

New Fuzzy Algebraic Structure Consists of Homomorphism via Multi-Fuzzy Set Applied to Cubic Vague Subbisemirings Over Bisemirings

D. Mahendar¹, M. Palanikumar², Aiyared Iampan^{3,*}, M. S. Malchijah Raj⁴

¹Department of Mathematics, B V Raju Institute of Technology, Narsapur, Medak-502313, Telangana, India

²Department of Mathematics, SRM Valliammai Engineering College, Kattankulathur 603203, Tamil Nadu, India

³Department of Mathematics, School of Science, University of Phayao, Mae Ka, Mueang, Phayao 56000, Thailand

⁴Department of Mathematics, Saveetha School of Engineering, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Chennai-602105, India

*Corresponding author: aiyared.ia@up.ac.th

Abstract. We discussed that the concept of multi-fuzzy cubic vague subbisemiring (MFCVSBS) is a novel generalized hybrid structure of vague subbisemiring. The MFCVSBS and level sets using MFCVSBS of bisemirings are discussed. We define some simple operations on them, including intersection and Cartesian product, to discuss some of their basic properties under MFCVSBS. It is assumed that $\mathcal{Z} = \langle \coprod_i \circ \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{Z}}, \coprod_i \circ \mathfrak{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}} \rangle$ is the multi-fuzzy cubic vague subset of $\mathbb{K}$. Assuming that any non-empty level set $\mathcal{Z}_{(\zeta, \sigma)}(\zeta, \sigma \in D[0, 1])$ is an SBS, it can be demonstrated that $\mathcal{Z}$ is an MFCVSBS. It will be demonstrated that MFCVSBS is both its homomorphic image and pre-image. Examples are given to illustrate our conclusions.

1. INTRODUCTION

The subject of fuzzy sets (FS) was first established by Zadeh [10] in 1965. The membership grade (MG) of x belonging to X is $\mu(x)$. An FS on a set X is a mapping μ onto the interval $[0, 1]$ for $x \in X$. The MG does not provide information about not belonging; it just provides an estimate of belonging. Gau et al. (1993) extended the FS to the vague set (VS) [3], which has two MGs, such as true (TMG) and false (FMG). To a certain extent, VSs are more capable than FSs at processing ambiguous data. Typically, human intellect develops gradually. This raises the

Received: May 9, 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16Y60, 03E72.

Key words and phrases. fuzzy set; vague set; homomorphism; subbisemiring.

question of how to define a vague term and quantify its uncertainty, an intriguing issue that merits further research. Palanikumar et al. discussed the vague set and their extensions, such as square root vague sets [4] and neutrosophic vague set [7]. Rosenfeld was a pioneer in fuzzy algebraic structures [8]. Biswas [2] discussed the theory of vague algebra, including ambiguous groups, normal groups, and other related concepts. Interval-valued FS and FS, a more generic tool, were used to describe the idea of a cubic set. This is because FS works with a single value, whereas IVFS ranges the MG in the form of intervals. The primary benefit of the cubic set is that it includes both FS and an IVFS. In 1993, Ahsan and colleagues [1] presented the fuzzy semiring theory. Sen and colleagues presented bisemirings research in 2001 [9]. Palanikumar et al. [5,6] discussed the concept of spherical vague sets and their extension. This study aims to further develop the concept of imprecise SBS theory in relation to MFCVSBS theory and explore some of its key characteristics. The article is divided into the following five sections. The introduction is given in Section 1, and the preliminary discussion of vague sets and multi-fuzzy cubic vague sets is covered in Section 2. MFCVSBS is presented along with examples of its features in Section 3. The concept of MFCVSBS homomorphism and its characteristics are presented in Section 4. Section 5 provides a conclusion for more research. Additionally, a few numerical examples are provided to assess the multi-fuzzy cubic ambiguous subbisemirings.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1. [3] The vague set (VS) $\mathcal{Z}$ in the universe U is $[\tau_{\mathcal{Z}}, F_{\mathcal{Z}}]$ it consists of two MG called as TMG $\tau_{\mathcal{Z}} : U \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and FMG $F_{\mathcal{Z}} : U \rightarrow [0, 1]$, such that $0 \leq \tau_{\mathcal{Z}}[\tau] + F_{\mathcal{Z}}[\tau] \leq 1$, for all $\tau \in U$, where $\tau_{\mathcal{Z}}[\tau]$ is a lower bound of MG of u derived from the “evidence for u ”, and $F_{\mathcal{Z}}[\tau]$ is a lower bound of FMG of u derived from the “evidence against τ ”. The VS $\mathcal{Z}$ as $\mathcal{Z} = \{\tau, [\tau_{\mathcal{Z}}[\tau], {}^1 - F_{\mathcal{Z}}[\tau]] | \tau \in U\}$, the interval $[\tau_{\mathcal{Z}}[\tau], {}^1 - F_{\mathcal{Z}}[\tau]]$ is called the vague value of u in $\mathcal{Z}$. That is $\boxminus_{\mathcal{Z}}[\tau] = [\tau_{\mathcal{Z}}[\tau], {}^1 - F_{\mathcal{Z}}[\tau]]$.

Definition 2.2. [3] The complement of a VS $\mathcal{Z}$ is defined by $\tau_{\mathcal{Z}^c} = F_{\mathcal{Z}}$ and ${}^1 - F_{\mathcal{Z}^c} = 1 - \tau_{\mathcal{Z}}$.

Definition 2.3. [3] Let $\mathcal{Z}$ and Γ be any two VSs in U .

- (1) A VS $\mathcal{Z}$ is contained in the other VS Γ , $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \Gamma$ if and only if $\boxminus_{\mathcal{Z}}[\tau] \leq \boxminus_{\Gamma}[\tau]$, i.e., $\tau_{\mathcal{Z}}[\tau] \leq \tau_{\Gamma}[\tau]$ and ${}^1 - F_{\mathcal{Z}}[\tau] \leq {}^1 - F_{\Gamma}[\tau]$, for all $u \in U$.
- (2) The union of two VSs $\mathcal{Z}$ and Γ , as $Y = \mathcal{Z} \cup \Gamma$, $\tau_Y = \max\{\tau_{\mathcal{Z}}, \tau_{\Gamma}\}$ and ${}^1 - F_Y = \max\{{}^1 - F_{\mathcal{Z}}, {}^1 - F_{\Gamma}\} = 1 - \min\{F_{\mathcal{Z}}, F_{\Gamma}\}$.
- (3) The intersection of two VSs $\mathcal{Z}$ and Γ as $Y = \mathcal{Z} \cap \Gamma$, $\tau_Y = \min\{\tau_{\mathcal{Z}}, \tau_{\Gamma}\}$ and ${}^1 - F_Y = \min\{{}^1 - F_{\mathcal{Z}}, {}^1 - F_{\Gamma}\} = 1 - \max\{F_{\mathcal{Z}}, F_{\Gamma}\}$.

Definition 2.4. [2] Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be a VS of U , $\zeta, \sigma \in [0, 1]$ with $\zeta \leq \sigma$, the $[\zeta, \sigma]$ -cut or vague cut of a VS $\mathcal{Z}$ is the crisp subset of U is given by $\mathcal{Z}_{[\zeta, \sigma]} = \{\tau \in U | \boxminus_{\mathcal{Z}}[\tau] \geq [\zeta, \sigma]\}$.

That is, $\mathcal{Z}_{[\zeta, \sigma]} = \{\tau \in U | \tau_{\mathcal{Z}}[\tau] \geq \zeta, {}^1 - F_{\mathcal{Z}}[\tau] \geq \sigma\}$.

Definition 2.5. [2] Let $\mathcal{Z}$ and Γ be any two VSs in U .

- (1) $\mathcal{Z} \cap \Gamma = \left\{ \left\langle \tau, \min\{\tau_{\mathcal{Z}}[\tau], \tau_{\Gamma}[\tau]\}, \min\{{}^1 - F_{\mathcal{Z}}[\tau], {}^1 - F_{\Gamma}[\tau]\} \right\rangle \right\}$

- (2) $\mathcal{Z} \cup \Gamma = \left\{ \left\langle \tau, \max\{\tau_{\mathcal{Z}}[\tau], \tau_{\Gamma}[\tau]\}, \max\{\neg F_{\mathcal{Z}}[\tau], \neg F_{\Gamma}[\tau]\} \right\rangle \right\}$
 (3) $\square \mathcal{Z} = \left\{ \left\langle \tau, \tau_{\mathcal{Z}}[\tau], \neg \tau_{\mathcal{Z}}[\tau] \right\rangle \mid \tau \in U \right\}$
 (4) $\diamond \mathcal{Z} = \left\{ \left\langle \tau, \neg F_{\mathcal{Z}}[\tau], F_{\mathcal{Z}}[\tau] \right\rangle \mid \tau \in U \right\}$ for all $\tau \in U$.

Definition 2.6. Let X be a nonempty set. An multi-IFS $f = \{\tau, f^+[\tau], f^*[\tau] : \tau \in X, 0 \leq f^+[\tau] \boxplus f^*[\tau] \leq 1\}$, where $f^+ : X \rightarrow [0, 1]^m, f^* : X \rightarrow [0, 1]^m$ and $0 \in \{0\}^m$ and $1 \in \{1\}^m$. The value of f^+ and f^* are defined as $f^+[\tau] = [\pi_1 \cdot f^+[\tau], [\pi_2 \cdot f^+[\tau], \dots, [\pi_m \cdot f^+[\tau]$, and $f^*[\tau] = [\pi_1 \cdot f^*[\tau], [\pi_2 \cdot f^*[\tau], \dots, [\pi_m \cdot f^*[\tau]$, such that $\pi_i : [0, 1]^m \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a projection i -th mapping and $0 \leq [f^+[\tau] \boxplus f^*[\tau] \leq 1$. It means that $0 \leq [\pi \cdot f^+[\tau] + [\pi \cdot f^*[\tau] \leq 1, \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.

3. MULTI-FUZZY SET APPLIED TO CUBIC VAGUE SUBBISEMIRINGS

Definition 3.1. A multi-fuzzy cubic vague set $\mathcal{Z} = \langle \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathcal{Z}}, \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{Z}} \rangle$ of $\mathbb{K}$ is called an MFCVSBS if

$$\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathcal{Z}}[\varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \geq \text{Min}^i \{ \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathcal{Z}}[\varsigma_1], \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathcal{Z}}[\varsigma_2] \}$$

and

$$\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{Z}}[\varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \geq \min \{ \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{Z}}[\varsigma_1], \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{Z}}[\varsigma_2] \},$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathcal{Z}}^+[\varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] &\geq \text{Min}^i \{ \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathcal{Z}}^+[\varsigma_1], \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathcal{Z}}^+[\varsigma_2] \} \\ \neg \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{Z}}^+[\varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] &\geq \text{Min}^i \{ \neg \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{Z}}^+[\varsigma_1], \neg \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{Z}}^+[\varsigma_2] \} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{Z}}^*[\varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] &\geq \min \{ \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{Z}}^*[\varsigma_1], \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{Z}}^*[\varsigma_2] \} \\ \neg \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{Z}}^*[\varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] &\geq \min \{ \neg \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{Z}}^*[\varsigma_1], \neg \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{Z}}^*[\varsigma_2] \} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2 \in \mathbb{K}$.

Example 3.1. Let $\mathbb{K} = \{\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2, \varsigma_3, \varsigma_4\}$ be the bisemirings.

$\uplus_1$	ς_1	ς_2	ς_3	ς_4	$\uplus_2$	ς_1	ς_2	ς_3	ς_4	$\uplus_3$	ς_1	ς_2	ς_3	ς_4
ς_1	ς_1	ς_1	ς_1	ς_1	ς_1	ς_1	ς_2	ς_3	ς_4	ς_1	ς_1	ς_1	ς_1	ς_1
ς_2	ς_1	ς_2	ς_1	ς_2	ς_2	ς_2	ς_4	ς_4		ς_2	ς_1	ς_2	ς_3	ς_4
ς_3	ς_1	ς_1	ς_3	ς_3	ς_3	ς_3	ς_4	ς_3	ς_4	ς_3	ς_4	ς_4	ς_4	ς_4
ς_4	ς_1	ς_2	ς_3	ς_4	ς_4	ς_4	ς_4	ς_4	ς_4	ς_4	ς_4	ς_4	ς_4	ς_4

Table 2

$\mathbb{K}$	$\vec{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathcal{Z}}^+$	$\vec{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{Z}}^+$	$\vec{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathcal{Z}}^*$	$\vec{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{Z}}^*$
ς_1	$\langle [0.29, 0.39], [0.44, 0.49] \rangle$	$[0.30, 0.35]$	$\langle [0.25, 0.35], [0.40, 0.45] \rangle$	$[0.3, 0.35]$
ς_2	$\langle [0.24, 0.34], [0.39, 0.44] \rangle$	$[0.20, 0.40]$	$\langle [0.20, 0.30], [0.35, 0.40] \rangle$	$[0.2, 0.4]$
ς_3	$\langle [0.14, 0.19], [0.29, 0.34] \rangle$	$[0, 0.50]$	$\langle [0.10, 0.15], [0.25, 0.30] \rangle$	$[0, 0.47]$
ς_4	$\langle [0.19, 0.24], [0.34, 0.39] \rangle$	$[0.1, 0.45]$	$\langle [0.15, 0.20], [0.30, 0.35] \rangle$	$[0.1, 0.42]$

Hence, $\mathcal{Z}$ is an MFCVSBS.

Theorem 3.1. *The intersection of an infinite collection of MFCVSBSs is again an MFCVSBS.*

Proof. Let $\{\wp_c : c \in C\}$ be an infinite collection of MFCVSBSs, put $\mathcal{Z} = \bigcap_{c \in C} \wp_c$. For $\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2 \in \mathbb{k}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_i \circ \overrightarrow{\tau} \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{Z}}[\varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] &= \inf_{c \in C} \prod_i \circ \overrightarrow{\tau} \mathfrak{s}_{\wp_c}[\varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \\ &\geq \inf_{c \in C} \text{Min}^i \left\{ \prod_i \circ \overrightarrow{\tau} \mathfrak{s}_{\wp_c}[\varsigma_1], \prod_i \circ \overrightarrow{\tau} \mathfrak{s}_{\wp_c}[\varsigma_2] \right\} \\ &= \text{Min}^i \left\{ \inf_{c \in C} \prod_i \circ \overrightarrow{\tau} \mathfrak{s}_{\wp_c}[\varsigma_1], \inf_{c \in C} \prod_i \circ \overrightarrow{\tau} \mathfrak{s}_{\wp_c}[\varsigma_2] \right\} \\ &= \text{Min}^i \left\{ \prod_i \circ \overrightarrow{\tau} \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{Z}}[\varsigma_1], \prod_i \circ \overrightarrow{\tau} \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{Z}}[\varsigma_2] \right\}, \\ 1 - \prod_i \circ \overrightarrow{F} \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{Z}}[\varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] &= \inf_{c \in C} \left[1 - \prod_i \circ \overrightarrow{F} \mathfrak{s}_{\wp_c}[\varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \right] \\ &\geq \inf_{c \in C} \text{Min}^i \left\{ 1 - \prod_i \circ \overrightarrow{F} \mathfrak{s}_{\wp_c}[\varsigma_1], 1 - \prod_i \circ \overrightarrow{F} \mathfrak{s}_{\wp_c}[\varsigma_2] \right\} \\ &= \text{Min}^i \left\{ \inf_{c \in C} \left[1 - \prod_i \circ \overrightarrow{F} \mathfrak{s}_{\wp_c}[\varsigma_1] \right], \inf_{c \in C} \left[1 - \prod_i \circ \overrightarrow{F} \mathfrak{s}_{\wp_c}[\varsigma_2] \right] \right\} \\ &= \text{Min}^i \left\{ 1 - \prod_i \circ \overrightarrow{F} \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{Z}}[\varsigma_1], 1 - \prod_i \circ \overrightarrow{F} \mathfrak{s}_{\mathcal{Z}}[\varsigma_2] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\prod_i \circ \overrightarrow{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{Z}}[\varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \geq \text{Min}^i \{ \prod_i \circ \overrightarrow{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{Z}}[\varsigma_1], \prod_i \circ \overrightarrow{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{Z}}[\varsigma_2] \}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_i \circ \tau^* \mathfrak{z}_{\mathcal{Z}}[\varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] &= \inf_{c \in C} \prod_i \circ \tau^* \mathfrak{z}_{\wp_c}[\varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \\ &\geq \inf_{c \in C} \min \left\{ \prod_i \circ \tau^* \mathfrak{z}_{\wp_c}[\varsigma_1], \prod_i \circ \tau^* \mathfrak{z}_{\wp_c}[\varsigma_2] \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \inf_{c \in C} \prod_i \circ \tau^* \mathfrak{z}_{\wp_c}[\varsigma_1], \inf_{c \in C} \prod_i \circ \tau^* \mathfrak{z}_{\wp_c}[\varsigma_2] \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \prod_i \circ \tau^* \mathfrak{z}_{\mathcal{Z}}[\varsigma_1], \prod_i \circ \tau^* \mathfrak{z}_{\mathcal{Z}}[\varsigma_2] \right\}, \\ 1 - \prod_i \circ F^* \mathfrak{z}_{\mathcal{Z}}[\varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] &= \inf_{c \in C} \left[1 - \prod_i \circ F^* \mathfrak{z}_{\wp_c}[\varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \right] \\ &\geq \inf_{c \in C} \min \left\{ 1 - \prod_i \circ F^* \mathfrak{z}_{\wp_c}[\varsigma_1], 1 - \prod_i \circ F^* \mathfrak{z}_{\wp_c}[\varsigma_2] \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \inf_{c \in C} \left[1 - \prod_i \circ F^* \mathfrak{z}_{\wp_c}[\varsigma_1] \right], \inf_{c \in C} \left[1 - \prod_i \circ F^* \mathfrak{z}_{\wp_c}[\varsigma_2] \right] \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ 1 - \prod_i \circ F^* \mathfrak{z}_{\mathcal{Z}}[\varsigma_1], 1 - \prod_i \circ F^* \mathfrak{z}_{\mathcal{Z}}[\varsigma_2] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\prod_i \circ \mathcal{Z}[\varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \geq \min \{ \prod_i \circ \mathcal{Z}[\varsigma_1], \prod_i \circ \mathcal{Z}[\varsigma_2] \}$. Hence, $\mathcal{Z}$ is an MFCVSBS. $\square$

Theorem 3.2. *The Cartesian product of MFCVSBSs is also an MFCVSBS.*

Proof. Let Y and Γ be two MFCVSBSs of $\mathbb{k}_1$ and $\mathbb{k}_2$, respectively. Let $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \mathbb{k}_1$ and $\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2 \in \mathbb{k}_2$. Then $[\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2]$ and $[\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2]$ are in $\mathbb{k}_1 \times \mathbb{k}_2$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{Y \times \Gamma} [[\epsilon_1, \varsigma_1] \uplus_{[1,2,3]} [\epsilon_2, \varsigma_2]] \\
 &= \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{Y \times \Gamma} [\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \epsilon_2, \varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \\
 &= \text{Min}^i \{ \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_Y [\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \epsilon_2], \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_\Gamma [\varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \} \\
 &\geq \text{Min}^i \{ \text{Min}^i \{ \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_Y [\epsilon_1], \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_Y [\epsilon_2] \}, \text{Min}^i \{ \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_\Gamma [\varsigma_1], \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_\Gamma [\varsigma_2] \} \} \\
 &= \text{Min}^i \{ \text{Min}^i \{ \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_Y [\epsilon_1], \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_\Gamma [\varsigma_1] \}, \text{Min}^i \{ \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_Y [\epsilon_2], \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_\Gamma [\varsigma_2] \} \} \\
 &= \text{Min}^i \{ \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{Y \times \Gamma} [\epsilon_1, \varsigma_1], \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{Y \times \Gamma} [\epsilon_2, \varsigma_2] \}, \\
 &= \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{Y \times \Gamma} [[\epsilon_1, \varsigma_1] \uplus_{[1,2,3]} [\epsilon_2, \varsigma_2]] \\
 &= \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{Y \times \Gamma} [\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \epsilon_2, \varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \\
 &\geq \text{Min}^i \{ \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_Y [\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \epsilon_2], \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_\Gamma [\varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \} \\
 &\geq \text{Min}^i \{ \text{Min}^i \{ \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_Y [\epsilon_1], \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_Y [\epsilon_2] \}, \text{Min}^i \{ \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_\Gamma [\varsigma_1], \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_\Gamma [\varsigma_2] \} \} \\
 &= \text{Min}^i \{ \text{Min}^i \{ \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_Y [\epsilon_1], \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_\Gamma [\varsigma_1] \}, \text{Min}^i \{ \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_Y [\epsilon_2], \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_\Gamma [\varsigma_2] \} \} \\
 &= \text{Min}^i \{ \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{Y \times \Gamma} [\epsilon_1, \varsigma_1], \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{Y \times \Gamma} [\epsilon_2, \varsigma_2] \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{Y \times \Gamma} [[\epsilon_1, \varsigma_1] \uplus_{[1,2,3]} [\epsilon_2, \varsigma_2]] \geq \text{Min}^i \{ \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{Y \times \Gamma} [\epsilon_1, \varsigma_1], \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{Y \times \Gamma} [\epsilon_2, \varsigma_2] \}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^* \mathbf{s}_{Y \times \Gamma} [[\epsilon_1, \varsigma_1] \uplus_{[1,2,3]} [\epsilon_2, \varsigma_2]] \\
 &= \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^* \mathbf{s}_{Y \times \Gamma} [\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \epsilon_2, \varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \\
 &= \min \{ \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^* \mathbf{s}_Y [\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \epsilon_2], \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^* \mathbf{s}_\Gamma [\varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \} \\
 &\geq \min \{ \min \{ \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^* \mathbf{s}_Y [\epsilon_1], \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^* \mathbf{s}_Y [\epsilon_2] \}, \min \{ \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^* \mathbf{s}_\Gamma [\varsigma_1], \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^* \mathbf{s}_\Gamma [\varsigma_2] \} \} \\
 &= \min \{ \min \{ \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^* \mathbf{s}_Y [\epsilon_1], \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^* \mathbf{s}_\Gamma [\varsigma_1] \}, \min \{ \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^* \mathbf{s}_Y [\epsilon_2], \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^* \mathbf{s}_\Gamma [\varsigma_2] \} \}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\coprod_i \circ \sqsupset_{Y \times \Gamma} [[\epsilon_1, \varsigma_1] \uplus_{1,2,3} [\epsilon_2, \varsigma_2]] \geq \min\{\coprod_i \circ \sqsupset_{Y \times \Gamma} [\epsilon_1, \varsigma_1], \coprod_i \circ \sqsupset_{Y \times \Gamma} [\epsilon_2, \varsigma_2]\}$. Therefore, $Y \times \Gamma$ is an MFCVSB. $\square$

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{Z} = \langle \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathcal{Z}}, \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathcal{Z}} \rangle$ is an MFCVSBS. For each $\zeta, \sigma \in D[0, 1]$ and $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_{[\zeta, \sigma]}$. We have $\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{Z}}}[\kappa_1] \geq \vec{\zeta}$, $\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{Z}}}[\kappa_2] \geq \vec{\zeta}$, $\lrcorner \coprod_i \circ \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{Z}}}[\kappa_1] \geq \vec{\sigma}$ and $\lrcorner \coprod_i \circ \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{Z}}}[\kappa_2] \geq \vec{\sigma}$. Since $\mathcal{Z}$ is an MFCVSBS, $\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{Z}}}[\kappa_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \kappa_2] \geq \min\{\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{Z}}}[\kappa_1], \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{Z}}}[\kappa_2]\} \geq \vec{\zeta}$. Similarly, $\lrcorner \coprod_i \circ \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{Z}}}[\kappa_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \kappa_2] \geq \min\{\lrcorner \coprod_i \circ \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{Z}}}[\kappa_1], \lrcorner \coprod_i \circ \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{Z}}}[\kappa_2]\} \geq \vec{\sigma}$. Now, $\coprod_i \circ \mathbf{T}_{\vec{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathcal{Z}}}[\kappa_1] \geq \zeta$, $\coprod_i \circ \mathbf{T}_{\vec{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathcal{Z}}}[\kappa_2] \geq \zeta$, $\lrcorner \coprod_i \circ \mathbf{F}_{\vec{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathcal{Z}}}[\kappa_1] \geq \sigma$ and $\lrcorner \coprod_i \circ \mathbf{F}_{\vec{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathcal{Z}}}[\kappa_2] \geq \sigma$. Since $\mathcal{Z}$ is an MFCVSBS, we have $\coprod_i \circ \mathbf{T}_{\vec{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathcal{Z}}}[\kappa_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \kappa_2] \geq \min\{\coprod_i \circ \mathbf{T}_{\vec{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathcal{Z}}}[\kappa_1], \coprod_i \circ \mathbf{T}_{\vec{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathcal{Z}}}[\kappa_2]\} \geq \zeta$. Similarly, $\lrcorner \coprod_i \circ \mathbf{F}_{\vec{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathcal{Z}}}[\kappa_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \kappa_2] \geq \min\{\lrcorner \coprod_i \circ \mathbf{F}_{\vec{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathcal{Z}}}[\kappa_1], \lrcorner \coprod_i \circ \mathbf{F}_{\vec{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathcal{Z}}}[\kappa_2]\} \geq \sigma$. This implies that $\kappa_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \kappa_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_{[\zeta, \sigma]}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{Z}_{[\zeta, \sigma]}$ is an SBS for each $\zeta, \sigma \in D[0, 1]$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\wp$ be the strongest vague relation of $\mathbb{K}$. Then $\mathcal{Z}$ is an MFCVSBS if and only if $\wp$ is an MFCVSBS of $\mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K}$.*

Proof. For $\epsilon = [\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2]$ and $\varsigma = [\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2]$ are in $\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{k}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\wp} [\epsilon \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma] \\
 &= \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\wp} [[[\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2] \uplus_{[1,2,3]} [\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2]] \\
 &= \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\wp} [\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_1, \epsilon_2 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \\
 &= \text{Min}^i \{ \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}} [\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_1], \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}} [\epsilon_2 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \} \\
 &\geq \text{Min}^i \{ \text{Min}^i \{ \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}} [\epsilon_1], \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}} [\varsigma_1] \}, \text{Min}^i \{ \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}} [\epsilon_2], \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}} [\varsigma_2] \} \} \\
 &= \text{Min}^i \{ \text{Min}^i \{ \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}} [\epsilon_1], \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}} [\epsilon_2] \}, \text{Min}^i \{ \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}} [\varsigma_1], \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}} [\varsigma_2] \} \} \\
 &= \text{Min}^i \{ \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\wp} [\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2], \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\wp} [\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2] \} \\
 &= \text{Min}^i \{ \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\wp} [\epsilon], \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\wp} [\varsigma], \\
 & \quad \mathbb{I} - \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\wp} [\epsilon \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma] \\
 &= \mathbb{I} - \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\wp} [[[\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2] \uplus_{[1,2,3]} [\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2]] \\
 &= \mathbb{I} - \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\wp} [\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_1, \epsilon_2 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \\
 &= \text{Min}^i \{ \mathbb{I} - \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}} [\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_1], \mathbb{I} - \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}} [\epsilon_2 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \} \\
 &\geq \text{Min}^i \{ \text{Min}^i \{ \mathbb{I} - \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}} [\epsilon_1], \mathbb{I} - \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}} [\varsigma_1] \}, \text{Min}^i \{ \mathbb{I} - \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}} [\epsilon_2], \mathbb{I} - \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}} [\varsigma_2] \} \} \\
 &= \text{Min}^i \{ \text{Min}^i \{ \mathbb{I} - \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}} [\epsilon_1], \mathbb{I} - \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}} [\epsilon_2] \}, \text{Min}^i \{ \mathbb{I} - \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}} [\varsigma_1], \mathbb{I} - \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}} [\varsigma_2] \} \} \\
 &= \text{Min}^i \{ \mathbb{I} - \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\wp} [\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2], \mathbb{I} - \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\wp} [\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2] \} \\
 &= \text{Min}^i \{ \mathbb{I} - \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\wp} [\epsilon], \mathbb{I} - \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\wp} [\varsigma].
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{S}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\wp} [\epsilon \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma] \geq \text{Min}^i \{ \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{S}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\wp} [\epsilon], \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{S}}^{\overrightarrow{+}} \mathbf{s}_{\wp} [\varsigma] \}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^* \mathbf{s}_{\wp} [\epsilon \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma] \\
 &= \coprod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^* \mathbf{s}_{\wp} [[[\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2] \uplus_{[1,2,3]} [\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2]]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \coprod_i \circ \tau^*_{\varphi} [\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_1, \epsilon_2 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \\
&= \min \{ \coprod_i \circ \tau^*_{\varphi_Z} [\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_1], \coprod_i \circ \tau^*_{\varphi_Z} [\epsilon_2 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \} \\
&\geq \min \{ \min \{ \coprod_i \circ \tau^*_{\varphi_Z} [\epsilon_1], \coprod_i \circ \tau^*_{\varphi_Z} [\varsigma_1] \}, \min \{ \coprod_i \circ \tau^*_{\varphi_Z} [\epsilon_2], \coprod_i \circ \tau^*_{\varphi_Z} [\varsigma_2] \} \} \\
&= \min \{ \min \{ \coprod_i \circ \tau^*_{\varphi_Z} [\epsilon_1], \coprod_i \circ \tau^*_{\varphi_Z} [\epsilon_2] \}, \min \{ \coprod_i \circ \tau^*_{\varphi_Z} [\varsigma_1], \coprod_i \circ \tau^*_{\varphi_Z} [\varsigma_2] \} \} \\
&= \min \{ \coprod_i \circ \tau^*_{\varphi} [\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2], \coprod_i \circ \tau^*_{\varphi} [\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2] \} \\
&= \min \{ \coprod_i \circ \tau^*_{\varphi} [\epsilon], \coprod_i \circ \tau^*_{\varphi} [\varsigma] \} \\
&= \coprod_i \circ F^*_{\varphi} [\epsilon \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma] \\
&= \coprod_i \circ F^*_{\varphi} [[[\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2] \uplus_{[1,2,3]} [\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2]]] \\
&= \coprod_i \circ F^*_{\varphi} [\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_1, \epsilon_2 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \\
&= \min \{ \coprod_i \circ F^*_{\varphi_Z} [\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_1], \coprod_i \circ F^*_{\varphi_Z} [\epsilon_2 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \} \\
&\geq \min \{ \min \{ \coprod_i \circ F^*_{\varphi_Z} [\epsilon_1], \coprod_i \circ F^*_{\varphi_Z} [\varsigma_1] \}, \min \{ \coprod_i \circ F^*_{\varphi_Z} [\epsilon_2], \coprod_i \circ F^*_{\varphi_Z} [\varsigma_2] \} \} \\
&= \min \{ \min \{ \coprod_i \circ F^*_{\varphi_Z} [\epsilon_1], \coprod_i \circ F^*_{\varphi_Z} [\epsilon_2] \}, \min \{ \coprod_i \circ F^*_{\varphi_Z} [\varsigma_1], \coprod_i \circ F^*_{\varphi_Z} [\varsigma_2] \} \} \\
&= \min \{ \coprod_i \circ F^*_{\varphi} [\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2], \coprod_i \circ F^*_{\varphi} [\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2] \} \\
&= \min \{ \coprod_i \circ F^*_{\varphi} [\epsilon], \coprod_i \circ F^*_{\varphi} [\varsigma] \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\coprod_i \circ \tau^*_{\varphi} [\epsilon \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma] \geq \min \{ \coprod_i \circ \tau^*_{\varphi} [\epsilon], \coprod_i \circ \tau^*_{\varphi} [\varsigma] \}$. Hence, $\coprod_i \circ \mathcal{Z}_{\varphi} [\epsilon \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma] \geq \min \{ \coprod_i \circ \mathcal{Z}_{\varphi} [\epsilon], \coprod_i \circ \mathcal{Z}_{\varphi} [\varsigma] \}$. Therefore, φ is an MFCVSBS of $\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{k}$.

Conversely, assume that φ is an MFCVSBS of $\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{k}$. Let $\epsilon = [\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2]$ and $\varsigma = [\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2]$ be in $\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{k}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
&Min^i \{ \coprod_i \circ \tau^+_{\mathcal{Z}} [\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_1], \coprod_i \circ \tau^+_{\mathcal{Z}} [\epsilon_2 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \} \\
&= \coprod_i \circ \tau^+_{\mathcal{Z}} [\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_1, \epsilon_2 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \\
&= \coprod_i \circ \tau^+_{\mathcal{Z}} [\epsilon \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma] \\
&= \coprod_i \circ \tau^+_{\mathcal{Z}} [\epsilon \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \text{Min}^i \left\{ \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\wp}[\epsilon], \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\wp}[\varsigma] \right\} \\
&= \text{Min}^i \left\{ \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\wp}[\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2], \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\wp}[\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2] \right\} \\
&= \text{Min}^i \{ \text{Min}^i \{ \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\epsilon_1], \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\epsilon_2] \}, \text{Min}^i \{ \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\varsigma_1], \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\varsigma_2] \} \}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $\prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_1] \leq \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\epsilon_2 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2]$, then $\prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\epsilon_1] \leq \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\epsilon_2]$ and $\prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\varsigma_1] \leq \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\varsigma_2]$. We get $\prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_1] \geq \text{Min}^i \{ \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\epsilon_1], \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\varsigma_1] \}$ for all $\epsilon_1, \varsigma_1 \in \mathbb{k}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Min}^i \{ \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_1], \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\epsilon_2 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \} \\
&= \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\wp}[\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_1, \epsilon_2 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \\
&= \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\wp}[[\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2] \uplus_{[1,2,3]} [\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2]] \\
&= \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\wp}[\epsilon \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma] \\
&\geq \text{Min}^i \{ \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\wp}[\epsilon], \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\wp}[\varsigma] \} \\
&= \text{Min}^i \{ \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\wp}[\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2], \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\wp}[\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2] \} \\
&= \text{Min}^i \{ \text{Min}^i \{ \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\epsilon_1], \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\epsilon_2] \}, \text{Min}^i \{ \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\varsigma_1], \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\varsigma_2] \} \}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $\prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_1] \leq \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\epsilon_2 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2]$, then $\prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\epsilon_1] \leq \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\epsilon_2]$ and $\prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\varsigma_1] \leq \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\varsigma_2]$. We get $\prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_1] \geq \text{Min}^i \{ \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\epsilon_1], \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{F}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\varsigma_1] \}$ for all $\epsilon_1, \varsigma_1 \in \mathbb{k}$. Thus, $\prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{S}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_1] \geq \text{Min}^i \{ \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{S}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\epsilon_1], \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{S}}^{\rightarrow} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\varsigma_1] \}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\min \{ \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^* \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_1], \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^* \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\epsilon_2 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \} \\
&= \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^* \mathbf{s}_{\wp}[\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_1, \epsilon_2 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \\
&= \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^* \mathbf{s}_{\wp}[[\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2] \uplus_{[1,2,3]} [\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2]] \\
&= \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^* \mathbf{s}_{\wp}[\epsilon \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma] \\
&\geq \min \{ \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^* \mathbf{s}_{\wp}[\epsilon], \prod_i \circ_{\mathbf{T}}^* \mathbf{s}_{\wp}[\varsigma] \}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \min\{\coprod_i \circ_{\top}^* \sqsupset_{\wp} [\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2], \coprod_i \circ_{\top}^* \sqsupset_{\wp} [\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2]\} \\
&= \min\{\min\{\coprod_i \circ_{\top}^* \sqsupset_Z [\epsilon_1], \coprod_i \circ_{\top}^* \sqsupset_Z [\epsilon_2]\}, \min\{\coprod_i \circ_{\top}^* \sqsupset_Z [\varsigma_1], \coprod_i \circ_{\top}^* \sqsupset_Z [\varsigma_2]\}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $\coprod_i \circ_{\top}^* \sqsupset_Z [\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_1] \leq \coprod_i \circ_{\top}^* \sqsupset_Z [\epsilon_2 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2]$, then $\coprod_i \circ_{\top}^* \sqsupset_Z [\epsilon_1] \leq \coprod_i \circ_{\top}^* \sqsupset_Z [\epsilon_2]$ and $\coprod_i \circ_{\top}^* \sqsupset_Z [\varsigma_1] \leq \coprod_i \circ_{\top}^* \sqsupset_Z [\varsigma_2]$. We get $\coprod_i \circ_{\top}^* \sqsupset_Z [\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_1] \geq \min\{\coprod_i \circ_{\top}^* \sqsupset_Z [\epsilon_1], \coprod_i \circ_{\top}^* \sqsupset_Z [\varsigma_1]\}$ for all $\epsilon_1, \varsigma_1 \in \mathbb{k}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\min\{\imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqsupset_Z}^* [\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_1], \imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqsupset_Z}^* [\epsilon_2 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2]\} \\
&= \imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqsupset_{\wp}}^* [\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_1, \epsilon_2 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \\
&= \imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqsupset_{\wp}}^* [[\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2] \uplus_{[1,2,3]} [\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2]] \\
&= \imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqsupset_{\wp}}^* [\epsilon \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma] \\
&\geq \min\{\imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqsupset_{\wp}}^* [\epsilon], \imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqsupset_{\wp}}^* [\varsigma]\} \\
&= \min\{\imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqsupset_{\wp}}^* [\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2], \imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqsupset_{\wp}}^* [\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2]\} \\
&= \min\{\min\{\imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqsupset_Z}^* [\epsilon_1], \imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqsupset_Z}^* [\epsilon_2]\}, \min\{\imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqsupset_Z}^* [\varsigma_1], \imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqsupset_Z}^* [\varsigma_2]\}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $\imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqsupset_Z}^* [\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_1] \leq \imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqsupset_Z}^* [\epsilon_2 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2]$, then $\imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqsupset_Z}^* [\epsilon_1] \leq \imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqsupset_Z}^* [\epsilon_2]$ and $\imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqsupset_Z}^* [\varsigma_1] \leq \imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqsupset_Z}^* [\varsigma_2]$. We get $\imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqsupset_Z}^* [\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_1] \geq \min\{\imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqsupset_Z}^* [\epsilon_1], \imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqsupset_Z}^* [\varsigma_1]\}$ for all $\epsilon_1, \varsigma_1 \in \mathbb{k}$. Thus, $\coprod_i \circ \sqsupset_Z [\epsilon_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_1] \geq \min\{\coprod_i \circ \sqsupset_Z [\epsilon_1], \coprod_i \circ \sqsupset_Z [\varsigma_1]\}$. Hence, $\coprod_i \circ \mathcal{Z} [\epsilon_1 \uplus_{1,2,3} \varsigma_1] \geq \min\{\coprod_i \circ \mathcal{Z} [\epsilon_1], \coprod_i \circ \mathcal{Z} [\varsigma_1]\}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{Z}$ is an MFCVSBS. $\square$

Theorem 3.5. *If $\mathcal{Z}$ is an MFCVSBS, then $\Delta\mathcal{Z}$ is an MFCVSBS.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be an MFCVSBS of a bisemiring $\mathbb{k}$. Consider $\mathcal{Z} = \{\langle \varsigma_1, \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathbf{N}}_{\mathcal{Z}} [\varsigma_1], \coprod_i \circ \sqsupset_{\mathcal{Z}} [\varsigma_1] \rangle\}$, for all $\varsigma_1 \in \mathbb{k}$. Take $\Delta\mathcal{Z} = \Gamma = \{\langle \varsigma_1, \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathbf{N}}_{\Gamma} [\varsigma_1], \coprod_i \circ \sqsupset_{\Gamma} [\varsigma_1] \rangle\}$, where $\coprod_i \circ \vec{\top}_{\mathbf{N}_{\Gamma}} [\varsigma_1] = \coprod_i \circ \vec{\top}_{\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{Z}}} [\varsigma_1]$, $\coprod_i \circ F_{\mathbf{N}_{\Gamma}} [\varsigma_1] = \imath - \coprod_i \circ \vec{\top}_{\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{Z}}} [\varsigma_1]$, $\coprod_i \circ \top_{\sqsupset_{\Gamma}} [\varsigma_1] = \coprod_i \circ \top_{\sqsupset_{\mathcal{Z}}} [\varsigma_1]$ and $F_{\sqsupset_{\Gamma}} [\varsigma_1] = \imath - \coprod_i \circ \top_{\sqsupset_{\mathcal{Z}}} [\varsigma_1]$. Clearly, $\coprod_i \circ \vec{\top}_{\mathbf{N}_{\Gamma}} [\varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \geq \min^i\{\coprod_i \circ \vec{\top}_{\mathbf{N}_{\Gamma}} [\varsigma_1], \coprod_i \circ \vec{\top}_{\mathbf{N}_{\Gamma}} [\varsigma_2]\}$ and $\coprod_i \circ \top_{\sqsupset_{\Gamma}} [\varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \geq \min\{\coprod_i \circ \top_{\sqsupset_{\Gamma}} [\varsigma_1], \coprod_i \circ \top_{\sqsupset_{\Gamma}} [\varsigma_2]\}$, for all $\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2 \in \mathbb{k}$. Since $\mathcal{Z}$ is an MFCVSBS. Then $\coprod_i \circ \vec{\top}_{\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{Z}}} [\varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \geq \min^i\{\coprod_i \circ \vec{\top}_{\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{Z}}} [\varsigma_1], \coprod_i \circ \vec{\top}_{\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{Z}}} [\varsigma_2]\}$ and $\coprod_i \circ \top_{\sqsupset_{\mathcal{Z}}} [\varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \geq \min\{\coprod_i \circ \top_{\sqsupset_{\mathcal{Z}}} [\varsigma_1], \coprod_i \circ \top_{\sqsupset_{\mathcal{Z}}} [\varsigma_2]\}$. Thus, $\imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathbf{N}_{\Gamma}} [\varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \geq \min^i\{\imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathbf{N}_{\Gamma}} [\varsigma_1], \imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathbf{N}_{\Gamma}} [\varsigma_2]\}$ and $\imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqsupset_{\Gamma}} [\varsigma_1 \uplus_{[1,2,3]} \varsigma_2] \geq \min\{\imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqsupset_{\Gamma}} [\varsigma_1], \imath - \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqsupset_{\Gamma}} [\varsigma_2]\}$. Hence, $\Delta\mathcal{Z}$ is an MFCVSBS. $\square$

Theorem 3.6. *If $\mathcal{Z}$ is an MFCVSBS, then $\cup\mathcal{Z}$ is an MFCVSBS.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be an MFCVSBS of a bisemiring $\mathbb{k}$. Consider $\mathcal{Z} = \{\langle \varsigma_1, \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathfrak{S}}_{\mathcal{Z}}[\varsigma_1], \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathfrak{Z}}_{\mathcal{Z}}[\varsigma_1] \rangle\}$, for all $\varsigma_1 \in \mathbb{k}$. Take $\cup \mathcal{Z} = \Gamma = \{\langle \varsigma_1, \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathfrak{S}}_{\Gamma}[\varsigma_1], \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathfrak{Z}}_{\Gamma}[\varsigma_1] \rangle\}$, where $\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\Gamma}}[\varsigma_1] = \lrcorner - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{Z}}}[\varsigma_1]$, $\coprod_i \circ F_{\mathfrak{S}_{\Gamma}}[\varsigma_1] = \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{Z}}}[\varsigma_1]$, $\coprod_i \circ \mathfrak{T}_{\vec{\mathfrak{Z}}_{\Gamma}}[\varsigma_1] = \lrcorner - \coprod_i \circ F_{\vec{\mathfrak{Z}}_{\mathcal{Z}}}[\varsigma_1]$ and $F_{\vec{\mathfrak{Z}}_{\Gamma}}[\varsigma_1] = \coprod_i \circ F_{\vec{\mathfrak{Z}}_{\mathcal{Z}}}[\varsigma_1]$ and the applying Theorem 3.5. Hence, $\cup \mathcal{Z}$ is an MFCVSBS. $\square$

4. HOMOMORPHISM VIA MULTI-FUZZY CUBIC VAGUE SUBBISEMIRINGS

Definition 4.1. Let $[\mathbb{k}_1, \vee_1, \vee_2, \vee_3]$ and $[\mathbb{k}_2, \wedge_1, \wedge_2, \wedge_3]$ be any two bisemirings. Let $\rho : \mathbb{k}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{k}_2$ be any function and $\mathcal{L}$ be an MFCVSBS in $\mathbb{k}_1$, $\mathcal{W}$ be an MFCVSBS in $\rho[\mathbb{k}_1] = \mathbb{k}_2$, the image of multi-fuzzy cubic vague set can be defined by

$$\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathfrak{S}}_{\rho[\mathcal{W}]}[\kappa_2] = [\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathfrak{T}}_{\rho[\mathcal{W}]}[\kappa_2], \coprod_i \circ F_{\rho[\mathcal{W}]}[\kappa_2]]$$

and

$$\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathfrak{Z}}_{\rho[\mathcal{W}]}[\kappa_2] = [\coprod_i \circ \mathfrak{T}_{\rho[\mathcal{W}]}[\kappa_2], \coprod_i \circ F_{\rho[\mathcal{W}]}[\kappa_2]],$$

where $\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathfrak{T}}_{\rho[\mathcal{W}]}[\kappa_2] = \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mathcal{W}\rho}[\kappa_2]$, $\coprod_i \circ F_{\rho[\mathcal{W}]}[\kappa_2] = \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{W}\rho}[\kappa_2]$, $\coprod_i \circ \mathfrak{T}_{\rho[\mathcal{W}]}[\kappa_2] = \coprod_i \circ \mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{W}\rho}[\kappa_2]$ and $\coprod_i \circ F_{\rho[\mathcal{W}]}[\kappa_2] = \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{W}\rho}[\kappa_2]$.

Definition 4.2. Let $[\mathbb{k}_1, \vee_1, \vee_2, \vee_3]$ and $[\mathbb{k}_2, \wedge_1, \wedge_2, \wedge_3]$ be any two bisemirings. Let $\rho : \mathbb{k}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{k}_2$ be any function. Let $\mathcal{W}$ be a multi-fuzzy cubic vague set in $\rho[\mathbb{k}_1] = \mathbb{k}_2$. Then the inverse image of $\mathcal{W}$, ρ^{-1} is the multi-fuzzy cubic vague set in $\mathbb{k}_1$ by

$$\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathfrak{S}}_{\rho^{-1}[\mathcal{W}]}[\kappa_1] = [\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathfrak{T}}_{\rho^{-1}[\mathcal{W}]}[\kappa_1], \coprod_i \circ F_{\rho^{-1}[\mathcal{W}]}[\kappa_1]]$$

and

$$\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathfrak{Z}}_{\rho^{-1}[\mathcal{W}]}[\kappa_1] = [\coprod_i \circ \mathfrak{T}_{\rho^{-1}[\mathcal{W}]}[\kappa_1], \coprod_i \circ F_{\rho^{-1}[\mathcal{W}]}[\kappa_1]],$$

where $\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathfrak{T}}_{\rho^{-1}[\mathcal{W}]}[\kappa_1] = \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mathcal{W}[\rho^{-1}[\kappa_1]]}$, $\coprod_i \circ F_{\rho^{-1}[\mathcal{W}]}[\kappa_1] = \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{W}[\rho^{-1}[\kappa_1]]}$, $\coprod_i \circ \mathfrak{T}_{\rho^{-1}[\mathcal{W}]}[\kappa_1] = \coprod_i \circ \mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{W}[\rho^{-1}[\kappa_1]]}$ and $F_{\rho^{-1}[\mathcal{W}]}[\kappa_1] = \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{W}[\rho^{-1}[\kappa_1]]}$.

Theorem 4.1. The homomorphic image of an MFCVSBS is also an MFCVSBS.

Proof. Let $\rho : \mathbb{k}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{k}_2$ be a homomorphism. Then $\rho[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] = \rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_1 \rho[\kappa_2]$, $\rho[\kappa_1 \vee_2 \kappa_2] = \rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_2 \rho[\kappa_2]$ and $\rho[\kappa_1 \vee_3 \kappa_2] = \rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_3 \rho[\kappa_2]$ for all $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{k}_1$.

Let $\mathcal{W} = \rho[\mathcal{L}]$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is an MFCVSBS of $\mathbb{k}_1$. Let $\rho[\kappa_1], \rho[\kappa_2] \in \mathbb{k}_2$, $\coprod_i \circ \mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{W}}^u[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_1 \rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \coprod_i \circ \mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}^u[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] \geq \min\{\coprod_i \circ \mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}^u[\kappa_1], \coprod_i \circ \mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}^u[\kappa_2]\} = \min\{\coprod_i \circ \mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{W}}^u[\rho[\kappa_1]], \coprod_i \circ \mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{W}}^u[\rho[\kappa_2]]\}$ and $\coprod_i \circ \mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{W}}^l[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_1 \rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \coprod_i \circ \mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}^l[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] \geq \min\{\coprod_i \circ \mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}^l[\kappa_1], \coprod_i \circ \mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}^l[\kappa_2]\} = \min\{\coprod_i \circ \mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{W}}^l[\rho[\kappa_1]], \coprod_i \circ \mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{W}}^l[\rho[\kappa_2]]\}$.

Thus, $\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_1 \rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \min\{\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1]], \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_2]]\}$.

Now, $\lrcorner - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{W}}^u[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_1 \rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \lrcorner - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}^u[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] \geq \min\{\lrcorner - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}^u[\kappa_1], \lrcorner - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}^u[\kappa_2]\} = \min\{\lrcorner - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{W}}^u[\rho[\kappa_1]], \lrcorner - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{W}}^u[\rho[\kappa_2]]\}$ and $\lrcorner - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{W}}^l[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_1 \rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \lrcorner - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}^l[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] \geq$

$$\min\{\iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}^l[\kappa_1], \iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}^l[\kappa_2]\} = \min\{\iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{W}}^l[\rho[\kappa_1]], \iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{W}}^l[\rho[\kappa_2]]\}.$$

$$\text{Thus, } \iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_1 \rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \min\{\iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1]], \iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_2]]\}.$$

$$\text{Hence, } \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_1 \rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \min^i\{\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1]], \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_2]]\}. \quad \text{Similarly,}$$

$$\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_2 \rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \min^i\{\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1]], \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_2]]\} \text{ and } \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_3 \rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \min^i\{\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1]], \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_2]]\}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } \coprod_i \circ \tau_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_1 \rho[\kappa_2]] &\geq \coprod_i \circ \tau_{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] \geq \min\{\coprod_i \circ \tau_{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_1], \coprod_i \circ \tau_{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_2]\} \\ &= \min\{\coprod_i \circ \tau_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1]], \coprod_i \circ \tau_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_2]]\} \text{ and } \iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_1 \rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] \\ &\geq \min\{\iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_1], \iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_2]\} = \min\{\iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1]], \iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_2]]\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus, } \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_1 \rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \min\{\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1]], \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_2]]\}. \quad \text{Similarly,}$$

$$\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_2 \rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \min\{\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1]], \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_2]]\} \text{ and } \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_3 \rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \min\{\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1]], \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_2]]\}. \text{ Hence, } \mathcal{W} \text{ is an MFCVSBS of } \mathbb{k}_2. \quad \square$$

Theorem 4.2. *The homomorphic pre-image of an MFCVSBS is also an MFCVSBS.*

Proof. Let $\rho : \mathbb{k}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{k}_2$ be a homomorphism. Then $\rho[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] = \rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_1 \rho[\kappa_2]$, $\rho[\kappa_1 \vee_2 \kappa_2] = \rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_2 \rho[\kappa_2]$ and $\rho[\kappa_1 \vee_3 \kappa_2] = \rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_3 \rho[\kappa_2]$ for all $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{k}_1$.

Let $\mathcal{W} = \rho[\mathcal{L}]$, where $\mathcal{W}$ is an MFCVSBS of $\mathbb{k}_2$. Now, $\coprod_i \circ \tau_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}^l[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] = \coprod_i \circ \tau_{\mathcal{W}}^l[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_1 \rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \min\{\coprod_i \circ \tau_{\mathcal{W}}^l[\rho[\kappa_1]], \coprod_i \circ \tau_{\mathcal{W}}^l[\rho[\kappa_2]]\} = \min\{\coprod_i \circ \tau_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}^l[\kappa_1], \coprod_i \circ \tau_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}^l[\kappa_2]\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \coprod_i \circ \tau_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}^u[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] &= \coprod_i \circ \tau_{\mathcal{W}}^u[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_1 \rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \min\{\coprod_i \circ \tau_{\mathcal{W}}^u[\rho[\kappa_1]], \coprod_i \circ \tau_{\mathcal{W}}^u[\rho[\kappa_2]]\} \\ &= \min\{\coprod_i \circ \tau_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}^u[\kappa_1], \coprod_i \circ \tau_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}^u[\kappa_2]\}. \text{ Thus, } \coprod_i \circ \vec{\tau}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] \geq \min\{\coprod_i \circ \vec{\tau}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_1], \coprod_i \circ \vec{\tau}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_2]\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } \iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}^l[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] &= \iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{W}}^l[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_1 \rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \min\{\iota - [F_{\mathcal{W}}^l[\rho[\kappa_1]]], \iota - [F_{\mathcal{W}}^l[\rho[\kappa_2]]]\} = \\ &= \min\{\iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}^l[\kappa_1], \iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}^l[\kappa_2]\} \text{ and } \iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}^u[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] = \iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{W}}^u[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_1 \rho[\kappa_2]] \\ &\geq \min\{\iota - [F_{\mathcal{W}}^u[\rho[\kappa_1]]], \iota - [F_{\mathcal{W}}^u[\rho[\kappa_2]]]\} = \min\{\iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}^u[\kappa_1], \iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}^u[\kappa_2]\}. \text{ Thus,} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] &\geq \min\{\iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_1], \iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_2]\}. \text{ Hence, } \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] \geq \\ &\min^i\{\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_1], \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_2]\}. \text{ Similarly, } \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_1 \vee_2 \kappa_2] \geq \min^i\{\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_1], \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_2]\} \text{ and} \\ &\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_1 \vee_3 \kappa_2] \geq \min^i\{\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_1], \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_2]\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } \coprod_i \circ \tau_{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] &= \coprod_i \circ \tau_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_1 \rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \min\{\coprod_i \circ \tau_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1]], \coprod_i \circ \tau_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_2]]\} \\ &= \min\{\coprod_i \circ \tau_{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_1], \coprod_i \circ \tau_{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_2]\} \text{ and } \iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] = \iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_1 \rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \min\{\iota - [F_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1]]], \iota - [F_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_2]]]\} \\ &= \min\{\iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_1], \iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_2]\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence, } \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_1 \vee_{1,2,3} \kappa_2] \geq \min\{\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_1], \coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_2]\}. \text{ Therefore, } \mathcal{L} \text{ is an MFCVSBS of } \mathbb{k}_1. \quad \square$$

Theorem 4.3. *If ρ is a homomorphism, then $\mathcal{L}_{[\zeta, \sigma]}$ is a level SBS of an MFCVSBS.*

Proof. Let $\rho : \mathbb{k}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{k}_2$ be a homomorphism. Then $\rho[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] = \rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_1 \rho[\kappa_2]$, $\rho[\kappa_1 \vee_2 \kappa_2] = \rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_2 \rho[\kappa_2]$ and $\rho[\kappa_1 \vee_3 \kappa_2] = \rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_3 \rho[\kappa_2]$ for all $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{k}_1$. Let $\mathcal{W} = \rho[\mathcal{L}]$, where $\mathcal{W}$ is an MFCVSBS of $\mathbb{k}_2$. By Theorem 4.2, $\mathcal{L}$ is an MFCVSBS of $\mathbb{k}_1$. Let $\rho[\mathcal{L}_{[\zeta, \sigma]}]$ be a level SBS of $\mathcal{W}$. Suppose $\rho[\kappa_1], \rho[\kappa_2] \in \rho[\mathcal{L}_{[\zeta, \sigma]}]$. Then $\rho[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2], \rho[\kappa_1 \vee_2 \kappa_2]$ and $\rho[\kappa_1 \vee_3 \kappa_2] \in \rho[\mathcal{L}_{[\zeta, \sigma]}]$. Now, $\coprod_i \circ \vec{\tau}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_1] = \coprod_i \circ \vec{\tau}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{W}}}[\rho[\kappa_1]] \geq \vec{\zeta}$, $\coprod_i \circ \vec{\tau}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_2] = \coprod_i \circ \vec{\tau}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{W}}}[\rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \vec{\zeta}$. Then $\coprod_i \circ \vec{\tau}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] \geq \vec{\zeta}$ and $\iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_1] = \iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{W}}}[\rho[\kappa_1]] \geq \vec{\sigma}$, $\iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_2] = \iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{W}}}[\rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \vec{\sigma}$. Then $\iota - \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] \geq \vec{\sigma}$. Thus, $\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] \geq [\vec{\zeta}, \vec{\sigma}]$. Similarly, $\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_1 \vee_2 \kappa_2] \geq$

$[\vec{\zeta}, \vec{\sigma}]$ and $\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathbf{N}}_{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_1 \vee_3 \kappa_2] \geq [\vec{\zeta}, \vec{\sigma}]$. Now, $\coprod_i \circ \tau_{\sqcup_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_1] = \coprod_i \circ \tau_{\sqcup_{\mathcal{W}}}[\rho[\kappa_1]] \geq \zeta$, $\coprod_i \circ \tau_{\sqcup_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_2] = \coprod_i \circ \tau_{\sqcup_{\mathcal{W}}}[\rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \zeta$. Then $\coprod_i \circ \tau_{\sqcup_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] \geq \zeta$ and $\lrcorner \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqcup_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_1] = \lrcorner \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqcup_{\mathcal{W}}}[\rho[\kappa_1]] \geq \sigma$, $\lrcorner \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqcup_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_2] = \lrcorner \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqcup_{\mathcal{W}}}[\rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \sigma$. Then $\lrcorner \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqcup_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] \geq \sigma$. Thus, $\coprod_i \circ \sqcup_{\mathcal{L}}[\kappa_1 \vee_{1,2,3} \kappa_2] \geq [\zeta, \sigma]$. Hence, $\mathcal{L}_{[\zeta, \sigma]}$ is a level SBS of an MFCVSBS $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathbb{k}_1$. $\square$

Theorem 4.4. *If ρ is a homomorphism, then $\rho[\mathcal{L}_{[\zeta, \sigma]}]$ is a level SBS of an MFCVSBS.*

Proof. Let $\rho : \mathbb{k}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{k}_2$ be a homomorphism. Then $\rho[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] = \rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_1 \rho[\kappa_2]$, $\rho[\kappa_1 \vee_2 \kappa_2] = \rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_2 \rho[\kappa_2]$ and $\rho[\kappa_1 \vee_3 \kappa_2] = \rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_3 \rho[\kappa_2]$ for all $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{k}_1$. Let $\mathcal{W} = \rho[\mathcal{L}]$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is an MFCVSBS of $\mathbb{k}_1$. By Theorem 4.1, $\mathcal{W}$ is an MFCVSBS of $\mathbb{k}_2$. Let $\mathcal{L}_{[\zeta, \sigma]}$ be a level SBS of $\mathcal{L}$. Suppose $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in \mathcal{L}_{[\zeta, \sigma]}$. Then $\rho[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2], \rho[\kappa_1 \vee_2 \kappa_2]$ and $\rho[\kappa_1 \vee_3 \kappa_2] \in \mathcal{L}_{[\zeta, \sigma]}$. Now, $\coprod_i \circ \vec{\tau}_{\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{L}}}[\rho[\kappa_1]] \geq \coprod_i \circ \vec{\tau}_{\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_1] \geq \vec{\zeta}$, $\coprod_i \circ \vec{\tau}_{\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{W}}}[\rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \coprod_i \circ \vec{\tau}_{\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_2] \geq \vec{\zeta}$. Then $\coprod_i \circ \vec{\tau}_{\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{W}}}[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_1 \rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \coprod_i \circ \vec{\tau}_{\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] \geq \vec{\zeta}$, for all $\rho[\kappa_1], \rho[\kappa_2] \in \mathbb{k}_2$. And $\lrcorner \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{W}}}[\rho[\kappa_1]] \geq \lrcorner \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_1] \geq \vec{\sigma}$, $\lrcorner \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{W}}}[\rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \lrcorner \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_2] \geq \vec{\sigma}$. Then $\lrcorner \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{W}}}[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_1 \rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \lrcorner \coprod_i \circ F_{\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] \geq \vec{\sigma}$, for all $\rho[\kappa_1], \rho[\kappa_2] \in \mathbb{k}_2$. Thus, $\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathbf{N}}_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_1 \rho[\kappa_2]] \geq [\vec{\zeta}, \vec{\sigma}]$. Similarly, $\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathbf{N}}_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_2 \rho[\kappa_2]] \geq [\vec{\zeta}, \vec{\sigma}]$ and $\coprod_i \circ \vec{\mathbf{N}}_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_3 \rho[\kappa_2]] \geq [\vec{\zeta}, \vec{\sigma}]$. Now, $\coprod_i \circ \tau_{\sqcup_{\mathcal{W}}}[\rho[\kappa_1]] \geq \coprod_i \circ \tau_{\sqcup_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_1] \geq \zeta$, $\coprod_i \circ \tau_{\sqcup_{\mathcal{W}}}[\rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \coprod_i \circ \tau_{\sqcup_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_2] \geq \zeta$. Then $\coprod_i \circ \tau_{\sqcup_{\mathcal{W}}}[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_1 \rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \coprod_i \circ \tau_{\sqcup_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] \geq \zeta$, for all $\rho[\kappa_1], \rho[\kappa_2] \in \mathbb{k}_2$. And $\lrcorner \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqcup_{\mathcal{W}}}[\rho[\kappa_1]] \geq \lrcorner \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqcup_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_1] \geq \sigma$, $\lrcorner \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqcup_{\mathcal{W}}}[\rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \lrcorner \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqcup_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_2] \geq \sigma$. Then $\lrcorner \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqcup_{\mathcal{W}}}[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_1 \rho[\kappa_2]] \geq \lrcorner \coprod_i \circ F_{\sqcup_{\mathcal{L}}}[\kappa_1 \vee_1 \kappa_2] \geq \sigma$, for all $\rho[\kappa_1], \rho[\kappa_2] \in \mathbb{k}_2$. Thus, $\coprod_i \circ \sqcup_{\mathcal{W}}[\rho[\kappa_1] \wedge_{1,2,3} \rho[\kappa_2]] \geq [\zeta, \sigma]$. Hence, $\rho[\mathcal{L}_{[\zeta, \sigma]}]$ is a level SBS of an MFCVSBS $\mathcal{W}$ of $\mathbb{k}_2$. $\square$

5. CONCLUSION

Presenting a vague subsemiring of semirings to MFCVSBS of bisemirings is the primary objective of this effort. We determine that $\mathcal{Z}$ is a multi-fuzzy cubic vague fuzzy set if and only if $\wp$ is an MFCVSBS of $\mathbb{k} \times \mathbb{k}$. The homomorphic image and pre-image are also defined. We discovered that MFCVSBS is an MFCVSBS homomorphic image and pre-image. Therefore, the ordered MFCVSBS, cubic soft subbisemirings, and multi-fuzzy cubic vague soft subbisemirings of bisemirings and their applications should be taken into consideration in the future.

Acknowledgment: This research was supported by University of Phayao and Thailand Science Research and Innovation Fund (Fundamental Fund 2026, Grant No. 2252/2568).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Ahsan, K. Saifullah, M. Khan, Fuzzy Semirings, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 60 (1993), 309–320. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(93\)90441-j](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(93)90441-j).
- [2] R. Biswas, Vague Groups, Int. J. Comput. Cogn. 4 (2006), 20–23.
- [3] W. Gau, D. Buehrer, Vague Sets, IEEE Trans. Syst. Man, Cybern. 23 (1993), 610–614. <https://doi.org/10.1109/21.229476>.

- [4] M. Palanikumar, N. Kausar, H. Garg, H. Nasserredine, D. Pamucar, Selection Process Based on New Building Construction Work Using Square Root Vague Sets and Their Aggregated Operators, *Eng. Appl. Artif. Intell.* 131 (2024), 107794. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2023.107794>.
- [5] M. Palanikumar, N. Kausar, D. Pamucar, S. Khan, M.A. Shah, Complex Pythagorean Normal Interval-Valued Fuzzy Aggregation Operators for Solving Medical Diagnosis Problem, *Int. J. Comput. Intell. Syst.* 17 (2024), 118. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44196-024-00504-w>.
- [6] M. Palanikumar, N. Kausar, Ž. Stević, S.H. Zolfani, Diophantine Spherical Vague Sets and Their Applications for Micro-Technology Robots Based on Multiple-Attribute Decision-Making, *Eng. Appl. Artif. Intell.* 139 (2025), 109447. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2024.109447>.
- [7] M. M., P.M. Meenakshi, A.A. Al-Husban, A. shihadeh, M.O.A. Al-Qadri, et al., Multi-Criteria Group Decision Making Approach Based on a New Type of Neutrosophic Vague Approach Is Used to Select the Shares of the Companies for Purchase, *Int. J. Neutrosophic Sci.* 23 (2024), 296–303. <https://doi.org/10.54216/ijns.230324>.
- [8] A. Rosenfeld, Fuzzy Groups, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 35 (1971), 512–517. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247x\(71\)90199-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247x(71)90199-5).
- [9] M.K. Sen, S. Ghosh, An Introduction to Bisemirings, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* 28 (2001), 547–559.
- [10] L. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, *Inf. Control.* 8 (1965), 338–353. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0019-9958\(65\)90241-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0019-9958(65)90241-x).