

Fixed Point Theorems on \mathfrak{N} -Extended Fuzzy Bipolar \mathfrak{b} -Metric Spaces

Uma Maheswari Jeevanandam¹, Dillibabu Kathavarayan², Sathya Bama Raveendran³,
Fatima Azmi⁴, Nabil Mlaiki^{4,*}

¹PG and Research Department of Mathematics, St. Joseph's College, Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Trichy 620 002, Tamil Nadu, India

²Department of Mathematics, Sir Theagaraya College, Chennai 600 021, Tamil Nadu, India

³Department of Mathematics, K.Ramakrishnan College of Engineering (Autonomous), Trichy-621112, Tamilnadu, India

⁴Department of Mathematics and Sciences, Prince Sultan University, P.O. Box 66833, Riyadh 11586, Saudi Arabia

*Corresponding author: nmlaiki@psu.edu.sa, nmlaiki2012@gmail.com

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce the context of \mathfrak{N} -Extended fuzzy bipolar \mathfrak{b} -metric space and prove fixed point theorem. Some of the well-known results in the literature are expanded and generalized by our research. Additionally, we presented applications to integral equation and fractional differential equation.

In this paper, we introduce the context of \mathfrak{N} -Extended fuzzy bipolar \mathfrak{b} -metric space and prove fixed point theorem. Some of the well-known results in the literature are expanded and generalized by our research. Additionally, we presented applications to integral equation and fractional differential equation.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of continuous triangular norm was first developed by Schweizer and Sklar [1] in 1960. Following that, Zadeh [2] presents the fuzzy set theory in 1965. Using the concept of fuzziness and the continuous t -norm, Kramosil and Michalek [3] created the fuzzy metric space in 1975. The fuzzy approach to distance is predicated on the idea that the distance—which we must estimate or determine—between any two locations need not necessarily be represented by a precise number,

Received: Sep. 24, 2024.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54H25, 47H10.

Key words and phrases. partial Metric space; fuzzy metric space; fuzzy bipolar metric space; \mathfrak{N} -extended fuzzy bipolar \mathfrak{b} -metric space; fixed point.

but rather is a fuzzy idea. George and Veeramani [4] revised the fuzzy metric spaces definition in 1994. The authors of Karamosil and Michalek [3], Grabeic [5] extends the well-known fixed point theorem of Banach to fuzzy metric spaces. Gregori and Sapena [6] then extended the fuzzy banach contraction theorem, in the sense of George and Veeramani's [4], to fuzzy metric space. Mutlu and Gurdal [7] generalized bipolar metric spaces, which offer a new framework for calculating the distance between objects in two different sets. The fuzzy bipolar metric space was established by Bartwal, Dimri, and Prasad [8]. Sezen [9] demonstrated controlled fuzzy metric spaces and some associated fixed point outcomes recently. For more about fuzzy metric space see ([10–14]).

2. PRELIMINARIES

We offer the following fundamental definitions, lemmas, and propositions. Here seq means sequence and bi-seq means bisequence and \mathcal{UFP} means unique fixed point and \mathcal{FPT} means Fixed point theorem.

Definition 2.1. [4] Let Δ be a nonempty set. An triple $(\Delta, \check{w}, *)$ is said to be a fuzzy metric space if \check{w} is a fuzzy set on $\Delta^2 \times (0, \infty)$ and $*$ is a continuous \check{a} -norm satisfies for all $\check{e}, \check{r}, \check{q} \in \Delta$ and $\check{a}, \varsigma > 0$;

- (1) $\check{w}(\check{e}, \check{r}, \check{a}) > 0$;
- (2) $\check{w}(\check{e}, \check{r}, \check{a}) = 1$ iff $\check{e} = \check{r}$;
- (3) $\check{w}(\check{e}, \check{r}, \check{a}) = \check{w}(\check{r}, \check{e}, \check{a})$;
- (4) $\check{w}(\check{e}, \check{q}, \check{a} + \varsigma) \geq \check{w}(\check{e}, \check{r}, \check{a}) * \check{w}(\check{r}, \check{q}, \varsigma)$;
- (5) $\check{w}(\check{e}, \check{r}, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is continuous.

Definition 2.2. [8] Let Ψ and Δ be two non-void sets. A quadruple $(\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_b, *)$ is called as fuzzy bipolar metric space, where $*$ is continuous \check{a} -norm and \check{w}_b is a fuzzy set on $\Psi \times \Delta \times (0, \infty)$, satisfies for all $\check{a}, \varsigma, \check{u} > 0$:

- (1) $\check{w}_b(\check{e}, \check{r}, \check{a}) > 0 \forall (\check{e}, \check{r}) \in \Psi \times \Delta$;
- (2) $\check{w}_b(\check{e}, \check{r}, \check{a}) = 1$ iff $\check{e} = \check{r} \forall \check{e} \in \Psi$ and $\check{r} \in \check{e}$;
- (3) $\check{w}_b(\check{e}, \check{r}, \check{a}) = \check{w}_b(\check{r}, \check{e}, \check{a}) \forall \check{e}, \check{r} \in \Psi \cap \Delta$;
- (4) $\check{w}_b(\check{e}_1, \check{r}_2, \check{a} + \varsigma + \check{u}) \geq \check{w}_b(\check{e}_1, \check{r}_1, \check{a}) * \check{w}_b(\check{e}_2, \check{r}_1, \varsigma) * \check{w}_b(\check{e}_2, \check{r}_2, \check{u})$ for all $\check{e}_1, \check{e}_2 \in \Psi$ and $\check{r}_1, \check{r}_2 \in \Delta$;
- (5) $\check{w}_b(\check{e}, \check{r}, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is left continuous;
- (6) $\check{w}_b(\check{e}, \check{r}, \cdot)$ is non-decreasing for all $\check{e} \in \Psi$ and $\check{r} \in \Delta$.

Definition 2.3. Let Ψ and Δ be two non-void sets. Let $\check{v}, \mathfrak{N}, \wp : \Psi \times \Delta \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be three distinct functions.. A quadruple $(\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_b, *)$ is called a \mathfrak{N} -Extended fuzzy bipolar \mathfrak{b} -metric space ($\mathfrak{NEF}_b\mathfrak{BMS}$), where $*$ is continuous \check{a} -norm and \check{w}_b is a fuzzy set on $\Psi \times \Delta \times (0, \infty)$, satisfies for all $\check{a}, \varsigma, \check{u} > 0$:

- (1) $\check{w}_b(\check{e}, \check{r}, \check{a}) > 0 \forall (\check{e}, \check{r}) \in \Psi \times \Delta$;
- (2) $\check{w}_b(\check{e}, \check{r}, \check{a}) = 1$ iff $\check{e} = \check{r} \forall \check{e} \in \Psi$ and $\check{r} \in \Delta$;
- (3) $\check{w}_b(\check{e}, \check{r}, \check{a}) = \check{w}_b(\check{r}, \check{e}, \check{a}) \forall \check{e}, \check{r} \in \Psi \cap \Delta$;

- (4) $\check{w}_b(\check{e}_1, \check{r}_2, \check{v}(\check{e}_1, \check{r}_2)\check{a} + \mathfrak{N}(\check{e}_1, \check{r}_2)\varsigma + \wp(\check{e}_1, \check{r}_2)\check{u}) \geq \check{w}_b(\check{e}_1, \check{r}_1, \check{a})$
 $\star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_2, \check{r}_1, \varsigma) \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_2, \check{r}_2, \check{u})$ for all $\check{e}_1, \check{e}_2 \in \Psi$ and $\check{r}_1, \check{r}_2 \in \Delta$;
- (5) $\check{w}_b(\check{e}, \check{r}, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is left continuous;
- (6) $\check{w}_b(\check{e}, \check{r}, \cdot)$ is non-decreasing for all $\check{e} \in \Psi$ and $\check{r} \in \Delta$.

Remark 2.1. Taking $\check{v}(\check{e}_1, \check{r}_2) = \mathfrak{N}((\check{e}_1, \check{r}_2)) = \wp(\check{e}_1, \check{r}_2) = \mathbf{b}$, for all $\mathbf{b} \geq 1$, then we derive that fuzzy bipolar \mathbf{b} -metric space [15].

Example 2.1. Let $\Psi = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $\Delta = \{2, 4, 5, 6\}$ and $\check{v}, \mathfrak{N}, \wp : \Psi \times \Delta \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be three mapping defined as $\check{v}(\check{e}, \check{r}) = \check{e} + \check{r} + 1$, $\mathfrak{N}(\check{e}, \check{r}) = \check{e}^2 + \check{r} + 1$ and $\wp(\check{e}, \check{r}) = \check{e}^2 + \check{r} - 1$. Define $\check{w}_b : \Psi \times \Delta \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by

$$\check{w}_b(\check{e}, \check{r}, \check{a}) = \frac{\min\{\check{e}, \check{r}\} + \check{a}}{\max\{\check{e}, \check{r}\} + \check{a}'}$$

for all $\check{e} \in \Psi$ and $\check{r} \in \Delta$. Then $(\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_b, \star)$ is a \mathfrak{NEF}_b BMS with the continuous \check{a} -norm \star such that $\sigma \star \check{b} = \sigma \check{b}$. Conditions 1 to 3 and 5, 6 be easily verify we only prove 4. Let $\check{e}_1 = 1, \check{r}_2 = 4, \check{r}_1 = 2$ and $\check{e}_2 = 3$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \check{w}_b(1, 4, \check{v}(1, 4)\check{a} + \mathfrak{N}(1, 4)\varsigma + \wp(1, 4)\check{u}) &= \frac{\min\{1, 4\} + 6\check{a} + 6\varsigma + 4\check{u}}{\max\{1, 4\} + 6\check{a} + 6\varsigma + 4\check{u}} \\ &\geq \frac{6 + 2\varsigma + 6\check{a} + 3\check{a}\varsigma + 2\check{u} + 2\check{a}\check{u} + \check{a}\varsigma\check{u}}{24 + 8\varsigma + 12\check{a} + 4\check{a}\varsigma + 6\check{u} + 2\varsigma\check{u} + 3\varsigma\check{u} + \check{a}\varsigma\check{u}} \\ &\geq \check{w}_b(1, 2, \check{a}) \star \check{w}_b(3, 2, \varsigma) \star \check{w}_b(3, 4, \check{u}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the remaining conditions can be proved. Hence $(\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_b, \star)$ is a \mathfrak{NEF}_b BMS.

Example 2.2. We replace product \check{a} -norm in Example 2.1 by minimum \check{a} -norm, then $(\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_b, \star)$ is not a \mathfrak{NEF}_b BMS. For instance, let $\check{e}_1 = 1, \check{r}_2 = 4, \check{r}_1 = 2, \check{e}_2 = 3$ and $\check{a} = 0.02, \varsigma = 0.03, \check{u} = 0.04$ with $\check{v}, \mathfrak{N}, \wp : \Psi \times \Delta \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be three mapping defined as $\check{v}(\check{e}, \check{r}) = \check{e} + \check{r} + 1$, $\mathfrak{N}(\check{e}, \check{r}) = \check{e}^2 + \check{r} + 1$ and $\wp(\check{e}, \check{r}) = \check{e}^2 + \check{r} - 1$, then

$$\check{w}_b(1, 4, 0.12 + 0.18 + 0.16) = \frac{1 + 0.46}{4 + 0.46} = 0.32735,$$

and

$$\check{w}_b(1, 2, 0.02) = \frac{1 + 0.02}{2 + 0.02} = 1.009,$$

$$\check{w}_b(3, 2, 0.03) = \frac{2 + 0.03}{3 + 0.03} = 0.6699,$$

$$\check{w}_b(3, 4, 0.04) = \frac{3 + 0.04}{4 + 0.04} = 0.7524.$$

Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} \check{w}_b(1, 4, 0.12 + 0.18 + 0.16) &\not\geq \check{w}_b(1, 2, 0.02) \star \check{w}_b(3, 2, 0.03) \\ &\star \check{w}_b(3, 4, 0.04). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_b, \star)$ is not a \mathfrak{NEF}_b BMS with minimum \check{a} -norm.

Definition 2.4. Let $(\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_b, *)$ be a $\mathfrak{NEF}_b\text{BMS}$. The points belong to Ψ, Δ and $\Psi \cap \Delta$ is said to be Left, Right and Central points respectively.

Lemma 2.1. Let $(\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_b, *)$ be a $\mathfrak{NEF}_b\text{BMS}$ implies that

$$\check{w}_b(\check{e}, \check{r}, v\check{a}) \geq \check{w}_b(\check{e}, \check{r}, \check{a})$$

for $\check{e} \in \Psi, \check{r} \in \Delta$ and $v \in (0, 1)$. Then $\check{e} = \check{r}$.

Proof. We know

$$\check{w}_b(\check{e}, \check{r}, v\check{a}) \geq \check{w}_b(\check{e}, \check{r}, \check{a}) \text{ for } \check{a} > 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Since $v\check{a} < \check{a}$ for all $\check{a} > 0$ and $v \in (0, 1)$, by 6 we have

$$\check{w}_b(\check{e}, \check{r}, v\check{a}) \leq \check{w}_b(\check{e}, \check{r}, \check{a}). \quad (2.2)$$

From (2.1) and (2.2) and definition of $\mathfrak{NEF}_b\text{BMS}$, we get $\check{e} = \check{r}$. \square

Definition 2.5. Let $(\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_b, *)$ be a $\mathfrak{NEF}_b\text{BMS}$. A seq $\{\check{e}_\ell\} \in \Psi$ converges to a right point \check{r} iff for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $\check{a} > 0$, we can find that $\ell_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ implies that $\check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}, \check{a}) \rightarrow 1$ as $\ell \rightarrow \infty \forall \ell \geq \ell_0$. Similarly, a right seq $\{\check{r}_\ell\}$ converges to a left point \check{e} iff for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $\check{a} > 0$, we can find that $\ell_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ implies that $\check{w}_b(\check{e}, \check{r}_\ell, \check{a}) \rightarrow 1$ as $\ell \rightarrow \infty \forall \ell \geq \ell_0$.

Definition 2.6. Let $(\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_b, *)$ be a $\mathfrak{NEF}_b\text{BMS}$ then:

- (1) The $(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell) \in \Psi \times \Delta$ are referred as bi-seq on $(\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_b, *)$.
- (2) Suppose \check{e}_ℓ and \check{r}_ℓ are converges, the seq $(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell) \in \Psi \times \Delta$ are called as convergent seq. Suppose \check{e}_ℓ and \check{r}_ℓ are converges to some center point, bi-seq $(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)$ is called as biconvergent seq.
- (3) A bi-seq $(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)$ on $\mathfrak{NEF}_b\text{BMS}$ $(\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_b, *)$ are called as Cauchy bi-seq if for each $\epsilon > 0$, we can find that $\ell_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ implies that $\check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho, \check{a}) \rightarrow 1$ as $\ell, \rho \rightarrow \infty$ for all $\check{a} > 0, \ell, \rho \geq \ell_0 (\ell, \rho \in \mathbb{N})$.

Definition 2.7. The fuzzy $\mathfrak{NEF}_b\text{BMS}$ $(\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_b, *)$ is called a complete if every Cauchy bi-seq in $\Psi \times \Delta$ is convergent in it.

Proposition 2.1. In a $\mathfrak{NEF}_b\text{BMS}$, every convergent Cauchy bi-seq is biconvergent.

Proof. Let $(\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_b, *)$ be a $\mathfrak{NEF}_b\text{BMS}$ and a bi-seq $(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell) \in \Psi \times \Delta$ implies that $\{\check{e}_\ell\} \rightarrow \check{r} \in \Delta$ and $\{\check{r}_\ell\} \rightarrow \check{e} \in \Psi$. Since $(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)$ is convergent Cauchy bi-seq, so for all $\check{a} > 0$ we have

$$\check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho, \check{a}) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } \ell \rightarrow \infty,$$

which indicates

$$\check{w}_b(\check{e}, \check{r}, \check{a}) = 1 \text{ for all } \check{a} > 0.$$

Hence by 2 bi-seq $(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)$ is biconvergent. \square

Proposition 2.2. In a $\mathfrak{NEF}_b\text{BMS}$, every biconvergent bi-seq is a Cauchy bi-seq.

Proof. Let $(\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_b, *)$ be a \mathfrak{NEF}_b BMS and bi-seq $(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho) \in \Psi \times \Delta$ converges to a point $\check{e}_0 \in \Psi \cap \Delta$ for all $\ell, \rho \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\check{a} > 0$, by 4, we have

$$\check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho, \check{a}) \geq \check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{e}_0, \frac{\check{a}}{3\check{v}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{e}_0)}) * \check{w}_b(\check{e}_0, \check{e}_0, \frac{\check{a}}{3\check{v}(\check{e}_0, \check{e}_0)}) * \check{w}_b(\check{e}_0, \check{r}_\rho, \frac{\check{a}}{3\check{v}(\check{e}_0, \check{r}_\rho)})$$

as $\ell, \rho \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho, \check{a}) \geq 1 \text{ for all } \check{a} > 0.$$

Which indicates $\check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho, \check{a}) \rightarrow 1 \forall \check{a} > 0$. Hence, $(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho)$ is a Cauchy bi-seq. □

Lemma 2.2. Let $(\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_b, *)$ be a \mathfrak{NEF}_b BMS and $\chi \in \Psi \cap \Delta$ is a limit of a seq then it is a unique limit of the seq.

Proof. consider $\{\check{e}_\ell\} \in \Psi$ be a seq. Assume that $\{\check{e}_\ell\} \rightarrow \check{r} \in \Delta$ and $\{\check{e}_\ell\} \rightarrow \chi \in \Psi \cap \Delta$, then $\forall \check{a}, \varsigma, \check{u} > 0$, defined as

$$\check{w}_b(\chi, \check{r}, \check{a} + \varsigma + \check{u}) \geq \check{w}_b(\chi, \chi, \frac{\check{a}}{\check{v}(\chi, \check{r})}) * \check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \chi, \frac{\varsigma}{\mathfrak{N}(\chi, \check{r})}) * \check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}, \frac{\check{u}}{\wp(\chi, \check{r})})$$

as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\check{w}_b(\chi, \check{r}, \check{a} + \varsigma + \check{u}) \geq 1,$$

which indicates $\chi = \check{r}$, i.e., seq $\{\check{e}_\ell\}$ have a unique limit. □

Definition 2.8. A point $\check{e} \in \Psi \cap \Delta$ is said to be \mathcal{FP} for the mapping Φ on $\check{e} \in \Psi \cap \Delta$ such that $\check{e} = \Phi\check{e}$.

Motivated by Sezen [9], we prove \mathcal{FP} on \mathfrak{NEF}_b BMS with an application.

3. MAIN RESULT

We demonstrate the extension of several well-known \mathcal{FP} to \mathfrak{NEF}_b BMS in this section.

Theorem 3.1. Let $(\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_b, *)$ be a complete \mathfrak{NEF}_b BMS with three functions $\check{v}, \mathfrak{N}, \wp : \Psi \times \Delta \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ such that

$$\lim_{\check{a} \rightarrow \infty} \check{w}_b(\check{e}, \check{r}, \check{a}) = 1 \forall \check{e} \in \Psi, \check{r} \in \Delta. \tag{3.1}$$

Let $\Phi : \Psi \cup \Delta \rightarrow \Psi \cup \Delta$ be map as follows:

- (i) $\Phi(\Psi) \subseteq \Psi$ and $\Phi(\Delta) \subseteq \Delta$;
- (ii) $\check{w}_b(\Phi(\check{e}), \Phi(\check{r}), v\check{a}) \geq \check{w}_b(\check{e}, \check{r}, \check{a}) \forall \check{e} \in \Psi, \check{r} \in \Delta$ and $\check{a} > 0$, where $v \in (0, 1)$.

Also, assume that for every $\check{e} \in \Psi$, we deduce

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \check{v}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}) \text{ and } \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \check{v}(\check{r}, \check{e}_\ell),$$

Then Φ has a \mathcal{UFP} .

Proof. Fix $\check{e}_0 \in \Psi$ and $\check{r}_0 \in \Delta$ and assume that $\Phi(\check{e}_\ell) = \check{e}_{\ell+1}$ and $\Phi(\check{r}_\ell) = \check{r}_{\ell+1}$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then we get $(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)$ as a bi-seq on $\aleph EF_b BMS (\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_b, *)$. Now, we have

$$\check{w}_b(\check{e}_1, \check{r}_1, \check{a}) = \check{w}_b(\Phi(\check{e}_0), \Phi(\check{r}_0), \check{a}) \geq \check{w}_b(\check{e}_0, \check{r}_0, \frac{\check{a}}{\nu})$$

for all $\check{a} > 0$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Using induction, we get

$$\check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell, \check{a}) = \check{w}_b(\Phi(\check{e}_{\ell-g}), \Phi(\check{r}_{\ell-g}), \check{a}) \geq \check{w}_b(\check{e}_0, \check{r}_0, \frac{\check{a}}{\nu^\ell}) \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\ell, \check{a}) = \check{w}_b(\Phi(\check{e}_\ell), \Phi(\check{r}_{\ell-g}), \check{a}) \geq \check{w}_b(\check{e}_1, \check{r}_0, \frac{\check{a}}{\nu^\ell}) \quad (3.3)$$

$\forall \check{a} > 0$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider $\ell < \rho$, for $\ell, \rho \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho, \check{a}) &\geq \check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell, \frac{\check{a}}{3\check{v}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho, \check{a})}) \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\ell, \frac{\check{a}}{3\aleph(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho, \check{a})}) \\ &\star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\rho, \frac{\check{a}}{3\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho, \check{a})}) \\ &\geq \check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell, \frac{\check{a}}{3\check{v}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho, \check{a})}) \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\ell, \frac{\check{a}}{3\aleph(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho, \check{a})}) \\ &\star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_{\ell+1}, \frac{\check{a}}{3^2\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho, \check{a})\check{v}(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\rho)}) \\ &\star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+2}, \check{r}_{\ell+1}, \frac{\check{a}}{3^2\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho)\aleph(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\rho)}) \\ &\star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+2}, \check{r}_\rho, \frac{\check{a}}{3^2\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho)\wp(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\rho)}) \\ &\geq \check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell, \frac{\check{a}}{3\check{v}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho)}) \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\ell, \frac{\check{a}}{3\aleph(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho)}) \\ &\star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_{\ell+1}, \frac{\check{a}}{3^2\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho)\check{v}(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\rho)}) \\ &\star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+2}, \check{r}_{\ell+1}, \frac{\check{a}}{3^2\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho)\aleph(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\rho)}) \\ &\star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+2}, \check{r}_{\ell+2}, \frac{\check{a}}{3^3\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho)\wp(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\rho)\check{v}(\check{e}_{\ell+2}, \check{r}_\rho)}) \\ &\star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+3}, \check{r}_{\ell+2}, \frac{\check{a}}{3^3\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho)\wp(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\rho)\aleph(\check{e}_{\ell+2}, \check{r}_\rho)}) \\ &\star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+3}, \check{r}_\rho, \frac{\check{a}}{3^3\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho)\wp(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\rho)\wp(\check{e}_{\ell+2}, \check{r}_\rho)}) \\ &\geq \check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell, \frac{\check{a}}{3\check{v}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho)}) \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\ell, \frac{\check{a}}{3\check{v}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho)}) \star \dots \\ &\star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\rho-1}, \check{r}_{\rho-1}, \frac{\check{a}}{3^{\rho-1}\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho)\wp(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\rho)\dots\check{v}(\check{e}_{\rho-1}, \check{r}_{\rho-1})}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_\rho, \check{r}_{\rho-1}, \frac{\check{a}}{3^{\rho-1} \wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho) \wp(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\rho) \cdots \mathfrak{N}(\check{e}_\rho, \check{r}_{\rho-1})}) \\ & \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_\rho, \check{r}_\rho, \frac{\check{a}}{3^{\rho-1} \wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho) \wp(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\rho) \cdots \wp(\check{e}_\rho, \check{r}_\rho)}). \end{aligned}$$

Apply (3.2) and (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho, \check{a}) & \geq \check{w}_b(\check{e}_0, \check{r}_0, \frac{\check{a}}{3^{v\ell} \check{v}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho)}) \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_1, \check{r}_0, \frac{\check{a}}{3^{v\ell+1} \mathfrak{N}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho)}) \\ & \star \cdots \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_0, \check{r}_0, \frac{\check{a}}{3^{\rho-1} v^\rho \wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho) \wp(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\rho) \cdots \wp(\check{e}_\rho, \check{r}_\rho)}). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.1), as $\ell, \rho \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho, \check{a}) \geq 1 \text{ for all } \check{a} > 0.$$

Therefore, bi-seq $(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)$ is a Cauchy bi-seq. Since $(\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_b, \star)$ is a complete. So, bi-seq $(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)$ is a convergent Cauchy bi-seq. By Proposition 2.1 the bi-seq $(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)$ is biconvergent seq.

As, bi-seq $(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)$ is biconvergent then we can find $\chi \in \Psi \cap \Delta$ implies a limit of seq $\{\check{e}_\ell\}$ and $\{\check{r}_\ell\}$. Using Lemma 2.2, both seq $\{\check{e}_\ell\}$ and $\{\check{r}_\ell\}$ has a unique limit. From 4, assume

$$\begin{aligned} \check{w}_b(\Phi(\chi), \chi, \check{a}) & \geq \check{w}_b(\Phi(\chi), \Phi(\check{r}_\ell), \frac{\check{a}}{3^{\check{v}(\Phi(\chi), \chi)}}) \star \check{w}_b(\Phi(\check{e}_\ell), \Phi(\check{r}_\ell), \frac{\check{a}}{3 \mathfrak{N}(\Phi(\chi), \chi)}) \\ & \star \check{w}_b(\Phi(\check{e}_\ell), \chi, \frac{\check{a}}{3 \wp(\Phi(\chi), \chi)}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\check{a} > 0$ and as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$\check{w}_b(\Phi(\chi), \chi, \check{a}) \rightarrow 1 \star 1 \star 1 = 1.$$

From 2, we get $\Phi(\chi) = \chi$. Let $v \in \Psi \cap \Delta$ is one more \mathcal{FP} of Φ . Then

$$\check{w}_b(\chi, v, \check{a}) = \check{w}_b(\Phi(\chi), \Phi(v), \check{a}) \geq \check{w}_b(\chi, v, \frac{\check{a}}{v})$$

for $v \in (0, 1)$ and $\forall \check{a} > 0$. Using Lemma 2.1 we obtain $\chi = v$. □

Example 3.1. Let $\Psi = [0, 1]$, $\Delta = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N} - \{1\}$ and $\check{v}, \mathfrak{N}, \wp : \Psi \times \Delta \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be three mapping defined as $\check{v}(\check{e}, \check{r}) = \check{e} + \check{r} + 1$, $\mathfrak{N}(\check{e}, \check{r}) = \check{e}^2 + \check{r} + 1$ and $\wp(\check{e}, \check{r}) = \check{e}^2 + \check{r} - 1$. Define $\check{w}_b(\check{e}, \check{r}, \check{a}) = \frac{\check{a}}{\check{a} + |\check{e} - \check{r}|}$ for all $\check{a} > 0$ and $\check{e} \in \Psi$ and $\check{r} \in \Delta$. Clearly, $(\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_b, \star)$ is a complete $\mathfrak{NEF}_b\text{BMS}$, where \star is a continuous \check{a} -norm defined as $\sigma \star \check{b} = \sigma \check{b}$.

Define $\Phi : \Psi \cup \Delta \rightarrow \Psi \cup \Delta$ by

$$\Phi(\chi) = \begin{cases} \frac{\chi}{4}, & \text{if } \chi \in [0, 1], \\ 0, & \text{if } \chi \in \mathbb{N} - \{1\}, \end{cases}$$

$\forall \chi \in \Psi \cup \Delta$. Thus, Theorem 3.1 of all axioms are fulfilled. Hence Φ has a \mathcal{UFP} , i.e., $\chi = 0$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $(\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_b, *)$ be a complete $\mathfrak{NEF}_b\text{BMS}$ with three functions $\check{v}, \mathfrak{N}, \wp : \Psi \times \Delta \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ implies that

$$\lim_{\check{a} \rightarrow \infty} \check{w}_b(\check{e}, \check{r}, \check{a}) = 1 \quad \forall \check{e} \in \Psi, \check{r} \in \Delta. \quad (3.4)$$

Let $\Phi : \Psi \cup \Delta \rightarrow \Psi \cup \Delta$ be map as follows:

- (i) $\Phi(\Psi) \subseteq \Delta$ and $\Phi(\Delta) \subseteq \Psi$;
- (ii) $\check{w}_b(\Phi(\check{r}), \Phi(\check{e}), v\check{a}) \geq \check{w}_b(\check{e}, \check{r}, \check{a}), \quad \forall \check{e} \in \Psi, \check{r} \in \Delta$ and $\check{a} > 0$, where $v \in (0, 1)$.

Then Φ has a \mathcal{UFP} .

Proof. Fix $\check{e}_0 \in \Psi$ and consider $\Phi(\check{e}_\ell) = \check{r}_\ell$ and $\Phi(\check{r}_\ell) = \check{e}_{\ell+1}$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then we get $(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)$ as a bi-seq on $\mathfrak{NEF}_b\text{BMS} (\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_b, *)$. Now, we have

$$\check{w}_b(\check{e}_1, \check{r}_0, \check{a}) = \check{w}_b(\Phi(\check{r}_0), \Phi(\check{e}_0), \check{a}) \geq \check{w}_b(\check{e}_0, \check{r}_0, \frac{\check{a}}{v})$$

for all $\check{a} > 0$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Using induction we obtain

$$\check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell, \check{a}) = \check{w}_b(\Phi(\check{r}_{\ell-1}), \Phi(\check{e}_\ell), \check{a}) \geq \check{w}_b(\check{e}_0, \check{r}_0, \frac{\check{a}}{v^{2\ell}}) \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\ell, \check{a}) = \check{w}_b(\Phi(\check{r}_\ell), \Phi(\check{e}_\ell), \check{a}) \geq \check{w}_b(\check{e}_0, \check{r}_0, \frac{\check{a}}{v^{2\ell+1}}) \quad (3.6)$$

$\forall \check{a} > 0$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider $\ell < \varrho$, for $\ell, \varrho \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\varrho, \check{a}) &\geq \check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell, \frac{\check{a}}{3\check{v}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\varrho)}) \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\ell, \frac{\check{a}}{3\mathfrak{N}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\varrho)}) \\ &\quad \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\varrho, \frac{\check{a}}{3\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\varrho)}) \\ &\geq \check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell, \frac{\check{a}}{3\check{v}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\varrho)}) \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\ell, \frac{\check{a}}{3\mathfrak{N}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\varrho)}) \\ &\quad \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_{\ell+1}, \frac{\check{a}}{3^2\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\varrho)\check{v}(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\varrho)}) \\ &\quad \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+2}, \check{r}_{\ell+1}, \frac{\check{a}}{3^2\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\varrho)\mathfrak{N}(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\varrho)}) \\ &\quad \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+2}, \check{r}_\varrho, \frac{\check{a}}{3^2\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\varrho)\wp(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\varrho)}) \\ &\geq \check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell, \frac{\check{a}}{3\check{v}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\varrho)}) \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\ell, \frac{\check{a}}{3\mathfrak{N}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\varrho)}) \\ &\quad \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_{\ell+1}, \frac{\check{a}}{3^2\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\varrho)\check{v}(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\varrho)}) \\ &\quad \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+2}, \check{r}_{\ell+1}, \frac{\check{a}}{3^2\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\varrho)\mathfrak{N}(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\varrho)}) \\ &\quad \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+2}, \check{r}_{\ell+2}, \frac{\check{a}}{3^3\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\varrho)\wp(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\varrho)\check{v}(\check{e}_{\ell+2}, \check{r}_\varrho)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+3}, \check{r}_{\ell+2}, \frac{\check{a}}{3^3 \wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho) \wp(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\rho) \mathfrak{N}(\check{e}_{\ell+2}, \check{r}_\rho)}) \\
 & \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+3}, \check{r}_\rho, \frac{\check{a}}{3^3 \wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho) \wp(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\rho) \wp(\check{e}_{\ell+2}, \check{r}_\rho)}) \\
 & \geq \check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell, \frac{\check{a}}{3\check{v}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho)}) \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\ell, \frac{\check{a}}{3\check{v}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho)}) \star \dots \\
 & \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\rho-1}, \check{r}_{\rho-1}, \frac{\check{a}}{3^{\rho-1} \wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho) \wp(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\rho) \dots \check{v}(\check{e}_{\rho-1}, \check{r}_{\rho-1})}) \\
 & \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_\rho, \check{r}_{\rho-1}, \frac{\check{a}}{3^{\rho-1} \wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho) \wp(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\rho) \dots \mathfrak{N}(\check{e}_\rho, \check{r}_{\rho-1})}) \\
 & \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_\rho, \check{r}_\rho, \frac{\check{a}}{3^{\rho-1} \wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho) \wp(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\rho) \dots \wp(\check{e}_\rho, \check{r}_\rho)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Apply (3.2) and (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho, \check{a}) & \geq \check{w}_b(\check{e}_0, \check{r}_0, \frac{\check{a}}{3\nu^{2\ell}\check{v}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho)}) \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_0, \check{r}_0, \frac{\check{a}}{3\nu^{2\ell+1}\mathfrak{N}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho)}) \\
 & \star \dots \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_0, \check{r}_0, \frac{\check{a}}{3^{\rho-1}\nu^{2\rho}\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho)\wp(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\rho)\dots\wp(\check{e}_\rho, \check{r}_\rho)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

From (3.4), as $\ell, \rho \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\rho, \check{a}) \geq 1 \text{ for all } \check{a} > 0.$$

Therefore, bi-seq $(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)$ is a Cauchy bi-seq. Since $(\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_b, \star)$ is a complete. So, bi-seq $(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)$ is a convergent Cauchy bi-seq. By Proposition 2.1 the bi-seq $(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)$ is biconvergent seq. As, bi-seq $(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)$ is biconvergent then we can find $\chi \in \Psi \cap \Delta$ implies a limit of seq $\{\check{e}_\ell\}$ and $\{\check{r}_\ell\}$. Using Lemma 2.2, both seq $\{\check{e}_\ell\}$ and $\{\check{r}_\ell\}$ have a unique limit. From 4, assume

$$\begin{aligned}
 \check{w}_b(\Phi(\chi), \chi, \check{a}) & \geq \check{w}_b(\Phi(\chi), \Phi(\check{e}_\ell), \frac{\check{a}}{3\check{v}(\Phi(\chi), \chi)}) \\
 & \star \check{w}_b(\Phi(\check{r}_\ell), \Phi(\check{e}_\ell), \frac{\check{a}}{3\mathfrak{N}(\Phi(\chi), \chi)}) \\
 & \star \check{w}_b(\chi, \Phi(\check{e}_\ell), \frac{\check{a}}{3\wp(\Phi(\chi), \chi)}),
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\check{a} > 0$ and as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\check{w}_b(\Phi(\chi), \chi, \check{a}) \rightarrow 1 \star 1 \star 1 = 1.$$

From 2, we get $\Phi(\chi) = \chi$. Let $\nu \in \Psi \cap \Delta$ is one more \mathcal{FP} of Φ . Then

$$\check{w}_b(\chi, \nu, \check{a}) = \check{w}_b(\Phi(\nu), \Phi(\chi), \check{a}) \geq \check{w}_b(\chi, \nu, \frac{\check{a}}{\nu})$$

for $\nu \in (0, 1)$ and for all $\check{a} > 0$. Using Lemma 2.1 we obtain $\chi = \nu$. □

Example 3.2. Let $\Psi = [0, 1]$, $\Delta = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N} - \{1\}$ and $\check{\nu}, \mathfrak{N}, \wp : \Psi \times \Delta \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be three mapping defined as $\check{\nu}(\check{\epsilon}, \check{\rho}) = \check{\epsilon} + \check{\rho} + 1$, $\mathfrak{N}(\check{\epsilon}, \check{\rho}) = \check{\epsilon}^2 + \check{\rho} + 1$ and $\wp(\check{\epsilon}, \check{\rho}) = \check{\epsilon}^2 + \check{\rho} - 1$. Define

$$\check{w}_{\check{b}}(\check{\epsilon}, \check{\rho}, \check{a}) = e^{-\frac{(\check{\epsilon}-\check{\rho})^2}{\check{a}}}, \quad \forall \check{\epsilon} \in \Psi, \check{\rho} \in \Delta, \check{a} > 0.$$

Then $(\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_{\check{b}}, \star)$ is a complete \mathfrak{NEF}_b BMS with product \check{a} -norm. Define $\Phi : \Psi \cup \Delta \rightarrow \Psi \cup \Delta$ by

$$\Phi(\chi) = \begin{cases} \frac{1-5^{-\chi}}{7}, & \text{if } \chi \in [0, 1], \\ 0, & \text{if } \chi \in \mathbb{N} - \{1\}, \end{cases}$$

$\forall \chi \in \Psi \cup \Delta$. Let $\check{\epsilon} \in [0, 1]$ and $\check{\rho} \in \mathbb{N} - \{1\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \check{w}_{\check{b}}(\Phi(\check{\epsilon}), \Phi(\check{\rho}), v\check{a}) &= \check{w}_{\check{b}}\left(\frac{1-5^{-\check{\epsilon}}}{7}, 0, v\check{a}\right) \\ &= e^{-\frac{\left(\frac{1-5^{-\check{\epsilon}}}{7}\right)^2}{v\check{a}}} \\ &\geq e^{-\frac{(\check{\epsilon}-\check{\rho})^2}{\check{a}}} \\ &= \check{w}_{\check{b}}(\check{\epsilon}, \check{\rho}, \check{a}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Theorem 3.2 of all axioms are fulfilled. Hence Φ has a $\mathcal{UF}\mathcal{P}$, i.e., $\chi = 0$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $(\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_{\check{b}}, \star)$ be a complete \mathfrak{NEF}_b BMS with three functions $\check{\nu}, \mathfrak{N}, \wp : \Psi \times \Delta \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ and $\Phi : \Psi \cup \Delta \rightarrow \Psi \cup \Delta$ a map as follows:

- (1) $\Phi(\Psi) \subseteq \Psi$ and $\Phi(\Delta) \subseteq \Delta$;
- (2) For $\check{\epsilon} \in \Psi, \check{\rho} \in \Delta$ and $\check{a} > 0$, $\check{w}_{\check{b}}(\check{\epsilon}, \check{\rho}, \check{a}) > 0 \Rightarrow \check{w}_{\check{b}}(\Phi(\check{\epsilon}), \Phi(\check{\rho}), \check{a}) \geq \check{w}(\check{w}_{\check{b}}(\check{\epsilon}, \check{\rho}, \check{a}))$, where $\check{w} : (0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is an increasing function implies that $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \check{w}^\ell(v) = 1$ and $\check{w}(v) \geq v$ for all $v \in (0, 1]$.

Then Φ has a \mathcal{FP} .

Proof. Let $\check{\epsilon}_0 \in \Psi$ and $\check{\rho}_0 \in \Delta$ implies that $\Phi(\check{\epsilon}_\ell) = \check{\epsilon}_{\ell+1}$ and $\Phi(\check{\rho}_\ell) = \check{\rho}_{\ell+1}$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, then $(\check{\epsilon}_\ell, \check{\rho}_\ell)$ be a bi-seq on \mathfrak{NEF}_b BMS $(\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_{\check{b}}, \star)$. By condition of 2 for all $\check{a} > 0$ and condition 2, we get

$$\check{w}_{\check{b}}(\check{\epsilon}_\ell, \check{\rho}_\ell, \check{a}) \geq \check{w}^\ell(\check{w}_{\check{b}}(\check{\epsilon}_0, \check{\rho}_0, \check{a})) \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\check{w}_{\check{b}}(\check{\epsilon}_{\ell+1}, \check{\rho}_\ell, \check{a}) \geq \check{w}^\ell(\check{w}_{\check{b}}(\check{\epsilon}_1, \check{\rho}_0, \check{a})). \quad (3.8)$$

Let $\ell < \rho$, for $\ell, \rho \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \check{w}_{\check{b}}(\check{\epsilon}_\ell, \check{\rho}_\rho, \check{a}) &\geq \check{w}_{\check{b}}\left(\check{\epsilon}_\ell, \check{\rho}_\ell, \frac{\check{a}}{3\check{\nu}(\check{\epsilon}_\ell, \check{\rho}_\rho)}\right) \star \check{w}_{\check{b}}\left(\check{\epsilon}_{\ell+1}, \check{\rho}_\ell, \frac{\check{a}}{3\mathfrak{N}(\check{\epsilon}_\ell, \check{\rho}_\rho)}\right) \\ &\quad \star \check{w}_{\check{b}}\left(\check{\epsilon}_{\ell+1}, \check{\rho}_\rho, \frac{\check{a}}{3\wp(\check{\epsilon}_\ell, \check{\rho}_\rho)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\geq \check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell, \frac{\check{a}}{3\check{v}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)}) \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\ell, \frac{\check{a}}{3\mathfrak{N}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)}) \\
 &\star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_{\ell+1}, \frac{\check{a}}{3^2\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)\check{v}(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\ell)}) \\
 &\star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+2}, \check{r}_{\ell+1}, \frac{\check{a}}{3^2\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)\mathfrak{N}(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\ell)}) \\
 &\star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+2}, \check{r}_\ell, \frac{\check{a}}{3^2\wp(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\ell)\wp(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\ell)}) \\
 &\geq \check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell, \frac{\check{a}}{3\check{v}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)}) \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\ell, \frac{\check{a}}{3\mathfrak{N}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)}) \\
 &\star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_{\ell+1}, \frac{\check{a}}{3^2\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)\check{v}(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\ell)}) \\
 &\star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+2}, \check{r}_{\ell+1}, \frac{\check{a}}{3^2\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)\mathfrak{N}(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\ell)}) \\
 &\star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+2}, \check{r}_{\ell+2}, \frac{\check{a}}{3^3\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)\wp(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\ell)\check{v}(\check{e}_{\ell+2}, \check{r}_\ell)}) \\
 &\star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+3}, \check{r}_{\ell+2}, \frac{\check{a}}{3^3\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)\wp(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\ell)\mathfrak{N}(\check{e}_{\ell+2}, \check{r}_\ell)}) \\
 &\star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+3}, \check{r}_\ell, \frac{\check{a}}{3^3\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)\wp(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\ell)\wp(\check{e}_{\ell+2}, \check{r}_\ell)}) \\
 &\geq \check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell, \frac{\check{a}}{3\check{v}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)}) \star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\ell, \frac{\check{a}}{3\mathfrak{N}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)}) \star \dots \\
 &\star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell-1}, \check{r}_{\ell-1}, \frac{\check{a}}{3^{\ell-1}\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)\wp(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\ell) \dots \check{v}(\check{e}_{\ell-1}, \check{r}_{\ell-1})}) \\
 &\star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_{\ell-1}, \frac{\check{a}}{3^{\ell-1}\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)\wp(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\ell) \dots \mathfrak{N}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_{\ell-1})}) \\
 &\star \check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell, \frac{\check{a}}{3^{\ell-1}\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)\wp(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\ell) \dots \wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Apply (3.7) and (3.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell, \check{a}) &\geq \check{w}^\ell(\check{w}_b(\check{e}_0, \check{r}_0, \frac{\check{a}}{3\check{v}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)})) \star \check{w}^\ell(\check{w}_b(\check{e}_1, \check{r}_0, \frac{\check{a}}{3\mathfrak{N}(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)})) \\
 &\star \dots \star \check{w}^\ell(\check{w}_b(\check{e}_0, \check{r}_0, \frac{\check{a}}{3^{\ell-1}\wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)\wp(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \check{r}_\ell) \dots \wp(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell)})).
 \end{aligned}$$

As $\ell, \rho \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \check{r}_\ell, \check{a}) \rightarrow 1$ for all $\check{a} > 0$. By Theorem 3.1. We get, if $\chi \in \Psi \cap \Delta$ implies a unique limit of seq $\{\check{e}_\ell\}$ and $\{\check{r}_\ell\}$, then χ is a \mathcal{FP} of Φ . We have, $\check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \chi, \check{a}) \rightarrow \check{a}$ for all $\check{a} > 0$ and $\check{w}_b(\check{e}_{\ell+1}, \Phi(\chi), \check{a}) = \check{w}_b(\Phi(\check{e}_\ell), \Phi(\chi), \check{a}) \geq \check{w}(\check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \chi, \check{a})) \geq \check{w}_b(\check{e}_\ell, \chi, \check{a})$, and $\check{e}_{\ell+1} \rightarrow \Phi(\chi)$, such that $\Phi(\chi) = \chi$. □

Example 3.3. Let $\Psi = \{2, 4, 5, 6\}, \Delta = \{1, 4\}, \sigma * \check{b} = \sigma \check{b} \forall \sigma, \check{b} \in [0, 1]$ and $\check{\nu}, \mathfrak{N}, \wp : \Psi \times \Delta \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be three mapping defined as $\check{\nu}(\check{e}, \check{r}) = \check{e} + \check{r} + 1, \mathfrak{N}(\check{e}, \check{r}) = \check{e}^2 + \check{r} + 1$ and $\wp(\check{e}, \check{r}) = \check{e}^2 + \check{r} - 1$. Define

$$\check{w}_{\check{b}}(\check{e}, \check{r}, \check{a}) = \frac{\min\{\check{e}, \check{r}\} + \check{a}}{\max\{\check{e}, \check{r}\} + \check{a}} \text{ for all } \check{e} \in \Psi, \check{r} \in \Delta \text{ and for all } \check{a} > 0.$$

Then $(\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_{\check{b}}, *)$ is an complete \mathfrak{NEF}_b BMS. Now, define $\check{w} : (0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1]$ implies that $\check{w}(v) = \sqrt{v}$. Now, $\check{w}(v) = \sqrt{v}$ satisfies \check{w} function.

Let $\Phi : \Psi \cup \Delta \rightarrow \Psi \cup \Delta$ be a map implies that $\Phi(2) = \Phi(4) = \Phi(1) = 4, \Phi(5) = \Phi(6) = 1$. Thus Theorem 3.3 of all axioms are fulfilled. The \mathcal{FP} of Φ is $\check{e} = 4$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $(\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_{\check{b}}, *)$ be a complete \mathfrak{NEF}_b BMS with three functions $\check{\nu}, \mathfrak{N}, \wp : \Psi \times \Delta \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ and $\Phi : \Psi \cup \Delta \rightarrow \Psi \cup \Delta$ a map as follows:

- (i) $\Phi(\Psi) \subseteq \Delta$ and $\Phi(\Delta) \subseteq \Psi$;
- (ii) For $\check{e} \in \Psi, \check{r} \in \Delta$ and $\check{a} > 0, \check{w}_{\check{b}}(\check{e}, \check{r}, \check{a}) > 0 \implies \check{w}_{\check{b}}(\Phi(\check{r}), \Phi(\check{e}), \check{a}) \geq \check{w}(\check{w}_{\check{b}}(\check{e}, \check{r}, \check{a}))$.

Then Φ has a \mathcal{FP} .

Proof. We can easily prove by Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.2. □

4. APPLICATION

In this part, we investigate the existence and unique solution of integral equations as an application of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 4.1. Assume that the integral equation

$$\check{e}(\varrho) = \check{b}(\varrho) + \int_{\check{T}_1 \cup \check{T}_2} \Omega(\varrho, \varsigma, \check{e}(\varsigma)) d\varsigma, \quad \varrho \in \check{T}_1 \cup \check{T}_2,$$

where $\check{T}_1 \cup \check{T}_2$ is a Lebesgue measurable set. Let

- (1) $\Omega : (\check{T}_1^2 \cup \check{T}_2^2) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $b \in L^\infty(\check{T}_1) \cup L^\infty(\check{T}_2)$,
- (2) there is a continuous function $\theta : \check{T}_1^2 \cup \check{T}_2^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $v \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$|\Omega(\varrho, \varsigma, \check{e}(\varsigma)) - \Omega(\varrho, \varsigma, \check{r}(\varsigma))| \leq v\theta(\varrho, \varsigma)(|\check{e}(\varrho) - \check{r}(\varrho)|),$$

for $\varrho, \varsigma \in \check{T}_1^2 \cup \check{T}_2^2$,

- (3) $\sup_{\varrho \in \check{T}_1 \cup \check{T}_2} \int_{\check{T}_1 \cup \check{T}_2} \theta(\varrho, \varsigma) d\varsigma \leq 1$.

Then the integral equation has a unique solution in $L^\infty(\check{T}_1) \cup L^\infty(\check{T}_2)$.

Proof. Let $\Psi = L^\infty(\check{T}_1)$ and $\Delta = L^\infty(\check{T}_2)$ be two normed linear spaces, where \check{T}_1, \check{T}_2 are Lebesgue measurable sets and $m(\check{T}_1 \cup \check{T}_2) < \infty$.

Consider $\check{w}_{\check{b}} : \Psi \times \Delta \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$\check{w}_{\check{b}}(\check{e}, \check{r}, \check{a}) = e^{-\frac{\sup_{\varrho \in \check{T}_1 \cup \check{T}_2} |\check{e}(\varrho) - \check{r}(\varrho)|}{\check{a}}}.$$

for all $\check{e} \in \Psi, \check{r} \in \Delta$. Define $\check{v}, \mathfrak{N}, \wp : \Psi \times \Delta \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be three mapping defined as $\check{v}(\check{e}, \check{r}) = \check{e} + \check{r} + 1, \mathfrak{N}(\check{e}, \check{r}) = \check{e}^2 + \check{r} + 1$ and $\wp(\check{e}, \check{r}) = \check{e}^2 + \check{r} - 1$. Then $(\Psi, \Delta, \check{w}_{\check{b}}, \star)$ is a complete \mathfrak{NEF}_b BMS. Define the mapping $\Phi, \Phi : L^\infty(\check{T}_1) \cup L^\infty(\check{T}_2) \rightarrow L^\infty(\check{T}_1) \cup L^\infty(\check{T}_2)$ by

$$\Phi(\check{e}(\varrho)) = \check{b}(\varrho) + \int_{\check{T}_1 \cup \check{T}_2} \Omega(\varrho, \varsigma, \check{e}(\varsigma)) d\varsigma, \quad \varrho \in \check{T}_1 \cup \check{T}_2.$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \check{w}_{\check{b}}(\Phi\check{e}(\varrho), \Phi\check{r}(\varrho), v\check{a}) &= e^{-\sup_{\varrho \in \check{T}_1 \cup \check{T}_2} \frac{|\Phi\check{e}(\varrho) - \Phi\check{r}(\varrho)|}{v\check{a}}} \\ &= e^{-\sup_{\varrho \in \check{T}_1 \cup \check{T}_2} \frac{|\check{b}(\varrho) + \int_{\check{T}_1 \cup \check{T}_2} \Omega(\varrho, \varsigma, \check{e}(\varsigma)) d\varsigma - \check{b}(\varrho) - \int_{\check{T}_1 \cup \check{T}_2} \Omega(\varrho, \varsigma, \check{r}(\varsigma)) d\varsigma|}{v\check{a}}} \\ &= e^{-\sup_{\varrho \in \check{T}_1 \cup \check{T}_2} \left| \frac{\check{b}(\varrho) + \int_{\check{T}_1 \cup \check{T}_2} \Omega(\check{a}, \varsigma, \check{e}(\varsigma)) d\varsigma - \left(\check{b}(\varrho) + \int_{\check{T}_1 \cup \check{T}_2} \Omega(\check{a}, \varsigma, \check{r}(\varsigma)) d\varsigma \right)}{v\check{a}} \right|} \\ &\geq e^{-\sup_{\varrho \in \check{T}_1 \cup \check{T}_2} \frac{\int_{\check{T}_1 \cup \check{T}_2} |\Omega(\varrho, \varsigma, \check{e}(\varsigma)) - \Omega(\varrho, \varsigma, \check{r}(\varsigma))| d\varsigma}{v\check{a}}} \\ &\geq e^{-\sup_{\varrho \in \check{T}_1 \cup \check{T}_2} \frac{\int_{\check{T}_1 \cup \check{T}_2} v\theta(\varrho, \varsigma) (|\check{e}(\varrho) - \check{r}(\varrho)|) d\varsigma}{v\check{a}}} \\ &\geq e^{-\sup_{\varrho \in \check{T}_1 \cup \check{T}_2} \frac{\int_{\check{T}_1 \cup \check{T}_2} v\theta(\varrho, \varsigma) (|\check{e}(\varrho) - \check{r}(\varrho)|) d\varsigma}{v\check{a}}} \\ &\geq e^{-\sup_{\varrho \in \check{T}_1 \cup \check{T}_2} \frac{|\check{e}(\varrho) - \check{r}(\varrho)|}{\check{a}}} \\ &= \check{w}_{\check{b}}(\check{e}, \check{r}, \check{a}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from Theorem 3.1 of all axioms are fulfilled and thus integral equation has a unique solution. □

5. APPLICATION TO FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Fractional differential equations (FDEs) can be used to model and study physical systems with continuous distributions or interactions. They offer a framework for understanding the complex behaviors and interconnections present in various engineering systems. There are several possible applications for implicit differential equations (FDEs) in engineering research. In this part, we show that the FDE has a single solution. These kinds of differential equations are frequently utilized in engineering. These formulas offer an adaptable structure for comprehending and evaluating continuous distributions and interactions in a range of engineering domains. By merging ideas from graph mappings, Kannan mappings, and fuzzy contractions, Younis and Abdou [16] creatively developed a brand-new concept known as Kannan-graph-fuzzy contraction. We demonstrate that the following fractional differential equations have a unique solution in the sense of the Caputo derivative. Refer to this work [17] for further details.

$$\mathcal{D}_{0+}^\eta \check{r}(\varrho) + g(\varrho, \check{r}(\varrho)) = 0, \quad 0 < \varrho < 1, \tag{5.1}$$

with boundary conditions

$$\check{r}(0) + \check{r}'(0) = 0, \quad \check{r}(1) + \check{r}'(1) = 0,$$

where, $1 < \eta \leq 2$, $g: [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ are continuous functions. Let $\Psi = (\check{C}[0, 1], \mathbb{R}^+ = \{f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+\})$, and $\Delta = (\check{C}[0, 1], (-\infty, 0]) = \{f : [0, 1] \rightarrow (-\infty, 0]\}$: are f is a continuous function. Define $\Omega_b : \Psi \times \Delta \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$\Omega_b(\check{r}, \omega, \pi) = e^{-\frac{\sup_{\rho \in \Psi_1 \cup \Psi_2} |\check{r}(\rho) - \omega(\rho)|^2}{\pi}},$$

where $i * b = ib$. Consider $\check{r} \in \Psi \cup \Delta$ solves (5.1) and for every $\check{r} \in \Psi \cup \Delta$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \check{r}(\rho) &= \frac{1}{\Phi(\eta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\eta-1} (1-\rho) g(s, \check{r}(s)) ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Phi(\eta-1)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\eta-2} (1-\rho) g(s, \check{r}(s)) ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Phi(\eta)} \int_0^\rho (\rho-s)^{\eta-1} g(s, \check{r}(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.1. Consider the operators $\mathcal{T} : \Psi \cup \Delta \rightarrow \Psi \cup \Delta$ are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}\check{r}(\rho) &= \frac{1}{\Phi(\eta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\eta-1} (1-\rho) g(s, \check{r}(s)) ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Phi(\eta-1)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\eta-2} (1-\rho) g(s, \check{r}(s)) ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Phi(\eta)} \int_0^\rho (\rho-s)^{\eta-1} g(s, \check{r}(s)) ds, \end{aligned}$$

Such that

(1) for all $\check{r} \in \Psi, \omega \in \Delta$ and $g: [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, satisfies

$$|g(s, \check{r}(s)) - g(s, \omega(s))| \leq \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} |\check{r}(s) - \omega(s)|,$$

(2)

$$\sup_{\rho \in (0,1)} \left| \frac{1-\rho}{\Phi(\eta+1)} + \frac{1-\rho}{\Phi(\eta)} + \frac{\rho^\eta}{\Phi(\eta+1)} \right|^2 = \ell < 1.$$

Then \mathcal{T} has a unique solution.

Proof. Let $\check{r} \in \Psi, \omega \in \Delta$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{T}\check{r}(\rho) - \mathcal{T}\omega(\rho)|^2 &= \left| \frac{1}{\Phi(\eta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\eta-1} (1-\rho) (g(s, \check{r}(s)) - g(s, \omega(s))) ds \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Phi(\eta-1)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\eta-2} (1-\rho) (g(s, \check{r}(s)) - g(s, \omega(s))) ds \\ &\left. + \frac{1}{\Phi(\eta)} \int_0^\rho (\rho-s)^{\eta-1} (g(s, \check{r}(s)) - g(s, \omega(s))) ds \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\Phi(\eta)} \int_0^1 (1-\xi)^{\eta-1} (1-\varrho) \left| (g(\xi, \check{r}(\xi)) - g(\xi, \omega(\xi))) \right| d\xi \right. \\
 &+ \frac{1}{\Phi(\eta-1)} \int_0^1 (1-\xi)^{\eta-2} (1-\varrho) \left| (g(\xi, \check{r}(\xi)) - g(\xi, \omega(\xi))) \right| d\xi \\
 &+ \left. \frac{1}{\Phi(\eta)} \int_0^\varrho (\varrho-\xi)^{\eta-1} \left| (g(\xi, \check{r}(\xi)) - g(\xi, \omega(\xi))) \right| d\xi \right)^2 \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\Phi(\eta)} \int_0^1 (1-\xi)^{\eta-1} (1-\varrho) \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} |\check{r}(\xi) - \omega(\xi)| d\xi \right. \\
 &+ \frac{1}{\Phi(\eta-1)} \int_0^1 (1-\xi)^{\eta-2} (1-\varrho) \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} |\check{r}(\xi) - \omega(\xi)| d\xi \\
 &+ \left. \frac{1}{\Phi(\eta)} \int_0^\varrho (\varrho-\xi)^{\eta-1} \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} |\check{r}(\xi) - \omega(\xi)| d\xi \right)^2 \\
 &= \sigma |\check{r}(\varrho) - \omega(\varrho)|^2 \left(\frac{1}{\Phi(\eta)} \int_0^1 (1-\xi)^{\eta-1} (1-\varrho) d\xi \right. \\
 &+ \frac{1}{\Phi(\eta-1)} \int_0^1 (1-\xi)^{\eta-2} (1-\varrho) d\xi + \frac{1}{\Phi(\eta)} \int_0^\varrho (\varrho-\xi)^{\eta-1} d\xi \Big)^2 \\
 &= \sigma |\check{r}(\varrho) - \omega(\varrho)|^2 \left(\frac{1-\varrho}{\Phi(\eta+1)} + \frac{1-\varrho}{\Phi(\eta)} + \frac{\varrho^\eta}{\Phi(\eta+1)} \right)^2 \\
 &\leq \sigma |\check{r}(\varrho) - \omega(\varrho)|^2 \sup_{\varrho \in (0,1)} \left(\frac{1-\varrho}{\Phi(\eta+1)} + \frac{1-\varrho}{\Phi(\eta)} + \frac{\varrho^\eta}{\Phi(\eta+1)} \right)^2 \\
 &= \ell \sigma |\check{r}(\varrho) - \omega(\varrho)|^2 \\
 &\leq \sigma |\check{r}(\varrho) - \omega(\varrho)|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\left| \mathcal{T}\check{r}(\varrho) - \mathcal{T}\omega(\varrho) \right|^2 \leq \sigma |\check{r}(\varrho) - \omega(\varrho)|^2,$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{\sup_{\varrho \in [0,1]} \left| \mathcal{T}\check{r}(\varrho) - \mathcal{T}\omega(\varrho) \right|^2}{\sigma \pi} \geq - \frac{\sup_{\varrho \in [0,1]} |\check{r}(\varrho) - \omega(\varrho)|^2}{\pi} \\
 &\exp \left(- \frac{\sup_{\varrho \in [0,1]} \left| \mathcal{T}\check{r}(\varrho) - \mathcal{T}\omega(\varrho) \right|^2}{\sigma \pi} \right) \geq \exp \left(- \frac{\sup_{\varrho \in [0,1]} |\check{r}(\varrho) - \omega(\varrho)|^2}{\pi} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

thus, we have

$$\Omega_b(\mathcal{T}\check{r}(\varrho), \mathcal{T}\omega(\varrho), \sigma \pi) \geq \Omega_b(\check{r}(\varrho), \omega(\varrho), \pi).$$

Hence, Theorem 3.1 of all axioms are satisfied, we can find that the Caputo fractional solution (5.1).

□

Acknowledgement: The authors F. Azmi and N. Mlaiki would like to thank Prince Sultan University for paying the publication fees for this work through TAS LAB.

Authors' Contributions: U.M.J., D.K., S.B.R., F.A. and N.M. wrote the main manuscript text. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, Statistical Metric Spaces, *Pac. J. Math.* 10 (1960), 313–334.
- [2] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, *Inf. Control* 8 (1965), 338–353. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958\(65\)90241-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958(65)90241-X).
- [3] I. Kramosil, J. Michalek, Fuzzy Metric and Statistical Metric Spaces, *Kybernetika* 11 (1975), 326–334. <http://dmlcz/125556>.
- [4] A. George, P. Veeramani, On Some Results in Fuzzy Metric Spaces, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 64 (1994), 395–399. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(94\)90162-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(94)90162-7).
- [5] M. Grabiec, Fixed Points in Fuzzy Metric Spaces, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 27 (1988), 385–389. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(88\)90064-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(88)90064-4).
- [6] V. Gregori, A. Sapena, On Fixed-Point Theorems in Fuzzy Metric Spaces, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 125 (2002), 245–252. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114\(00\)00088-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(00)00088-9).
- [7] A. Mutlu, U. Gürdal, Bipolar Metric Spaces and Some Fixed Point Theorems, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 09 (2016), 5362–5373. <https://doi.org/10.22436/jnsa.009.09.05>.
- [8] A. Bartwal, R.C. Dimri, G. Prasad, Some Fixed Point Theorems in Fuzzy Bipolar Metric Spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 13 (2020), 196–204. <https://doi.org/10.22436/jnsa.013.04.04>.
- [9] M.S. Sezen, Controlled Fuzzy Metric Spaces and Some Related Fixed Point Results, *Numer. Methods Partial Differ. Equ.* 37 (2021), 583–593. <https://doi.org/10.1002/num.22541>.
- [10] G. Mani, A.J. Gnanaprakasam, L. Guran, R. George, Z.D. Mitrović, Some Results in Fuzzy B-Metric Space with b -Triangular Property and Applications to Fredholm Integral Equations and Dynamic Programming, *Mathematics* 11 (2023), 4101. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math11194101>.
- [11] G. Mani, A.J. Gnanaprakasam, O. Ege, A. Aloqaily, N. Mlaiki, Fixed Point Results in C^* -Algebra-Valued Partial b -Metric Spaces with Related Application, *Mathematics* 11 (2023), 1158. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math11051158>.
- [12] G. Mani, S. Haque, A.J. Gnanaprakasam, O. Ege, N. Mlaiki, The Study of Bicomplex-Valued Controlled Metric Spaces with Applications to Fractional Differential Equations, *Mathematics* 11 (2023), 2742. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math11122742>.
- [13] A.J. Gnanaprakasam, G. Mani, O. Ege, A. Aloqaily, N. Mlaiki, New Fixed Point Results in Orthogonal B-Metric Spaces with Related Applications, *Mathematics* 11 (2023), 677. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math11030677>.
- [14] G. Nallaselli, A.J. Gnanaprakasam, G. Mani, Z.D. Mitrović, A. Aloqaily, N. Mlaiki, Integral Equation via Fixed Point Theorems on a New Type of Convex Contraction in B-Metric and 2-Metric Spaces, *Mathematics* 11 (2023), 344. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math11020344>.
- [15] B. Ramalingam, O. Ege, A. Aloqaily, N. Mlaiki, Fixed-Point Theorems on Fuzzy Bipolar b -Metric Spaces, *Symmetry* 15 (2023), 1831. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym15101831>.
- [16] M. Younis, A.A.N. Abdou, Novel Fuzzy Contractions and Applications to Engineering Science, *Fractal Fract.* 8 (2023), 28. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fractalfract8010028>.
- [17] S.Zhang, Positive solutions for boundary-value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations, *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* 2006 (2006), 36.

-
- [18] I. Beg, A.J. Gnanaprakasam, G. M, Common Coupled Fixed Point Theorem on Fuzzy Bipolar Metric Spaces, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 14 (2023), 77–85. <https://doi.org/10.22075/ijnaa.2023.27787.3712>.