

THE S-TRANSFORM ON HARDY SPACES AND ITS DUALS

SUNIL KUMAR SINGH* AND BABY KALITA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, continuity and boundedness results for the continuous S-transform in BMO and Hardy spaces are obtained. Furthermore, the continuous S-transform is also studied on the weighted BMO_k and weighted Hardy spaces associated with a tempered weight function which was proposed by L. Hörmander in the study of the theory of partial differential equations.

1. INTRODUCTION

The S-transform is a time-frequency localization technique that has characteristics superior to both of the Fourier transform and the wavelet transform[12]. The n -dimensional continuous S-transform of a function f with respect to the window function ω is defined as [13]

$$(1.1) \quad (S_\omega f)(\tau, \xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(t) \omega(\tau - t, \xi) e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, t \rangle} dt, \text{ for } \tau, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

provided the integral exists.

In signal analysis, at least in dimension $n = 1$, \mathbb{R}^{2n} is called the time-frequency plane, and in physics \mathbb{R}^{2n} is called the phase space[11]. Equation(1.1) can be rewritten as a convolution

$$(1.2) \quad (S_\omega f)(\tau, \xi) = \left(f(\cdot) e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, \cdot \rangle} * \omega(\cdot, \xi) \right) (\tau).$$

Applying the convolution property for the Fourier transform in (1.2), we obtain

$$(1.3) \quad (S_\omega f)(\tau, \xi) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left\{ \hat{f}(\cdot + \xi) \hat{\omega}(\cdot, \xi) \right\} (\tau),$$

where $\hat{f}(\eta) = (\mathcal{F}f)(\eta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(t) e^{-i2\pi\langle \eta, t \rangle} dt$, is the Fourier transform of f .

2. THE S-TRANSFORM ON BMO SPACES

The bounded mean oscillation space $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ was first introduced by F. John and L. Nirenberg in 1961 [3]. It is the dual space of the real Hardy space H^1 and serves in many ways as a substitute space for L^∞ . The $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ space has become extremely important in various areas of analysis including harmonic analysis, PDEs and function theory.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 65R10, 32A37, 30H10.

Key words and phrases. S-transform; BMO space; Hardy space.

©2015 Authors retain the copyrights of their papers, and all open access articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License.

Definition 2.1. The bounded mean oscillation space $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as the space of all locally Lebesgue integrable functions defined on \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\|f\|_{BMO} = \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(x) - f_B| dx < \infty,$$

here the supremum is taken over the ball B in \mathbb{R}^n of measure $|B|$ and f_B stands for the mean of f on B , namely

$$(2.1) \quad f_B := \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B f(x) dx \leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(x)| dx \leq m < \infty.$$

Lemma 2.1. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, \cdot \rangle} f(\cdot) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, \cdot \rangle} f(\cdot)\|_{BMO} \leq \|f\|_{BMO} + 2m$$

where m is a constant given in equation (2.1).

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & \|e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, \cdot \rangle} f(\cdot)\|_{BMO} \\ &= \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left| e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, x \rangle} f(x) - \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, t \rangle} f(t) dt \right| dx \\ &= \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left| e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, x \rangle} f(x) - \frac{e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, x \rangle}}{|B|} \int_B f(t) dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, x \rangle}}{|B|} \int_B f(t) dt - \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, t \rangle} f(t) dt \right| dx \\ &\leq \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left(\left| e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, x \rangle} \left(f(x) - \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B f(t) dt \right) \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left| \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B f(t) dt \right| + \left| \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, t \rangle} f(t) dt \right| \right) dx \\ &\leq \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(x) - f_B| dx + \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f_B| dx \\ & \quad + \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(t)| dt \right) dx \\ &\leq \|f\|_{BMO} + \frac{1}{|B|} m|B| + \frac{1}{|B|} m|B| \\ &= \|f\|_{BMO} + 2m. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.2. Suppose $\omega(\cdot, \xi) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then, for any fixed $\xi \in \mathbb{R}_0^n = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, the operator $S_\omega : BMO(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuous. Furthermore, we have

$$\|(S_\omega f)(\cdot, \xi)\|_{BMO} \leq \|\omega(\cdot, \xi)\|_{L^1} (\|f\|_{BMO} + 2m).$$

Proof. For any arbitrary ball B in \mathbb{R}^n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (S_\omega f)_B(\tau, \xi) &= \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B (S_\omega f)(\tau, \xi) d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, \tau-x \rangle} f(\tau-x) \omega(x, \xi) dx d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(S_\omega f)(\tau, \xi) - (S_\omega f)_B(\tau, \xi)| \\
= & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, \tau-x \rangle} f(\tau-x)\omega(x, \xi) dx \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, \alpha-x \rangle} f(\alpha-x)\omega(x, \xi) dx d\alpha \right| \\
= & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, \tau-x \rangle} f(\tau-x)\omega(x, \xi) dx \right. \\
& \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega(x, \xi) \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, \alpha-x \rangle} f(\alpha-x) d\alpha \right) dx \right| \\
= & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega(x, \xi) \left(e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, \tau-x \rangle} f(\tau-x) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, \alpha-x \rangle} f(\alpha-x) d\alpha \right) dx \right| \\
\leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\omega(x, \xi)| \left| e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, \tau-x \rangle} f(\tau-x) \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, \alpha-x \rangle} f(\alpha-x) d\alpha \right| dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \| (S_\omega f)(\cdot, \xi) \|_{BMO} \\
= & \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |(S_\omega f)(\tau, \xi) - (S_\omega f)_B(\tau, \xi)| d\tau \\
\leq & \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\omega(x, \xi)| \left| e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, \tau-x \rangle} f(\tau-x) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, \alpha-x \rangle} f(\alpha-x) d\alpha \right| dx \right) d\tau \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\omega(x, \xi)| \left(\sup_{K \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|K|} \int_K \left| e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, y \rangle} f(y) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \frac{1}{|K|} \int_K e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, t \rangle} f(t) dt \right| dy \right) dx \\
\leq & \| \omega(\cdot, \xi) \|_{L^1} \| e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, \cdot \rangle} f(\cdot) \|_{BMO},
\end{aligned}$$

here $K = B - x$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

By using above lemma we get,

$$\| (S_\omega f)(\cdot, \xi) \|_{BMO} \leq \| \omega(\cdot, \xi) \|_{L^1} (\| f \|_{BMO} + 2m).$$

□

3. THE S-TRANSFORM ON WEIGHTED BMO SPACES.

Definition 3.1. A positive function k defined on \mathbb{R}^n is called a tempered weight function[2] if there exists positive constants C and N such that

$$(3.1) \quad k(\xi + \eta) \leq (1 + C|\xi|)^N k(\eta) \text{ for all } \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Definition 3.2. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the weighted Lebesgue space $L_k^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as the space of all measurable functions f on \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\|f\|_{L_k^p} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p k(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

Definition 3.3. The weighted bounded mean oscillation space $BMO_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as the space of all weighted Lebesgue integrable (locally) functions defined on \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\|f\|_{BMO_k} = \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B|_k} \int_B |f(x) - f_B| k(x) dx < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over the ball B in \mathbb{R}^n and $|B|_k = \int_B k(x) dx$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $f \in L_k^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, \cdot \rangle} f(\cdot) \in L_k^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, \cdot \rangle} f(\cdot)\|_{BMO_k} \leq \|f\|_{BMO_k} + 2m,$$

where m is a constant defined in equation (2.1).

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & \|e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, \cdot \rangle} f(\cdot)\|_{BMO_k} \\ &= \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B|_k} \int_B \left| e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, x \rangle} f(x) - \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, t \rangle} f(t) dt \right| k(x) dx \\ &= \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B|_k} \int_B \left| e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, x \rangle} f(x) - \frac{e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, x \rangle}}{|B|} \int_B f(t) dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, x \rangle}}{|B|} \int_B f(t) dt - \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, t \rangle} f(t) dt \right| k(x) dx \\ &\leq \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B|_k} \int_B \left(\left| e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, x \rangle} \left(f(x) - \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B f(t) dt \right) \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left| \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B f(t) dt \right| + \left| \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B e^{-i2\pi\langle \xi, t \rangle} f(t) dt \right| \right) k(x) dx \\ &\leq \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B|_k} \int_B |f(x) - f_B| k(x) dx + \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B|_k} \int_B |f_B| k(x) dx \\ & \quad + \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B|_k} \int_B \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(t)| dt \right) k(x) dx \\ &\leq \|f\|_{BMO_k} + \frac{1}{|B|_k} m \int_B k(x) dx + \frac{1}{|B|_k} m \int_B k(x) dx \\ &= \|f\|_{BMO_k} + \frac{1}{|B|_k} m |B|_k + \frac{1}{|B|_k} m |B|_k \\ &= \|f\|_{BMO_k} + 2m. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.2. Suppose ω is a window function such that for any fixed $\xi \in \mathbb{R}_0^n$

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\omega(x, \xi)| (1 + C|x|)^N dx \leq A < \infty,$$

where A, C and N are positive constants. Then the operator $S_\omega : BMO_k(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow BMO_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuous. Furthermore, we have

$$\| (S_\omega f)(\cdot, \xi) \|_{BMO_k} \leq A (\| f \|_{BMO_k} + 2m)$$

where m is a constant given in equation (2.1).

Proof. By using the techniques of Theorem 2.2, for any arbitrary ball B in \mathbb{R}^n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| (S_\omega f)(\cdot, \xi) \|_{BMO_k} &= \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B|_k} \int_B |(S_\omega f)(\tau, \xi) - (S_\omega f)_B(\tau, \xi)| k(\tau) d\tau \\ &\leq \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B|_k} \int_B \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\omega(x, \xi)| \left| e^{-i2\pi \langle \xi, \tau - x \rangle} f(\tau - x) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B e^{-i2\pi \langle \xi, \alpha - x \rangle} f(\alpha - x) d\alpha \right| dx \right) k(\tau) d\tau \\ &\leq \sup_{K \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|K|_k} \int_K \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\omega(x, \xi)| \left| e^{-i2\pi \langle \xi, y \rangle} f(y) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{|K|} \int_K e^{-i2\pi \langle \xi, t \rangle} f(t) dt \right| dx \right) (1 + C|x|)^N k(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\omega(x, \xi)| (1 + C|x|)^N \left(\sup_{K \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|K|_k} \int_K \left| e^{-i2\pi \langle \xi, y \rangle} f(y) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{|K|} \int_K e^{-i2\pi \langle \xi, t \rangle} f(t) dt \right| k(y) dy \right) dx \\ &\leq A \| e^{-i2\pi \langle \xi, \cdot \rangle} f(\cdot) \|_{BMO_k}, \end{aligned}$$

here $K = B - x$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

By using above lemma we get

$$\| (S_\omega f)(\cdot, \xi) \|_{BMO_k} \leq A (\| f \|_{BMO_k} + 2m).$$

□

4. THE S-TRANSFORM ON HARDY SPACES.

Definition 4.1. The Hardy space is defined as the space of all functions $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\| f \|_{H^1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} |(f * \phi_t)(x)| dx < \infty,$$

where ϕ is any test function with $\int \phi \neq 0$ and $\phi_t(x) = t^{-n} \phi(x/t)$; $t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \sup_{t>0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x-y) \phi_t(y) dy \right| = \sup_{t>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x-y) \phi_t(y)| dy < \infty.$$

Then for any fixed $\xi \in \mathbb{R}_0^n$, the operator $S_\omega : H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuous. Furthermore, we have

$$\| (S_\omega f)(\cdot, \xi) \|_{H^1} \leq 3 \| \omega(\cdot, \xi) \|_{L^1} \| f \|_{H^1}.$$

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((S_\omega f)(\cdot, \xi) * \phi_t)(\tau) \\
&= \left(\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i2\pi \langle \xi, \cdot - x \rangle} f(\cdot - x) \omega(x, \xi) dx \right) * \phi_t \right)(\tau) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i2\pi \langle \xi, \tau - x - y \rangle} f(\tau - x - y) \omega(x, \xi) dx \right) \phi_t(y) dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega(x, \xi) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i2\pi \langle \xi, \tau - x - y \rangle} f(\tau - x - y) \phi_t(y) dy \right) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& \| (S_\omega f)(\cdot, \xi) \|_{H^1} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} |((S_\omega f)(\cdot, \xi) * \phi_t)(\tau)| d\tau \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega(x, \xi) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i2\pi \langle \xi, \tau - x - y \rangle} f(\tau - x - y) \phi_t(y) dy \right) dx \right| d\tau \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\omega(x, \xi)| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i2\pi \langle \xi, \tau - x - y \rangle} f(\tau - x - y) \phi_t(y) dy \right| d\tau \right) dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\omega(x, \xi)| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i2\pi \langle \xi, \eta - y \rangle} f(\eta - y) \phi_t(y) dy \right| d\eta \right) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i2\pi \langle \xi, \eta - y \rangle} f(\eta - y) \phi_t(y) dy \right| d\eta \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i2\pi \langle \xi, \eta - y \rangle} f(\eta - y) \phi_t(y) dy \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\eta - y) \phi_t(y) dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\eta - y) \phi_t(y) dy \right| d\eta \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (e^{-i2\pi \langle \xi, \eta - y \rangle} - 1) f(\eta - y) \phi_t(y) dy \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\eta - y) \phi_t(y) dy \right| d\eta \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |e^{-i2\pi \langle \xi, \eta - y \rangle} - 1| |f(\eta - y) \phi_t(y)| dy d\eta \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\eta - y) \phi_t(y) dy \right| d\eta \\
&\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(\eta - y) \phi_t(y)| dy d\eta + \| f \|_{H^1} \\
&= 2 \| f \|_{H^1} + \| f \|_{H^1} \\
&= 3 \| f \|_{H^1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\| (S_\omega f)(\cdot, \xi) \|_{H^1} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\omega(x, \xi)| 3 \| f \|_{H^1} dx = 3 \| \omega(\cdot, \xi) \|_{L^1} \| f \|_{H^1}.$$

□

5. THE S-TRANSFORM ON WEIGHTED HARDY SPACES.

Definition 5.1. The weighted Hardy space is defined as the space of all functions $f \in L^1_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{H^1_k} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} |(f * \phi_t)(x)| k(x) dx < \infty.$$

Theorem 5.1. Suppose ω is a window function and satisfies the condition (3.2). Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and satisfies the condition (4.1). Then, for any fixed $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the operator $S_\omega : H^1_k(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^1_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuous. Furthermore, we have

$$\|(S_\omega f)(\cdot, \xi)\|_{H^1_k} \leq 3A \|f\|_{H^1_k}.$$

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (S_\omega f)(\cdot, \xi) \|_{H^1_k} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} |((S_\omega f)(\cdot, \xi) * \phi_t)(\tau)| k(\tau) d\tau \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega(x, \xi) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i2\pi \langle \xi, \tau-x-y \rangle} f(\tau-x-y) \phi_t(y) dy \right) dx \right| k(\tau) d\tau \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\omega(x, \xi)| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i2\pi \langle \xi, \tau-x-y \rangle} f(\tau-x-y) \phi_t(y) dy \right| k(\tau) d\tau \right) dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\omega(x, \xi)| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i2\pi \langle \xi, \eta-y \rangle} f(\eta-y) \phi_t(y) dy \right| (1+C|x|)^N k(\eta) d\eta \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\omega(x, \xi)| (1+C|x|)^N \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i2\pi \langle \xi, \eta-y \rangle} f(\eta-y) \phi_t(y) dy \right| k(\eta) d\eta \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i2\pi \langle \xi, \eta-y \rangle} f(\eta-y) \phi_t(y) dy \right| k(\eta) d\eta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i2\pi \langle \xi, \eta-y \rangle} f(\eta-y) \phi_t(y) dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\eta-y) \phi_t(y) dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\eta-y) \phi_t(y) dy \right| k(\eta) d\eta \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (e^{-i2\pi \langle \xi, \eta-y \rangle} - 1) f(\eta-y) \phi_t(y) dy \right| k(\eta) d\eta \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\eta-y) \phi_t(y) dy \right| k(\eta) d\eta \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(\eta-y) \phi_t(y)| dy k(\eta) d\eta \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\eta-y) \phi_t(y) dy \right| k(\eta) d\eta \\ &= 2 \|f\|_{H^1_k} + \|f\|_{H^1_k} \\ &= 3 \|f\|_{H^1_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using equation (3.2), we have

$$\|(S_\omega f)(\cdot, \xi)\|_{H^1_k} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\omega(x, \xi)| (1+C|x|)^N 3 \|f\|_{H^1_k} dx \leq 3A \|f\|_{H^1_k}.$$

This completes the proof. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Chuong. N. M. and Duong. D. V., Boundedness of the wavelet integral operator on weighted function spaces, *Russian Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 20(3) (2013), 268-275.
- [2] Hörmander. L., *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1983.
- [3] John. F. and Nirenberg. L., On functions of bounded mean oscillation , *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 14 (1961), 415-426.
- [4] Pathak. R. S. and Singh. S. K., Boundedness of the wavelet transform in certain function spaces, *Journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics*, 8(1) (2007), Article 23, 8 pages.
- [5] Singh. S. K., The S-transform on spaces of type S, *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, 23(7) (2012), 481-494.
- [6] Singh. S. K., The S-transform on spaces of type W, *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, 23(12) (2012), 891-899.
- [7] Singh. S. K., The fractional S-transform of tempered ultradistributions, *Investigations in Mathematical Sciences*, 2(2) (2012), 315-325.
- [8] Singh. S. K., The fractional S-transform on spaces of type S, *Journal of Mathematics*, 2013 (2013), Article ID 105848.
- [9] Singh. S. K., The fractional S-transform on spaces of type W, *Journal of Pseudo-Differential Operators and Applications*, 4(2) (2013), 251-265.
- [10] Singh. S. K., A new integral transform: Theory part, *Investigations in Mathematical Sciences*, 3(1) (2013), 135-139.
- [11] Singh. S. K., The S-Transform of distributions, *The scientific world journal*, 2014 (2014), Article ID 623294.
- [12] Stockwell. R. G., Mansinha. L. and Lowe. R. P., Localization of the complex spectrum: The S transform, *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, 44(4) (1996), 998-1001.
- [13] Ventosa. S., Simon. C., Schimmel. M. , Dañobeitia. J. and Mànuel. A., The S-transform from a wavelet point of view, *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, 56(07) (2008), 2771-2780.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RAJIV GANDHI UNIVERSITY, DOIMUKH-791112, ARUNACHAL PRADESH, INDIA

*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR