

Generalized Hyers-Ulam Stability of Additive Functional Inequality in Modular Spaces and β -Homogeneous Banach Spaces

Abderrahman Baza^{1,*}, Mohamed Rossafi²

¹Laboratory of Analysis, Geometry and Application, Department of Mathematics, Ibn Tofail University, Kenitra, Morocco

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences Dhar El Mahraz, Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Fes, Morocco

*Corresponding author: abderrahmane.baza@gmail.com

Abstract. In this work, we investigate the generalised Hyers-Ulam stability of additive functional inequality in modular spaces with Δ_2 -conditions and in β -homogeneous Banach spaces.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Nakano established the theory of modulars on linear spaces and the related theory of modular linear spaces in 1950 [10]. After a while, many mathematicians have worked hard to develop this theory, for example, Amemiya [1], Yamamuro [15], Orlicz [11], Mazur [8], Musielak [9], Luxemburg [6], and Turpin [14]. The study of interpolation theory [5, 7] and various Orlicz spaces [11] has up till now made extensive use of the notion of modulars and modular spaces.

Now, we will define the modular space and its properties.

Definition 1.1 ([10]). Let Y be an arbitrary vector space. A functional $\rho : Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a modular if for arbitrary $x, y \in Y$;

- (1) $\rho(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$.
- (2) $\rho(\alpha x) = \rho(x)$ for every scalar α with $|\alpha| = 1$.

Received: Aug. 18, 2023.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 39B82, 39B52.

Key words and phrases. Hyers-Ulam stability; additive functional inequalities; modular sapaces; Δ_2 -condition; β -homogeneous Banach spaces.

(3) $\rho(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq \rho(x) + \rho(y)$ if and only if $\alpha + \beta = 1$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$.

If (3) is replaced by:

(4) $\rho(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq \alpha\rho(x) + \beta\rho(y)$ if and only if $\alpha + \beta = 1$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, then we say that ρ is a convex modular.

A modular ρ defines a corresponding modular space, i.e., the vector space Y_ρ given by:

$$Y_\rho = \{x \in Y : \rho(\lambda x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow 0\}.$$

A function modular is said to satisfy the Δ_2 -condition if there exist $\tau > 0$ such that $\rho(2x) \leq \tau\rho(x)$ for all $x \in Y_\rho$.

Definition 1.2. Let $\{x_n\}$ and x be in Y_ρ . Then:

- (1) The sequence $\{x_n\}$, with $x_n \in Y_\rho$, is ρ -convergent to x and write: $x_n \rightarrow x$ if $\rho(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (2) The sequence $\{x_n\}$, with $x_n \in Y_\rho$, is called ρ -Cauchy if $\rho(x_n - x_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n : m \rightarrow \infty$.
- (3) Y_ρ is called ρ -complete if every ρ -Cauchy sequence in Y_ρ is ρ -convergent.

Proposition 1.1. In modular space,

- If $x_n \xrightarrow{\rho} x$ and a is a constant vector, then $x_n + a \xrightarrow{\rho} x + a$.
- If $x_n \xrightarrow{\rho} x$ and $y_n \xrightarrow{\rho} y$ then $\alpha x_n + \beta y_n \xrightarrow{\rho} \alpha x + \beta y$, where $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq 1$.

Remark 1.1. Note that $\rho(x)$ is an increasing function, for all $x \in X$. Suppose $0 < a < b$, then property (2.3) of Definition 1.1 with $y = 0$ shows that $\rho(ax) = \rho\left(\frac{a}{b}bx\right) \leq \rho(bx)$ for all $x \in Y$. Moreover, if ρ is a convex modular on X and $|\alpha| \leq 1$, then $\rho(\alpha x) \leq \alpha\rho(x)$.

In general, if $\lambda_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n \leq 1$ then $\rho(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n) \leq \lambda_1 \rho(x_1) + \lambda_2 \rho(x_2) + \dots + \lambda_n \rho(x_n)$.

If $\{x_n\}$ is ρ -convergent to x , then $\{cx_n\}$ is ρ -convergent to cx , where $|c| \leq 1$. But the ρ -convergent of a sequence $\{x_n\}$ to x does not imply that $\{\alpha x_n\}$ is ρ -convergent to αx_n for scalars α with $|\alpha| > 1$.

If ρ is a convex modular satisfying Δ_2 condition with $\tau = 2$, then $\rho(x) \leq \tau\rho\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right) \leq \frac{\tau}{2}\rho(x)$ for all x . Hence $\rho = 0$. Consequently, we must have $\tau \geq 2$ if ρ is convex modular.

In 1940, Ulam [12] raised the first stability problem concerning the existence of an exact solution near to the function satisfying the equation or inequation approximately. He proposed a question, if there exists an exact homomorphism near an approximate homomorphism. Hyers [3] found an answer in Banach space and then many authors have investigated the stability problems.

This paper consist of 4 sections. In section 2, we show the stability of the following inequation in modular space satisfying Δ_2 -condition with $\tau = 2$.

$$\rho(f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)) \leq \rho\left(f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(y)\right) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

In section 3, we obtain a like result in β -homogeneous complex Banach space of the following inequation, using the control of Gavruta

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \left\| f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(y) \right\|. \quad (1.1)$$

In section 4, we show the stability of the following inequation associated with the Jordan triple derivation in fuzzy Banach algebra

$$N(f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)) \geq N\left(f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(y), t\right). \quad (1.2)$$

2. Additive Functional Inequalities in Modular Space

Throughout this section, assume that X is a linear space, and that Y_ρ is a ρ -complete modular sapace.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y_\rho$ be a mapping such that*

$$\rho(f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)) \leq \rho\left(f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(y)\right) \text{ for all } x, y \in X. \quad (2.1)$$

Then f is additive.

Proof. Letting $x = y = 0$ in (2.1), we get:

$$\rho(f(0)) \leq 0.$$

So

$$f(0) = 0.$$

Letting $y = -x$ in (2.1), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(f(x) + f(-x)) &\leq \rho\left(\frac{1}{2}(f(x) + f(-x))\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\rho(f(x) + f(-x)) \text{ for all } x \in X. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f(-x) = -f(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Letting $x = y$ in (2.1), we get: $\rho(f(2x) - 2f(x)) \leq 0$, and so $f(2x) = 2f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Thus $f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. It follows from (2.1) that:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)) &\leq \rho\left(\frac{1}{2}f(x+y) - \frac{1}{2}f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(y)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\rho(f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Now, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive functional inequality (2.1) in modular spaces. \square

Theorem 2.1. Let X be a linear space, ρ be a convex modular satisfying Δ_2 -condition with $\tau = 2$ and Y_ρ be a ρ -complete modular space. Let $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function with:

$$\psi(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} \varphi(2^{j-1}x, 2^{j-1}y) < \infty, \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\rho(f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)) \leq \rho\left(f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(y)\right) + \varphi(x, y) \quad (2.3)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping: $h : X \rightarrow Y_\rho$ such that:

$$\rho(f(x) - h(x)) \leq \psi(x, x). \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. Letting $y = x$ in (2.3), we get: $\rho(f(2x) - 2f(x)) \leq \varphi(x, x)$ for all $x \in X$. So

$$\rho\left(\frac{1}{2}f(2x) - f(x)\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(x, x). \quad (2.5)$$

Then by induction, we write:

$$\rho\left(\frac{f(2^k x)}{2^k} - f(x)\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{2^j} \varphi(2^{j-1}x, 2^{j-1}x) \quad (2.6)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all positive integer k . Indeed, the case $k = 1$ follows from (2.5). Assume that (2.6) holds for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\left(\frac{f(2^{k+1}x)}{2^{k+1}} - f(x)\right) &= \rho\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{f(2^k \cdot 2x)}{2^k} - f(2x)\right) + \frac{1}{2}f(2x) - f(x)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\rho\left(\frac{f(2^k \cdot 2x)}{2^k} - f(2x)\right) + \frac{1}{2}\rho(f(2x) - 2f(x)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{2^j} \varphi(2^j x, 2^j x) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi(x, x) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{2^j} \varphi(2^{j-1}x, 2^{j-1}x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (2.6) holds for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let m and n be nonnegative integers with $n > m$. By (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\left(\frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} - \frac{f(2^m x)}{2^m}\right) &= \rho\left(\frac{1}{2^m}\left(\frac{f(2^{n-m} \cdot 2^m x)}{2^{n-m}} - f(2^m x)\right)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^m} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n-m} \frac{1}{2^j} \varphi(2^{j-1} \cdot 2^m x, 2^{j-1} \cdot 2^m x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-m} \frac{1}{2^{j+m}} \varphi(2^{m+j-1}x, 2^{m+j-1}x) \\
 &= \sum_{k=m+1}^n \frac{1}{2^k} \varphi(2^{k-1}x, 2^{k-1}x).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Then by (2.2) and (2.7) we conclude that $\left\{ \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} \right\}$ is a ρ -Cauchy sequence in Y_ρ . The ρ -completeness of Y_ρ guarantees its ρ -convergence. Hence, there exists a mapping $h : X \rightarrow Y_\rho$ defined by:

$$h(x) = \rho - \text{limit } \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}; \quad x \in X. \tag{2.8}$$

Moreover, letting $m = 0$ and passing the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.7), we get (2.4).

Now, we prove that h is additive. We note that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho \left(\frac{f(2^n(x+y))}{2^{n+2}} - \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^{n+2}} - \frac{f(2^n y)}{2^{n+2}} \right) &\leq \frac{1}{2^{n+2}} \rho(f(2^n(x+y)) - f(2^n x) - f(2^n y)) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2^{n+2}} \rho \left(f \left(\frac{2^n(x+y)}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} f(2^n x) - \frac{1}{2} f(2^n y) \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2^{n+2}} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \rho \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f \left(\frac{2^n(x+y)}{2} \right)}{2^n} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \times \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} - \frac{1}{4} \times \frac{f(2^n y)}{2^n} \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2^{n+2}} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho \left(\frac{1}{4} h((x+y)) - \frac{1}{4} h(x) - \frac{1}{4} h(y) \right) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \rho \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(h \left(\frac{x+y}{2} \right) \right) - \frac{1}{4} h(x) - \frac{1}{4} h(y) \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \rho \left(h \left(\frac{x+y}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} h(x) - \frac{1}{2} h(y) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

And so

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho(h((x+y)) - h(x) - h(y)) &\leq 4\rho \left(\frac{1}{4} h(x+y) - \frac{1}{4} h(x) - \frac{1}{4} h(y) \right) \\
 &\leq \rho \left(h \left(\frac{x+y}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} h(x) - \frac{1}{2} h(y) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 2.1, h is additive.

We see that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho \left(\frac{h(2x) - 2h(x)}{2^2} \right) &= \rho \left(\frac{1}{2^2} \left(h(2x) - \frac{f(2^{n+1}x)}{2^n} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f(2^{n+1}x)}{2^{n+1}} - h(x) \right) \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2^2} \rho \left(h(2x) - \frac{f(2^{n+1}x)}{2^n} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \rho \left(\frac{f(2^{n+1}x)}{2^{n+1}} - h(x) \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. By (2.8), the right hand side of (2.9) tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, it follows that

$$h(2x) = 2h(x), \quad x \in X.$$

Finally, to show the uniqueness of h , assume that h_1 and h_2 are additive mapping satisfying (2.4). □

Then we write:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\left(\frac{h_1(x) - h_2(x)}{2}\right) &= \rho\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{h_1(2^k x)}{2^k} - \frac{f(2^k x)}{2^k}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{f(2^k x)}{2^k} - \frac{h_2(2^k x)}{2^k}\right)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\rho\left(\frac{h_1(2^k x)}{2^k} - \frac{f(2^k x)}{2^k}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\rho\left(\frac{f(2^k x)}{2^k} - \frac{h_2(2^k x)}{2^k}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2^k} \{\rho(h_1(2^k x) - f(2^k x)) + \rho(h_2(2^k x) - f(2^k x))\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^k} \psi(2^k x, 2^k y) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $h_1 = h_2$.

Now, we have the classical Ulam stability of (2.1) by putting $\varphi = \epsilon > 0$.

Corollary 2.1. *Let X be a linear space, ρ be a convex modular and Y_ρ be a ρ -complete modular space satisfying Δ_2 -condition with $\tau = 2$. Assume $f : X \rightarrow Y_\rho$ is a mapping such that $f(0) = 0$ and:*

$$\rho(f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)) \leq \rho\left(f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(y)\right) + \epsilon$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $h : X \rightarrow Y_\rho$ such that

$$\rho(f(x) - h(x)) \leq \epsilon, \quad x \in X.$$

Corollary 2.2. *Let X be a normed linear space, ρ be a convex modular and Y_ρ be a ρ -complete modular space. Let $\theta > 0$ and $0 < p < 1$ real numbers. Assume that $f : X \rightarrow Y_\rho$ is a mapping ratifying:*

$$\rho(f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)) \leq \rho\left(f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(y)\right) + \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \quad (2.10)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $T : X \rightarrow Y_\rho$ such that:

$$\rho(f(x) - h(x)) \leq \frac{2\theta\|x\|^p}{2-2^p}. \quad (2.11)$$

Proof. Replacing (x, y) with (x, x) in (2.10), we have:

$$\rho(f(2x) - 2f(x)) \leq 2\theta\|x\|^p.$$

Hence

$$\rho\left(\frac{1}{2}f(2x) - f(x)\right) \leq \theta\|x\|^p. \quad (2.12)$$

Then by induction, we write:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \left(\frac{f(2^k x)}{2^k} - f(x) \right) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{2^{j-1}} (2^{j-1})^p \theta \|x\|^p \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k 2^{(p-1)(j-1)} \theta \|x\|^p \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

for all $x \in X$, and all positive integer k .

Indeed, the case $k = 1$ follows from (2.12). Assume that (2.13) holds for $h \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \left(\frac{f(2^{k+1}x)}{2^{k+1}} - f(x) \right) &= \rho \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f(2^k \cdot 2x)}{2^k} - f(2x) \right) + \frac{1}{2} f(2x) - f(x) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \rho \left(\frac{f(2^k \cdot 2x)}{2^k} - f(2x) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \rho(f(2x) - 2f(x)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k 2^{(p-1)(j-1)} \theta \cdot 2^p \|x\|^p + \theta \|x\|^p \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k 2^{(p-1)j} \theta \|x\|^p + \theta \|x\|^p \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} 2^{(p-1)(j-1)} \theta \|x\|^p \end{aligned}$$

Hence (2.13) holds for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let m and n be nonnegative integers with $n > m$. By (2.10), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \left(\frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} - \frac{f(2^m x)}{2^m} \right) &= \rho \left(\frac{1}{2^m} \left(\frac{f(2^{n-m} \cdot 2^m x)}{2^{n-m}} - f(2^m x) \right) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{j=1}^{n-m} 2^{(p-1)(j-1)} \theta \|2^m x\|^p \\ &= 2^{m(p-1)} \theta \|x\|^p \frac{1 - 2^{(p-1)(n-m)}}{1 - 2^{p-1}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

It follows from (2.14) that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Since Y_ρ is ρ -complete modular space, the sequence $\left\{ \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} \right\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $h : X \rightarrow Y_\rho$ by:

$$h(x) = \rho - \text{limit} \left\{ \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} \right\} \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Moreover, letting $m = 0$ and passing to the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.14), we get (2.11). The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

3. Stability of (2.1) in β -Homogeneous Spaces

In 2016, C. Park [13] proved the generalised Hyer-Ulam-Rassias stability of additive ρ -functional inequalities in β -homogeneous complex Banach space.

In this section, we prove the generalised Hyers-Ulam stability of (1.1) from linear space to β -homogeneous complex Banach space, using the control of Gavruta.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a linear space over \mathbb{C} . An F -norm is a function $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that :

- (1) $\|x\| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$,
- (2) $\|\lambda x\| = \|\|x\|$ for every $x \in X$ and every λ with $|\lambda| = 1$,
- (3) $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$ for every $x, y \in X$,
- (4) $\|\lambda_n x\| \rightarrow 0$ provided $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$,
- (5) $\|\lambda x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ provided $x_n \rightarrow 0$.

(X, d) is a metric space by letting $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$. It is called an F -space if d is complete.

If, in addition, $\|tx\| = t^\beta \|x\|$ for all $x \in X$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}$, then $\|\cdot\|$ is called β -homogeneous ($\beta > 0$). A β -homogeneous F -space is called a β -homogeneous complex Banach space.

Remark 3.1. For an s -convex modular ρ , if we define

$$\|x\|_\rho = \inf \left\{ \alpha^s > 0; \rho \left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right) \leq 1 \right\}, x \in Y_\rho.$$

Then $\|\cdot\|_\rho$ is an F -norm on Y_ρ such that $\|\lambda x\|_\rho = |\lambda|^s \|x\|_\rho$. Hence, $\|\cdot\|_\rho$ is s -homogeneous. For $s = 1$, this norm is called the Luxemburg norm.

Now, we prove the generalised Hyers-Ulam Gavruta stability of (1.1) from linear spaces to β -homogeneous Banach spaces.

Theorem 3.1. Let X be a linear space, Y be a β -homogeneous complex Banach space ($0 < \beta \leq 1$), and $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be function with

$$\psi(x, y) = \frac{1}{2^\beta} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{2^{(j-1)\beta}} \varphi(2^{j-1}x, 2^{j-1}y) < \infty \quad (3.1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Assume that $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \left\| f \left(\frac{x+y}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2}f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(y) \right\| + \varphi(x, y) \quad (3.2)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $h : X \rightarrow Y$ such that:

$$\|f(x) - h(x)\| \leq \psi(x, x) \quad (3.3)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Letting $y = x$ in (3.2), we get: $\|f(2x) - 2f(x)\| \leq \varphi(x, x)$ and so

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2}f(2x) - f(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2^\beta} \varphi(x, x). \tag{3.4}$$

By induction on $k \in \mathbb{N}$, using (3.4) it is easy to see that:

$$\left\| \frac{f(2^k x)}{2^k} - f(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2^\beta} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{2^{(j-1)\beta}} \varphi(2^{j-1}x, 2^{j-1}x) \quad x \in X. \tag{3.5}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let m and n be nonnegative integers with $n > m$. Then by (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} - \frac{f(2^m x)}{2^m} \right\| &= \left\| \frac{1}{2^m} \left(\frac{f(2^n x)}{2^{n-m}} - f(2^m x) \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{m\beta}} \cdot \frac{1}{2^\beta} \sum_{j=1}^{n-m} \frac{1}{2^{(j-1)\beta}} \varphi(2^{j+m-1}x, 2^{j+m-1}x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^\beta} \sum_{j=1}^{n-m} \frac{1}{2^{(j+m-1)\beta}} \varphi(2^{j+m-1}x, 2^{j+m-1}x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^\beta} \sum_{k=m+1}^n \frac{1}{2^{(k-1)\beta}} \varphi(2^{k-1}x, 2^{k-1}x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Since the last expression (3.6) goes to 0 by (3.1), it follows that, for every $x \in X$, the sequence $\left\{ \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Since X is complete, we know that the sequence is convergent. Hence, there exists a mapping: $h : X \rightarrow Y$ defined by

$$h(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}, \quad x \in X.$$

Letting $m = 0$ and passing the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.6), we obtain (3.3). In order to show that T is additive, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \|h(x+y) - h(x) - h(y)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{f(2^n(x+y))}{2^n} - \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} - \frac{f(2^n y)}{2^n} \right\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n\beta}} \|f(2^n(x+y)) - f(2^n x) - f(2^n y)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n\beta}} \left\| f\left(\frac{2^n(x+y)}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}f(2^n x) - \frac{1}{2}f(2^n y) \right\| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2^{n\beta}} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y) \\ &\leq \left\| h\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}h(x) - \frac{1}{2}h(y) \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Then by [13, Lemma 2.1.], T is additive.

Now, let $h : X \rightarrow X$ be another additive mapping satisfying (3.2). Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_1(x) - h_2(x)\| &= \frac{1}{2^{\beta n}} \|h_1(2^n x) - h_2(2^n x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{\beta n}} (\|h_1(2^n x) - f(2^n x)\| + \|h_2(2^n x) - f(2^n x)\|) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{2^{\beta n}} \psi(2^n x, 2^n x) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{2^{\beta n}} \cdot \frac{1}{2^\beta} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j-1}\beta} \varphi(2^{j+n-1}x, 2^{j+n-1}x) \\ &\leq 2^{1-\beta} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{\beta(j+n-1)}} \varphi(2^{j+n-1}x, 2^{j+n-1}x) \\ &= 2^{1-\beta} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{\beta(k-1)}} \varphi(2^{k-1}x, 2^{k-1}x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$, from which it follows that $h_1 = h_2$. \square

Letting $\varphi = \varepsilon > 0$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain a result on classical Ulam stability of the additive functional inequality.

Corollary 3.1. *Let X be a linear space and X be a β -homogeneous complete Banach space with $0 < \beta \leq 1$.*

If $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \left\| f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(y) \right\| + \varepsilon$$

for all $x, y \in X$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $h : X \rightarrow Y$ such that:

$$\|f(x) - h(x)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^\beta - 1}.$$

4. Stability of (1.2) in Fuzzy Banach Algebras

Let X be a real algebra, and $D : X \rightarrow X$ is an additive mapping:

(1) D is called a derivation if

$$D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y), \quad x, y \in X$$

(2) D is called a Jordan derivation if

$$D(x^2) = D(x)x + xD(x), \quad x \in X$$

(3) In addition, D is called a Jordan triple derivation in the sens from [2] if

$$D(xyx) = D(x)yx + xD(y)x + xyD(x), \quad x, y \in X$$

if an additive mapping is a derivation, so it is a Jordan derivation, and if D is a Jordan derivation, so it is a Jordan triple derivation.

However, the converse implication is not true in general.

Theorem 4.1. Let (X, N) be a fuzzy Banach algebra, and $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that $\varphi(0, 0) = 0$ and there exists an $0 < L < 1$ satisfying

$$\varphi(x, y) \leq 2L\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Assume $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a mapping satisfies:

$$(a) \quad N(f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)) \geq \min \left\{ N\left(f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(y), t\right), \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, y)} \right\}$$

(b)

$$N(f(xyx) - f(x)yx - xf(y)x - xyf(x), t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, y)} \tag{4.1}$$

for all $x, y \in X, t > 0$.

Then there exists a unique Jordan triple derivation $h : X \rightarrow X$ such that:

$$N(f(x) - h(x), t) \geq \frac{(2 - 2L)t}{(2 - 2L)t + \varphi(x, x)}, \quad x \in X, t > 0.$$

The mapping T is defined by

$$h(x) = N - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x), \quad x \in X.$$

Proof. By [4, Theorem 2.4], the mapping h is additive. Replace (x, y) with $(2^n x, 2^n y)$ in (4.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} N\left(\frac{1}{2^{3n}} f(2^{3n} xyx) - \frac{1}{2^{3n}} 2^{2n} f(2^n x)yx - \frac{1}{2^{3n}} 2^{2n} x f(2^n y)x - \frac{1}{2^{3n}} 2^{2n} xyf(2^n x), t\right) \\ = N(f(2^{3n} xyx) - 2^{2n} f(2^n x)yx - 2^{2n} x f(2^n y)x - 2^{2n} xyf(2^n x), 2^{3n} t) \\ \geq \frac{2^{3n} t}{2^{3n} t + \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y)} \\ \geq \frac{2^{3n} t}{2^{3n} t + (2L)^n \varphi(x, y)} \\ = \frac{t}{t + \left(\frac{L}{4}\right)^n \varphi(x, y)} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$h(xyx) = h(x)yx + xh(y)x + xyh(x), \quad x, y \in X. \tag{4.2}$$

Therefore, h is a Jordan triple derivation. □

Let A an algebra. If whenever $aAa = \{a\}$ for $a \in A$, implies $a = 0$, then A is called semiprime. All C^* -Algebra are examples of semiprime algebras. Let R be a ring. If $2r = 0$ implies $r = 0$ for $r \in \mathbb{R}$, then R is said to be 2-torsion free. Now, we show that the mapping f in Theorem 4.1 is a derivation if the algebra is semiprime.

Theorem 4.2. Let (X, N) be a unital 2-torsion free semiprime fuzzy Banach algebra.

Let $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that $\varphi(0, 0) = 0$ and there exists an $0 < L < 1$ satisfying:

- (a) $\varphi(x, y) \leq 2L\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right)$,
 (b) $\left\{\frac{1}{2^n}\varphi\left(x, \frac{y}{2^n}\right) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is bounded,

Assume $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a mapping such that

- (c) $N(f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)) \geq \min\left\{N\left(f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(y), t\right), \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, y)}\right\}$,
 (d)

$$N(f(xyx) - f(x)yx - xf(y)x - xyf(x), t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, y)}. \quad (4.3)$$

Then f is an additive derivation.

Proof. We know that: $h(x) = N - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)$, $x \in X$ is an additive Jordan triple derivation. Replacing (x, y) with $(2^n x, y)$ in (4.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & N\left(\frac{1}{2^{2n}}f(2^{2n}xyx) - \frac{1}{2^{2n}}2^n f(2^n x)yx - \frac{1}{2^{2n}}2^{2n}xf(y)x - \frac{1}{2^{2n}}2^n xyf(2^n x), t\right) \\ &= N(f(2^{2n}xyx) - 2^n f(2^n x)yx - 2^{2n}xf(y)x - 2^n xyf(2^n x), 2^{2n}t) \\ &\geq \frac{2^{2n}t}{2^{2n}t + \varphi(2^n x, y)} \\ &\geq \frac{2^{2n}t}{2^{2n}t + (2L)^n \varphi(x, \frac{y}{2^n})} \\ &= \frac{t}{t + \left(\frac{L}{2}\right)^n \varphi(x, \frac{y}{2^n})} \end{aligned}$$

from which we have:

$$h(xyx) = h(x)yx + xf(y)x + xyh(x) \quad (4.4)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Comparing (4.4) and (4.2), we get:

$$xh(y)x = xf(y)x \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Letting $x = 1$, we conclude that $T = f$. Then f is a Jordan triple derivation. By [2, Theorem 4.3], we conclude that f is an additive derivation (Every Jordan triple derivation on a 2-torsion free semiprime ring is a derivation.) \square

5. Conclusion

In this work, we have proved the Hyers-Ulam stability of additive functional inequality, using the direct method, from linear spaces to modular spaces satisfying Δ_2 -condition with $\tau = 2$.

We have also proved the same result for β -homogeneous Banach spaces.

Finally, we have shown the stability of the functional equation associated with the Jordan triple derivation in fuzzy Banach algebra by a fixed point method.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] I. Amemiya, On the Representation of Complemented Modular Lattices, *J. Math. Soc. Japan.* 9 (1957), 263–279. <https://doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/00920263>.
- [2] M. Brešar, Jordan Mappings of Semiprime Rings, *J. Algebra.* 127 (1989), 218–228. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693\(89\)90285-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(89)90285-8).
- [3] D.H. Hyers, On the Stability of the Linear Functional Equation, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 27 (1941), 222–224. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.27.4.222>.
- [4] J. Kim, G.A. Anastassiou, C. Park, Additive ρ -Functional Inequalities in Fuzzy Normed Spaces, *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* 21 (2016), 1115–1126.
- [5] M. Krbec, Modular Interpolation Spaces I, *Z. Anal. Anwend.* 1 (1982), 25–40. <https://doi.org/10.4171/zaa/3>.
- [6] W.A.J. Luxemburg, Banach Function Spaces, Phd Thesis, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands, (1959).
- [7] L. Maligranda, Orlicz Spaces and Interpolation, In: *Seminars in Mathematics*, Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Brasil, (1989).
- [8] B. Mazur, Modular curves and the eisenstein ideal, *Publ. Math. L'Inst. Hautes Sci.* 47 (1977), 33–186. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02684339>.
- [9] J. Musielak, *Orlicz Spaces and Modular Spaces*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1983. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0072210>.
- [10] H. Nakano, *Modulared Semi-Ordered Linear Spaces*, Maruzen, Tokyo, (1950).
- [11] W. Orlicz, *Collected Papers. I, II*, PWN-Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, (1988).
- [12] S.M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Wiley, New York, (1964).
- [13] C. Park, Additive ρ -Functional Inequalities in β -Homogeneous Normed Spaces, *Filomat*, 30 (2016), 1651–1658. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/24898739>.
- [14] P. Turpin, Fubini Inequalities and Bounded Multiplier Property in Generalized Modular Spaces, *Comment. Math.* 1 (1978), 331–353.
- [15] S. Yamamuro, On Conjugate Spaces of Nakano Spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 90 (1959), 291–311.