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#### Abstract

In this paper, we give a simple characterization of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors for the continous $G$-frame operator for $\left\{\Lambda_{\omega} P \in B\left(H, K_{\omega}\right), \omega \in \Omega\right\}$, where $H^{N}$ is an $N$-dimensional Hilbert space and $P$ is a rank $k$ orthogonal projection on $H^{N}$. Using this, we derive several results.


## 1. Introduction

Frames introduced by Duffin and Shaeffer [7], reintroduced in 1986 by Daubechies, Grossmann, and Meyer [6], have recently received great attention owing to their wide range of applications in both pure and applied mathematics, specially that it has been extensively used in many fields such as filter bank theory, signal and image processing, coding and communication [9] and other areas. We refer to [3-5,8] for an introduction to frame theory and its applications.

One of the main virtues of frames is that, given a frame for a Hilbert space $H$, we can reconstruct each $x \in H$ only from the frame coefficients, (a sequence of complex numbers).

Formally, a frame in a separable Hilbert space $H$ is a sequence $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ for which there exist positive constants $A, B>0$ such that:
$A\|x\|^{2} \leq \sum_{i \in I}\left|\left\langle x, x_{i}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \leq B\|x\|^{2}$, for all $x \in H$. The constants $A, B$ are called lower and upper bounds, respectively. If $A=B$, it is called a tight frame and it is said to be a normalized tight or Parseval frame, if $A=B=1$. The collection $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i \in I} \subset H$ is called Bessel if the above second inequality holds. In this case, $B$ is called the Bessel bound.
W. Sun [10] introduced a generalization of frames, called g-frames. Abdollah-pour and Faroughi [1] introduced the concept of continuous $g$-frames as a generalization of discrete $g$-frames.

Let us consider the space;

$$
l^{2}\left(\left\{H_{\omega}\right\}_{\omega}\right)=\left\{\left\{f_{\omega}\right\}_{\omega}, \quad f_{\omega} \in H_{\omega}, \quad \omega \in \Omega, \int_{\Omega}\left\|f_{\omega}\right\|^{2} d \mu(\omega)<\infty\right\}
$$

Received: Mar. 26, 2024.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42C99, 47A20.
Key words and phrases. continuous G-frame operator; eigenvalues; eigenvectors.
with the inner product given by:

$$
\left\langle\left\{f_{\omega}\right\}_{\omega},\left\{g_{\omega}\right\}_{\omega}\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega}\left\langle f_{\omega}, g_{\omega}\right\rangle d \mu(\omega) .
$$

and the norm is defined by $\|f\|=\langle f, f\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
It is clear that $l^{2}\left(\left\{H_{\omega}\right\}_{\omega}\right)$ is a Hilbert space.
Definition 1.1. [1] We say that $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{\omega} \in B(H, H \omega), \omega \in \Omega\right\}$ is a continuous generalized frame or simply a continuous $g$-frame for $H$ with respect to $\left\{H_{\omega}\right\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$, if
(1) for each $f \in H,\left\{\Lambda_{\omega} f\right\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ is strongly measurable;
(2) there exist two constants $0<A \leq B<\infty$, such that

$$
A\|f\|^{2} \leq \int_{\Omega}\left\|\Lambda_{\omega} f\right\|^{2} d \mu(\omega) \leq B\|f\|^{2}, \quad f \in H
$$

$A$ and $B$ are called the lower and upper continuous $g$-frame bounds, respectively.
If only the right-hand inequality of (1.1) is satisfied, we call $\Lambda$ the continuous g-Bessel sequence for H with respect to $\left\{H_{\omega}\right\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ with continuous g -Bessel bound $B$. If $A=B=\lambda$, we call $\Lambda$ the $\lambda$-tight continuous g -frame. Moreover, if $\lambda=1, \Lambda$ is called the Parseval continuous g -frame.

We define $T_{\Lambda}$, the synthesis operator for a continuous $g$-Bessel sequence $\Lambda$ as follows:

$$
\left\langle T_{\Lambda}\{f \omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}, g\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega}\left\langle f_{\omega}, \Lambda_{\omega} g\right\rangle d \mu(\omega) \quad\left(\left\{f_{\omega}\right\}_{\omega \in \Omega} \in l^{2}\left(\left\{H_{\omega}\right\}_{\omega}\right), \quad g \in H\right) .
$$

The operator $T_{\Lambda}$ is well-defined and bounded.
The adjoint of $T_{\Lambda}$ which is called the analysis operator is defined by:

$$
T_{\Lambda}^{*}: H \longrightarrow l^{2}\left(\left\{H_{\omega}\right\}_{\omega}\right) \quad T_{\Lambda}^{*} f=\left\{\Lambda_{\omega} f\right\}_{\omega \in \Omega} .
$$

The bounded linear operator $S_{\Lambda}$ defined by

$$
S_{\Lambda}: H \longrightarrow H \quad\left\langle S_{\Lambda} f, g\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega}\left\langle f, \Lambda_{\omega}^{*} \Lambda_{\omega} g\right\rangle d \mu(\omega) .
$$

is called the continuous $g$-frame operator of $\Lambda$.

## 2. Main results

These results are generalisations of the results established by Azam Yousefzadeheyni et all in [2] to the countinous case.

Theorem 2.1. Let $H$ be a Hilbert space and $(\Omega, \mu)$ be a measure space with finite measure and $\left(K_{\omega}\right)_{\omega \in \Omega}$ a family of Hilbert spaces.
Let $\left\{\Lambda_{\omega} \in B\left(H, K_{\omega}\right) ; \omega \in \Omega\right\}$ be a continous $g$-frame for $H$, Let $e_{1} \in H,\left\|e_{1}\right\|=1$ and let $P$ be the orthogonal projection of $H$ onto span $\left\{e_{1}\right\}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $e_{1}$ is an eigenvector for $S_{\Lambda}$ with the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$.
(2) $\int_{\Omega}\left\|\Lambda_{\omega} e_{1}\right\|^{2} d \mu(\omega)=\lambda_{1}$ and, $\int_{\Omega}\left\langle\Lambda_{\omega} e_{1}, \Lambda_{\omega} f_{i}\right\rangle d \mu(\omega)=0$ for all $f \in(I-P) H$.

Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$ : We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left\|\Lambda_{\omega} e_{1}\right\|^{2} d \mu(\omega) & =\int_{\Omega}\left\langle\Lambda_{\omega} e_{1}, \Lambda_{\omega} e_{1}\right\rangle d \mu(\omega) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left\langle e_{1}, \Lambda_{\omega}^{*} \Lambda_{\omega} e_{1}\right\rangle d \mu(\omega) \\
& =\left\langle S_{\Lambda} e_{1}, e_{1}\right\rangle=\lambda_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $f \in(I-P) H$, then

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left\langle\Lambda_{\omega} e_{1}, \Lambda_{\omega} f\right\rangle d \mu(\omega)=\left\langle S_{\Lambda} e_{1}, f\right\rangle=0
$$

$(\Leftarrow)$ Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ ba an orthonormal basis of $H$.
Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\Lambda} e_{1} & =\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\langle S_{\Lambda} e_{1}, e_{i}\right\rangle e_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left\langle\Lambda_{\omega} e_{1}, \Lambda_{\omega} e_{i}\right\rangle d \mu(\omega)\right) e_{i} \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left\|\Lambda_{\omega} e_{1}\right\|^{2} d \mu(\omega)+\sum_{i=2}^{N}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left\langle\Lambda_{\omega} e_{1}, \Lambda_{\omega} e_{i}\right\rangle d \mu(\omega)\right) e_{i}=\lambda_{1} e_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $e_{1}$ is an eigenvector for $S_{\Lambda}$ with the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$
Theorem 2.2. Let $\left\{\Lambda_{\omega} \in B\left(H, K_{\omega}\right) ; \omega \in \Omega\right\}$ be a continous $g$-frame for $H$ and $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ ba an orthonormal basis of $H$,consisting of eigenvectors for $S_{\Lambda}$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}$ respectively.
Then

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left\|\Lambda_{\omega} f\right\|^{2} d \mu(\omega)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}\left|\left\langle f, e_{i}\right\rangle\right|^{2}, \quad f \in H
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left\langle\Lambda_{\omega} f, \Lambda_{\omega} g\right\rangle d \mu(\omega)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}\left\langle f, e_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle e_{i}, g\right\rangle, \quad f, g \in H
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left\|\Lambda_{\omega} f\right\|^{2} d \mu(\omega) & =\left\langle S_{\Lambda} f, f\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle S_{\Lambda}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\langle f, e_{i}\right\rangle e_{i}\right), \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left\langle f, e_{j}\right\rangle e_{j}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}\left\langle f, e_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle e_{j}, f\right\rangle\left\langle e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}\left|\left\langle f, e_{i}\right\rangle\right|^{2}, \quad \forall f \in H
\end{aligned}
$$

And

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left\langle\Lambda_{\omega} f, \Lambda_{\omega} g\right\rangle d \mu(\omega) & =\left\langle S_{\Lambda} f, g\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle S_{\Lambda}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\langle f, e_{i}\right\rangle e_{i}\right), \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left\langle g, e_{j}\right\rangle e_{j}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}\left\langle f, e_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle e_{j}, g\right\rangle\left\langle e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}\left\langle f, e_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle e_{i}, g\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 2.1. Let $\left\{\Lambda_{\omega} \in B\left(H, K_{\omega}\right) ; \omega \in \Omega\right\}$ be a continous $g$-frame for $H$. Let $1 \leq k \leq N$ fixed and $P$ a rank $k$ orthogonal projection of $H$ onto a subspace $F$. Let $S_{\Lambda}^{1}$ be the $G$-frame operator of $\left\{\Lambda_{\omega} P \in\right.$ $\left.B\left(F, K_{\omega}\right) ; \omega \in \Omega\right\}$ and $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}$ be an orthonormal basis of $F$. Then the following are equivalent:
(1) $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}$ is a family of eigenvectors for $S_{\Lambda}^{1}$ with eigenvalues $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \ldots, \eta_{k}$
(2) We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (i) } \int_{\Omega}\left\|\Lambda_{\omega} f_{i}\right\|^{2} d \mu(\omega)=\eta_{i} ; \quad 1 \leq i \leq k \\
& \text { (ii) } \int_{\Omega}\left\langle\Lambda_{\omega} f_{i}, \Lambda_{\omega} f_{j}\right\rangle d \mu(\omega)=0, \quad i \neq j
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$ If $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}$ is a family of eigenvectors for $S_{\Lambda}^{1}$ with eigenvalues $\left\{\eta_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}$. then

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left\|\Lambda_{\omega} f_{i}\right\|^{2} d \mu(\omega)=\left\langle S_{\Lambda}^{1} f_{i}, f_{i}\right\rangle=\eta_{i} \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq k
$$

and for $i \neq j$

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left\langle\Lambda_{\omega} f_{i}, \Lambda_{\omega} f_{j}\right\rangle d \mu(\omega)=\left\langle S_{\Lambda}^{1} f_{i}, f_{j}\right\rangle=\eta_{i}\left\langle f_{i}, f_{j}\right\rangle=0
$$

$(\Leftarrow)$ Let $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=k+1}^{N}$ such that $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ is an orthonormal basis for $H$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\Lambda}^{1} f_{i} & =\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left\langle S_{\Lambda}^{1} f_{i}, f_{j}\right\rangle f_{j} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left\langle f_{i}, P \Lambda_{\omega}^{*} \Lambda_{\omega} P f_{j}\right\rangle d \mu(\omega)\right) f_{j} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left\langle\Lambda_{\omega} f_{i}, \Lambda_{\omega} f_{j}\right\rangle d \mu(\omega)\right) f_{j} \\
& =\left(\int_{\Omega}\left\langle\Lambda_{\omega} f_{i}, \Lambda_{\omega} f_{i}\right\rangle d \mu(\omega)\right) f_{i}+\sum_{j \in\{1,2, \ldots,, k\rangle \backslash i\}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left\langle\Lambda_{\omega} f_{i}, \Lambda_{\omega} f_{j}\right\rangle d \mu(\omega)\right) f_{j} \\
& =\left(\int_{\Omega}\left\|\Lambda_{\omega} f_{i}\right\|^{2} d \mu(\omega)\right) f_{i}=\mu_{i} f_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

so, $f_{i}$ is an eigenvector of $S_{\Lambda}^{1}$ with eigenvalue $\mu_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$.
Theorem 2.3. Let $\left\{\Lambda_{\omega} \in B\left(H, K_{\omega}\right) ; \omega \in \Omega\right\}$ be a continous $g$-frame for $H$ and $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ ba an orthonormal basis of $H$,consisting of eigenvectors for $S_{\Lambda}$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}$ respectively. Let $\left\{\Lambda_{\omega} \in B\left(H, K_{\omega}\right) ; \omega \in \Omega\right\}$ be a continous $g$-frame for $H$. Let $1 \leq k \leq N$ fixed and $P$ a rank $k$ orthogonal projection of $H$ onto a subspace F. Let $S_{\Lambda}^{1}$ be the G-frame operator of $\left\{\Lambda_{\omega} P \in B\left(F, K_{\omega}\right) ; \omega \in \Omega\right\}$ and $\left\{f_{i} i_{i=1}^{k}\right.$ be an orthonormal basis of $F$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}$ is a family of eigenvectors for $S_{\Lambda}^{1}$ with eigenvalues $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \ldots, \eta_{k}$
(2) We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (i) } \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}\left|\left\langle f, e_{i}\right\rangle\right|^{2}=\eta_{i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k \\
& \text { (ii) } \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}\left\langle f, e_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle e_{i}, g\right\rangle=0, \quad i \neq j
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. It follows immediatly Theorem 2.2 and Proposition 2.3
Proposition 2.2. Let $\left\{\Lambda_{\omega} \in B\left(H, K_{\omega}\right) ; \omega \in \Omega\right\}$ be a continous $g$-frame for $H$ and $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ ba an orthonormal basis of $H$,consisting of eigenvectors for $S_{\Lambda}$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}$ respectively. Let $\left\{\delta_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{k}$ be a partition of $\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$ and for every $1 \leq j \leq k$ set $f_{j}=\sum_{i \in \delta_{j}} a_{i} e_{i}$ with $\left\|f_{j}\right\|=\sum_{i \in \delta_{j}}\left|a_{i}\right|^{2}=1$. Let $P$ be the orthogonal projection of $H$ onto $F=\operatorname{span}\left\{f_{j} f_{j=1}^{k}\right.$ and let $S_{\Lambda}^{1}$ be the $g$-frame operator for $\left\{\Lambda_{\omega} P \in B\left(F, K_{\omega}\right) ; \omega \in \Omega\right\}$. Then $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{k}$ is an orthonormal basis for $F$ and $f_{j}$ is an eigenvector of $S_{\Lambda}^{1}$ with eigenvalue $\eta_{j}=\sum_{i \in \delta_{j}} \lambda_{i}\left|a_{i}\right|^{2}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, k$.

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left\|\Lambda_{\omega} f_{i}\right\|^{2} d \mu(\omega) & =\int_{\Omega}\left\langle\Lambda_{\omega} f_{i}, \Lambda_{\omega} f_{i}\right\rangle d \mu(\omega) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left\langle\Lambda_{\omega}\left(\sum_{j \in \delta_{i}} a_{j} e_{j}\right), \Lambda_{\omega}\left(\sum_{l \in \delta_{i}} a_{l} e_{l}\right)\right\rangle d \mu(\omega) \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \sum_{j \in \delta_{i}} \sum_{l \in \delta_{i}} a_{j} \bar{a}_{l}\left\langle\Lambda_{\omega} e_{j}, \Lambda_{\omega} e_{l}\right\rangle d \mu(\omega) \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \sum_{j \in \delta_{i}}\left|a_{j}\right|^{2}\left\langle\Lambda_{\omega} e_{j}, \Lambda_{\omega} e_{j}\right\rangle d \mu(\omega)+\int_{\Omega} \sum_{\left.l \in \delta_{i} \backslash j j\right\}} a_{j} \bar{a}_{l}\left\langle\Lambda_{\omega} e_{j}, \Lambda_{\omega} e_{l}\right\rangle d \mu(\omega) \\
& =\sum_{j \in \delta_{i}}\left|a_{j}\right|^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left\langle e_{j}, \Lambda_{\omega}^{*} \Lambda_{\omega} e_{j}\right\rangle d \mu(\omega) \\
& =\sum_{j \in \delta_{i}}\left|a_{j}\right|^{2}\left\langle S_{\Lambda} e_{j}, e_{j}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{j \in \delta_{i}} \lambda_{j}\left|a_{j}\right|^{2} \\
& =\eta_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

And it is easy to see that for $j \neq l$ we have:

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left\langle\Lambda_{\omega} f_{j}, \Lambda_{\omega} f_{l}\right\rangle d \mu(\omega)=0
$$

And $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{k}$ is an orthonormal basis for $F$ since it is an orthogonal system and $\left\|f_{j}\right\|=1$ for every $1 \leq j \leq k$.
By Proposition (2.3) $f_{j}$ is an eigenvector of $S_{\Lambda}^{1}$ with eigenvalue $\eta_{j}=\sum_{i \in \delta_{j}} \lambda_{j}\left|a_{j}\right|^{2}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, k$.
Example 2.1. Let $H=\mathbb{C}^{3}, \Omega=[0,3]$ and $K_{\omega}=\mathbb{C} \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega$, then $l^{2}\left(\left\{H_{\omega}\right\}_{\omega}\right)=L^{2}(\Omega)$.
Let us define

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\Lambda_{\omega}(x, y, z)=x-y \text { if } & \omega \in[0,1[ \\
\Lambda_{\omega}(x, y, z)=x+y \text { if } & \omega \in[1,2[ \\
\Lambda_{\omega}(x, y, z)=2 z \quad \text { if } & \omega \in[2,3] .
\end{array}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left\|\Lambda_{\omega}(x, y, z)\right\|^{2} d \mu(\omega) & =\|x-y\|^{2}+\|x+y\|^{2}+\|2 z\|^{2} \\
& =2\|x\|^{2}+2\|y\|^{2}+4\|z\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
2\|(x, y, z)\|^{2} \leq \int_{\Omega}\left\|\Lambda_{\omega}(x, y, z)\right\|^{2} d \mu(\omega) \leq 4\|(x, y, z)\|^{2}
$$

This means that $\left\{\Lambda_{\omega} \in B\left(H, K_{\omega}: w \in \Omega\right\}\right.$ is a countinous $g$-frame for $H$ with respect to $\left\{K_{\omega}\right\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ with bounds 2 and 4.
The $g$-frame operator of $\left\{\Lambda_{\omega} \in B\left(H, K_{\omega}: w \in \Omega\right\}\right.$ is:

$$
S_{\Lambda}: \mathbb{C}^{3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{3} ; \quad S_{\Lambda}(x, y, z)=(2 x, 2 y, 4 z)
$$

Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{3}$ be the standard orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{C}^{3}$.
One can see that 2 is an eigenvalue of $S_{\Lambda}$ with eigenvectors $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ and 4 is an eigenvalue of $S_{\Lambda}$ with eigenvector $e_{3}$.
We consider the orthogonal projection:

$$
P: \mathbb{C}^{3} \longrightarrow \operatorname{span}\left\{e_{3}\right\} .
$$

Then

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left\|\Lambda_{\omega}\left(e_{3}\right)\right\|^{2} d \mu(\omega)=4
$$

and $\forall f \in(I-P) \mathbb{C}^{3}$ :

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left\langle\Lambda_{\omega}\left(e_{3}\right), \Lambda_{\omega} f\right\rangle d \mu(\omega)=\left\langle S_{\Lambda}\left(e_{3}\right), f\right\rangle=\left\langle 4 e_{3}, \alpha e_{1}+\beta e_{2}\right\rangle=0 .
$$

On the other hand

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left\|\Lambda_{\omega}(x, y, z)\right\|^{2} d \mu(\omega) & =2\|x\|^{2}+2\|y\|^{2}+4\|z\|^{2} \\
& =2\left\langle(x, y, z), e_{1}\right\rangle+2\left\langle(x, y, z), e_{2}\right\rangle+2\left\langle(x, y, z), e_{3}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_{i}\left|\left\langle(x, y, z), e_{i}\right\rangle\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We define now the projection $P$ as follows;

$$
P: \mathbb{C}^{3} \longrightarrow F=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}
$$

then the $g$-frame operator of $\left\{\Lambda_{\omega} P\right\}$ is the operator $S: F \longrightarrow F$ defined by:

$$
S(x, y, z)=P S_{\Lambda} P(x, y, z)=(2 x, 2 y, 0)
$$

$e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ are eigenvectors of $S$ with the eigenvalue 2. We have

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left\|\Lambda_{\omega}\left(e_{1}\right)\right\|^{2} d \mu(\omega)=2
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left\|\Lambda_{\omega}\left(e_{2}\right)\right\|^{2} d \mu(\omega)=2
$$
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