift BCC-filter of X and so $0 \in U(g_P;t)$. Thus $g_P(0) \ge t = g_P(x)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$. Then $g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_P(x) \in [0,1]$. Choose $t = \min\{g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_P(x)\}$. Thus $g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) \ge t$ and $g_P(x) \ge t$, so $x \cdot (y \cdot z), x \in U(g_P;t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $U(g_P;t)$ is a shift BCC-filter of X and so $((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z \in U(g_P;t)$. Thus $g_P(((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z) \ge t = \min\{g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_P(x)\}$.

Let $x \in X$. Then $b_P(x) \in [0,1]$. Choose $t = b_P(x)$. Thus $b_P(x) \le t$, so $x \in L(b_P;t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $L(b_P;t)$ is a shift BCC-filter of X and so $0 \in L(b_P;t)$. Thus $b_P(0) \le t = b_P(x)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$. Then $b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_P(x) \in [0,1]$. Choose $t = \max\{b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_P(x)\}$. Thus $b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) \le t$ and $b_P(x) \le t$, so $x \cdot (y \cdot z), x \in L(b_P;t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $L(b_P;t)$ is a shift BCC-filter of X and so $((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z \in L(b_P;t)$. Thus $b_P(((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z) \le t = \max\{b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_P(x)\}$.

Therefore, P is a picture fuzzy shift BCC-filter of X.

Theorem 4.12. If P is a picture fuzzy shift BCC-filter of X, then for all $t \in [0,1]$, the sets $U^+(r_P;t)$, $U^+(g_P;t)$, and $L^-(b_P;t)$ are shift BCC-filters of X if $U^+(r_P;t)$, $U^+(g_P;t)$, and $L^-(b_P;t)$ are nonempty.

Proof. Assume that P is a picture fuzzy shift BCC-filter of X. Let $t \in [0,1]$ be such that $U^+(r_P;t), U^+(g_P;t)$, and $L^-(b_P;t)$ are nonempty.

Let $x \in U^+(r_P;t)$. Then $r_P(x) > t$. By (3.7), we have $r_P(0) \ge r_P(x) > t$. Thus $0 \in U^+(r_P;t)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in U^+(r_P;t)$ and $x \in U^+(r_P;t)$. Then $r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) > t$ and $r_P(x) > t$, so t is a lower bound of $\{r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_P(x)\}$. By (3.19), we have $r_P(((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z) \ge \min\{r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_P(x)\} > t$. Thus $((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z \in U^+(r_P;t)$.

Let $x \in U^+(g_P; t)$. Then $g_P(x) > t$. By (3.8), we have $g_P(0) \ge g_P(x) > t$. Thus $0 \in U^+(g_P; t)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in U^+(g_P; t)$ and $x \in U^+(g_P; t)$. Then $g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) > t$ and $g_P(x) > t$, so t is a lower bound of $\{g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_P(x)\}$. By (3.20), we have $g_P(((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z) \ge \min\{g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_P(x)\} > t$. Thus $((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z \in U^+(g_P; t)$.

Let $x \in L^{-}(b_{P}; t)$. Then $b_{P}(x) < t$. By (3.9), we have $b_{P}(0) \le b_{P}(x) < t$. Thus $0 \in L^{-}(b_{P}; t)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in L^{-}(b_{P}; t)$ and $x \in L^{-}(b_{P}; t)$. Then $b_{P}(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) < t$ and

 $b_P(x) < t$, so t is an upper bound of $\{b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_P(x)\}$. By (3.21), we have $b_P(((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z) \le \max\{b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_P(x)\} < t$. Thus $((z \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot z \in L^-(b_P; t)$.

Hence, $U^+(r_P;t)$, $U^+(g_P;t)$, and $L^-(b_P;t)$ are shift BCC-filters of X.

Theorem 4.13. A PFS P in X is a picture fuzzy BCC-ideal of X if and only if for all $t \in [0, 1]$, the sets $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $L(b_P; t)$ are BCC-ideals of X if $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $U(g_P; t)$ are nonempty.

Proof. Assume that P is a picture fuzzy BCC-ideal of X. Let $t \in [0, 1]$ be such that $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $L(b_P; t)$ are nonempty.

Let $x \in U(r_P;t)$. Then $r_P(x) \ge t$. By (3.7), we have $r_P(0) \ge r_P(x) \ge t$. Thus $0 \in U(r_P;t)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in U(r_P;t)$ and $y \in U(r_P;t)$. Then $r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) \ge t$ and $r_P(y) \ge t$, so t is a lower bound of $\{r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_P(y)\}$. By (3.22), we have $r_P(x \cdot z) \ge \min\{r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_P(y)\} \ge t$. Thus $x \cdot z \in U(r_P;t)$.

Let $x \in U(g_P;t)$. Then $g_P(x) \ge t$. By (3.8), we have $g_P(0) \ge g_P(x) \ge t$. Thus $0 \in U(g_P;t)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in U(g_P;t)$ and $y \in U(g_P;t)$. Then $g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) \ge t$ and $g_P(y) \ge t$, so t is a lower bound of $\{g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_P(y)\}$. By (3.23), we have $g_P(x \cdot z) \ge \min\{g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_P(y)\} \ge t$. Thus $x \cdot z \in U(g_P;t)$.

Let $x \in L(b_P; t)$. Then $b_P(x) \le t$. By (3.9), we have $b_P(0) \le b_P(x) \le t$. Thus $0 \in L(b_P; t)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in L(b_P; t)$ and $y \in L(b_P; t)$. Then $b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) \le t$ and $b_P(y) \le t$, so t is an upper bound of $\{b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_P(y)\}$. By (3.24), we have $b_P(x \cdot z) \le \max\{b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_P(y)\} \le t$. Thus $x \cdot z \in L(b_P; t)$.

Hence, $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $L(b_P; t)$ are BCC-ideals of X.

Conversely, assume that for all $t \in [0, 1]$, the sets $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $L(b_P; t)$ are BCC-ideals of X if $U(r_P; t)$, $U(g_P; t)$, and $L(b_P; t)$ are nonempty.

Let $x \in X$. Then $r_P(x) \in [0,1]$. Choose $t = r_P(x)$. Thus $r_P(x) \ge t$, so $x \in U(r_P;t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $U(r_P;t)$ is a BCC-ideal of X and so $0 \in U(r_P;t)$. Thus $r_P(0) \ge t = r_P(x)$. Next, let $x,y,z \in X$. Then $r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_P(y) \in [0,1]$. Choose $t = \min\{r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_P(y)\}$. Thus $r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) \ge t$ and $r_P(y) \ge t$, so $x \cdot (y \cdot z), y \in U(r_P;t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $U(r_P;t)$ is a BCC-ideal of X and so $x \cdot z \in U(r_P;t)$. Thus $r_P(x \cdot z) \ge t = \min\{r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_P(y)\}$.

Let $x \in X$. Then $g_P(x) \in [0,1]$. Choose $t = g_P(x)$. Thus $g_P(x) \ge t$, so $x \in U(g_P;t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $U(g_P;t)$ is a BCC-ideal of X and so $0 \in U(g_P;t)$. Thus $g_P(0) \ge t = g_P(x)$. Next, let $x,y,z \in X$. Then $g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_P(y) \in [0,1]$. Choose $t = \min\{g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_P(y)\}$. Thus $g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) \ge t$ and $g_P(y) \ge t$, so $x \cdot (y \cdot z), y \in U(g_P;t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $U(g_P;t)$ is a BCC-ideal of X and so $x \cdot z \in U(g_P;t)$. Thus $g_P(x \cdot z) \ge t = \min\{g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_P(y)\}$. Let $x \in X$. Then $g_P(x) \in [0,1]$. Choose $g_P(x) \in [0,1]$. Thus $g_P(x) \in [0,1]$.

Next, let $x, y, z \in X$. Then $b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_P(y) \in [0, 1]$. Choose $t = \max\{b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_P(y)\}$.

Thus $b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) \le t$ and $b_P(y) \le t$, so $x \cdot (y \cdot z)$, $y \in L(b_P; t) \ne \emptyset$. By assumption, we have $L(b_P; t)$ is a BCC-ideal of X and so $x \cdot z \in L(b_P; t)$. Thus $b_P(x \cdot z) \le t = \max\{b_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_P(y)\}$. Therefore, P is a picture fuzzy BCC-ideal of X.

Theorem 4.14. If P in X is a picture fuzzy BCC-ideal of X, then for all $t \in [0,1]$, the sets $U^+(r_P;t), U^+(g_P;t)$, and $L^-(b_P;t)$ are BCC-ideals of X if $U^+(r_P;t), U^+(g_P;t)$, and $L^-(b_P;t)$ are nonempty.

Proof. Assume that P is a picture fuzzy BCC-ideal of X. Let $t \in [0,1]$ be such that $U^+(r_P;t), U^+(g_P;t)$, and $L^-(b_P;t)$ are nonempty.

Let $x \in U^+(r_P;t)$. Then $r_P(x) > t$. By (3.7), we have $r_P(0) \ge r_P(x) > t$. Thus $0 \in U^+(r_P;t)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in U^+(r_P;t)$ and $y \in U^+(r_P;t)$. Then $r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) > t$ and $r_P(y) > t$, so t is a lower bound of $\{r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_P(y)\}$. By (3.22), we have $r_P(x \cdot z) \ge \min\{r_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), r_P(y)\} > t$. Thus $x \cdot z \in U^+(r_P;t)$.

Let $x \in U^+(g_P; t)$. Then $g_P(x) > t$. By (3.8), we have $g_P(0) \ge g_P(x) > t$. Thus $0 \in U^+(g_P; t)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in U^+(g_P; t)$ and $y \in U^+(g_P; t)$. Then $g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) > t$ and $g_P(y) > t$, so t is a lower bound of $\{g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_P(y)\}$. By (3.23), we have $g_P(x \cdot z) \ge \min\{g_P(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), g_P(y)\} > t$. Thus $x \cdot z \in U^+(g_P; t)$.

Let $x \in L^{-}(b_{P};t)$. Then $b_{P}(x) < t$. By (3.9), we have $b_{P}(0) \leq b_{P}(x) < t$. Thus $0 \in L^{-}(b_{P};t)$. Next, let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in L^{-}(b_{P};t)$ and $y \in L^{-}(b_{P};t)$. Then $b_{P}(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) < t$ and $b_{P}(y) < t$, so t is an upper bound of $\{b_{P}(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_{P}(y)\}$. By (3.24), we have $b_{P}(x \cdot z) \leq \max\{b_{P}(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), b_{P}(y)\} < t$. Thus $x \cdot z \in L^{-}(b_{P};t)$.

Hence, $U^+(r_P;t)$, $U^+(g_P;t)$, and $L^-(b_P;t)$ are BCC-ideals of X.

Theorem 4.15. A PFS P in X is a picture fuzzy strong BCC-ideal of X if and only if the sets $E(r_P; r_P(0)), E(g_P; g_P(0)),$ and $E(b_P; b_P(0))$ are strong BCC-ideals of X.

Proof. Assume that P is a picture fuzzy strong BCC-ideal of X. Then P is constant, that is, r_P , g_P , and b_P are constant. Thus

$$(\forall x \in X) \begin{pmatrix} r_P(x) = r_P(0) \\ g_P(x) = g_P(0) \\ b_P(x) = b_P(0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, $E(r_P; r_P(0)) = X$, $E(g_P; g_P(0)) = X$, and $E(b_P; b_P(0)) = X$ and so $E(r_P; r_P(0))$, $E(g_P; g_P(0))$, and $E(b_P; b_P(0))$ are strong BCC-ideals of X.

Conversely, assume that $E(r_P; r_P(0))$, $E(g_P; g_P(0))$, and $E(b_P; b_P(0))$ are strong BCC-ideals of X. Then $E(r_P; r_P(0)) = X$, $E(g_P; g_P(0)) = X$, and $E(b_P; b_P(0)) = X$ and so

$$(\forall x \in X) \begin{pmatrix} r_P(x) = r_P(0) \\ g_P(x) = g_P(0) \\ b_P(x) = b_P(0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus r_P , g_P , and b_P are constant, that is, P is constant. Hence, P is a picture fuzzy strong BCC-ideal of X.

Definition 4.2. Let P be a PFS in X. For any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1]$, the sets

$$UUL_{P}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \{x \in X \mid r_{P}(x) \ge \alpha, g_{P}(x) \ge \beta, b_{P}(x) \le \gamma\},$$

$$LLU_{P}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \{x \in X \mid r_{P}(x) \le \alpha, g_{P}(x) \le \beta, b_{P}(x) \ge \gamma\},$$

$$E_{P}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \{x \in X \mid r_{P}(x) = \alpha, g_{P}(x) = \beta, b_{P}(x) = \gamma\}$$

are called a UUL- (α, β, γ) -level subset, a LLU- (α, β, γ) -level subset, and an E- (α, β, γ) -level subset of P, respectively. Then we see that

$$UUL_{P}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) = U(r_{P};\alpha) \cap U(g_{P};\beta) \cap L(b_{P};\gamma),$$

$$LLU_{P}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) = L(r_{P};\alpha) \cap L(g_{P};\beta) \cap U(b_{P};\gamma),$$

$$E_{P}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) = E(r_{P};\alpha) \cap E(g_{P};\beta) \cap E(b_{P};\gamma).$$

Corollary 4.1. A PFS P in X is a picture fuzzy BCC-subalgebra of X if and only if for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1]$, $UUL_P(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is a BCC-subalgebra of X if $UUL_P(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is nonempty.

Proof. It is straightforward by Theorems 4.1 and 2.1.

Corollary 4.2. A PFS P in X is a picture fuzzy near BCC-filter of X if and only if for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1]$, $UUL_P(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is a near BCC-filter of X if $UUL_P(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is nonempty.

Proof. It is straightforward by Theorems 4.3 and 2.1.

Corollary 4.3. A PFS P in X is a picture fuzzy BCC-filter of X if and only if for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1]$, $UUL_P(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is a BCC-filter of X if $UUL_P(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is nonempty.

Proof. It is straightforward by Theorems 4.5 and 2.1.

Corollary 4.4. A PFS P in X is a picture fuzzy implicative BCC-filter of X if and only if for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1]$, $UUL_P(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is a implicative BCC-filter of X if $UUL_P(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is nonempty.

Proof. It is straightforward by Theorems 4.7 and 2.1.

Corollary 4.5. A PFS P in X is a picture fuzzy comparative BCC-filter of X if and only if for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1], UUL_P(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is a comparative BCC-filter of X if $UUL_P(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is nonempty.

Proof. It is straightforward by Theorems 4.9 and 2.1.

Corollary 4.6. A PFS P in X is a picture fuzzy shift BCC-filter of X if and only if for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1]$, $UUL_P(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is a shift BCC-filter of X if $UUL_P(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is nonempty.

Proof. It is straightforward by Theorems 4.11 and 2.1.

Corollary 4.7. A PFS P in X is a picture fuzzy BCC-ideal of X if and only if for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1]$, $UUL_P(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is a BCC-ideal of X if $UUL_P(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is nonempty.

Proof. It is straightforward by Theorems 4.13 and 2.1.

Corollary 4.8. A PFS P in X is a picture fuzzy strong BCC-ideal of X if and only if $E_P(r_P(0), g_P(0), b_P(0))$ is a strong BCC-ideal of X, that is, $E(r_P, r_P(0)) = X$, $E(g_P, g_P(0)) = X$, and $E(b_P, b_P(0)) = X$.

Proof. It is straightforward by Theorems 4.15 and 2.1.

Acknowledgment: This research project was supported by the Thailand Science Research and Innovation Fund and the University of Phayao (Grant No. FF66-RIM032).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] M. Ansari, A. Haidar, A. Koam, On a Graph Associated to UP-Algebras, Math. Comput. Appl. 23 (2018), 61. https://doi.org/10.3390/mca23040061.
- [2] M.A. Ansari, A.N.A. Koam, A. Haider, Rough set theory applied to UP-algebras, Italian J. Pure Appl. Math. 42 (2019), 388-402.
- [3] K.T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 20 (1986), 87-96. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0165-0114(86)80034-3.
- [4] K.T. Atanassov, New operations defined over the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 61 (1994), 137-142.
- [5] B.C. Cuong, Picture fuzzy sets, J. Computer Sci. Cybern. 30 (2014), 409-420. https://doi.org/10.15625/ 1813-9663/30/4/5032.
- [6] B.C. Cuong, V. Kreinovich, Picture fuzzy sets A new concept for computational intelligence problems, in: 2013 Third World Congress on Information and Communication Technologies (WICT 2013), IEEE, Hanoi, Vietnam, 2013: pp. 1-6. https://doi.org/10.1109/WICT.2013.7113099.
- [7] N. Dokkhamdang, A. Kesorn, A. lampan, Generalized fuzzy sets in UP-algebras, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 16 (2018), 171-190. https://doi.org/10.30948/AFMI.2018.16.2.171.
- [8] A.H. Ganie, S. Singh, P.K. Bhatia, Some new correlation coefficients of picture fuzzy sets with applications, Neural Comput. Appl. 32 (2020), 12609-12625. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-020-04715-y.
- [9] T. Guntasow, S. Sajak, A. Jomkham, et al. Fuzzy translations of a fuzzy set in UP-algebras, J. Indones. Math. Soc. 23 (2017), 1-19.
- [10] Y. Huang, BCI-algebra, Science Press, Beijing, China, 2006.
- [11] A. lampan, A new branch of the logical algebra: UP-algebras, J. Algebra Related Topics. 5 (2017), 35-54. https://doi.org/10.22124/jart.2017.2403.

- [12] A. lampan, Introducing fully UP-semigroups, Discuss. Math. Gen. Algebra Appl. 38 (2018), 297-306.
- [13] A. lampan, Multipliers and near UP-filters of UP-algebras, J. Discr. Math. Sci. Cryptogr. 24 (2019), 667-680. https://doi.org/10.1080/09720529.2019.1649027.
- [14] A. lampan, A. Satirad, M. Songsaeng, A note on UP-hyperalgebras, J. Algebr. Hyperstruct. Log. Algebr. 1 (2020), 77-95. https://doi.org/10.29252/hatef.jahla.1.2.7.
- [15] A. lampan, M. Songsaeng, G. Muhiuddin, Fuzzy duplex UP-algebras, Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math. 13 (2020), 459-471. https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v13i3.3752.
- [16] Y. Imai, K. Iséki, On axiom systems of propositional calculi, XIV, Proc. Japan Acad. 4 (1966), 19-22. https://doi.org/10.3792/pja/1195522169.
- [17] K. Iséki, An algebra related with a propositional calculus, Proc. Japan Acad. 42 (1966), 26-29. https://cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/1570009749777934080.
- [18] Y.B. Jun, B. Brundha, N. Rajesh, et al. (3, 2)-fuzzy UP (BCC)-subalgebras and (3, 2)-fuzzy UP (BCC)-filters, J. Mahani Math. Res. 11 (2022), 1-14. https://doi.org/10.22103/jmmrc.2022.18786.1191.
- [19] Y.B. Jun, A. lampan, Comparative and allied UP-filters, Lobachevskii J. Math. 40 (2019), 60-66. https://doi.org/10.1134/s1995080219010086.
- [20] Y.B. Jun, A. lampan, Implicative UP-filters, Afr. Mat. 30 (2019), 1093-1101. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13370-019-00704-0.
- [21] Y.B. Jun, A. lampan, Shift UP-filters and decompositions of UP-filters in UP-algebras, Missouri J. Math. Sci. 31 (2019), 36-45. https://doi.org/10.35834/mjms/1559181624.
- [22] P. Kankaew, S. Yuphaphin, N. Lapo, et al. Picture fuzzy set theory applied to UP-algebras, Missouri J. Math. Sci. 34 (2022), 94-120. https://doi.org/10.35834/2022/3401094.
- [23] H.S. Kim, Y.H. Kim, On BE-algebras, Sci. Math. Japon. 66 (2007), 113-116. https://doi.org/10.32219/isms. 66.1_113.
- [24] Y. Komori, The class of BCC-algebras is not a variety, Math. Japon. 29 (1984), 391-394.
- [25] P. Mosrijai, A. lampan, A new branch of bialgebraic structures: UP-bialgebras, J. Taibah Univ. Sci. 13 (2019), 450-459. https://doi.org/10.1080/16583655.2019.1592932.
- [26] C. Prabpayak, U. Leerawat, On ideals and congruences in KU-algebras, Sci. Magna, 5 (2009), 54-57.
- [27] A. Satirad, R. Chinram, A. lampan, Four new concepts of extensions of KU/UP-algebras, Missouri J. Math. Sci. 32 (2020), 138-157. https://doi.org/10.35834/2020/3202138.
- [28] A. lampan, A. Satirad, Topological UP-Algebras, Discuss. Math. Gen. Algebra Appl. 39 (2019), 231-250. https://doi.org/10.7151/dmgaa.1317.
- [29] A. Satirad, P. Mosrijai, A. lampan, Formulas for Finding UP-Algebras, Int. J. Math. Computer Sci. 14 (2019), 403-409.
- [30] A. Satirad, P. Mosrijai, A. Iampan, Generalized Power UP-Algebras, Int. J. Math. Computer Sci. 14 (2019), 17-25.
- [31] T. Senapati, Y.B. Jun, K.P. Shum, Cubic Set Structure Applied in UP-Algebras, Discr. Math. Algorithm. Appl. 10 (2018), 1850049. https://doi.org/10.1142/s1793830918500490.
- [32] T. Senapati, G. Muhiuddin, K.P. Shum, Representation of UP-Algebras in Interval-Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Environment, Italian J. Pure Appl. Math. 38 (2017), 497-517.
- [33] P. Singh, Correlation Coefficients for Picture Fuzzy Sets, J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst. 28 (2015), 591-604. https://doi.org/10.3233/ifs-141338.
- [34] J. Somjanta, N. Thuekaew, P. Kumpeangkeaw, A. lampan, Fuzzy Sets in UP-Algebras, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 12 (2016), 739-756.
- [35] G. Wei, Some cosine similarity measures for picture fuzzy sets and their applications to strategic decision making, Informatica, 28, no. 3, (2017), 547-564.

- [36] G. Wei, Some Similarity Measures for Picture Fuzzy Sets and Their Applications, Iran. J. Fuzzy Syst. 15 (2018), 77-89.
- [37] G. Wei, H. Gao, The Generalized Dice Similarity Measures for Picture Fuzzy Sets and Their Applications, Informatica, 29 (2018), 107-124.
- [38] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, Inform. Control. 8 (1965), 338-353. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0019-9958(65) 90241-x.