International Journal of Analysis and Applications

# International Journal of Analysis and Applications

# Vanishing Theorems, Support Conditions, and Boundary Problems for $\overline{\partial}$ on Weak Z(q) Domains

## Sayed Saber<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Abdullah A. Alahmari<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Al-Baha University, Al-Baha, Saudi Arabia <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Beni-Suef University, Egypt <sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Umm Al-Qura University, Saudi Arabia

#### \*Corresponding author: Sayed011258@science.bsu.edu.eg

**Abstract.** Let *X* be a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n \ge 2$ , and let  $\Omega \in X$  be a relatively compact domain with smooth boundary that satisfies the weak Z(q)-condition. Assume  $\mathcal{F}$  is a holomorphic line bundle over *X*, and denote by  $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes m}$  its *m*-th tensor power for some positive integer *m*. Provided there exists a strongly plurisubharmonic function defined in a neighborhood of the boundary  $b\Omega$ , it is possible to obtain solutions to the  $\overline{\partial}$ -equation within  $\Omega$ , under support conditions, for (p,q)-forms with  $q \ge 1$  taking values in  $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes m}$ . Additionally, we study the solvability of the boundary  $\overline{\partial}_b$ -problem on weak Z(q)-domains with smooth boundary in the setting of Kähler manifolds. Moreover, an extension theorem for  $\overline{\partial}_b$ -closed differential forms will be proven.

#### 1. Introduction

The study of the  $\overline{\partial}$ -problem with support constraints has been a central topic in several complex variables and complex geometry. A fundamental contribution was made by Derridj [1], who utilized Carleman-type estimates to address the  $\overline{\partial}$ -problem for forms with exact support on domains possessing smooth plurisubharmonic defining functions. Extending this direction, Shaw [2] proved solvability for the  $\overline{\partial}$ -problem under support constraints on pseudoconvex domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ with merely  $C^1$  boundaries, highlighting a relaxation of regularity conditions.

Later developments by Cao, Shaw, and Wang [3] investigated the ∂-problem with support conditions in locally Stein domains embedded within complex projective spaces, illustrating the subtle

Received: Apr. 29, 2025.

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 32F10, 32W05, 32L05, 32Q10, 32Q15, 53C55.

*Key words and phrases.* Compact complex manifolds; Kähler metrics; Vanishing theorems; Kodaira's embedding theorem; holomorphic line bundle.

interactions between positivity and projective geometry. In another significant advancement, Sambou [4] treated the  $\overline{\partial}$ -problem for extendable currents defined over strongly *q*-convex or *q*-concave domains, applying refined cohomological and analytic techniques, see also [5–7].

Weak pseudoconvexity introduces additional challenges. Solutions to the  $\overline{\partial}$ -problem with support constraints on weakly *q*-pseudoconvex domains with  $C^1$  boundary were studied in [8,9], and these results were extended to the setting of Stein manifolds in [10], showing the important role played by the ambient complex geometry. Saber [11] further developed this theory for *E*-valued differential forms on weakly pseudoconvex domains, assuming positivity conditions on the curvature of the line bundle *E* and applying  $L^2$  techniques in the spirit of Hörmander's methods [12].

Parallel to the  $\partial$ -problem inside domains, the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator  $\partial_b$  on boundaries has also been extensively studied. Folland and Kohn [13] established foundational results concerning the  $\overline{\partial}_b$ -complex and the boundary behavior of  $\overline{\partial}$ -solutions, leading to applications in CR geometry. Further work on boundary extension problems can be found in the contributions of Ohsawa [14, 15], where fine boundary regularity and extension properties were analyzed using techniques inspired by the theory of Levi convexity and Kodaira's vanishing theorems [16, 17]. Vesentini [18] and Griffiths [19] also [20,21] developed important tools linking positivity, convexity, and cohomological vanishing, which inform the background of this work.

The objective of this paper is to extend these classical and modern results to a broader geometric setting, namely, to weak Z(q) domains, a class that generalizes weak pseudoconvexity. Specifically, we address the  $\overline{\partial}$ -problem for (p,q)-forms with  $q \ge 1$  taking values in the *m*-fold tensor powers  $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}$  of a holomorphic line bundle *E*, under the assumption of the existence of a strongly plurisubharmonic function in a neighborhood of the boundary.

Our first main result establishes the solvability of the ∂-equation with support constraints:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let X be a complex manifold of dimension  $n \ge 2$ , and let  $\Omega \in X$  be a weak Z(q) domain with smooth boundary. Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  is a holomorphic line bundle over X, and let  $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}$  denote its *m*-fold tensor product, for a positive integer *m*. Assume there exists a strongly plurisubharmonic function defined in a neighborhood of b $\Omega$ . Then, for any  $\phi \in L^2_{p,q}(X, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$ , supported in  $\overline{\Omega}$ , with  $q \ge 1$ , satisfying  $\overline{\partial}\phi = 0$  in the distribution sense on X, there exists a solution  $u \in L^2_{p,q-1}(X, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$ , also supported in  $\overline{\Omega}$ , such that

$$\overline{\partial} u = \phi$$

in the distribution sense on X.

In addition to interior results, we explore applications to the boundary theory. We study the  $\overline{\partial}_b$ -problem for CR forms on  $b\Omega$ , extending classical extension theorems by providing  $C^k$ -smooth  $\overline{\partial}$ -closed extensions into  $\Omega$  under suitable conditions. Our approach leverages estimates and techniques related to Carleman inequalities, spectral theory, and vanishing theorems, building on methods found in works such as [22,23].

Moreover, inspired by ideas from Grauert-Lieb [20] and recent developments by Saber and collaborators [24]- [41], we obtain new solvability criteria for the  $\overline{\partial}_b$ -problem with Sobolev regularity on the boundary and the existence of exact support solutions. This work also connects with broader questions concerning the  $L^2$  theory on weakly pseudoconvex and pseudoconcave domains, as studied in [42–49].

Thus, this paper aims to contribute to the growing understanding of the  $\overline{\partial}$ -equation and boundary problems on non-classical domains, bridging analytic techniques with complex geometric structures.

The objective of this paper is to extend classical and modern results concerning the solvability of the  $\overline{\partial}$ -equation and boundary  $\overline{\partial}_b$ -problems to the broader setting of weak Z(q) domains. Specifically, the authors aim to solve the  $\overline{\partial}$ -equation with support constraints for (p,q)-forms (with  $q \ge 1$ ) valued in high tensor powers of a holomorphic line bundle, assuming the existence of a strongly plurisubharmonic function near the boundary; investigate boundary solvability for the  $\overline{\partial}_b$ -problem on weak Z(q) domains with smooth boundaries in Kähler manifolds; and establish extension theorems for  $\overline{\partial}_b$ -closed forms from the boundary into the domain. The overall goal is to contribute to the growing understanding of the  $\overline{\partial}$ -equation and boundary problems on non-classical domains, bridging analytic techniques with complex geometric structures.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we introduce preliminary concepts, including weakly Z(q) domains, Hermitian metrics, and the relevant function spaces. Section 3 is devoted to proving the main solvability theorem for the  $\overline{\partial}$ -equation with support conditions. In Section 4, we address the  $\overline{\partial}_b$ -problem on the boundary and provide extension theorems for CR forms. Section 5 presents extension results for differential forms from the boundary into the interior of the domain.

#### 2. Weakly Z(q) Domains

Let *X* be an *n*-dimensional complex manifold, and let  $\Omega$  denote an open subset of *X* with defining function  $\rho$ . Suppose *E* is a holomorphic line bundle over *X*, and let  $\mathcal{F}^*$  represent its dual bundle. Consider an open cover  $\{\mathcal{U}_j\}_{j\in J}$  of *X* such that *E* is trivial over each  $\mathcal{U}_j$ , i.e.,  $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_j) \simeq \mathcal{U}_j \times \mathbb{C}$ . Assume that on each  $\mathcal{U}_j$ , local holomorphic coordinates are given by  $(z_j^1, z_j^2, \dots, z_j^n)$ . Let  $\{e_{jk}\}$  denote the system of transition functions of *E* relative to this covering.

An (p,q)-form  $\phi = \{\phi_i\}$  on *X* can be locally expressed as

$$\phi_j = \sum_{C_r, D_s}' \phi_{j, C_r \overline{D_s}} dz_j^{C_r} \wedge d\overline{z_j}^{D_s},$$

where  $C_r = (c_1, ..., c_r)$  and  $D_s = (d_1, ..., d_s)$  are strictly increasing multi-indices, and  $\Sigma'$  indicates summation over such ordered indices.

Let the Hermitian metric on X be given locally by

$$ds^{2} = \sum_{\phi,\beta=1}^{n} g_{j,\phi\overline{\beta}}(z) \, dz_{j}^{\nu} \, d\overline{z}_{j}^{\beta},$$

where  $g_{i,\phi\overline{\beta}}$  are smooth functions. The associated (1, 1)-form is

$$\omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{\nu,\beta=1}^{n} g_{j,\phi\overline{\beta}}(z) \, dz_{j}^{\nu} \wedge d\overline{z}_{j}^{\beta}$$

If  $d\omega = 0$ , the metric  $ds^2$  is called a Kähler metric, and  $\omega$  is the corresponding Kähler form. A complex manifold that admits a Kähler metric is referred to as a Kähler manifold.

Now, let  $h = \{h_j\}$  denote a Hermitian metric on E relative to the cover  $\{\mathcal{U}_j\}_{j\in J}$ , satisfying the compatibility condition  $h_j = |e_{jk}|^2 h_k$  on overlaps  $\mathcal{U}_j \cap \mathcal{U}_k$ . Given integers  $p, q \ge 0$  and  $\tau \ge 1$ , we introduce the following function spaces. We denote by  $\mathscr{C}_{p,q}^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  the space of smooth (p, q)-forms on  $\Omega$  valued in  $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}$ , and  $\mathscr{C}_{p,q}^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega}, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  the subspace of forms smoothly extendable up to the boundary  $b\Omega$ . We denote by  $\mathscr{D}_{p,q}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  the space of smooth (p, q)-forms with compact support in  $\Omega$ .

The Hodge star operator  $\star$  maps  $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}_{p,q}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  into  $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}_{n-s,n-r}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$ . The conjugation operator  $\#_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}}$  maps  $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}_{p,q}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  to  $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}_{q,p}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}^{*\otimes \tau})$  and is given by

$$#_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}}\phi = h^{\tau}\overline{\phi}.$$

It commutes with the Hodge star operator. Similarly, we define

$$\#_{\mathcal{F}^{*\otimes \tau}}: \mathscr{C}^{\infty}_{p,q}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}^{*\otimes \tau}) \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}^{\infty}_{q,p}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$$

by

$$#_{\mathcal{F}^{*\otimes \tau}}\phi = h^{-m}\overline{\phi},$$

and note that  $\#_{\mathcal{F}^{*\otimes \tau}}$  is the inverse of  $\#_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}}$ .

We define

$$\mathscr{B}_{p,q}(\overline{\Omega},\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}) = \left\{ \phi \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}_{p,q}(\overline{\Omega},\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}) ; \, \star \#_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}} \phi \Big|_{b\Omega} = 0 \right\}.$$

Also, dV will denote the volume element corresponding to the Hermitian metric  $ds^2$ .

The Cauchy-Riemann operator

$$\overline{\partial}: \mathscr{C}^{\infty}_{p,q-1}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}) \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}^{\infty}_{p,q}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$$

is defined in the standard way. Its formal adjoint is denoted by  $\vartheta_{\tau}$ . ker $(\overline{\partial}, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  for the kernel of  $\overline{\partial}$ , dom $(\overline{\partial}, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  for its domain, and range $(\overline{\partial}, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  for its range. The Dolbeault cohomology group is defined as

$$H^{p,q}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}) = \frac{\mathscr{C}^{\infty}_{p,q}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}) \cap \ker(\overline{\partial},\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})}{\overline{\partial}(\mathscr{C}^{\infty}_{p,q-1}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}))}.$$

On the boundary  $b\Omega$ , we consider the quotient space

$$\mathscr{C}_{p,q}^{\infty}(b\Omega,\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}) = \mathscr{C}_{p,q}^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega},\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})/\mathscr{D}_{p,q}(\Omega,\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}),$$

and the natural projections

$$\pi_{p,q}: \mathscr{C}^{\infty}_{p,q}(\overline{\Omega}, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}) \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}^{\infty}_{p,q}(b\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}),$$

and

$$\sigma_{p,q}:\bigoplus_{p,q}\mathscr{C}^{\infty}_{p,q}(\overline{\Omega},\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})\longrightarrow \mathscr{C}^{\infty}_{p,q}(b\Omega,\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}).$$

We shall simply denote by  $u|_{b\Omega}$  the projection  $\pi_{p,q}(u)$ .

The tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator

$$\overline{\partial}_b: \mathscr{C}^{\infty}_{p,q}(b\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}) \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}^{\infty}_{r,s+1}(b\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$$

is defined by

$$\overline{\partial}_b = \sigma_{r,s+1} \circ d \circ (\pi_{p,q})^{-1}.$$

Functions f on  $b\Omega$  satisfying  $\overline{\partial}_b f = 0$  are called CR functions. A function f is CR if and only if there exists a smooth extension F on  $\overline{\Omega}$  such that  $F|_{b\Omega} = f$  and  $\overline{\partial}F = 0$ .

For smooth sections  $\phi$ ,  $u \in \mathscr{C}_{p,q}^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$ , the pointwise inner product  $(\phi, u)_{\tau}$  is defined by

$$(\phi, u)_{\tau} dV = \phi_j \wedge \star h^{\tau} \mathcal{U}_j = \phi_j \wedge \star \#_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}} \mathcal{U}_j.$$

The global inner product is given by

$$\langle \phi, u \rangle_{\tau,\Omega} = \int_{\Omega} \phi \wedge \star \#_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}} u,$$

and the corresponding norm is

$$\|\phi\|_{\tau,\Omega}^2 = \langle \phi, \phi \rangle_{\tau,\Omega}.$$

Finally, for  $\phi \in \mathscr{C}_{p,q}^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  and  $\eta \in \mathscr{D}_{p,q-1}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$ , the formal adjoint operator  $\vartheta_{\tau}$  corresponding to  $\overline{\partial}$  is defined as usual.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\pi : E \longrightarrow X$  be a holomorphic line bundle. We say that E is positive over a subset  $\Omega \subseteq X$  if there exists a collection of coordinate charts  $\{\mathcal{U}_j\}_{j\in J}$  covering X such that  $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_j)$  are trivial bundles, together with a Hermitian metric  $h = \{h_j\}$  defined along the fibres of E, satisfying that  $-\log h_j$  is strictly plurisubharmonic on each  $\mathcal{U}_j \cap \Omega$  for all  $j \in J$ .

Utilizing the framework of complex tensor calculus for Kähler manifolds with boundary, we arrive at the following important result (refer to [15]).

**Proposition 2.1.** Suppose X is a Kähler manifold of complex dimension n, and let  $\Omega \in X$  be a relatively compact open subset. Consider a holomorphic line bundle E over X, and denote by  $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}$  its  $\tau$ -fold tensor product for some positive integer  $\tau$ . Let  $U^*$  be a neighborhood of the boundary  $b\Omega$ , and denote by  $\overline{\nabla}$  the covariant derivative induced by the Kähler metric  $ds^2$ . Then, for all  $\tau \ge 1$  and for any  $\phi \in \mathscr{B}_{p,q}(\overline{\Omega}, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  with supp  $\phi \in U^*$ , where  $p \ge 0$  and  $q \ge 1$ , the following identity holds:

$$\begin{split} \|\overline{\partial}\phi\|_{\tau}^{2} + \|\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*}\phi\|_{\tau}^{2} &= \|\overline{\nabla}\phi\|_{\tau}^{2} + \int_{b\Omega} h_{j}^{\tau} |\nabla\rho|^{-1} \sum_{\beta,\gamma=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}\rho}{\partial z^{\beta} \partial \overline{z}^{\gamma}} \phi_{jC_{r}\overline{B}_{s-1}}^{\beta} \overline{\phi_{j}^{C_{r}\gamma B_{s-1}}} \, dS \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} h_{j}^{\tau} \sum_{\beta,\gamma=1}^{n} s \left( \delta_{\tau}^{\sigma} \left[ m \Theta_{\overline{\phi}}^{\overline{\beta}} + R_{\overline{\phi}}^{\overline{\beta}} \right] - R_{\tau\overline{\phi}}^{\sigma\overline{\beta}} \right) \phi_{jC_{r}\overline{B}_{s-1}}^{\beta} \overline{\phi_{j}^{C_{r}\gamma B_{s-1}}} \, dV. \end{split}$$
(2.1)

*Here, the various terms are defined as follows:* 

$$\begin{split} \|\overline{\nabla}\phi\|_{\tau}^{2} &= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{\phi,\beta=1}^{n} g_{j}^{\overline{\rho}\phi} \overline{\nabla}_{\beta} \phi_{jC_{r}\overline{D}_{s}} \overline{\nabla}_{\phi} \phi_{j}^{\overline{C}_{r}\overline{D}_{s}} \, dV, \\ R_{\beta\overline{\nu}\gamma}^{\phi} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}_{j}^{\nu}} \left( \sum g_{j}^{\overline{\sigma}\phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{\nu}} g_{j\beta\overline{\sigma}} \right) \quad (Riemann \ curvature \ tensor), \\ R_{\phi\overline{\nu}} &= -\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{j}^{\nu} \partial\overline{z}_{j}^{\nu}} \left( \log \det(g_{j\phi\overline{\beta}}) \right) \quad (Ricci \ curvature \ tensor), \\ \Theta_{\phi\overline{\nu}} &= -\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{j}^{\nu} \partial\overline{z}_{j}^{\nu}} (\log h) \quad (curvature \ tensor \ of \ E), \\ \delta_{\tau}^{\sigma} \quad (Kronecker \ delta \ symbol). \end{split}$$

For the  $C^{\infty}$ -function  $\lambda$ , we define the gradient of  $\lambda$  as the vector

$$\operatorname{grad} \lambda = \left(\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z^{1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z^{n}}, \overline{\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z^{1}}}, \dots, \overline{\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z^{n}}}\right),$$
$$|\operatorname{grad} \lambda|^{2} = (\operatorname{grad} \lambda) \overline{(\operatorname{grad} \lambda)} = \sum_{\phi=1}^{n} \left|\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z^{\phi}}\right|^{2} + \sum_{\beta=1}^{n} \left|\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z^{\beta}}\right|^{2},$$

and we set

$$(\mathscr{L}(\lambda)\phi,\phi) = \sum_{B_{s-1}} \sum_{\beta,\gamma=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 \lambda}{\partial z^{\beta} \partial z^{\overline{\gamma}}} \phi_{\overline{B}_{s-1}}^{\beta} \overline{\phi}_{\overline{B}_{s-1}}^{\gamma B_{s-1}}.$$
(2.2)

Since  $d\lambda \neq 0$  on *U*, then grad  $\lambda \neq 0$  on *U* also. The following lemma which is theorem 1.1.3 of [12].

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $H_i$  (i = l, 2, 3) be three Hilbert spaces and

 $T: H_1 \longrightarrow H_2$  and  $S: H_2 \longrightarrow H_3$ ,

*be closed linear operators with dense domains such that* ST = 0*. Assume that for any sequence*  $\{f_{\nu}\}$  *such that*  $f_{\nu} \in H_2 \cap \text{dom } S \cap \text{dom } T$ *,* 

$$\|\phi_{\nu}\|_{H_{2}}^{2} \leq 1 \quad and \quad \lim_{\nu \to \infty} \|S\phi_{\nu}\|_{H_{3}}^{2} = 0, \quad \lim_{\nu \to \infty} \|T\phi_{\nu}\|_{H_{1}}^{2} = 0,$$

we can choose a strongly convergent subsequence of  $\{f_v\}$ . Then Range(T) is closed and  $\mathcal{H}(S)/Range(T)$  is a finite dimensional vector space.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a domain with  $C^{\tau}$  boundary  $b\Omega$ . We say that a defining function  $\rho$  for  $\Omega$  is uniformly  $C^{\tau}$  if there exists an open neighborhood U of  $b\Omega$  such that:

- dist $(b\Omega, bU) > 0$ ,
- $\|\rho\|_{C^\tau(U)} < \infty$ ,
- $\inf_U |\nabla \rho| > 0.$

This condition is trivial on domains with compact boundary. We identify real (1, 1)-forms with Hermitian matrices as follows:

$$c = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} i c_{j\bar{k}} \, dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k$$

For a function  $\phi$ , we set:

$$\phi_k = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z_k}, \quad \phi_{\bar{j}} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}_j}.$$

Let  $I_q = \{(i_1, \ldots, i_q) \in \mathbb{N}^n : 1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_q \le n\}$ . For  $I \in I_{q-1}$ ,  $J \in I_q$ , and  $1 \le j \le n$ , define:

 $\epsilon_J^{jI} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{|\sigma|}, & \text{if } \{j\} \cup I = J \text{ as sets, and } |\sigma| \text{ is the permutation length,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 

For  $u = \sum_{J \in I_q} \mathcal{U}_j d\bar{z}_J$ , define:

$$u_{jI} = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{I}_q} \epsilon_J^{jI} u_J.$$

The induced CR-structure at  $z \in b\Omega$  is:

$$T_z^{1,0}(\mathsf{b}\Omega) = \{L \in T^{1,0}(\mathbb{C}^n) : \partial \rho(L) = 0\}.$$

Let  $T^{1,0}(b\Omega)$  be the space of  $C^{m-1}$  sections of  $T_z^{1,0}(b\Omega)$ , and set  $T^{0,1}(b\Omega) = \overline{T^{1,0}(b\Omega)}$ . The exterior algebra generated by these spaces is denoted  $T^{p,q}(b\Omega)$ . For U a suitably small neighborhood of  $b\Omega$ , define the projection

$$\tau: \Lambda^{p,q}(U) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{p,q}(b\Omega).$$

If we normalize  $\rho$  so that  $|d\rho| = 1$  on b $\Omega$ , then the Levi form  $\mathscr{L}$  is defined by

$$\mathscr{L}(-iL\wedge\bar{L})=i\partial\bar{\partial}\rho(-iL\wedge\bar{L}),$$

for any  $L \in T^{1,0}(\mathbf{b}\Omega)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** *Given a set*  $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ *, a* tubular neighborhood of M is an open set of the form

$$U_r = \{ p \in \mathbb{C}^n : \operatorname{dist}(p, M) < r \},\$$

where dist( $\cdot$ ,  $\cdot$ ) denotes the Euclidean distance. We call *r* the radius of  $U_r$ .

We adopt the definition of weak Z(q) from [12].

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\Omega \in X$  be a domain with a uniformly  $C^{\tau}$  defining function  $\rho, \tau \geq 2$ . We say b $\Omega$  (or  $\Omega$ ) satisfies weak Z(q) if there exists a Hermitian matrix  $Y = (Y^{kj})$  of functions on b $\Omega$ , uniformly bounded in  $C^{\tau-1}$ , such that:

1. 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} Y^{\bar{k}j} \rho_{j} = 0 \text{ on } b\Omega;$$
  
2. All eigenvalues of Y lie in [0,1];  
3. 
$$\mu_{1} + \dots + \mu_{q} - \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} Y^{\bar{k}j} \rho_{j\bar{k}} \ge 0, \text{ where } \mu_{1}, \dots, \mu_{n-1} \text{ are the eigenvalues of the Levi form } \mathscr{L} \text{ in creasing order;}$$

in

 $4. \inf_{z \in b\Omega} |q - \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{Y})| > 0.$ 

**Definition 2.5.** We say that  $u \in L^2_{p,q}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  is supported in  $\overline{\Omega}$  (supp  $u \subset \overline{\Omega}$ ) or u vanishes to infinite order at the boundary of  $\Omega$  if u vanishes on  $b\Omega$ .

**Definition 2.6.**  $\phi \in L^2_{p,q}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  is supported in  $\overline{\Omega}$  (supp  $\phi \subset \overline{\Omega}$ ) or  $\phi$  vanishes to infinite order at the boundary of  $\Omega$  if  $\phi$  vanishes on  $b\Omega$ .

To prove the basic estimate (3.6), the following lemma which is Theorem 1.1.3 of [12] is needed.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $H_i(j = l, 2, 3)$  be three Hilbert spaces and

$$T: H_1 \longrightarrow H_2$$
 and  $S: H_2 \longrightarrow H_3$ 

*be closed linear operators with dense domains such that* ST = 0*. Assume that for any sequence*  $\{f_v\}$  *such that*  $f_v \in H_2 \cap \text{dom } S \cap \text{dom } T$ *,* 

$$\|\phi_{\nu}\|_{H_{2}}^{2} \leq 1 \quad and \quad \lim_{\nu \to \infty} \|S\phi_{\nu}\|_{H_{3}}^{2} = 0, \quad \lim_{\nu \to \infty} \|T\phi_{\nu}\|_{H_{1}}^{2} = 0,$$

one can choose a strongly convergent subsequence of  $\{f_v\}$ . Then range(*T*) is closed and  $\mathcal{H}(S)/range(T)$  is a finite dimensional vector space.

#### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Let *X* be an *n*-dimensional complex manifold and  $\Omega \in X$  a relatively compact domain with smooth boundary  $b\Omega$  satisfying the weak Z(q) condition. Assume  $E \to X$  is a holomorphic line bundle that is positive in a neighborhood *V* of  $b\Omega$ . Let  $h = \{h_j\}$  denote a Hermitian metric for *E* on *X* that ensures the positivity over *V* with respect to an open covering  $\{\mathcal{U}_j\}_{j\in J}$  of *X*. Then, the curvature form

$$\sum_{\phi,\beta=1}^{n} \left( -\frac{\partial^2 \log h_j}{\partial z_j^{\phi} \partial \overline{z}_j^{\beta}} \right) dz^{\phi} \wedge d\overline{z}^{\beta},$$

induces a Kähler metric given locally by

$$d\sigma^2 = \sum_{\phi,\beta=1}^n \left( -\frac{\partial^2 \log h_j}{\partial z_j^{\phi} \partial \overline{z}_j^{\beta}} \right) dz^{\phi} d\overline{z}^{\beta},$$

on *V*. One can choose a defining function  $\rho$  for  $b\Omega$  based on the geodesic distance corresponding to the metric  $d\sigma^2$ . This leads to the following result.

**Lemma 3.1.** There exist neighborhoods V, V' of  $b\Omega$ , an open covering  $\{\mathcal{U}_j\}_{j\in J}$  of X, a Hermitian metric  $h = \{h_i\}$  on E, and a Hermitian metric

$$ds^2 = \sum_{\phi, \beta=1}^n g_{j\phi\overline{\beta}}(z) dz_j^{\phi} d\overline{z}_j^{\beta}$$

on X satisfying:

(1)  $V \in V'$ , with  $\overline{V'}$  contained in a smooth tubular neighborhood of  $b\Omega$ ;

- (2)  $\pi^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{U}}_i)$  is trivial for every  $j \in J$ , and if  $\mathcal{U}_i \cap b\Omega \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\mathcal{U}_j \subseteq V$ ;
- (3) The bundle E remains positive over V' relative to the metric h;
- (4) On V', the Hermitian metric  $ds^2$  agrees with the Kähler metric  $d\sigma^2$ .

In the context of Lemma 1, we have the following key estimate (compare with Appendix II in [51–53]).

**Proposition 3.1.** There exist a constant C > 0 independent of m, and an integer  $\tau_0 > 0$ , such that for all integers  $\tau \ge \tau_0$ , and for  $p \ge 0$ ,  $q \ge 1$ , we have

$$\|\overline{\nabla}\phi\|_{\tau,\Omega\setminus K}^{2} + (\tau - \tau_{0})\|\phi\|_{\tau,\Omega\setminus K}^{2} \le C\left(\|\overline{\partial}\phi\|_{\tau,\Omega}^{2} + \|\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*}\phi\|_{\tau,\Omega}^{2} + \|\phi\|_{\tau,K}^{2}\right),\tag{3.1}$$

where  $K = \Omega \setminus (\Omega \cap V)$  and  $\overline{\nabla}$  denotes the (0, 1)-type covariant derivative associated with  $ds^2$ .

*Proof.* Adopting the setting from Lemma 1, let  $\chi \in C^{\infty}(X)$  satisfy  $\operatorname{supp}(\chi) \Subset V'$  and  $\chi = 1$  on  $\overline{V}$ . Applying the basic  $L^2$ -estimate (equation (2.2)) to  $\chi \phi$  and noting that the third term on the right-hand side is non-negative due to the weak Z(q) condition for  $q \ge 1$ , yields

$$\|\overline{\nabla}(\chi\phi)\|_{\tau}^{2} + \int_{\tau} h^{\tau} \sum_{\beta,\gamma=1}^{n} s \left( \delta_{\tau}^{\sigma} [\tau \Theta_{\overline{\phi}}^{\overline{\beta}} + R_{\overline{\phi}}^{\overline{\beta}}] - r R_{\tau\overline{\phi}}^{\sigma\overline{\beta}} \right) \times (\chi\phi)_{j,C_{p}\overline{B}_{s-1}}^{\beta} \overline{(\chi\phi)_{j}^{\overline{C}_{p}\gamma B_{s-1}}} \, dV \le \|\overline{\partial}(\chi\phi)\|_{\tau}^{2} + \|\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*}(\chi\phi)\|_{\tau}^{2}$$

$$(3.2)$$

Since the first integral is non-negative over V', we derive

$$\|\overline{\nabla}\phi\|_{\tau,\Omega\setminus K}^{2} \leq \|\overline{\nabla}(\chi\phi)\|_{\tau}^{2}.$$
(3.3)

Recalling that in *V*', the Hermitian metric matrix  $g_{j\phi\overline{\beta}}$  coincides with the curvature matrix  $\Theta_{\phi\overline{\beta}}$ , it follows that

$$\Theta_{\overline{\phi}}^{\overline{\beta}} = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n} g_{j}^{\overline{\beta}\gamma} \Theta_{\gamma\overline{\phi}} = \delta_{\phi}^{\beta}$$

Moreover, on supp( $\chi$ ), there exists a constant C > 0, independent of *m*, ensuring that the Hermitian form

$$\sum_{\beta,\gamma=1}^{n} s\left(\delta_{\tau}^{\sigma} R_{\overline{\phi}}^{\overline{\beta}} - r R_{\tau\overline{\phi}}^{\sigma\overline{\beta}}\right) (\chi\phi)_{j,\sigma C_{r-1}\overline{\beta}\overline{D}_{s-1}} \overline{(\chi\phi)_{j}^{\overline{\tau}\overline{C}_{r-1}\phi D_{s-1}}}$$

is bounded below by

$$-C\sum (\chi\phi)_{j,C_r\overline{D}_s}\overline{(\chi\phi)_j^{\overline{C}_rD_s}}.$$

Setting  $\tau_0 = [C] + 1$ , it follows for all  $\tau \ge \tau_0$  that

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau - \tau_0) \|\phi\|_{\tau,\Omega\setminus K}^2 &\leq (\tau - \tau_0) \|\chi\phi\|_{\tau}^2 \leq \int_{\tau} h^{\tau} \sum_{\beta,\gamma=1}^n s\left(\delta_{\tau}^{\sigma} [\tau\Theta_{\overline{\phi}}^{\overline{\beta}} + R_{\overline{\phi}}^{\overline{\beta}}] - rR_{\tau\overline{\phi}}^{\sigma\overline{\beta}}\right) \\ &\times (\chi\phi)_{jC_{\tau}\overline{B}_{s-1}}^{\beta} \overline{(\chi\phi)_{j}^{\overline{C}_{\tau}\gamma B_{s-1}}} \, dV. \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.4)$$

Furthermore, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\overline{\partial}(\chi\phi)\|_{\tau}^{2} + \|\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*}(\chi\phi)\|_{\tau}^{2} &\leq 2\left(\|\overline{\partial}\chi \wedge \phi\|_{\tau}^{2} + \|\overline{\partial}\chi \wedge \star\phi\|_{\tau}^{2} + \|\chi\overline{\partial}\phi\|_{\tau}^{2} + \|\chi\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*}\phi\|_{\tau}^{2}\right) \\ &\leq C\left(\|\overline{\partial}\phi\|_{\tau}^{2} + \|\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*}\phi\|_{\tau}^{2} + \|\phi\|_{\tau,\Omega\setminus K}^{2}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where  $C \ge 4 \max\{l, c_0 \sup | \operatorname{grad} \chi|_{ds^2}(x)\}$  and  $c_0$  depends only on dim *X*. Substituting (3.3), (3.4), and (3.5) into (3.2), we complete the proof.

**Proposition 3.2.** There exists a positive constant  $\tau^*$  such that for every  $\tau \ge \tau^*$ , the space of harmonic forms  $\mathcal{H}_{p,q}^{\tau}(\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  is finite-dimensional, and there exists a constant  $C_{\tau} > 0$ , depending on  $\tau$ , satisfying

$$\|\phi\|_{\tau}^{2} \leq C_{\tau} \left( \|\overline{\partial}\phi\|_{\tau}^{2} + \|\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*}\phi\|_{\tau}^{2} \right), \tag{3.6}$$

for all  $\phi \in \text{Dom}(\overline{\partial}, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}) \cap \text{Dom}(\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*}, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  whenever  $q \ge 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\tau_0$ , *C*, and *K* be as specified in Proposition 3. Define  $\tau^* = \tau_0 + 1$ . Following a similar argument as in Proposition 3, let  $\chi$  be a smooth, real-valued function compactly supported in *X*, with  $\chi = 1$  on *K*. For  $\tau \ge \tau^*$  and  $\phi \in \mathscr{B}_{p,q}(\overline{\Omega}, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$ , applying (3.1) yields

$$\|\phi\|_{\tau}^{2} \leq C_{\tau} \left( \|\overline{\partial}\phi\|_{\tau}^{2} + \|\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*}\phi\|_{\tau}^{2} + \|\chi\phi\|_{\tau}^{2} \right).$$

where  $C_{\tau}$  depends only on *m*.

Now, consider a sequence  $\{\phi_{\nu}\}$  where each  $\phi_{\nu} \in \text{Dom }\overline{\partial} \cap \text{Dom }\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*}$ , satisfying  $\|\phi_{\nu}\|_{\tau}^{2} \leq 1$  and

$$\lim_{\nu\to\infty}\|\overline{\partial}\phi_\nu\|_\tau^2=0,\quad \lim_{\nu\to\infty}\|\overline{\partial}_\tau^*\phi_\nu\|_\tau^2=0.$$

According to Lemma 2, there exists a subsequence  $\{\phi_{\nu_k}\}$  that converges strongly on  $\Omega$ .

Since the metric  $ds^2$  is complete and the space  $\mathscr{D}_{p,q}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  is dense in  $\text{Dom}\,\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^*$  with respect to the norm

$$\|\phi\|_{\tau}^2 + \|\overline{\partial}\phi\|_{\tau}^2 + \|\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^*\phi\|_{\tau}^2$$

(see [17], Theorem 1.1), we can assume that  $\chi \phi_{\nu} \in \mathscr{D}_{p,q}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$ . Thus,

$$\|\overline{\partial}(\chi\phi_{\nu})\|_{\tau}^{2} + \|\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*}(\chi\phi_{\nu})\|_{\tau}^{2} + \|\chi\phi_{\nu}\|_{\tau}^{2} = \langle \Box^{\tau}(\chi\phi_{\nu}), \chi\phi_{\nu}\rangle_{\tau} + \langle\chi\phi_{\nu}, \chi\phi_{\nu}\rangle_{\tau}$$

is bounded, due to the properties of  $\{\phi_{\nu}\}$ .

Since the elliptic operator  $\Box^{\tau}$  is coercive on  $\mathscr{D}_{p,q}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  ([4], Theorem (2.2.1)), and using Rellich's compactness lemma ([4], Appendix A.1.6), we deduce that there is a subsequence  $\{\phi_{\nu_k}\}$  converging strongly on compact subsets of  $\Omega$ . From estimate (3.1), we conclude that  $\{\phi_{\nu_k}\}$  converges strongly throughout  $\Omega$ . Therefore, by Hörmander's Theorem 1.1.2 and 1.1.3 ([12]), there exists a constant  $C_{\tau} > 0$  such that

$$\|\phi\|_{\tau}^{2} \leq C_{\tau} \left( \|\overline{\partial}\phi\|_{\tau}^{2} + \|\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*}\phi\|_{\tau}^{2} \right), \tag{3.7}$$

for all  $\phi \in \operatorname{Dom}(\overline{\partial}, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}) \cap \operatorname{Dom}(\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*}, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  orthogonal to  $\mathcal{H}_{p,q}^{\tau}(\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$ .

Furthermore, any  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_{p,q}^{\tau}(\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  satisfies  $\Box^{\tau} \phi = 0$ , meaning  $\phi$  is a harmonic form with values in  $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}$ . Since  $\phi$  vanishes outside *K* by (3.1), and as no connected component of  $\Omega$  is contained in *K*, the unique continuation property ensures that  $\phi$  must vanish identically. Thus,

$$\mathcal{H}_{p,q}^{\tau}(\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}) = \{0\}.$$

Combining this with (3.7), the proposition is proved.

**Remark 3.1.** Suppose there exists a strongly plurisubharmonic function  $\phi$  defined on a neighborhood V of  $b\Omega$ . Then any line bundle E becomes positive over a relatively compact neighborhood of  $b\Omega$ . To see this, let h be a Hermitian metric on E over X and extend  $\phi$  smoothly to X, ensuring it agrees with the original near  $b\Omega$ . Then, for some integer  $\tau^* > 0$ , the modified metric  $h_{\tau} = h\mathcal{F}^{-\tau\Phi}$  endows E with positivity over a relatively compact subset V'  $\Subset$  V for all  $\tau \ge \tau^*$ .

**Remark 3.2.** It should be noted that there exist pseudoconvex domains with smooth boundary  $b\Omega$  where no strongly plurisubharmonic function exists near  $b\Omega$ , yet there still exists a line bundle that is positive in a neighborhood of  $b\Omega$  (cf. [50]).

**Theorem 3.1.** Let X be an n-dimensional complex manifold , and let  $\Omega \in X$  be a weak Z(q)-domain with a smooth boundary. Assume E is a holomorphic line bundle over X, and denote by  $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}$  the m-fold tensor product of E for each positive integer m. Suppose that a strongly plurisubharmonic function exists in a neighborhood of  $\partial \Omega$ . Then, there exists a positive integer  $\tau_0$  such that for all  $\tau \geq \tau_0$ ,  $p \geq 0$ , and  $q \geq 1$ , one can construct a bounded linear operator  $N^{\tau} : L^2_{p,q}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}) \to L^2_{p,q}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  satisfying:

- (i) Range( $\mathcal{N}^{\tau}$ )  $\subset$  Dom( $\Box^{\tau}$ ) and  $\mathcal{N}^{\tau}\Box^{\tau} = I \Pi^{\tau}$  on Dom( $\Box^{\tau}$ );
- (ii) for any  $\phi \in L^2_{p,q}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$ , the following Hodge-type decomposition holds:

$$\phi = \overline{\partial} \, \overline{\partial}_{\tau}^* \mathcal{N}^{\tau} \phi \oplus \overline{\partial}_{\tau}^* \, \overline{\partial} \mathcal{N}^{\tau} \phi \oplus \Pi^{\tau} \phi;$$

- (iii)  $\mathcal{N}^{\tau}\overline{\partial} = \overline{\partial}\mathcal{N}^{\tau}$  on  $\operatorname{Dom}(\overline{\partial})$ ;
- (iv)  $\mathcal{N}^{\tau}\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*} = \overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*}\mathcal{N}^{\tau}$  on  $\operatorname{Dom}(\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*})$ ;
- (v) the operators  $N^{\tau}$ ,  $\overline{\partial}N^{\tau}$ , and  $\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*}N^{\tau}$  are bounded on  $L^{2}_{p,q}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$ .

*Proof.* From estimate (3.6), it follows that

$$\|\phi\|_{\tau} \le C_{\tau} \|\Box^{\tau}\phi\|_{\tau},\tag{3.8}$$

for all  $\phi \in \text{Dom}(\overline{\partial}) \cap \text{Dom}(\overline{\partial}^*_{\tau})$  with  $q \ge 1$ . Since  $\Box^{\tau}$  is densely defined, closed, and linear, it follows by [12] that Range( $\Box^{\tau}$ ) is closed.

Moreover, because  $\Box^{\tau}$  is self-adjoint, the standard Hodge decomposition yields:

$$L^2_{p,q}(\Omega,\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}) = \overline{\partial} \, \overline{\partial}_\tau^*(\mathrm{Dom}(\Box^\tau)) \oplus \overline{\partial}_\tau^* \, \overline{\partial}(\mathrm{Dom}(\Box^\tau)).$$

Since

$$\Box^{\tau}: \mathrm{Dom}(\Box^{\tau}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Range}(\Box^{\tau})$$

is bijective, there exists a bounded inverse

$$\mathcal{N}^{\tau}: L^{2}_{p,q}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Dom}(\Box^{\tau})$$

such that  $\mathcal{N}^{\tau} \Box^{\tau} \phi = \phi$  for all  $\phi \in \text{Dom}(\Box^{\tau})$ . Furthermore, by definition, we obtain  $\Box^{\tau} \mathcal{N}^{\tau} = I$  on  $L^2_{p,q}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$ . Hence properties (i) and (ii) are verified.

To verify (iv), take  $\phi \in \text{Dom}(\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^*)$ . Using (ii), we express:

$$\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*}\phi = \overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*}\overline{\partial}\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*}\mathcal{N}^{\tau}\phi$$

thus,

$$\mathcal{N}^{ au}\overline{\partial}_{ au}^{*}\phi=\mathcal{N}^{ au}\overline{\partial}_{ au}^{*}\overline{\partial\partial}_{ au}^{*}\mathcal{N}^{ au}\phi$$

Since  $\Box^{\tau} = \overline{\partial}_{\tau}^* \overline{\partial} + \overline{\partial} \overline{\partial}_{\tau}^*$ , we rewrite:

$$\mathcal{N}^{\tau}\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*}\phi=\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*}\mathcal{N}^{\tau}\phi.$$

The same method shows that  $\mathcal{N}^{\tau}\overline{\partial} = \overline{\partial}\mathcal{N}^{\tau}$  on  $\text{Dom}(\overline{\partial})$ .

Now, given that  $\overline{\partial}\phi = 0$ , applying (iii) leads to

$$\overline{\partial}\mathcal{N}^{\tau}\phi=\mathcal{N}^{\tau}\overline{\partial}\phi=0.$$

Applying (ii) yields

$$\phi = \overline{\partial} \, \overline{\partial}_{\tau}^* \mathcal{N}^{\tau} \phi$$

which implies that  $u = \overline{\partial}_{\tau}^* \mathcal{N}^{\tau} \phi$  solves  $\overline{\partial} u = \phi$ .

Finally, since  $\operatorname{Range}(\mathcal{N}^{\tau}) \subset \operatorname{Dom}(\Box^{\tau})$ , applying (3.6) to  $\mathcal{N}^{\tau}\phi$  gives:

$$\|\mathcal{N}^{\tau}\phi\|_{\tau} \leq C_{\tau}\|\phi\|_{\tau},$$
$$|\overline{\partial}\mathcal{N}^{\tau}\phi\|_{\tau} + \|\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*}\mathcal{N}^{\tau}\phi\|_{\tau} \leq 2\sqrt{C_{\tau}}\|\phi\|_{\tau}.$$

Thus, all stated properties are proved.

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Let  $\phi \in L^2_{p,q}(X, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  be a form such that  $\operatorname{supp}(\phi) \subset \overline{\Omega}$ , with  $q \ge 1$ , and  $\overline{\partial}\phi = 0$  in the sense of distributions on X. Then, there exists a form  $u \in L^2_{p,q-1}(X, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$ , supported in  $\overline{\Omega}$ , such that

$$\overline{\partial} u = \phi$$

in the sense of distributions on X.

*Proof.* Let  $\phi \in L^2_{p,q}(X, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  with  $\operatorname{supp}(\phi) \subset \overline{\Omega}$ . Clearly,  $\phi$  can be viewed as an element of  $L^2_{p,q}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$ . By Theorem 2, the solution operator  $\mathcal{N}^{\tau}_{n-p,n-q}$  is well-defined for  $n-q \geq 1$ . Define the form u on  $\Omega$  by

$$u = - \star \#_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}} \overline{\partial} \mathcal{N}_{n-p,n-q}^{\tau} \#_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}} \star \phi.$$
(3.9)

Extend *u* to *X* by setting u = 0 on  $X \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ . Our goal is to prove that *u* satisfies  $\overline{\partial}u = \phi$  distributionally on *X*.

| г | - |  |
|---|---|--|
| L |   |  |
| L |   |  |

First, we establish that  $\overline{\partial} u = \phi$  on  $\Omega$  in the distribution sense. Let  $\eta$  belong to  $\text{Dom}(\overline{\partial}, \mathcal{F}^{*\otimes \tau})$ . Then

$$\langle \overline{\partial}\eta, \#_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}} \star \phi \rangle_{\tau,\Omega} = (-1)^{p+q} \langle \phi, \#_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}} \star \overline{\partial}\eta \rangle_{\tau,\Omega}.$$

Due to the density of  $\mathscr{B}_{p,q}(\overline{\Omega}, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  in  $\text{Dom}(\overline{\partial}, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}) \cap \text{Dom}(\overline{\partial}^*, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  (see Proposition 1) and because  $\vartheta^{\tau}$  coincides with  $\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^*$  on  $\mathscr{B}_{p,q}(\overline{\Omega}, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  when acting distributionally, we infer

$$\langle \overline{\partial} \eta, \#_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}} \star \phi \rangle_{\tau,\Omega} = \langle \phi, \overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{\star} \#_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}} \star \eta \rangle_{\tau,\Omega}$$

Given that  $supp(\phi) \subset \overline{\Omega}$  and using the distributional assumption  $\overline{\partial}\phi = 0$ , it follows that

$$\langle \overline{\partial} \eta, \#_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}} \star \phi \rangle_{\tau,\Omega} = \langle \overline{\partial} \phi, \#_{\mathcal{F}^{*\otimes \tau}} \star \eta \rangle_{\tau,X} = 0,$$

implying

$$\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*}(\#_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}} \star \phi) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Omega$$

in the distributional sense. Applying Theorem 2(iv), we obtain

$$\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*} \mathcal{N}_{n-p,n-q}^{\tau}(\#_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}} \star \phi) = \mathcal{N}_{n-r,n-s-1}^{\tau} \overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*}(\#_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}} \star \phi) = 0.$$
(3.10)

Now, compute  $\overline{\partial}u$  on  $\Omega$  using (3.9), (3.10), and standard properties of  $\star$  and #:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\partial}u &= -\overline{\partial} \star \#_{\mathcal{F}^{*\otimes\tau}} \overline{\partial} \mathcal{N}_{n-p,n-q}^{\tau} \#_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes\tau}} \star \phi \\ &= (-1)^{p+q} \star \#_{\mathcal{F}^{*\otimes\tau}} \overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*} \overline{\partial} \mathcal{N}_{n-p,n-q}^{\tau} \#_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes\tau}} \star \phi \\ &= (-1)^{p+q} \star \#_{\mathcal{F}^{*\otimes\tau}} (\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*} \overline{\partial} + \overline{\partial} \overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*}) \mathcal{N}_{n-p,n-q}^{\tau} \#_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes\tau}} \star \phi \\ &= (-1)^{p+q} \star \#_{\mathcal{F}^{*\otimes\tau}} \#_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes\tau}} \star \phi \\ &= \phi. \end{aligned}$$

Since *u* vanishes outside  $\overline{\Omega}$ , we verify the distributional identity on X as follows. Let  $\eta \in \text{Dom}(\overline{\partial}^*_{\tau}, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$ . Then:

$$\langle u, \overline{\partial}_{\tau}^* \eta \rangle_{\tau, X} = \langle u, \overline{\partial}_{\tau}^* \eta \rangle_{\tau, \Omega}$$
  
=  $\langle \#_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}} \star \overline{\partial}_{\tau}^* \eta, \#_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}} \star u \rangle_{\tau, \Omega}.$ 

Since

$$#_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}} \star u = (-1)^{r+s+1} \overline{\partial} \mathcal{N}_{n-p,n-q}^{\tau} #_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}} \star \phi \in \mathrm{Dom}(\overline{\partial}_{\tau}^{*}, \mathcal{F}^{*\otimes \tau}),$$

we can apply integration by parts to get

$$\begin{split} \langle u, \overline{\partial}_{\tau} \eta \rangle_{\tau, X} &= (-1)^{p+q} \langle \overline{\partial} \#_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}} \star \eta, \#_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}} \star u \rangle_{\tau, \Omega} \\ &= \langle \#_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}} \star \eta, \#_{\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}} \star \overline{\partial} u \rangle_{\tau, \Omega} \\ &= \langle \overline{\partial} u, \eta \rangle_{\tau, \Omega}. \end{split}$$

Using the previous calculation that  $\overline{\partial} u = \phi$ , we find

$$\langle u, \overline{\partial}_{\tau}^* \eta \rangle_{\tau, X} = \langle \phi, \eta \rangle_{\tau, \Omega} = \langle \phi, \eta \rangle_{\tau, X}.$$

Hence,  $\overline{\partial} u = \phi$  in the distribution sense on *X*, completing the proof.

### 4. On the Solvability of the $\overline{\partial}_b$ -Equation

In this section, we present several results related to the existence of solutions for the  $\partial_b$ -problem.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let X be a Kähler manifold of complex dimension  $n \ge 2$ , and let  $\Omega \in X$  denote a relatively compact domain with smooth boundary, assumed to satisfy the weak Z(q) condition. Let E be a holomorphic line bundle over X, and denote by  $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}$  its m-fold tensor product for some positive integer m. Suppose that a strongly plurisubharmonic function exists in an open neighborhood of b $\Omega$ . Then for any  $f \in C^{\infty}_{p,q}(b\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  with  $1 \le q \le n-2$  and  $\overline{\partial}_b f = 0$ , there exists an extension  $F \in C^{\infty}_{p,q}(\overline{\Omega}, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  satisfying  $F|_{b\Omega} = f$  and  $\overline{\partial}F = 0$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows the arguments of Theorem 4.1 in Saber [48].

**Theorem 4.2.** Assume the same setup as in the previous theorem. Given  $f \in C_{p,q}^{\infty}(b\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  for  $1 \le q \le n-2$  with  $\overline{\partial}_b f = 0$ , there exists a function  $u \in C_{p,q-1}^{\infty}(b\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  such that  $\overline{\partial}_b u = f$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in C_{p,q}^{\infty}(b\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  satisfy  $\overline{\partial}_b f = 0$ . From Theorem 5.1, there is an extension  $F \in C_{p,q}^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega}, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  with  $F|_{b\Omega} = f$  and  $\overline{\partial}F = 0$ . Using Theorem 3, we find  $U \in C_{p,q-1}^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega}, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  such that  $\overline{\partial}U = F$  in  $\Omega$ . Setting  $u = U|_{b\Omega}$  yields  $\overline{\partial}_b u = f$ .

**Corollary 4.1.** Let X be a Kähler manifold of complex dimension  $n \ge 2$ , and let  $\Omega \in X$  be a smoothly bounded domain that is weakly q-concave. Let E be a holomorphic line bundle on X and  $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}$  its m-fold tensor product. Assume a strongly plurisubharmonic function exists in a neighborhood of  $b\Omega$ . If  $H^{p,q}(X, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau}) = 0$ , then for each  $f \in C^{\infty}_{p,q}(\overline{\Omega}, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  with  $\overline{\partial} f = 0$  and  $1 \le q \le n-2$ , there exists  $u \in C^{\infty}_{p,q-1}(\overline{\Omega}, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  such that  $\overline{\partial} u = f$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows the methodology of Corollary 4.3 in Saber [48].

Finally, we summarize a necessary and sufficient condition for the solvability of the  $\bar{\partial}$ -problem with boundary data in a fractional Sobolev space.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let X,  $\Omega$ , and E be as in Theorem 5.1. Suppose  $f \in W_{p,q}^{1/2}(b\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  with  $0 \le p \le n$  and  $1 \le q \le n-2$ , satisfying  $\overline{\partial}_b f = 0$ . Then there exists a function  $F \in L^2_{p,q-1}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \tau})$  such that  $F|_{b\Omega} = f$  and  $\overline{\partial}F = 0$  in  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* This result is obtained following the proof of Theorem 4.4 in Saber [48].

#### 5. Extension of Forms from the Boundary

Let *X* be a connected complex manifold of complex dimension  $n \ge 2$ , and let  $\Omega \Subset X$  be an open subset with a  $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}$ -smooth boundary. Suppose *E* is a holomorphic vector bundle over *X*. In this section, we establish several extension results.

**Lemma 5.1.** Given any  $\phi \in C^{\infty}_{p,q}(b\Omega, E)$  satisfying  $\overline{\partial}_b \phi = 0$ , there exists an extension  $\phi \in C^{\infty}_{p,q}(\overline{\Omega}, E)$  such that  $\phi|_{b\Omega} = \phi$  and  $\overline{\partial \phi}$  vanishes to infinite order along  $b\Omega$ .

*Proof.* The argument follows similarly to that presented in Ohsawa [14, 15].

Using foundational results from the theory of Kodaira, Andreotti, and Vesentini (see Kodaira [17] and Andreotti-Vesentini [22]), we derive the following sufficient condition for smooth extension up to a given order.

**Lemma 5.2.** Let X be a connected Kähler manifold of dimension n, and let  $\Omega \in X$  be a relatively compact domain with  $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}$ -smooth boundary satisfying the weak Z(q) condition. Suppose E is a holomorphic vector bundle over X. Assume that  $\Omega$  admits a  $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}$  defining function  $\rho$  such that

 $\partial \overline{\partial} \Big( -\log(-\rho) \Big) \geq c \Big( \partial (-\log(-\rho)) \otimes \overline{\partial} (-\log(-\rho)) + \omega \Big)$ 

for some positive constant *c* on  $\Omega$ . Then, for any  $\psi \in C_{p,q}^{\infty}(b\Omega, E)$  with  $\overline{\partial}_b \psi = 0$  and q < n - 1, and for any nonnegative integer *k*, there exists a  $\overline{\partial}$ -closed *E*-valued (p,q)-form  $\Psi_k$  of class  $C^k$  on  $\overline{\Omega}$  satisfying  $\Psi_k|_{b\Omega} = \psi$ .

Proof. The proof strategy parallels that of Ohsawa [14, 15].

**Funding.** The research work was funded by Umm Al-Qura University, Saudi Arabia under grant number: 25UQU4220004GSSR04.

**Acknowledgment.** The authors extend their appreciation to Umm Al-Qura University, Saudi Arabia for funding this research work through grant number: 25UQU4220004GSSR04.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

#### References

- M. Derridj, Regularité pour ∂ dans Quelques Domaines Faiblement Pseudo-Convexes, J. Differ. Geom. 13 (1978), 559–576. https://doi.org/10.4310/jdg/1214434708.
- [2] M. Shaw, Local Existence Theorems with Estimates for  $\bar{\partial}_b$  on Weakly Pseudo-convex CR Manifolds, Math. Ann. 294 (1992), 677–700. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01934348.
- [3] J. Cao, M.C. Shaw, L. Wang, Estimates for the ∂̄-Neumann Problem and Nonexistence of C<sup>2</sup> Levi-Flat Hypersurfaces in ℙ<sup>n</sup>, Math. Z. 248 (2004), 183–221. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-004-0661-0.
- [4] S. Sambou, Résolution du ∂ pour les Courants Prolongeables Définis dans un Anneau, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. 11 (2002), 105–129. https://doi.org/10.5802/afst.1020.
- [5] O. Abdelkader, S. Saber, The ∂-Neumann Operator on Strongly Pseudoconvex Domain with Piecewise Smooth Boundary, Math. Slovaca 55 (2005), 317–328. https://eudml.org/doc/32121.
- [6] O. Abdelkader, S. Saber, Vanishing Theorems on Strongly *q*-Convex Manifolds, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. 02 (2005), 467–483. https://doi.org/10.1142/s0219887805000569.
- [7] O. Abdelkader, S. Saber, Solution to ∂-Equations with Exact Support on Pseudo-Convex Manifolds, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. 04 (2007), 339–348. https://doi.org/10.1142/s0219887807002090.
- [8] S. Saber, Solution to ∂ Problem with Exact Support and Regularity for the ∂-Neumann Operator on Weakly *q*-Convex Domains, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. 07 (2010), 135–142. https://doi.org/10.1142/s0219887810003963.
- [9] S. Saber, The ∂ -Neumann Operator on Lipschitz *q*-Pseudoconvex Domains, Czechoslov. Math. J. 61 (2011), 721–731. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10587-011-0021-2.

- [10] S. Saber, The L<sup>2</sup> ∂̄-Cauchy Problem on Weakly *q*-pseudoconvex Domains in Stein Manifolds, Czechoslov. Math. J. 65 (2015), 739–745. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10587-015-0205-2.
- [11] S. Saber, The L<sup>2</sup> ∂̄-Cauchy Problem on Pseudoconvex Domains and Applications, Asian-Eur. J. Math. 11 (2018), 1850025. https://doi.org/10.1142/s1793557118500250.
- [12] L. Hörmander,  $L^2$  Estimates and Existence Theorems for the  $\bar{\partial}$  Operator, Acta Math. 113 (1965), 89–152. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02391775.
- [13] G.B. Folland, J.J. Kohn, The Neumann Problem for the Cauchy-Riemann Complex, Princeton University Press, 1972.
- [14] T. Ohsawa, On the Extension L<sup>2</sup> Holomorphic Functions III: Negligible Weights, Math. Z. 219 (1995), 215–225. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02572360.
- [15] T. Ohsawa, Pseudoconvex Domains in P<sup>n</sup>: A Question on the 1-Convex Boundary Points, in: G. Komatsu, M. Kuranishi (Eds.), Analysis and Geometry in Several Complex Variables, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1999: pp. 239–252. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-2166-1\_11.
- [16] K. Kodaira, On Kähler Varieties of Restricted Type (An Intrinsic Characterization of Algebraic Varieties), Ann. Math. 60 (1954), 28–48.
- [17] K. Kodaira, Complex Manifolds and Deformation of Complex Structures, Springer, 1986.
- [18] E. Vesentini, Lectures on Levi Convexity of Complex Manifolds and Cohomology Vanishing Theorems, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1967.
- [19] P.A. Griffiths, The Extension Problem in Complex Analysis II; Embeddings with Positive Normal Bundle, Amer. J. Math. 88 (1966), 366–446. https://doi.org/10.2307/2373200.
- [20] H. Grauert, I. Lieb, Das Ramirezsche Integral und die Lösung der Gleichung  $\overline{\partial} f = \alpha$  im Bereich der Beschränkten Formen, Rice Inst. Pamph. Rice Univ. Stud. 56 (1970), 29–50. https://hdl.handle.net/1911/63010.
- [21] X. Yang, RC-Positivity, Rational Connectedness and Yau's Conjecture, Cambridge J. Math. 6 (2018), 183–212. https://doi.org/10.4310/CJM.2018.v6.n2.a2.
- [22] A. Andreotti, E. Vesentini, Carleman Estimates for the Laplace-beltrami Equation on Complex Manifolds, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 25 (1965), 81–130. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02684398.
- [23] L. Ho, The  $\overline{\partial}$ -Problem on Weak Z(q) Domains, Math. Ann. 290 (1991), 3–18.
- [24] S. Saber, Solvability of the Tangential Cauchy-Riemann Equations on Boundaries of Strictly *q*-Convex Domains, Lobachevskii J. Math. 32 (2011), 189–193. https://doi.org/10.1134/S1995080211030115.
- [25] S. Saber, Global Boundary Regularity for the ∂-Problem on Strictly Q-convex and Q-concave Domains, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 6 (2010), 1157–1165. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11785-010-0114-1.
- [26] S. Saber, Solution to ∂ Problem for Smooth Forms and Currents on Strictly *q*-Convex Domains, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. 9 (2012), 1220002. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219887812200022.
- [27] S. Saber, The ∂-Problem on q-Pseudoconvex Domains with Applications, Math. Slovaca 63 (2013), 521–530. https: //doi.org/10.2478/s12175-013-0115-4.
- [28] S. Saber, The L<sup>2</sup> ∂̄-Cauchy Problem on Weakly *q*-Pseudoconvex Domains in Stein Manifolds, Czech. Math. J. 65 (2015), 739–745. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10587-015-0205-2.
- [29] S. Saber, Global Regularity for ∂ on an Annulus between Two Weakly Convex Domains, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. 11 (2018), 309–314. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40574-017-0135-z.
- [30] S. Saber, The ∂-Problem With Support Conditions and Pseudoconvexity of General Order in Kähler Manifolds, J. Korean Math. Soc. 53 (2016), 1211–1223. https://doi.org/10.4134/JKMS.J140768.
- [31] S. Saber, Global Solution for the ∂-Problem on Non Pseudoconvex Domains in Stein Manifolds, J. Korean Math. Soc. 54 (2017), 1787–1799. https://doi.org/10.4134/JKMS.J160668.
- [32] S. Saber, Sobolev Regularity of the Bergman Projection on Certain Pseudoconvex Domains, Trans. A. Razmadze Math. Inst. 171 (2017), 90–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trmi.2016.10.004.

- [33] S. Saber, The L<sup>2</sup> ∂̄-Cauchy Problem on Pseudoconvex Domains and Applications, Asian-Eur. J. Math. 11 (2018), 1850025. https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793557118500250.
- [34] S. Saber, Global Regularity for ∂ on an Annulus between Two Weakly Convex Domains, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. 11 (2018), 309–314. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40574-017-0135-z.
- [35] S. Saber, Solution to ∂-Problem with Support Conditions in Weakly *q*-Convex Domains, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 33 (2018), 409–421. https://doi.org/10.4134/CKMS.C170022.
- [36] S. Saber, Compactness of the Canonical Solution Operator on Lipschitz *q*-Pseudoconvex Boundaries, Electron. J. Differ. Equ. 2019 (2019), 48.
- [37] S. Saber, Compactness of the Complex Green Operator in a Stein Manifold, U.P.B. Sci. Bull. Ser. A 81 (2019), 185–200.
- [38] S. Saber, Compactness of the Weighted dbar-Neumann Operator and Commutators of the Bergman Projection with Continuous Functions, J. Geom. Phys. 138 (2019), 194–205. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomphys.2018.12.022.
- [39] S. Saber, Compactness of the Commutators of Toeplitz Operators on *q*-Pseudoconvex Domains, Electron. J. Differ. Equ. 2018 (2018), 111.
- [40] S. Saber, Global Solvability and Regularity for ∂ on an Annulus between Two Weakly Convex Domains Which Satisfy Property (P), Asian-Eur. J. Math. 12 (2019), 1950041. https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793557119500414.
- [41] S. Saber,  $L^2$  Estimates and Existence Theorems for  $\overline{\partial}_b$  on Lipschitz Boundaries of Q-Pseudoconvex Domains, Comptes Rendus. Mathématique 358 (2020), 435–458. https://doi.org/10.5802/crmath.43.
- [42] S. Saber, The ∂-Cauchy Problem on Weakly *q*-Convex Domains in CP<sup>n</sup>, Kragujevac J. Math. 44 (2020), 581–591. https://doi.org/10.46793/KgJMat2004.581S.
- [43] S. Saber, A. Alahmari, Global Regularity of ∂ on Certain Pseudoconvexity, Trans. A. Razmadze Math. Inst. 175 (2021), 417–427.
- [44] S. Saber, On the Applications of Bochner-Kodaira-Morrey-Kohn Identity, Kragujevac J. Math. 45 (2021), 881–896. https://doi.org/10.46793/KgJMat2106.881S.
- [45] H.D.S. Adam, K.I.A. Ahmed, S. Saber, M. Marin, Sobolev Estimates for the ∂ and the ∂-Neumann Operator on Pseudoconvex Manifolds, Mathematics 11 (2023), 4138. https://doi.org/10.3390/math11194138.
- [46] H.D.S. Adam, K.I. Adam, S. Saber, G. Farid, Existence Theorems for the dbar Equation and Sobolev Estimates on q-Convex Domains, AIMS Math. 8 (2023), 31141–31157. https://doi.org/10.3934/math.20231594.
- [47] S. Saber, A. Alahmari, Compactness Estimate for the ∂-Neumann Problem on a q-Pseudoconvex Domain in a Stein Manifold, Kragujevac J. Math. 47 (2023), 627–636.
- [48] S. Saber, M. Youssif, Y. Arko, et al. Subellipticity, Compactness,  $H^{\epsilon}$  Estimates and Regularity for  $\bar{\partial}$  on Weakly *q*-Pseudoconvex/Concave Domains, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova (2024). https://doi.org/10.4171/rsmup/160.
- [49] S. Saber, A.A. Alahmari, Generalization of Kodaira's Embedding Theorem for Compact Kähler Manifolds with Semi-positive Chern Class, Int. J. Anal. Appl. 23 (2025), 72. https://doi.org/10.28924/2291-8639-23-2025-72.
- [50] G.M. Henkin, A. Iordan, Regularity of ∂ on Pseudoconcave Compacts and Applications, Asian J. Math. 4 (2000), 855–884. https://doi.org/10.4310/ajm.2000.v4.n4.a9.
- [51] K. Takegoshi, On Weakly 1-complete Surfaces Without Non-constant Holomorphic Functions, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 18 (1982), 1175–1183. https://doi.org/10.2977/prims/1195183302.
- [52] K. Takegoshi, Representation Theorems of Cohomology on Weakly 1-complete Manifolds, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 18 (1982), 131–186. https://doi.org/10.2977/prims/1195183572.
- [53] K. Takegoshi, Global Regularity and Spectra of Laplace-Beltrami Operators on Pseudoconvex Domains, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 19 (1983), 275–304.