

New Type of Fuzzy Algebra Structure Setting Complex Bipolar Neutrosophic Sets of Bisemirings

M. Palanikumar¹, T.T. Raman², Aiyared Iampan^{3,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Saveetha School of Engineering, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Chennai-602105, India

²Department of Mathematics, St. Joseph's Institute of Technology, OMR, Chennai-600119, India

³Department of Mathematics, School of Science, University of Phayao, 19 Moo 2, Tambon Mae Ka, Amphur Mueang, Phayao 56000, Thailand

*Corresponding author: aiyared.ia@up.ac.th

Abstract. The notion of complex bipolar neutrosophic subbisemirings (CBNSBSs) is constructed and analyzed. We examine the significant characteristics and homomorphic features of CBNSBSs. We propose the CBNSBS level sets for bisemirings. Suppose that \mathbb{k} is a subset of \mathfrak{S} . Then $R = (\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-} \cdot e^{i\omega \Delta_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^-} \cdot e^{i\omega \Delta_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^-}}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-} \cdot e^{i\omega \Delta_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+} \cdot e^{i\omega \Delta_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+} \cdot e^{i\omega \Delta_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+} \cdot e^{i\omega \Delta_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}})$ is a CBNSBS of \mathfrak{S} if and only if $\mathbb{C}^{(h_1, h_2)}$ is a subbisemiring (SBS) of \mathfrak{S} for all $h_1, h_2 \in [-1, 0] \times [0, 1]$. It is demonstrated that all CBNSBSs have homomorphic images, and all CBNSBSs have homomorphic pre-images. Examples are provided to show how our findings are used.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fuzzy set (FS) theory was initially developed by Zadeh [20], and it is the best at dealing with ambiguity and uncertainty. If an element in an FS has a single value inside the interval, it is regarded as a member. The degree of non-membership does not always equal one minus the degree of membership, though, as resistance can occur in real-world circumstances. An increasing number of hybrid fuzzy models are being created as FS theory develops swiftly. The uncertainties have contributed to the development of a number of uncertain theories, such as FS [20], intuitionistic FS (IFS) [3], Pythagorean FS (PFS) [19] and spherical FS (SFS) [2]. MG sets, or sets with grades between 0 and 1, make up an FS. Although the representation made by Atanassov [3] that non-membership grades (NMG) can only have a value of 1, IFS is categorized as MG. The total of MGs and NMGs

Received: Oct. 16, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 06D72, 90B50, 03B52.

Key words and phrases. bisemiring; complex bipolar neutrosophic subbisemiring (CBNSBS); subbisemiring (SBS); homomorphism.

may occasionally exceed 1 throughout a decision-making process. Yager [19] used PFS logic to develop the generalized MG and NMG logic, which has a value not exceeding 1 and is determined by the square of the MGs and NMGs. As the neutral state is neither positive nor negative, these theories are unable to express it. Cuong [4] talked to colleagues about the picture FS. FS used three grading points: positive, neutral, and negative. The sum of these grades could not be greater than 1. It also outperforms PFS and IFS in several situations. It addresses the truth, indeterminacy, and falsity of FS and IFS and is an autonomous generalization of three models. In Lee [7], the idea of bipolar fuzzy sets was presented. The membership degree range in conventional fuzzy sets is $[0, 1]$. FSs that have their membership degree range expanded from the interval $[0, 1]$ to the interval $[-1, 1]$ are called bipolar fuzzy sets. In a bipolar fuzzy set, elements with a membership degree of 0 are not relevant to the corresponding property; elements with a membership degree of $(0, 1]$ indicate that the property is somewhat satisfied; elements with a membership degree of $[-1, 0]$ indicate that the implicit counter property is somewhat satisfied.

To handle conflicting and unclear data, Smarandache [18] developed the neutrosophic set (NS). The degree to which an idea is true, ambiguous, or false is established using this logic. Ramot et al. [16] introduce the concept of the complex fuzzy set (CFS). The membership functions of CFS's transactions can have a very broad range of values. While the unit circle of a fuzzy membership function remains fixed, the unit circle of the complex plane is expanded to $[0, 1]$. Rather than extending exclusively to $[0, 1]$, the membership function $\mu_X(x)$ of the CFS X extends to the unit circle in the complex plane. Hence, $\mu_X(x)$ is a complex-valued function that, for any element x in the discourse universe, provides a grade of membership of the type $\eta_X(x) \cdot e^{i\tau_X(x)}$, where $i = \sqrt{-1}$. The two real-valued variables, $\eta_X(x)$ and $\tau_X(x)$, where $\eta_X(x) \in [0, 1]$, define the value of $\mu_X(x)$. Golan [5] established the concept of semiring logic and its applications. Hussian et al. [6] discussed the concept and use of bisemirings. Fuzzy semirings were studied by Ahsan et al. [1]. Sen et al. [17] introduced the concept of bisemirings. Palanikumar et al. (2019) introduced an intuitionistic fuzzy normal subbisemiring of bisemirings [10]. Palanikumar et al. [14] introduced the concept of bisemirings by using bipolar-valued neutrosophic normal sets. The novel aggregating operator was discussed by Palanikumar et al. [8,9,11–13,15].

We will examine particular elements of the SBS and CBNSBS ideas and draw some inferences. The following five sections make up the article. We introduce semirings and SBSs in Section 1. Information on semirings and SBS preparations is provided in Section 2. The properties of CBNSBS are listed in Section 3. For CBNSBS evaluation, numerical examples are advised. The conclusion and subsequent direction are indicated in Section 4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1. [17] *An algebraic structure $(\mathfrak{S}, \uplus, \ominus, \odot)$ is a bisemiring if $(\mathfrak{S}, \uplus, \ominus)$ and $(\mathfrak{S}, \ominus, \odot)$ are semirings, i.e., (\mathfrak{S}, \uplus) , (\mathfrak{S}, \ominus) , and (\mathfrak{S}, \odot) are semigroups and*

$$(1) \ z_1 \ominus (z_2 \uplus z_3) = (z_1 \ominus z_2) \uplus (z_1 \ominus z_3),$$

- (2) $(z_2 \uplus z_3) \ominus z_1 = (z_2 \ominus z_1) \uplus (z_3 \ominus z_1),$
- (3) $z_1 \odot (z_2 \ominus z_3) = (z_1 \odot z_2) \ominus (z_1 \odot z_3),$
- (4) $(z_2 \ominus z_3) \odot z_1 = (z_2 \odot z_1) \ominus (z_3 \odot z_1), \forall z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathfrak{S}.$

Definition 2.2. A bipolar fuzzy set \mathbb{C} in a universe \mathcal{U} is an object having the form

$$\mathbb{C} = \left\{ \left\langle x, A_{\mathbb{C}}^+(x), A_{\mathbb{C}}^-(x) \right\rangle \mid x \in \mathcal{U} \right\},$$

where $A_{\mathbb{C}}^+ : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $A_{\mathbb{C}}^- : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow [-1, 0]$.

Here $A_{\mathbb{C}}^+(x)$ represents the degree of satisfaction of the element x to the property of $A_{\mathbb{C}}^-(x)$ representing the degree of satisfaction of x to some implicit counter property of \mathbb{C} . For simplicity, the symbol $\langle A_{\mathbb{C}}^+, A_{\mathbb{C}}^- \rangle$ is used for the bipolar fuzzy set $\mathbb{C} = \left\{ \left\langle x, A_{\mathbb{C}}^+(x), A_{\mathbb{C}}^-(x) \right\rangle \mid x \in \mathcal{U} \right\}$.

Definition 2.3. For two bipolar fuzzy subsets $\mathbb{C} = (\mathbb{C}^+, \mathbb{C}^-)$ and $\lambda = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$, the product of \mathbb{C} and λ is denoted by $\mathbb{C} \circ \lambda$ and is defined as

$$(\mathbb{C}^+ \circ \lambda^+)(x) = \begin{cases} \sup_{(s,t) \in A_x} \left\{ \mathbb{C}^+(s) \wedge \lambda^+(t) \right\} & \text{if } A_x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } A_x = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$(\mathbb{C}^- \circ \lambda^-)(x) = \begin{cases} \inf_{(s,t) \in A_x} \left\{ \lambda^-(s) \vee \lambda^-(t) \right\} & \text{if } A_x \neq 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } A_x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.4. [18] An NS \mathbb{C} in the universe \mathcal{U} is $\mathbb{C} = \{x, A_{\mathbb{C}}^T(x), A_{\mathbb{C}}^I(x), A_{\mathbb{C}}^F(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{U}\}$, where $A_{\mathbb{C}}^T(x), A_{\mathbb{C}}^I(x), A_{\mathbb{C}}^F(x)$ represents the TD, ID, and FD of v respectively. Consider the mapping $A_{\mathbb{C}}^T : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow [0, 1], A_{\mathbb{C}}^I : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow [0, 1], A_{\mathbb{C}}^F : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$, and $0 \leq A_{\mathbb{C}}^T(x) + A_{\mathbb{C}}^I(x) + A_{\mathbb{C}}^F(x) \leq 3$.

Definition 2.5. [18] Let $\mathbb{C}_1 = \langle \chi_{\mathbb{C}_1}^T, \chi_{\mathbb{C}_1}^I, \chi_{\mathbb{C}_1}^F \rangle, \mathbb{C}_2 = \langle \chi_{\mathbb{C}_2}^T, \chi_{\mathbb{C}_2}^I, \chi_{\mathbb{C}_2}^F \rangle$, and $\mathbb{C}_3 = \langle \chi_{\mathbb{C}_3}^T, \chi_{\mathbb{C}_3}^I, \chi_{\mathbb{C}_3}^F \rangle$ be three neutrosophic numbers over \mathcal{U} . Then

- (1) $\mathbb{C}_2 \ominus \mathbb{C}_3 = \left\langle \max\{\chi_{\mathbb{C}_2}^T, \chi_{\mathbb{C}_3}^T\}, \min\{\chi_{\mathbb{C}_2}^I, \chi_{\mathbb{C}_3}^I\}, \min\{\chi_{\mathbb{C}_2}^F, \chi_{\mathbb{C}_3}^F\} \right\rangle,$
- (2) $\mathbb{C}_2 \uplus \mathbb{C}_3 = \left\langle \min\{\chi_{\mathbb{C}_2}^T, \chi_{\mathbb{C}_3}^T\}, \max\{\chi_{\mathbb{C}_2}^I, \chi_{\mathbb{C}_3}^I\}, \max\{\chi_{\mathbb{C}_2}^F, \chi_{\mathbb{C}_3}^F\} \right\rangle,$
- (3) $\mathbb{C}_2 \geq \mathbb{C}_3 \Leftrightarrow \chi_{\mathbb{C}_2}^T \geq \chi_{\mathbb{C}_3}^T \text{ and } \chi_{\mathbb{C}_2}^I \leq \chi_{\mathbb{C}_3}^I \text{ and } \chi_{\mathbb{C}_2}^F \leq \chi_{\mathbb{C}_3}^F,$
- (4) $\mathbb{C}_2 = \mathbb{C}_3 \Leftrightarrow \chi_{\mathbb{C}_2}^T = \chi_{\mathbb{C}_3}^T \text{ and } \chi_{\mathbb{C}_2}^I = \chi_{\mathbb{C}_3}^I \text{ and } \chi_{\mathbb{C}_2}^F = \chi_{\mathbb{C}_3}^F.$

Definition 2.6. [18] For any NS $\mathbb{C} = \{x, A_{\mathbb{C}}^T(x), A_{\mathbb{C}}^I(x), A_{\mathbb{C}}^F(x)\}$ of \mathcal{U} . Then (τ, β) -cut is defined as

$$\{x \in U \mid A_{\mathbb{C}}^T(x) \geq \tau, A_{\mathbb{C}}^I(x) \geq \tau, A_{\mathbb{C}}^F(x) \leq \beta\}.$$

3. COMPLEX BIPOLAR NEUTROSOPHIC SUBBISSEMININGS

Here \mathfrak{S} denotes a bisemiring unless otherwise stated, \mathbb{C} stands for the real part and \mathbb{I} stands for the imaginary part and $\omega = 2\pi$.

Definition 3.1. For any complex bipolar neutrosophic set (CBNS) \mathbb{k} in a universal set U ,

$\mathbb{k} = \{\chi, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{T-}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{I-}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{F-}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{T+}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{I+}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{F+}(\chi)} \mid \chi \in U\}$, where $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{T-}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{I-}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{F-}(\chi)} : U \rightarrow [-1, 0] \times [0, 1]$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{T+}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{I+}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{F+}(\chi)} : U \rightarrow [-1, 0] \times [0, 1]$ represents the truth degree, indeterminacy degree, and false degree, respectively.

For simplicity, the symbols $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T-}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I-}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F-}$ of the CBNS $\mathbb{k} = \{\chi, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{T-}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{I-}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{F-}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{T+}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{I+}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{F+}(\chi)} \mid \chi \in U\}$.

Definition 3.2. Let $\mathbb{k} = \{\chi, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{T-}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{I-}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{F-}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{T+}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{I+}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{F+}(\chi)}\}$ and $\mathbb{S} = \{\chi, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{S}}^{T-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{T-}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{S}}^{I-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{I-}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{S}}^{F-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{F-}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{S}}^{T+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{T+}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{S}}^{I+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{I+}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{S}}^{F+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{F+}(\chi)}\}$ be two CBNSs of U . Then we define the intersection and union operation as

$$(i) \mathbb{k} \cap \mathbb{S} = \left\{ \left(\chi, \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{T-}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{S}}^{T-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{T-}(\chi)}\}, \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{I-}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{S}}^{I-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{I-}(\chi)}\}, \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{F-}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{S}}^{F-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{F-}(\chi)}\}, \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{T+}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{S}}^{T+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{T+}(\chi)}\}, \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{I+}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{S}}^{I+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{I+}(\chi)}\}, \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{F+}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{S}}^{F+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{F+}(\chi)}\} \right) \mid \chi \in U \right\}.$$

$$(ii) \mathbb{k} \cup \mathbb{S} = \left\{ \left(\chi, \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{T-}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{S}}^{T-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{T-}(\chi)}\}, \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{I-}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{S}}^{I-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{I-}(\chi)}\}, \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{F-}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{S}}^{F-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{F-}(\chi)}\}, \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{T+}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{S}}^{T+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{T+}(\chi)}\}, \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{I+}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{S}}^{I+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{I+}(\chi)}\}, \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{F+}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{S}}^{F+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{F+}(\chi)}\} \right) \mid \chi \in U \right\}.$$

Definition 3.3. For any CBNS $\mathbb{k} = \{\chi, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{T-}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{I-}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{F-}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{T+}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{I+}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{F+}(\chi)}\}$ of a universal set U . Then (\hbar_1, \hbar_2) -cut is defined as $\{\chi \in U \mid \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{T-}(\chi)} \leq \hbar_1, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{I-}(\chi)} \leq \hbar_1, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{F-}(\chi)} \geq \hbar_2, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{T+}(\chi)} \geq \hbar_1, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{I+}(\chi)} \geq \hbar_1, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{F+}(\chi)} \leq \hbar_2\}$.

Definition 3.4. The Cartesian product of \mathbb{k} and \mathbb{S} is defined as

$\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S} = \left\{ \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{T-}((\chi, \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{T-}((\chi, \partial))}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{I-}((\chi, \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{I-}((\chi, \partial))}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{F-}((\chi, \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{F-}((\chi, \partial))}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{T+}((\chi, \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{T+}((\chi, \partial))}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{I+}((\chi, \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{I+}((\chi, \partial))}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{F+}((\chi, \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{F+}((\chi, \partial))} \mid \chi, \partial \in S \right\}$, where \mathbb{k} and \mathbb{S} are CBNSs of U ,

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{T-}((\chi, \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{T-}((\chi, \partial))} &= \max \left\{ \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{T-}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{S}}^{T-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{T-}(\partial)} \right\} \\ \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{I-}((\chi, \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{I-}((\chi, \partial))} &= \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{I-}(\chi)} + \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{S}}^{I-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{I-}(\partial)}}{2} \\ \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{F-}((\chi, \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{F-}((\chi, \partial))} &= \min \left\{ \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{F-}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{S}}^{F-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{F-}(\partial)} \right\} \end{aligned} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}((\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}((\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial))} \leq \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\partial)}\} \\ \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}((\varkappa \heartsuit_2 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}((\varkappa \heartsuit_2 \partial))} \leq \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\partial)}\} \\ \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}((\varkappa \heartsuit_3 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}((\varkappa \heartsuit_3 \partial))} \leq \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\partial)}\} \end{array} \right.$$

for all $\varkappa, \partial \in \mathfrak{S}$.

Example 3.1. Consider the bisemiring $\mathfrak{S} = \{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4\}$ with the Cayley tables:

\heartsuit_1	η_1	η_2	η_3	η_4	\heartsuit_2	η_1	η_2	η_3	η_4	\heartsuit_3	η_1	η_2	η_3	η_4
η_1	η_1	η_1	η_1	η_1	η_1	η_1	η_2	η_3	η_4	η_1	η_1	η_1	η_1	η_1
η_2	η_1	η_2	η_1	η_2	η_2	η_2	η_2	η_4	η_4	η_2	η_1	η_2	η_3	η_4
η_3	η_1	η_1	η_3	η_3	η_3	η_3	η_4	η_3	η_4	η_3	η_4	η_4	η_4	η_4
η_4	η_1	η_2	η_3	η_4	η_4	η_4	η_4	η_4	η_4	η_4	η_4	η_4	η_4	η_4

	$b = \eta_1$	$b = \eta_2$	$b = \eta_3$	$b = \eta_4$
$(\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-, \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}})(b)$	$-0.9e^{i2\pi(-0.75)}$	$-0.85e^{i2\pi(-0.70)}$	$-0.75e^{i2\pi(-0.60)}$	$-0.8e^{i2\pi(0-.65)}$
$(\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^-, \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^-}})(b)$	$-1e^{i2\pi(-0.85)}$	$-0.95e^{i2\pi(-0.8)}$	$-0.85e^{i2\pi(-0.7)}$	$-0.9e^{i2\pi(-0.75)}$
$(\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-, \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}})(b)$	$-0.8e^{i2\pi(-0.65)}$	$-0.9e^{i2\pi(-0.75)}$	$-1e^{i2\pi(-0.85)}$	$-0.95e^{i2\pi(-0.8)}$

	$b = \eta_1$	$b = \eta_2$	$b = \eta_3$	$b = \eta_4$
$(\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+, \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}})(b)$	$0.8e^{i2\pi(0.7)}$	$0.7e^{i2\pi(0.6)}$	$0.5e^{i2\pi(0.4)}$	$0.6e^{i2\pi(0.5)}$
$(\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+, \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}})(b)$	$1e^{i2\pi(0.9)}$	$0.9e^{i2\pi(0.8)}$	$0.6e^{i2\pi(0.5)}$	$0.7e^{i2\pi(0.6)}$
$(\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+, \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}})(b)$	$0.7e^{i2\pi(0.6)}$	$0.8e^{i2\pi(0.7)}$	$1e^{i2\pi(0.9)}$	$0.9e^{i2\pi(0.8)}$

Hence, \mathbb{k} is a CBNSBS of \mathfrak{S} .

Theorem 3.1. The intersection of every CBNSBS is a CBNSBS of \mathfrak{S} .

Proof. Let $\{\sigma_i \mid i \in I\}$ be the family of CBNSBSs of \mathfrak{S} and $\mathbb{k} = \bigwedge_{i \in I} \sigma_i$. Let $\varkappa, \partial \in \mathfrak{S}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}((\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}((\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial))} &= \sup_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^{T^-}((\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}((\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial))} \\ &\leq \sup_{i \in I} \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^{T^-}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma_i}^{T^-}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^{T^-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma_i}^{T^-}(\partial)}\} \\ &= \max\left\{\sup_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^{T^-}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma_i}^{T^-}(\varkappa)}, \sup_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^{T^-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma_i}^{T^-}(\partial)}\right\} \\ &= \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\partial)}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}((\varkappa \heartsuit_2 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}((\varkappa \heartsuit_2 \partial))} &\leq \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\partial)}\} \text{ and} \\ \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}((\varkappa \heartsuit_3 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}((\varkappa \heartsuit_3 \partial))} &\leq \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\partial)}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^I((\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I}((\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial))} &= \sup_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^I((\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I}((\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial))} \\
 &\leq \sup_{i \in I} \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^I(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma_i}^{\pm I}(\varkappa)} + \mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^I(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma_i}^{\pm I}(\partial)}}{2} \\
 &= \frac{\sup_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^I(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma_i}^{\pm I}(\varkappa)} + \sup_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^I(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma_i}^{\pm I}(\partial)}}{2} \\
 &= \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^I(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I}(\varkappa)} + \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^I(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I}(\partial)}}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^I((\varkappa \heartsuit_2 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I}((\varkappa \heartsuit_2 \partial))} &\leq \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^I(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I}(\varkappa)} + \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^I(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I}(\partial)}}{2} \text{ and} \\
 \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^I((\varkappa \heartsuit_3 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I}((\varkappa \heartsuit_3 \partial))} &\leq \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^I(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I}(\varkappa)} + \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^I(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I}(\partial)}}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}((\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}((\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial))} &= \inf_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^{F^-}((\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}((\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial))} \\
 &\geq \inf_{i \in I} \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^{F^-}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma_i}^{\pm F^-}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^{F^-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma_i}^{\pm F^-}(\partial)}\} \\
 &= \min\left\{\inf_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^{F^-}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma_i}^{\pm F^-}(\varkappa)}, \inf_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^{F^-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma_i}^{\pm F^-}(\partial)}\right\} \\
 &= \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}(\partial)}\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}((\varkappa \heartsuit_2 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}((\varkappa \heartsuit_2 \partial))} &\geq \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}(\partial)}\} \text{ and} \\
 \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}((\varkappa \heartsuit_3 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}((\varkappa \heartsuit_3 \partial))} &\geq \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}(\partial)}\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{\sigma_i \mid i \in I\}$ be the family of CBNSBSs of \mathfrak{S} and $\mathbb{k} = \bigwedge_{i \in I} \sigma_i$. Let $\varkappa, \partial \in \mathfrak{S}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}((\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}((\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial))} &= \inf_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^{T^+}((\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}((\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial))} \\
 &\geq \inf_{i \in I} \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^{T^+}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma_i}^{\pm T^+}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^{T^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma_i}^{\pm T^+}(\partial)}\} \\
 &= \min\left\{\inf_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^{T^+}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma_i}^{\pm T^+}(\varkappa)}, \inf_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^{T^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma_i}^{\pm T^+}(\partial)}\right\} \\
 &= \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}(\partial)}\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}((\varkappa \heartsuit_2 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}((\varkappa \heartsuit_2 \partial))} &\geq \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}(\partial)}\} \text{ and} \\
 \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}((\varkappa \heartsuit_3 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}((\varkappa \heartsuit_3 \partial))} &\geq \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}(\partial)}\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}((\mathcal{X} \heartsuit_1 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}((\mathcal{X} \heartsuit_1 \partial))} &= \inf_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^{I^+}((\mathcal{X} \heartsuit_1 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}((\mathcal{X} \heartsuit_1 \partial))} \\
&\geq \inf_{i \in I} \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^{I^+}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma_i}^{\pm I^+}(\mathcal{X})} + \mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^{I^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma_i}^{\pm I^+}(\partial)}}{2} \\
&= \frac{\inf_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^{I^+}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma_i}^{\pm I^+}(\mathcal{X})} + \inf_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^{I^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma_i}^{\pm I^+}(\partial)}}{2} \\
&= \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}(\mathcal{X})} + \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}(\partial)}}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}((\mathcal{X} \heartsuit_2 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}((\mathcal{X} \heartsuit_2 \partial))} &\geq \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}(\mathcal{X})} + \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}(\partial)}}{2} \text{ and} \\
\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}((\mathcal{X} \heartsuit_3 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}((\mathcal{X} \heartsuit_3 \partial))} &\geq \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}(\mathcal{X})} + \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}(\partial)}}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}((\mathcal{X} \heartsuit_1 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}((\mathcal{X} \heartsuit_1 \partial))} &= \sup_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^{F^+}((\mathcal{X} \heartsuit_1 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}((\mathcal{X} \heartsuit_1 \partial))} \\
&\leq \sup_{i \in I} \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^{F^+}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma_i}^{\pm F^+}(\mathcal{X})}, \mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^{F^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma_i}^{\pm F^+}(\partial)}\} \\
&= \max\left\{\sup_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^{F^+}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma_i}^{\pm F^+}(\mathcal{X})}, \sup_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\sigma_i}^{F^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma_i}^{\pm F^+}(\partial)}\right\} \\
&= \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}(\mathcal{X})}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}(\partial)}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}((\mathcal{X} \heartsuit_2 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}((\mathcal{X} \heartsuit_2 \partial))} &\leq \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}(\mathcal{X})}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}(\partial)}\} \text{ and} \\
\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}((\mathcal{X} \heartsuit_3 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}((\mathcal{X} \heartsuit_3 \partial))} &\leq \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}(\mathcal{X})}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}(\partial)}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, \mathbb{k} is a CBNSBS of \mathfrak{S} .

Theorem 3.2. If \mathbb{k} and \mathfrak{S} be the CBNSBSs of \mathfrak{S}_1 and \mathfrak{S}_2 respectively, then $\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}$ is a CBNSBS of $\mathfrak{S}_1 \times \mathfrak{S}_2$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ and $\partial_1, \partial_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_2$. Then $(\mathcal{X}_1, \partial_1)$ and $(\mathcal{X}_2, \partial_2)$ are in $\mathfrak{S}_1 \times \mathfrak{S}_2$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{T^-}(((\mathcal{X}_1, \partial_1) \heartsuit_1 (\mathcal{X}_2, \partial_2))) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{\pm T^-}(((\mathcal{X}_1, \partial_1) \heartsuit_1 (\mathcal{X}_2, \partial_2)))} \\
&= \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{T^-}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2, \partial_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{\pm T^-}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2, \partial_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2))} \\
&= \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2))}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{T^-}((\partial_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\pm T^-}((\partial_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2))}\} \\
&\leq \max\{\max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\mathcal{X}_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-}(\mathcal{X}_1)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\mathcal{X}_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-}(\mathcal{X}_2)}\}, \\
&\quad \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{T^-}(\partial_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\pm T^-}(\partial_1)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{T^-}(\partial_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\pm T^-}(\partial_2)}\}\} \\
&= \max\{\max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\mathcal{X}_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-}(\mathcal{X}_1)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{T^-}(\partial_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\pm T^-}(\partial_1)}\}, \\
&\quad \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\mathcal{X}_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-}(\mathcal{X}_2)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{T^-}(\partial_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\pm T^-}(\partial_2)}\}\} \\
&= \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{T^-}((\mathcal{X}_1, \partial_1)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{\pm T^-}((\mathcal{X}_1, \partial_1))}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{T^-}((\mathcal{X}_2, \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{\pm T^-}((\mathcal{X}_2, \partial_2))}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, $C_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{T^-} [((\chi_1, \partial_1) \heartsuit_2 (\chi_2, \partial_2))] \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{\pm T^-} [((\chi_1, \partial_1) \heartsuit_2 (\chi_2, \partial_2))]}$
 $\leq \max\{C_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{T^-} ((\chi_1, \partial_1)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{\pm T^-} ((\chi_1, \partial_1))}, C_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{T^-} ((\chi_2, \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{\pm T^-} ((\chi_2, \partial_2))}\}$ and
 $C_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{T^-} [((\chi_1, \partial_1) \heartsuit_3 (\chi_2, \partial_2))] \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{\pm T^-} [((\chi_1, \partial_1) \heartsuit_3 (\chi_2, \partial_2))]}$
 $\leq \max\{C_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{T^-} ((\chi_1, \partial_1)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{\pm T^-} ((\chi_1, \partial_1))}, C_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{T^-} ((\chi_2, \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{\pm T^-} ((\chi_2, \partial_2))}\}.$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & C_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{I^-} [((\chi_1, \partial_1) \heartsuit_1 (\chi_2, \partial_2))] \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{\pm I^-} [((\chi_1, \partial_1) \heartsuit_1 (\chi_2, \partial_2))]} \\ &= C_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{I^-} ((\chi_1 \heartsuit_1 \chi_2, \partial_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{\pm I^-} ((\chi_1 \heartsuit_1 \chi_2, \partial_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2))} \\ &= \frac{C_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^-} ((\chi_1 \heartsuit_1 \chi_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{\pm I^-} ((\chi_1 \heartsuit_1 \chi_2))} + C_{\mathbb{S}}^{I^-} ((\partial_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{\pm I^-} ((\partial_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2))}}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{C_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^-} (\chi_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^-} (\chi_1)} + C_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^-} (\chi_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^-} (\chi_2)}}{2} + \frac{C_{\mathbb{S}}^{I^-} (\partial_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{\pm I^-} (\partial_1)} + C_{\mathbb{S}}^{I^-} (\partial_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{\pm I^-} (\partial_2)}}{2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{C_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^-} (\chi_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^-} (\chi_1)} + C_{\mathbb{S}}^{I^-} (\partial_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{\pm I^-} (\partial_1)}}{2} + \frac{C_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^-} (\chi_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^-} (\chi_2)} + C_{\mathbb{S}}^{I^-} (\partial_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{\pm I^-} (\partial_2)}}{2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[C_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{I^-} ((\chi_1, \partial_1)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{\pm I^-} ((\chi_1, \partial_1))} + C_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{I^-} ((\chi_2, \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{\pm I^-} ((\chi_2, \partial_2))} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} & C_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{I^-} [((\chi_1, \partial_1) \heartsuit_2 (\chi_2, \partial_2))] \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{\pm I^-} [((\chi_1, \partial_1) \heartsuit_2 (\chi_2, \partial_2))]} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[C_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{I^-} ((\chi_1, \partial_1)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{\pm I^-} ((\chi_1, \partial_1))} + \right. \\ & \left. C_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{I^-} ((\chi_2, \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{\pm I^-} ((\chi_2, \partial_2))} \right] \text{ and} \\ & C_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{I^-} [((\chi_1, \partial_1) \heartsuit_3 (\chi_2, \partial_2))] \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{\pm I^-} [((\chi_1, \partial_1) \heartsuit_3 (\chi_2, \partial_2))]} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[C_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{I^-} ((\chi_1, \partial_1)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{\pm I^-} ((\chi_1, \partial_1))} + \right. \\ & \left. C_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{I^-} ((\chi_2, \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{\pm I^-} ((\chi_2, \partial_2))} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & C_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{F^-} [((\chi_1, \partial_1) \heartsuit_1 (\chi_2, \partial_2))] \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{\pm F^-} [((\chi_1, \partial_1) \heartsuit_1 (\chi_2, \partial_2))]} \\ &= C_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{F^-} ((\chi_1 \heartsuit_1 \chi_2, \partial_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{\pm F^-} ((\chi_1 \heartsuit_1 \chi_2, \partial_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2))} \\ &= \min\{C_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-} ((\chi_1 \heartsuit_1 \chi_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{\pm F^-} ((\chi_1 \heartsuit_1 \chi_2))}, C_{\mathbb{S}}^{F^-} ((\partial_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{\pm F^-} ((\partial_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2))}\} \\ &\geq \min\{\min\{C_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-} (\chi_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-} (\chi_1)}, C_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-} (\chi_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-} (\chi_2)}\}, \\ & \quad \min\{C_{\mathbb{S}}^{F^-} (\partial_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{\pm F^-} (\partial_1)}, C_{\mathbb{S}}^{F^-} (\partial_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{\pm F^-} (\partial_2)}\}\} \\ &= \min\{\min\{C_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-} (\chi_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-} (\chi_1)}, C_{\mathbb{S}}^{F^-} (\partial_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{\pm F^-} (\partial_1)}\}, \\ & \quad \min\{C_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-} (\chi_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-} (\chi_2)}, C_{\mathbb{S}}^{F^-} (\partial_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{S}}^{\pm F^-} (\partial_2)}\}\} \\ &= \min\{C_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{F^-} ((\chi_1, \partial_1)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{\pm F^-} ((\chi_1, \partial_1))}, C_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{F^-} ((\chi_2, \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{S}}^{\pm F^-} ((\chi_2, \partial_2))}\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{F^+}((\chi_2, \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{\pm F^+}((\chi_2, \partial_2))} \Big].$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{F^+}(((\chi_1, \partial_1) \heartsuit_1 (\chi_2, \partial_2))) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{\pm F^+}(((\chi_1, \partial_1) \heartsuit_1 (\chi_2, \partial_2)))} \\ &= \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{F^+}((\chi_1 \heartsuit_1 \chi_2, \partial_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{\pm F^+}((\chi_1 \heartsuit_1 \chi_2, \partial_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2))} \\ &= \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}((\chi_1 \heartsuit_1 \chi_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{\pm F^+}((\chi_1 \heartsuit_1 \chi_2))}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{F^+}((\partial_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{\pm F^+}((\partial_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2))}\} \\ &\leq \max\{\max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\chi_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}(\chi_1)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\chi_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}(\chi_2)}\}, \\ &\quad \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{F^+}(\partial_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\pm F^+}(\partial_1)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{F^+}(\partial_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\pm F^+}(\partial_2)}\}\} \\ &= \max\{\max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\chi_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}(\chi_1)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{F^+}(\partial_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\pm F^+}(\partial_1)}\}, \\ &\quad \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\chi_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}(\chi_2)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{F^+}(\partial_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\pm F^+}(\partial_2)}\}\} \\ &= \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{F^+}((\chi_1, \partial_1)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{\pm F^+}((\chi_1, \partial_1))}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{F^+}((\chi_2, \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{\pm F^+}((\chi_2, \partial_2))}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{F^+}(((\chi_1, \partial_1) \heartsuit_2 (\chi_2, \partial_2))) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{\pm F^+}(((\chi_1, \partial_1) \heartsuit_2 (\chi_2, \partial_2)))}$
 $\leq \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{F^+}((\chi_1, \partial_1)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{\pm F^+}((\chi_1, \partial_1))}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{F^+}((\chi_2, \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{\pm F^+}((\chi_2, \partial_2))}\}$ and
 $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{F^+}(((\chi_1, \partial_1) \heartsuit_3 (\chi_2, \partial_2))) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{\pm F^+}(((\chi_1, \partial_1) \heartsuit_3 (\chi_2, \partial_2)))}$
 $\leq \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{F^+}((\chi_1, \partial_1)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{\pm F^+}((\chi_1, \partial_1))}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{F^+}((\chi_2, \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}}^{\pm F^+}((\chi_2, \partial_2))}\}.$

Thus, $\mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{S}$ is a CBNSBS of \mathfrak{S} .

Corollary 3.1. *If $\mathbb{k}_1, \mathbb{k}_2, \dots, \mathbb{k}_n$ be the finite collection of CBNSBSs of $\mathfrak{S}_1, \mathfrak{S}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{S}_n$ respectively. Then $\mathbb{k}_1 \times \mathbb{k}_2 \times \dots \times \mathbb{k}_n$ is a CBNSBS of $\mathfrak{S}_1 \times \mathfrak{S}_2 \times \dots \times \mathfrak{S}_n$.*

Definition 3.6. *Let $\mathbb{k} \subseteq \mathfrak{S}$. The strongest CBN relation on \mathfrak{S} is*

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{T^-}((\chi, \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm T^-}((\chi, \partial))} &= \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-}(\partial)}\} \\ \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{I^-}((\chi, \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm I^-}((\chi, \partial))} &= \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^-}(\chi)} + \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^-}(\partial)}}{2} \\ \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{F^-}((\chi, \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm F^-}((\chi, \partial))} &= \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}(\partial)}\} \end{aligned} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{T^+}((\chi, \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm T^+}((\chi, \partial))} &= \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}(\partial)}\} \\ \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{I^+}((\chi, \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm I^+}((\chi, \partial))} &= \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}(\chi)} + \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}(\partial)}}{2} \\ \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{F^+}((\chi, \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm F^+}((\chi, \partial))} &= \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}(\partial)}\} \end{aligned} \right.$$

Theorem 3.3. *Let \mathbb{k} be a CBNSBS of \mathfrak{S} and σ be the strongest complex bipolar neutrosophic relation of \mathfrak{S} . Then \mathbb{k} is a CBNSBS of $\mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S}$ if and only if σ is a CBNSBS of $\mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S}$.*

Proof. Suppose that \mathbb{k} is a CBNSBS of $\mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S}$ and σ be the strongest complex bipolar neutrosophic relation of \mathfrak{S} . For any $\varkappa = (\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2), \partial = (\partial_1, \partial_2) \in S \times \mathfrak{S}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{T^{-}}((\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{T^{-}}((\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial))} \\
&= \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{T^{-}}[(((\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2)) \heartsuit_1 ((\partial_1, \partial_2)))] \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{T^{-}}[(((\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2)) \heartsuit_1 ((\partial_1, \partial_2)))]} \\
&= \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{T^{-}}(\varkappa_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_1, \varkappa_2 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{T^{-}}(\varkappa_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_1, \varkappa_2 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2)} \\
&= \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^{-}}((\varkappa_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_1)) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^{-}}((\varkappa_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_1))}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^{-}}((\varkappa_2 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^{-}}((\varkappa_2 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2))}\} \\
&\leq \max\{\max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^{-}}(\varkappa_1) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^{-}}(\varkappa_1)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^{-}}(\partial_1) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^{-}}(\partial_1)}\}, \\
&\quad \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^{-}}(\varkappa_2) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^{-}}(\varkappa_2)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^{-}}(\partial_2) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^{-}}(\partial_2)}\}\} \\
&= \max\{\max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^{-}}(\varkappa_1) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^{-}}(\varkappa_1)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^{-}}(\varkappa_2) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^{-}}(\varkappa_2)}\}, \\
&\quad \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^{-}}(\partial_1) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^{-}}(\partial_1)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^{-}}(\partial_2) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^{-}}(\partial_2)}\}\} \\
&= \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{T^{-}}((\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{T^{-}}((\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2))}, \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{T^{-}}((\partial_1, \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{T^{-}}((\partial_1, \partial_2))}\} \\
&= \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{T^{-}}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{T^{-}}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{T^{-}}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{T^{-}}(\partial)}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, $\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{T^{-}}((\varkappa \heartsuit_2 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{T^{-}}((\varkappa \heartsuit_2 \partial))} \leq \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{T^{-}}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{T^{-}}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{T^{-}}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{T^{-}}(\partial)}\}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{T^{-}}((\varkappa \heartsuit_3 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{T^{-}}((\varkappa \heartsuit_3 \partial))} \leq \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{T^{-}}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{T^{-}}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{T^{-}}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{T^{-}}(\partial)}\}$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{I^{-}}(\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{I^{-}}(\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial)} \\
&= \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{I^{-}}[(((\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2)) \heartsuit_1 ((\partial_1, \partial_2)))] \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{I^{-}}[(((\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2)) \heartsuit_1 ((\partial_1, \partial_2)))]} \\
&= \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{I^{-}}(\varkappa_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_1, \varkappa_2 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{I^{-}}(\varkappa_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_1, \varkappa_2 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2)} \\
&= \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^{-}}((\varkappa_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_1)) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^{-}}((\varkappa_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_1))} + \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^{-}}((\varkappa_2 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^{-}}((\varkappa_2 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2))}}{2} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^{-}}(\varkappa_1) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^{-}}(\varkappa_1)} + \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^{-}}(\partial_1) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^{-}}(\partial_1)}}{2} + \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^{-}}(\varkappa_2) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^{-}}(\varkappa_2)} + \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^{-}}(\partial_2) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^{-}}(\partial_2)}}{2} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^{-}}(\varkappa_1) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^{-}}(\varkappa_1)} + \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^{-}}(\varkappa_2) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^{-}}(\varkappa_2)}}{2} + \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^{-}}(\partial_1) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^{-}}(\partial_1)} + \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^{-}}(\partial_2) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^{-}}(\partial_2)}}{2} \right] \\
&= \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{I^{-}}((\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{I^{-}}((\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2))} + \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{I^{-}}((\partial_1, \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{I^{-}}((\partial_1, \partial_2))}}{2} \\
&= \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{I^{-}}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{I^{-}}(\varkappa)} + \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{I^{-}}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{I^{-}}(\partial)}}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, $\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{I^{-}}((\varkappa \heartsuit_2 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{I^{-}}((\varkappa \heartsuit_2 \partial))} \leq \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{I^{-}}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{I^{-}}(\varkappa)} + \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{I^{-}}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{I^{-}}(\partial)}}{2}$ and

$$\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{I^{-}}((\varkappa \heartsuit_3 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{I^{-}}((\varkappa \heartsuit_3 \partial))} \leq \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{I^{-}}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{I^{-}}(\varkappa)} + \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{I^{-}}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{I^{-}}(\partial)}}{2}.$$

Similarly, $\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{F^{-}}((\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{F^{-}}((\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial))} \geq \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{F^{-}}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{F^{-}}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{F^{-}}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{F^{-}}(\partial)}\}$,

$\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{F^{-}}((\varkappa \heartsuit_2 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{F^{-}}((\varkappa \heartsuit_2 \partial))} \geq \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{F^{-}}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{F^{-}}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{F^{-}}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega \pm_{\sigma}^{F^{-}}(\partial)}\}$ and

$$\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{F^-}((\varkappa \heartsuit_3 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{F^-}((\varkappa \heartsuit_3 \partial))} \geq \min\{\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{F^-}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{F^-}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{F^-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{F^-}(\partial)}\}.$$

For any $\varkappa = (\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2), \partial = (\partial_1, \partial_2) \in \mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T^+}((\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{T^+}((\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial))} \\ &= \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T^+}[\{((\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2)) \heartsuit_1((\partial_1, \partial_2))\}] \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{T^+}[\{((\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2)) \heartsuit_1((\partial_1, \partial_2))\}]} \\ &= \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T^+}(\varkappa_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_1, \varkappa_2 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{T^+}(\varkappa_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_1, \varkappa_2 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2)} \\ &= \min\{\mathbb{C}_k^{T^+}((\varkappa_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_1)) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_k^{T^+}((\varkappa_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_1))}, \mathbb{C}_k^{T^+}((\varkappa_2 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_k^{T^+}((\varkappa_2 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2))}\} \\ &\geq \min\{\min\{\mathbb{C}_k^{T^+}(\varkappa_1) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_k^{T^+}(\varkappa_1)}, \mathbb{C}_k^{T^+}(\partial_1) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_k^{T^+}(\partial_1)}\}, \\ &\quad \min\{\mathbb{C}_k^{T^+}(\varkappa_2) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_k^{T^+}(\varkappa_2)}, \mathbb{C}_k^{T^+}(\partial_2) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_k^{T^+}(\partial_2)}\}\} \\ &= \min\{\min\{\mathbb{C}_k^{T^+}(\varkappa_1) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_k^{T^+}(\varkappa_1)}, \mathbb{C}_k^{T^+}(\varkappa_2) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_k^{T^+}(\varkappa_2)}\}, \\ &\quad \min\{\mathbb{C}_k^{T^+}(\partial_1) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_k^{T^+}(\partial_1)}, \mathbb{C}_k^{T^+}(\partial_2) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_k^{T^+}(\partial_2)}\}\} \\ &= \min\{\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T^+}((\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{T^+}((\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2))}, \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T^+}((\partial_1, \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{T^+}((\partial_1, \partial_2))}\} \\ &= \min\{\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T^+}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{T^+}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{T^+}(\partial)}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, $\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T^+}((\varkappa \heartsuit_2 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{T^+}((\varkappa \heartsuit_2 \partial))} \geq \min\{\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T^+}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{T^+}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{T^+}(\partial)}\}$ and

$$\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T^+}((\varkappa \heartsuit_3 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{T^+}((\varkappa \heartsuit_3 \partial))} \geq \min\{\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T^+}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{T^+}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{T^+}(\partial)}\}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{I^+}(\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{I^+}(\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial)} \\ &= \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{I^+}[\{((\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2)) \heartsuit_1(\partial_1, \partial_2)\}] \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{I^+}[\{((\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2)) \heartsuit_1(\partial_1, \partial_2)\}]} \\ &= \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{I^+}(\varkappa_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_1, \varkappa_2 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{I^+}(\varkappa_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_1, \varkappa_2 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2)} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{C}_k^{I^+}((\varkappa_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_1)) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_k^{I^+}((\varkappa_1 \heartsuit_1 \partial_1))} + \mathbb{C}_k^{I^+}((\varkappa_2 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_k^{I^+}((\varkappa_2 \heartsuit_1 \partial_2))}}{2} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\mathbb{C}_k^{I^+}(\varkappa_1) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_k^{I^+}(\varkappa_1)} + \mathbb{C}_k^{I^+}(\partial_1) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_k^{I^+}(\partial_1)}}{2} + \frac{\mathbb{C}_k^{I^+}(\varkappa_2) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_k^{I^+}(\varkappa_2)} + \mathbb{C}_k^{I^+}(\partial_2) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_k^{I^+}(\partial_2)}}{2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\mathbb{C}_k^{I^+}(\varkappa_1) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_k^{I^+}(\varkappa_1)} + \mathbb{C}_k^{I^+}(\varkappa_2) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_k^{I^+}(\varkappa_2)}}{2} + \frac{\mathbb{C}_k^{I^+}(\partial_1) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_k^{I^+}(\partial_1)} + \mathbb{C}_k^{I^+}(\partial_2) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_k^{I^+}(\partial_2)}}{2} \right] \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{I^+}((\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{I^+}((\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2))} + \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{I^+}((\partial_1, \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{I^+}((\partial_1, \partial_2))}}{2} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{I^+}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{I^+}(\varkappa)} + \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{I^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{I^+}(\partial)}}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, $\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{I^+}((\varkappa \heartsuit_2 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{I^+}((\varkappa \heartsuit_2 \partial))} \geq \frac{\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{I^+}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{I^+}(\varkappa)} + \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{I^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{I^+}(\partial)}}{2}$ and

$$\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{I^+}((\varkappa \heartsuit_3 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{I^+}((\varkappa \heartsuit_3 \partial))} \geq \frac{\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{I^+}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{I^+}(\varkappa)} + \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{I^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{I^+}(\partial)}}{2}.$$

Similarly, $\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{F^+}((\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{F^+}((\varkappa \heartsuit_1 \partial))} \leq \max\{\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{F^+}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{F^+}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{F^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega \mp_\sigma^{F^+}(\partial)}\},$

$$\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{F+}((\mathcal{X}\heartsuit_2\partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm F+}((\mathcal{X}\heartsuit_2\partial))} \leq \max\{\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{F+}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm F+}(\mathcal{X})}, \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{F+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm F+}(\partial)}\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{F+}((\mathcal{X}\heartsuit_3\partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm F+}((\mathcal{X}\heartsuit_3\partial))} \leq \max\{\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{F+}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm F+}(\mathcal{X})}, \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{F+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm F+}(\partial)}\}.$$

Therefore, σ is a CBNSBS of $\mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S}$.

Conversely, suppose that σ is a CBNSBS of $\mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S}$. Let $\mathcal{X} = ((\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2)), \partial = ((\partial_1, \partial_2)) \in \mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S}$.
Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{\mathbb{C}_k^{T-}((\mathcal{X}_1\heartsuit_1\partial_1)) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}((\mathcal{X}_1\heartsuit_1\partial_1))}, \mathbb{C}_k^{T-}((\mathcal{X}_2\heartsuit_1\partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}((\mathcal{X}_2\heartsuit_1\partial_2))}\} \\ &= \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T-}(\mathcal{X}_1\heartsuit_1\partial_1, \mathcal{X}_2\heartsuit_1\partial_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_\sigma^{\pm T-}(\mathcal{X}_1\heartsuit_1\partial_1, \mathcal{X}_2\heartsuit_1\partial_2)} \\ &= \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T-}(((\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2))\heartsuit_1((\partial_1, \partial_2))) \cdot e^{i\omega_\sigma^{\pm T-}(((\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2))\heartsuit_1((\partial_1, \partial_2)))} \\ &= \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T-}(\mathcal{X}\heartsuit_1\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_\sigma^{\pm T-}(\mathcal{X}\heartsuit_1\partial)} \\ &\leq \max\{\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T-}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot e^{i\omega_\sigma^{\pm T-}(\mathcal{X})}, \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_\sigma^{\pm T-}(\partial)}\} \\ &= \max\{\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T-}((\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_\sigma^{\pm T-}((\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2))}, \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T-}((\partial_1, \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_\sigma^{\pm T-}((\partial_1, \partial_2))}\} \\ &= \max\{\max\{\mathbb{C}_k^{T-}(\mathcal{X}_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}(\mathcal{X}_1)}, \mathbb{C}_k^{T-}(\mathcal{X}_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}(\mathcal{X}_2)}\}, \max\{\mathbb{C}_k^{T-}(\partial_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}(\partial_1)}, \mathbb{C}_k^{T-}(\partial_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}(\partial_2)}\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathbb{C}_k^{T-}((\mathcal{X}_1\heartsuit_1\partial_1)) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}((\mathcal{X}_1\heartsuit_1\partial_1))} \geq \mathbb{C}_k^{T-}((\mathcal{X}_2\heartsuit_1\partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}((\mathcal{X}_2\heartsuit_1\partial_2))}$, then $\mathbb{C}_k^{T-}(\mathcal{X}_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}(\mathcal{X}_1)} \geq \mathbb{C}_k^{T-}(\mathcal{X}_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}(\mathcal{X}_2)}$ and $\mathbb{C}_k^{T-}(\partial_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}(\partial_1)} \geq \mathbb{C}_k^{T-}(\partial_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}(\partial_2)}$. We get $\mathbb{C}_k^{T-}((\mathcal{X}_1\heartsuit_1\partial_1)) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}((\mathcal{X}_1\heartsuit_1\partial_1))} \leq \max\{\mathbb{C}_k^{T-}(\mathcal{X}_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}(\mathcal{X}_1)}, \mathbb{C}_k^{T-}(\partial_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}(\partial_1)}\}$ for all $\mathcal{X}_1, \partial_1 \in \mathfrak{S}$, and

$$\max\{\mathbb{C}_k^{T-}((\mathcal{X}_1\heartsuit_2\partial_1)) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}((\mathcal{X}_1\heartsuit_2\partial_1))}, \mathbb{C}_k^{T-}((\mathcal{X}_2\heartsuit_2\partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}((\mathcal{X}_2\heartsuit_2\partial_2))}\} \leq \max\{\max\{\mathbb{C}_k^{T-}(\mathcal{X}_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}(\mathcal{X}_1)}, \mathbb{C}_k^{T-}(\mathcal{X}_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}(\mathcal{X}_2)}\}, \max\{\mathbb{C}_k^{T-}(\partial_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}(\partial_1)}, \mathbb{C}_k^{T-}(\partial_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}(\partial_2)}\}\}.$$

If $\mathbb{C}_k^{T-}((\mathcal{X}_1\heartsuit_2\partial_1)) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}((\mathcal{X}_1\heartsuit_2\partial_1))} \geq \mathbb{C}_k^{T-}((\mathcal{X}_2\heartsuit_2\partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}((\mathcal{X}_2\heartsuit_2\partial_2))}$, then $\mathbb{C}_k^{T-}((\mathcal{X}_1\heartsuit_2\partial_1)) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}((\mathcal{X}_1\heartsuit_2\partial_1))} \leq \max\{\mathbb{C}_k^{T-}(\mathcal{X}_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}(\mathcal{X}_1)}, \mathbb{C}_k^{T-}(\partial_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}(\partial_1)}\}$.

$$\max\{\mathbb{C}_k^{T-}((\mathcal{X}_1\heartsuit_3\partial_1)) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}((\mathcal{X}_1\heartsuit_3\partial_1))}, \mathbb{C}_k^{T-}((\mathcal{X}_2\heartsuit_3\partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}((\mathcal{X}_2\heartsuit_3\partial_2))}\} \leq \max\{\max\{\mathbb{C}_k^{T-}(\mathcal{X}_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}(\mathcal{X}_1)}, \mathbb{C}_k^{T-}(\mathcal{X}_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}(\mathcal{X}_2)}\}, \max\{\mathbb{C}_k^{T-}(\partial_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}(\partial_1)}, \mathbb{C}_k^{T-}(\partial_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}(\partial_2)}\}\}.$$

If $\mathbb{C}_k^{T-}((\mathcal{X}_1\heartsuit_3\partial_1)) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}((\mathcal{X}_1\heartsuit_3\partial_1))} \geq \mathbb{C}_k^{T-}((\mathcal{X}_2\heartsuit_3\partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}((\mathcal{X}_2\heartsuit_3\partial_2))}$, then $\mathbb{C}_k^{T-}((\mathcal{X}_1\heartsuit_3\partial_1)) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}((\mathcal{X}_1\heartsuit_3\partial_1))} \leq \max\{\mathbb{C}_k^{T-}(\mathcal{X}_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}(\mathcal{X}_1)}, \mathbb{C}_k^{T-}(\partial_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm T-}(\partial_1)}\}$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left[\mathbb{C}_k^{I-}((\mathcal{X}_1\heartsuit_1\partial_1)) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm I-}((\mathcal{X}_1\heartsuit_1\partial_1))} + \mathbb{C}_k^{I-}((\mathcal{X}_2\heartsuit_1\partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_k^{\pm I-}((\mathcal{X}_2\heartsuit_1\partial_2))} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{I-}(\mathcal{X}_1\heartsuit_1\partial_1, \mathcal{X}_2\heartsuit_1\partial_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_\sigma^{\pm I-}(\mathcal{X}_1\heartsuit_1\partial_1, \mathcal{X}_2\heartsuit_1\partial_2)} \\ &= \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{I-}(((\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2))\heartsuit_1((\partial_1, \partial_2))) \cdot e^{i\omega_\sigma^{\pm I-}(((\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2))\heartsuit_1((\partial_1, \partial_2)))} \\ &= \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{I-}(\mathcal{X}\heartsuit_1\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_\sigma^{\pm I-}(\mathcal{X}\heartsuit_1\partial)} \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{I-}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot e^{i\omega_\sigma^{\pm I-}(\mathcal{X})} + \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{I-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_\sigma^{\pm I-}(\partial)}}{2} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{I-}((\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_\sigma^{\pm I-}((\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2))} + \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{I-}((\partial_1, \partial_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_\sigma^{\pm I-}((\partial_1, \partial_2))}}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-}}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2)) \leq \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\mathcal{X}_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-}}(\mathcal{X}_1), \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\mathcal{X}_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-}}(\mathcal{X}_2)\}$, $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^-}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^-}}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2)) \leq \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^-}(\mathcal{X}_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^-}}(\mathcal{X}_1) + \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^-}(\mathcal{X}_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^-}}(\mathcal{X}_2)}{2}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2)) \geq \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}(\mathcal{X}_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}}(\mathcal{X}_1), \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}(\mathcal{X}_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}}(\mathcal{X}_2)\}$. Similarly, \heartsuit_2 and \heartsuit_3 cases.

Hence, $\mathbb{C} = (\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-} \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-}}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^-} \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^-}}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-} \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}})$ is a CBNSBS of \mathfrak{S} .

Let us assume that $\mathbb{C}^{(\hbar_1, \hbar_2)}$ is an SBS of \mathfrak{S} and $\hbar_1, \hbar_2 \in [-1, 0] \times [0, 1]$. Suppose if there exist $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2 \in \mathfrak{S}$ such that $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2)) > \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}(\mathcal{X}_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}}(\mathcal{X}_1), \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}(\mathcal{X}_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}}(\mathcal{X}_2)\}$,

$\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2)) > \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}(\mathcal{X}_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}}(\mathcal{X}_1) + \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}(\mathcal{X}_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}}(\mathcal{X}_2)}{2}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2)) < \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\mathcal{X}_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}}(\mathcal{X}_1), \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\mathcal{X}_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}}(\mathcal{X}_2)\}$. For $\hbar_1, \hbar_2 \in [-1, 0] \times [0, 1]$ such that $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2)) > \hbar_1 \leq \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}(\mathcal{X}_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}}(\mathcal{X}_1), \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}(\mathcal{X}_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}}(\mathcal{X}_2)\}$ and

$\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2)) > \hbar_1 \leq \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}(\mathcal{X}_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}}(\mathcal{X}_1) + \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}(\mathcal{X}_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}}(\mathcal{X}_2)}{2}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2)) < \hbar_2 \geq \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\mathcal{X}_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}}(\mathcal{X}_1), \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\mathcal{X}_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}}(\mathcal{X}_2)\}$.

Thus, $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{(\hbar_1, \hbar_2)}$, but $\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2 \notin \mathbb{C}^{(\hbar_1, \hbar_2)}$. This contradicts, $\mathbb{C}^{(\hbar_1, \hbar_2)}$ is an SBS of \mathfrak{S} .

Therefore, $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2)) \geq \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}(\mathcal{X}_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}}(\mathcal{X}_1), \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}(\mathcal{X}_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}}(\mathcal{X}_2)\}$, $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2)) \geq \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}(\mathcal{X}_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}}(\mathcal{X}_1) + \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}(\mathcal{X}_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}}(\mathcal{X}_2)}{2}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}}((\mathcal{X}_1 \heartsuit_1 \mathcal{X}_2)) \leq \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\mathcal{X}_1) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}}(\mathcal{X}_1), \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\mathcal{X}_2) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}}(\mathcal{X}_2)\}$. Similarly, \heartsuit_2 and \heartsuit_3 cases. Hence, $\mathbb{C} = (\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+} \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+} \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+} \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}})$ is a CBNSBS of \mathfrak{S} .

Definition 3.7. Let $(\mathfrak{S}_1, \square_1, \square_2, \square_3)$ and $(\mathfrak{S}_2, \emptyset_1, \emptyset_2, \emptyset_3)$ be any two bisemirings. The mapping $\mathcal{F} : \mathfrak{S}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_2$ and \mathbb{k} be any CBNSBS in \mathfrak{S}_1 , σ be any CBNSBS in $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{S}_1) = \mathfrak{S}_2$. If $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}} \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}} = [\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-} \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-}}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^-} \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^-}}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-} \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}} \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+} \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+} \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+} \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}}]$ is a CBNS in \mathfrak{S}_1 , then \mathbb{C}_{σ} is a CBNS in \mathfrak{S}_2 , defined by

$$\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{T^-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-}}(\partial) = \begin{cases} \inf \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-}}(\mathcal{X}) & \text{if } \mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}\partial \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{I^-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^-}}(\partial) = \begin{cases} \inf \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^-}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^-}}(\mathcal{X}) & \text{if } \mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}\partial \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{F^-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}}(\partial) = \begin{cases} \sup \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}}(\mathcal{X}) & \text{if } \mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}\partial \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{T^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}}(\partial) = \begin{cases} \sup \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}}(\mathcal{X}) & \text{if } \mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}\partial \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{I^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}}(\partial) = \begin{cases} \sup \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}}(\mathcal{X}) & \text{if } \mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}\partial \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{F^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}}(\partial) = \begin{cases} \inf \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}}(\chi) & \text{if } \chi \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}\partial \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all $\chi \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ and $\partial \in \mathfrak{S}_2$ it represents the image of $R_{\mathbb{k}}$ under \mathcal{F} .

Similarly, if $\mathbb{C}_\sigma \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm \sigma}} = [\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T^-} \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-}}, \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{I^-} \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^-}}, \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{F^-} \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}}]$, $\mathbb{C}_\sigma \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm \sigma}}$, $\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T^+} \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}}$, $\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{I^+} \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}}$, $\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{F^+} \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}}$ is a CBNS in \mathfrak{S}_2 , then CBNS $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}} = \mathcal{F} \circ \mathbb{C}_\sigma$ in \mathfrak{S}_1 i.e., the CBNS defined by $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm}(\chi)}$, $\mathbb{C}_\sigma(\mathcal{F}(\chi)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm}(\mathcal{F}(\chi))}$, $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}} = \mathcal{F} \circ \mathbb{C}_\sigma$ in \mathfrak{S}_1 [i.e., the CBNS defined by $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm}(\chi)} = \mathbb{C}_\sigma(\mathcal{F}(\chi)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm}(\mathcal{F}(\chi))}$] it represents the preimage of \mathbb{C}_σ under \mathcal{F} .

Theorem 3.5. *The homomorphic image of every CBNSBS is a CBNSBS.*

Proof. The mapping $\mathcal{F} : \mathfrak{S}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_2$ be any homomorphism. Now, $\mathcal{F}((\chi \square_1 \partial)) = \mathcal{F}(\chi) \partial_1 \mathcal{F}(\partial)$, $\mathcal{F}((\chi \square_2 \partial)) = \mathcal{F}(\chi) \partial_2 \mathcal{F}(\partial)$ and $\mathcal{F}((\chi \square_3 \partial)) = \mathcal{F}(\chi) \partial_3 \mathcal{F}(\partial)$ for all $\chi, \partial \in \mathfrak{S}_1$. Let $\sigma = \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{k})$, \mathbb{k} is any CBNSBS of \mathfrak{S}_1 . Let $\mathcal{F}(\chi), \mathcal{F}(\partial) \in \mathfrak{S}_2$. Let $\chi \in \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\chi))$ and $\partial \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\partial))$ be such that $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-}}(\chi) = \inf_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\chi))} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-}}(\chi)$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-}}(\partial) =$

$$\inf_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\partial))} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-}}(\chi).$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T^-}((\mathcal{F}(\chi) \partial_1 \mathcal{F}(\partial))) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-}}((\mathcal{F}(\chi) \partial_1 \mathcal{F}(\partial))) \\ &= \inf_{(\chi') \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\chi) \partial_1 \mathcal{F}(\partial))} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\chi') \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-}}(\chi') \\ &= \inf_{(\chi') \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}((\chi \square_1 \partial))} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\chi') \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-}}(\chi') \\ &= \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}((\chi \square_1 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-}}((\chi \square_1 \partial)) \\ &\leq \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-}}(\chi), \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-}}(\partial)\} \\ &= \max\{\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T^-} \mathcal{F}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-} \mathcal{F}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T^-} \mathcal{F}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-} \mathcal{F}(\partial)}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T^-}((\mathcal{F}(\chi) \partial_1 \mathcal{F}(\partial))) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-}}((\mathcal{F}(\chi) \partial_1 \mathcal{F}(\partial))) \leq \max\{\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T^-} \mathcal{F}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-} \mathcal{F}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{T^-} \mathcal{F}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^-} \mathcal{F}(\partial)}\}$.

Similarly, $\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{I^-}((\mathcal{F}(\chi) \partial_2 \mathcal{F}(\partial))) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^-}}((\mathcal{F}(\chi) \partial_2 \mathcal{F}(\partial))) \leq \max\{\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{I^-} \mathcal{F}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^-} \mathcal{F}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{I^-} \mathcal{F}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^-} \mathcal{F}(\partial)}\}$ and

$\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{F^-}((\mathcal{F}(\chi) \partial_3 \mathcal{F}(\partial))) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}}((\mathcal{F}(\chi) \partial_3 \mathcal{F}(\partial))) \leq \max\{\mathbb{C}_\sigma^{F^-} \mathcal{F}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-} \mathcal{F}(\chi)}, \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{F^-} \mathcal{F}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-} \mathcal{F}(\partial)}\}$.

Let $\chi \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\chi))$ and $\partial \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\partial))$ be such that $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^-}}(\chi) = \inf_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\chi))} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^-}}(\chi)$

and $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^-}}(\partial) = \inf_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\partial))} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^-}(\chi) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^-}}(\chi)$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{C}_\sigma^{I^-}((\mathcal{F}(\chi) \partial_1 \mathcal{F}(\partial))) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^-}}((\mathcal{F}(\chi) \partial_1 \mathcal{F}(\partial))) \\ &= \inf_{(\chi') \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\chi) \partial_1 \mathcal{F}(\partial))} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^-}(\chi') \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^-}}(\chi') \\ &= \inf_{(\chi') \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}((\chi \square_1 \partial))} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^-}(\chi') \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^-}}(\chi') \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^I((\varkappa \square_1 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I}((\varkappa \square_1 \partial))} \\
 &\leq \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^I(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I}(\varkappa)} + \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^I(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I}(\partial)}}{2} \\
 &= \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^I \mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm I} \mathcal{F}(\varkappa)} + \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^I \mathcal{F}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm I} \mathcal{F}(\partial)}}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^I((\mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \partial_1 \mathcal{F}(\partial))) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm I}((\mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \partial_1 \mathcal{F}(\partial)))} \leq \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^I \mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm I} \mathcal{F}(\varkappa)} + \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^I \mathcal{F}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm I} \mathcal{F}(\partial)}}{2}.$$

Similarly,

$$\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^I((\mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \partial_2 \mathcal{F}(\partial))) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm I}((\mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \partial_2 \mathcal{F}(\partial)))} \leq \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^I \mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm I} \mathcal{F}(\varkappa)} + \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^I \mathcal{F}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm I} \mathcal{F}(\partial)}}{2} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^I((\mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \partial_3 \mathcal{F}(\partial))) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm I}((\mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \partial_3 \mathcal{F}(\partial)))} \leq \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^I \mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm I} \mathcal{F}(\varkappa)} + \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^I \mathcal{F}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm I} \mathcal{F}(\partial)}}{2}.$$

Let $\mathcal{F}(\varkappa), \mathcal{F}(\partial) \in \mathfrak{S}_2$. Let $\varkappa \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\varkappa))$ and $\partial \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\partial))$ be such that $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}(\varkappa)} =$

$$\sup_{\varkappa \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\varkappa))} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}(\varkappa)} \text{ and } \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}(\partial)} = \sup_{\partial \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\partial))} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}(\partial)}. \text{ Now,}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{F^-}((\mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \partial_1 \mathcal{F}(\partial))) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm F^-}((\mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \partial_1 \mathcal{F}(\partial)))} \\
 &= \sup_{(\varkappa') \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \partial_1 \mathcal{F}(\partial))} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}(\varkappa') \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}(\varkappa')} \\
 &= \sup_{(\varkappa') \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\varkappa \square_1 \partial))} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}(\varkappa') \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}(\varkappa')} \\
 &= \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}((\varkappa \square_1 \partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}((\varkappa \square_1 \partial))} \\
 &\geq \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}(\partial)}\} \\
 &= \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{F^-} \mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm F^-} \mathcal{F}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{F^-} \mathcal{F}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm F^-} \mathcal{F}(\partial)}\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{F^-}((\mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \partial_1 \mathcal{F}(\partial))) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm F^-}((\mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \partial_1 \mathcal{F}(\partial)))} \geq \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{F^-} \mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm F^-} \mathcal{F}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{F^-} \mathcal{F}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm F^-} \mathcal{F}(\partial)}\}$.

Similarly,

$$\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{F^-}((\mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \partial_2 \mathcal{F}(\partial))) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm F^-}((\mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \partial_2 \mathcal{F}(\partial)))} \geq \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{F^-} \mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm F^-} \mathcal{F}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{F^-} \mathcal{F}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm F^-} \mathcal{F}(\partial)}\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{F^-}((\mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \partial_3 \mathcal{F}(\partial))) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm F^-}((\mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \partial_3 \mathcal{F}(\partial)))} \geq \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{F^-} \mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm F^-} \mathcal{F}(\varkappa)}, \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{F^-} \mathcal{F}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm F^-} \mathcal{F}(\partial)}\}.$$

The mapping $\mathcal{F} : \mathfrak{S}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_2$ be any homomorphism. Now, $\mathcal{F}((\varkappa \square_1 \partial)) = \mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \partial_1 \mathcal{F}(\partial), \mathcal{F}((\varkappa \square_2 \partial)) = \mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \partial_2 \mathcal{F}(\partial)$ and $\mathcal{F}((\varkappa \square_3 \partial)) = \mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \partial_3 \mathcal{F}(\partial)$ for all $\varkappa, \partial \in \mathfrak{S}_1$.

Let $\sigma = \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{k}), \mathbb{k}$ is any CBNSBS of \mathfrak{S}_1 . Let $\mathcal{F}(\varkappa), \mathcal{F}(\partial) \in \mathfrak{S}_2$. Let $\varkappa \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\varkappa))$ and $\partial \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\partial))$ be such that $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}(\varkappa)} = \sup_{\varkappa \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\varkappa))} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}(\varkappa)}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}(\partial)} =$

$$\sup_{\partial \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\partial))} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}(\partial)}. \text{ Now,}$$

$$\sup_{\varkappa \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\varkappa))} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}(\varkappa) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}(\varkappa)}. \text{ Now,}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{T^+}((\mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \partial_1 \mathcal{F}(\partial))) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm T^+}((\mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \partial_1 \mathcal{F}(\partial)))} \\
 &= \sup_{(\varkappa') \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\varkappa) \partial_1 \mathcal{F}(\partial))} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}(\varkappa') \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}(\varkappa')}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}(\mathcal{X})} = \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{F^-}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X})) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm F^-}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X}))} \geq \hbar_2, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}(\partial)} = \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{F^-}(\mathcal{F}(\partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm F^-}(\mathcal{F}(\partial))} \geq \hbar_2$.

Thus, $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}((\mathcal{X}\square_1\partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}((\mathcal{X}\square_1\partial))} = \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{F^-}((\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X})\partial_1\mathcal{F}(\partial))) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm F^-}((\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X})\partial_1\mathcal{F}(\partial)))}$
 $\geq \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}(\mathcal{X}), \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^-}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^-}(\partial)}\} \geq \hbar_2$ for all $\mathcal{X}, \partial \in \mathfrak{S}_1$.

Similarly other operations, $\mathbb{k}_{(\hbar_1, \hbar_2)}$ is an SBS of CBNSBS \mathbb{k} of \mathfrak{S}_1 .

The mapping $\mathcal{F} : \mathfrak{S}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_2$ be any homomorphism. We have $\mathcal{F}((\mathcal{X}\square_1\partial)) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X})\partial_1\mathcal{F}(\partial), \mathcal{F}((\mathcal{X}\square_2\partial)) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X})\partial_2\mathcal{F}(\partial)$ and $\mathcal{F}((\mathcal{X}\square_3\partial)) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X})\partial_3\mathcal{F}(\partial)$ for all $\mathcal{X}, \partial \in \mathfrak{S}_1$. Let $\sigma = \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{k})$, σ be a CBNSBS of \mathfrak{S}_2 . By Theorem 3.6, \mathbb{k} is a CBNSBS of \mathfrak{S}_1 . Let $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{k}_{(\hbar_1, \hbar_2)})$ be an SBS of σ . Suppose that $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X}), \mathcal{F}(\partial) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{k}_{(\hbar_1, \hbar_2)})$. Now, $\mathcal{F}((\mathcal{X}\square_1\partial)), \mathcal{F}((\mathcal{X}\square_2\partial))$ and $\mathcal{F}((\mathcal{X}\square_3\partial)) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{k}_{(\hbar_1, \hbar_2)})$.

Now, $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}(\mathcal{X})} = \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{T^+}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X})) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm T^+}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X}))} \geq \hbar_1, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}(\partial)} = \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{T^+}(\mathcal{F}(\partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm T^+}(\mathcal{F}(\partial))} \geq \hbar_1$.

Thus, $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}((\mathcal{X}\square_1\partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}((\mathcal{X}\square_1\partial))} \geq \min\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}(\mathcal{X}), \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{T^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm T^+}(\partial)}\} \geq \hbar_1$. Now,
 $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}(\mathcal{X})} = \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{I^+}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X})) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm I^+}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X}))} \geq \hbar_1, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}(\partial)} = \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{I^+}(\mathcal{F}(\partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm I^+}(\mathcal{F}(\partial))} \geq \hbar_1$.

Thus, $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}((\mathcal{X}\square_1\partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}((\mathcal{X}\square_1\partial))} \geq \frac{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}(\mathcal{X}) + \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{I^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm I^+}(\partial)}}{2} \geq \hbar_1$. Now, $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}(\mathcal{X})} = \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{F^+}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X})) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm F^+}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X}))} \leq \hbar_2, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}(\partial)} = \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{F^+}(\mathcal{F}(\partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm F^+}(\mathcal{F}(\partial))} \leq \hbar_2$.

Thus, $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}((\mathcal{X}\square_1\partial)) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}((\mathcal{X}\square_1\partial))} = \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^{F^+}((\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X})\partial_1\mathcal{F}(\partial))) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\sigma}^{\pm F^+}((\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X})\partial_1\mathcal{F}(\partial)))} \leq \max\{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}(\mathcal{X}), \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}^{F^+}(\partial) \cdot e^{i\omega_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm F^+}(\partial)}\} \leq \hbar_2$, for all $\mathcal{X}, \partial \in \mathfrak{S}_1$.

Similarly other operations, $\mathbb{k}_{(\hbar_1, \hbar_2)}$ is an SBS of CBNSBS \mathbb{k} of \mathfrak{S}_1 .

4. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTION

This study introduces a new class of CBNSBSs. The complex bipolar neutrosophic subbisemiring, which takes an innovative approach to the notion of three grades, expresses three grades in terms of a complex number. A two-dimensional parameter with three grades is called a complex form of three grades. It was classified as a bipolar neutrosophic SBS carrying. CBNSBS and CBNNSBS level sets were established. Our goal is to apply the set to the bisemiring. Additionally, a study of the characteristics of different conversions is done. We are trying to use cubic soft sets and soft sets to handle novel fuzzy structures. Consequently, we need to consider utilizing soft set CBNSBS and CBNNSBS in the future.

Acknowledgment: This research was supported by University of Phayao and Thailand Science Research and Innovation Fund (Fundamental Fund 2025, Grant No. 5027/2567).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Ahsan, K. Saifullah, M.F. Khan, Fuzzy Semirings, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 60 (1993), 309–320. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(93\)90441-J](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(93)90441-J).

- [2] S. Ashraf, S. Abdullah, T. Mahmood, F. Ghani, T. Mahmood, Spherical Fuzzy Sets and Their Applications in Multi-Attribute Decision Making Problems, *J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst.* 36 (2019), 2829–2844. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JIFS-172009>.
- [3] K.T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 20 (1986), 87–96. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114\(86\)80034-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(86)80034-3).
- [4] B.C. Cuong, V. Kreinovich, Picture Fuzzy Sets - A New Concept for Computational Intelligence Problems, in: 2013 Third World Congress on Information and Communication Technologies (WICT 2013), IEEE, Hanoi, Vietnam, 2013: pp. 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/WICT.2013.7113099>.
- [5] J.S. Golan, *Semirings and Their Applications*, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 1999. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-015-9333-5>.
- [6] F. Hussian, R.M. Hashism, A. Khan, M. Naeem, Generalization of Bisemirings, *Int. J. Comput. Sci. Inf. Secur.* 14 (2016), 275-289.
- [7] K.M. Lee, Bipolar-Valued Fuzzy Sets and Their Operations, in: *Proceedings of International Conference on Intelligent Technologies*, Bangkok, Thailand, (2000), 307-312.
- [8] M. Palanikumar, K. Arulmozhi, MCGDM Based on TOPSIS and VIKOR Using Pythagorean Neutrosophic Soft With Aggregation Operators, *Neutrosophic Sets Syst.* 51 (2022), 538-555.
- [9] M. Palanikumar, K. Arulmozhi, Novel Possibility Pythagorean Interval Valued Fuzzy Soft Set Method for a Decision Making, *TWMS J. Appl. Eng. Math.* 13 (2023), 327-340.
- [10] M. Palanikumar, K. Arulmozhi, On Intuitionistic Fuzzy Normal Subbisemirings of Bisemirings, *Nonlinear Stud.* 28 (2021), 717-721.
- [11] M. Palanikumar, K. Arulmozhi, A. Iampan, Multi Criteria Group Decision Making Based on VIKOR and TOPSIS Methods for Fermatean Fuzzy Soft With Aggregation Operators, *ICIC Express Lett.* 16 (2022), 1129-1138. <https://doi.org/10.24507/icicel.16.10.1129>.
- [12] M. Palanikumar, S. Broumi, Square Root Diophantine Neutrosophic Normal Interval-Valued Sets and Their Aggregated Operators in Application to Multiple Attribute Decision Making, *Int. J. Neutrosophic Sci.* 19 (2022), 63-84. <https://doi.org/10.54216/IJNS.190307>.
- [13] M. Palanikumar, N. Kausar, H. Garg, A. Iampan, S. Kadry, M. Sharaf, Medical Robotic Engineering Selection Based on Square Root Neutrosophic Normal Interval-Valued Sets and Their Aggregated Operators, *AIMS Math.* 8 (2023), 17402–17432. <https://doi.org/10.3934/math.2023889>.
- [14] M. Palanikumar, G. Selvi, G. Selvachandran, S.L. Tan, New Approach to Bisemiring Theory via the Bipolar-Valued Neutrosophic Normal Sets, *Neutrosophic Sets Syst.* 55 (2023), 427-450.
- [15] S.G. Quek, H. Garg, G. Selvachandran, M. Palanikumar, K. Arulmozhi, VIKOR and TOPSIS Framework With a Truthful-Distance Measure for the (t, s) -Regulated Interval-Valued Neutrosophic Soft Set, *Soft Comput.* 28 (2024), 553. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-023-08338-y>.
- [16] D. Ramot, R. Milo, M. Friedman, A. Kandel, Complex Fuzzy Sets, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.* 10 (2002), 171–186. <https://doi.org/10.1109/91.995119>.
- [17] M.K. Sen, S. Ghosh, S. Ghosh, An Introduction to Bisemirings, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* 28 (2004), 547-559.
- [18] F. Smarandache, A Unifying Field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic, in: *Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Set, Neutrosophic Probability*, American Research Press, 2003.
- [19] R.R. Yager, Pythagorean Membership Grades in Multicriteria Decision Making, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.* 22 (2014), 958–965. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TFUZZ.2013.2278989>.
- [20] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, *Inf. Control* 8 (1965), 338–353. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958\(65\)90241-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958(65)90241-X).