

TRIPLED COINCIDENCE POINTS OF MAPPINGS IN PARTIALLY ORDERED 0-COMPLETE PARTIAL METRIC SPACES

VESNA ČOJBAŠIĆ RAJIĆ

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce the concept of a tripled coincidence point for a pair of nonlinear contractive mappings $F : X^3 \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ in partially ordered 0-complete partial metric spaces and obtain existence and uniqueness theorems. Our results generalize, extend, unify and complement recent tripled coincidence point theorems established by Marin Borcut, Vasile Berinde [M. Borcut, V. Berinde, Tripled coincidence theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, *Applied Mathematical and Computation* 218 (2012) 5929-5935], Marin Borcut [M. Borcut, Tripled coincidence theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, *Applied Mathematical and Computation* 218 (2012) 7339-7346], Hassen Aydi, Erdal Karapinar, Mihail Postolache [H. Aydi, E. Karapinar, M. Postolache, Tripled coincidence point theorems for weak ϕ -contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2012, 2012:44, doi: 10.1186/1687-1812-2012-44] and Binayak S. Choudhury, Erdal Karapinar and Amaresh Kundu [B. Choudhury, E. Karapinar, A. Kundu, Tripled coincidence point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 2012, in press]. Examples to support our new results are given.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47H10, 54H25

Key words and phrases. 0-complete partial metric space; partially ordered; tripled coincidence point; a new type tripled coincidence point.

©2013 Authors retain the copyrights of their papers, and all open Access articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License.

1. Introduction

Matthews [23, 24] introduced the concept of partial metric spaces as a part of the study of denotational semantics of dataflow networks. He showed that the Banach contraction principle can be generalized to the partial metric context. Based on the notion of partial metric spaces, several authors have proved fixed point theorems in partial metric spaces (see, for example, [2], [3], [4], [16], [17], [19], [23-26], [28]). See also the presentation by Bakutin et al. [7] where the motivation for introducing non-zero distance (i.e., the "distance" p where $p(x, x) = 0$ need not hold) is explained, which is also leading to interesting research in foundations of topology.

There are also many generalizations of Banach contractive condition. Remarks on some recent fixed point theorems can be found in [6] and [18]. In 2006, Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [10] introduced and proved some coupled fixed point results in a partially ordered metric space. There are many authors obtained important coupled fixed point theorems (see [1], [14], [15], [18], [20], [21], [22], [29]).

Berinde and Borcut [8] introduced the concept of tripled fixed point for nonlinear mappings in partially ordered complete metric space. Aydi et al. [5] presented tripled coincidence theorem for weak ϕ -contractions in partially ordered metric space. There are some authors obtained important tripled fixed point theorems (see, for example [9], [12], [13]).

The aim of this paper is to continue the study of the tripled fixed points but now in partially ordered 0-complete partial metric spaces (see Bin Ahmad et al. [11] where authors proved fixed point theorems in 0-complete partial metric spaces). The following definitions and results will be needed in the sequel.

2. Notation and preliminaries

Definition 2.1. A partial metric on a nonempty set X is a function $p: X^2 \rightarrow R^+$ such that for all $x, y, z \in X$:

$$(p1) \quad x = y \Leftrightarrow p(x, x) = p(x, y) = p(y, y) ,$$

$$(p2) \quad p(x, x) \leq p(x, y) ,$$

$$(p3) \quad p(x, y) = p(y, x) ,$$

$$(p4) \ p(x, z) \leq p(x, y) + p(y, z) - p(y, y).$$

A partial metric space is a pair (X, p) such that X is a nonempty set and p is a partial metric on X . Each partial metric p on X generates a T_0 topology τ_p on X with a base of the family of open p -balls $\{B_p(x, \varepsilon) : x \in X, \varepsilon > 0\}$, where $B_p(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in X : p(x, y) < p(x, x) + \varepsilon\}$ for all $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

Definition 2.2. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a partial metric space (X, p) converges to $x \in X$ if and only if $p(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x)$;

(i) a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a partial metric space (X, p) is called 0-Cauchy if $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m) = 0$.

(ii) a partial metric space (X, p) is 0-complete if every 0-Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges, with respect to τ_p , to a point $x \in X$ such that $p(x, x) = 0$. In this case, p is a 0-complete partial metric on X .

(iii) A mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ is said to be continuous at $x_0 \in X$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $f(B_p(x_0, \delta)) \subset B_p(f(x_0), \varepsilon)$.

This definition of continuity is equivalent to the following statement:

(iv) A mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ is said to be continuous at $x_0 \in X$ where (X, p) is a partial metric space if and only if $p(f(x_n), f(x_0)) \rightarrow p(f(x_0), f(x_0))$ whenever $p(x_n, x_0) \rightarrow p(x_0, x_0)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 2.3. (1) A limit of a sequence in a partial metric space does not need to be unique. Moreover, the function $p(\cdot, \cdot)$ does not need to be continuous in the sense that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $y_n \rightarrow y$ implies $p(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow p(x, y)$.

(2) [3] However, $p(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow p(x, y) = 0$ then $p(x_n, y) \rightarrow p(x, y)$ for all $y \in X$.

Definition 2.4. [8] Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set. The mapping $F: X^3 \rightarrow X$ is said to have the mixed monotone property if for any $x, y, z \in X$

$$x_1, x_2 \in X, x_1 \leq x_2 \Rightarrow F(x_1, y, z) \leq F(x_2, y, z),$$

$$y_1, y_2 \in X, y_1 \leq y_2 \Rightarrow F(x, y_1, z) \geq F(x, y_2, z),$$

$$z_1, z_2 \in X, z_1 \leq z_2 \Rightarrow F(x, y, z_1) \leq F(x, y, z_2).$$

An element $(x, y, z) \in X^3$ is called a tripled fixed point of F if

$$F(x, y, z) = x, F(y, x, y) = y \text{ and } F(z, y, x) = z .$$

Definition 2.5. [8] Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set, $F: X^3 \rightarrow X$ and $g: X \rightarrow X$ two mappings. The mapping F is said to have the mixed g -monotone property if for any $x, y, z \in X$

$$x_1, x_2 \in X, gx_1 \leq gx_2 \Rightarrow F(x_1, y, z) \leq F(x_2, y, z),$$

$$y_1, y_2 \in X, gy_1 \leq gy_2 \Rightarrow F(x, y_1, z) \geq F(x, y_2, z),$$

$$z_1, z_2 \in X, gz_1 \leq gz_2 \Rightarrow F(x, y, z_1) \leq F(x, y, z_2).$$

An element (x, y, z) is called a tripled coincidence point of F and g if

$$F(x, y, z) = gx, F(y, x, y) = gy \text{ and } F(z, y, x) = gz .$$

while (gx, gy, gz) is said a tripled point of coincidence of mappings F and g . Moreover, (x, y, z) is called a tripled common fixed point of F and g if

$$F(x, y, z) = gx = x, F(y, x, y) = gy = y \text{ and } F(z, y, x) = gz = z .$$

At last, mappings F and g are called commutative if for all $x, y, z \in X$

$$g(F(x, y, z)) = F(gx, gy, gz) .$$

Definition 2.6. [5] Let (X, \preceq) be an ordered set and p be a partial metric on X . We say that (X, p, \preceq) is regular if it has the following properties:

- (i) if for non-decreasing sequence $\{x_n\}$ holds $p(x_n, x) \rightarrow p(x, x)$, then $x_n \preceq x$ for all n ,
- (ii) if for non-increasing sequence $\{y_n\}$ holds $p(y_n, y) \rightarrow p(y, y)$, then $y_n \succeq y$ for all n .

Lemma 2.7. [18, 27] Let (X, p) be a 0-complete partial metric space and let $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ be sequences in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(y_n, y_{n+1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(z_n, z_{n+1}) = 0.$$

If at least one of sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ is not a 0-Cauchy sequence in (X, p) , then there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and two sequences $\{m(k)\}$ and $\{n(k)\}$ of positive integers such that $m(k) > n(k) > k$ and the following four sequences

$$\begin{aligned} & p(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) + p(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)}) + p(z_{m(k)}, z_{n(k)}), \\ & p(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}) + p(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)+1}) + p(z_{m(k)}, z_{n(k)+1}), \\ & p(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) + p(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{n(k)}) + p(z_{m(k)-1}, z_{n(k)}), \\ & p(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)+1}) + p(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{n(k)+1}) + p(z_{m(k)-1}, z_{n(k)+1}), \end{aligned}$$

tend to ε when $k \rightarrow \infty$.

3. Main results

Firstly, let us consider the set of functions

$$\Phi = \left\{ \varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty) \mid \varphi(t) < t \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow t^+} \varphi(r) < t, t > 0 \right\}.$$

It is clear that for $\varphi \in \Phi$ holds $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(t) = 0$ for $t > 0$. Indeed, since $\varphi^n(t)$ is a decreasing sequence for all $t > 0$, we have that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(t) = \delta \geq 0$. If $\delta > 0$, we get $\delta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^{n+1}(t) = \lim_{\varphi^n(t) \rightarrow \delta^+} \varphi(\varphi^n(t)) < \delta$, which is a contradiction.

Our first main result is the following theorem. This theorem extends some recently results from usual metric spaces to the case of partial metric spaces.

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a partial metric p on X such that (X, p) is a partial metric space. Suppose $F : X^3 \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ are such that F has the mixed g -monotone property with $F(X^3) \subset g(X)$ and $g(X)$ is a 0-complete subspace of X . Assume there is a function $\varphi \in \Phi$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) + p(F(y, x, y), F(v, u, v)) + p(F(z, y, x), F(w, v, u)) \\ \leq 3\varphi \left(\frac{p(gx, gu) + p(gy, gv) + p(gz, gw)}{3} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

for any $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$ for which $gx \preceq gu$, $gy \succeq gv$ and $gz \preceq gw$. Suppose either F is continuous or (X, p, \preceq) is regular. If there exist $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in X$ such that

$$gx_0 \preceq F(x_0, y_0, z_0), \quad gy_0 \succeq F(y_0, x_0, y_0) \text{ and } gz_0 \preceq F(z_0, y_0, x_0),$$

then there exist $x, y, z \in X$ such that

$$F(x, y, z) = gx, \quad F(y, x, y) = gy, \quad \text{and} \quad F(z, y, x) = gz,$$

that is, F and g have a tripled coincidence point.

Proof. Let us consider sequences $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ in X such that

$$gx_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n, z_n), \quad gy_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n, y_n) \quad \text{and} \quad gz_{n+1} = F(z_n, y_n, x_n) \quad \text{for } n=0,1,2,\dots$$

We will prove by induction that

$$gx_n \preceq gx_{n+1}, \quad gy_{n+1} \preceq gy_n \quad \text{and} \quad gz_n \preceq gz_{n+1}. \quad (3.2)$$

Since $gx_0 \preceq F(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ and $gx_1 = F(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ we have $gx_0 \preceq gx_1$. This is true for $n=0$. We suppose that (3.2) is true for some $n>0$. Since F has the mixed

g -monotone property, by $gx_n \preceq gx_{n+1}$, $gy_{n+1} \preceq gy_n$ and $gz_n \preceq gz_{n+1}$, we have that

$$gx_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n, z_n) \preceq F(x_{n+1}, y_n, z_n) \preceq F(x_{n+1}, y_n, z_{n+1}) \preceq F(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, z_{n+1}) = gx_{n+2}$$

and similarly $gy_{n+2} \preceq gy_{n+1}$ and $gz_{n+1} \preceq gz_{n+2}$. This means that (3.2) is true for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Consider the two possible cases:

- $p(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) = 0, p(gy_{n+1}, gy_n) = 0, p(gz_{n+1}, gz_n) = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

In this case, $gx_n = F(x_n, y_n, z_n)$, $gy_n = F(y_n, x_n, y_n)$, $gz_n = F(z_n, y_n, x_n)$ and (x_n, y_n, z_n) is a tripled coincidence point of F and g .

- $p(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) > 0, p(gy_{n+1}, gy_n) > 0, p(gz_{n+1}, gz_n) > 0$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Applying (3.1) with $x=x_n, y=y_n, z=z_n, u=x_{n+1}, v=y_{n+1}$ and $w=z_{n+1}$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & p(F(x_n, y_n, z_n), F(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, z_{n+1})) + p(F(y_n, x_n, y_n), F(y_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})) \\ & + p(F(z_n, y_n, x_n), F(z_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) \\ & \leq 3\varphi \left(\frac{p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + p(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) + p(gz_n, gz_{n+1})}{3} \right) \\ & < 3 \frac{p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + p(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) + p(gz_n, gz_{n+1})}{3} \\ & = p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + p(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) + p(gz_n, gz_{n+1}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

from which follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & p(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) + p(gy_{n+1}, gy_{n+2}) + p(gz_{n+1}, gz_{n+2}) \\ & < p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + p(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) + p(gz_n, gz_{n+1}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

If we denote by $\delta_n = \frac{1}{3}(p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + p(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) + p(gz_n, gz_{n+1}))$, we can conclude that $\{\delta_n\}$ is monotone decreasing. Therefore, $\delta_n \rightarrow \delta^* \geq 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We now prove that $\delta^* = 0$. Assume, on the contrary, that $\delta^* > 0$. If we write (3.3) as $\delta_{n+1} \leq \varphi(\delta_n)$ and if we pass to the limit when $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that

$$\delta^* \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(\delta_n) = \lim_{\delta_n \rightarrow \delta^*} \varphi(\delta_n) < \delta^*,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{3}(p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + p(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) + p(gz_n, gz_{n+1})) = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

From (3.5) follows $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(gy_{n+1}, gy_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(gz_{n+1}, gz_n) = 0$.

We next prove that $\{gx_n\}$, $\{gy_n\}$ and $\{gz_n\}$ are 0-Cauchy sequence in the space (X, p) . Suppose that at least one of sequences $\{gx_n\}$, $\{gy_n\}$, $\{gz_n\}$ is not a 0-Cauchy sequence. Then using Lemma 2.7. we get that there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and two sequences $\{m(k)\}$ and $\{n(k)\}$ of positive integers such that $m(k) > n(k) > k$ and the following four sequences

$$\begin{aligned} & p(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)}) + p(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)}) + p(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)}), \\ & p(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)+1}) + p(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)+1}) + p(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)+1}), \\ & p(gx_{m(k)-1}, gx_{n(k)}) + p(gy_{m(k)-1}, gy_{n(k)}) + p(gz_{m(k)-1}, gz_{n(k)}), \\ & p(gx_{m(k)-1}, gx_{n(k)+1}) + p(gy_{m(k)-1}, gy_{n(k)+1}) + p(gz_{m(k)-1}, gz_{n(k)+1}), \end{aligned}$$

all tend to ε when $k \rightarrow \infty$. Applying condition (3.1) to elements $x = x_{m(k)-1}$, $y = y_{m(k)-1}$, $z = z_{m(k)-1}$, $u = x_{n(k)}$, $v = y_{n(k)}$ and $w = z_{n(k)}$ we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
& p(F(x_{m(k)-1}, y_{m(k)-1}, z_{m(k)-1}), F(x_{n(k)}, y_{n(k)}, z_{n(k)})) + \\
& p(F(y_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}, y_{m(k)-1}), F(y_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)}, y_{n(k)})) + \\
& p(F(z_{m(k)-1}, y_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}), F(z_{n(k)}, y_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)})) \\
& \leq 3\varphi \left(\frac{p(gx_{m(k)-1}, gx_{n(k)}) + p(gy_{m(k)-1}, gy_{n(k)}) + p(gz_{m(k)-1}, gz_{n(k)})}{3} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
& p(gx_{m(k)-1}, gx_{n(k)}) + p(gy_{m(k)-1}, gy_{n(k)}) + p(gz_{m(k)-1}, gz_{n(k)}) \\
& \leq 3\varphi \left(\frac{p(gx_{m(k)-1}, gx_{n(k)}) + p(gy_{m(k)-1}, gy_{n(k)}) + p(gz_{m(k)-1}, gz_{n(k)})}{3} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

If we pass to the limit when $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon & \leq 3 \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi \left(\frac{p(gx_{m(k)-1}, gx_{n(k)}) + p(gy_{m(k)-1}, gy_{n(k)}) + p(gz_{m(k)-1}, gz_{n(k)})}{3} \right) \\
& = 3 \lim_{\frac{A_k}{3} \rightarrow \frac{\varepsilon}{3}} \varphi \left(\frac{A_k}{3} \right) < 3 \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. (We denote $p(gx_{m(k)-1}, gx_{n(k)}) + p(gy_{m(k)-1}, gy_{n(k)}) + p(gz_{m(k)-1}, gz_{n(k)})$ with A_k).

This shows that $\{gx_n\}$, $\{gy_n\}$ and $\{gz_n\}$ are 0-Cauchy sequences in the space (X, p) . Since gX is a 0-complete, there exist $x, y, z \in X$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(gx_n, gx) & = p(gx, gx) = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(gy_n, gy) = p(gy, gy) = 0, \quad \text{and} \\
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(gz_n, gz) & = p(gz, gz) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that F is continuous. We have

$$p(gx, F(x, y, z)) \leq p(gx, gx_{n+1}) + p(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y, z)). \quad (3.6)$$

It holds that $p(gx, gx_{n+1}) \rightarrow p(gx, gx) = 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$p(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y, z)) = p(F(x_n, y_n, z_n), F(x, y, z)) \rightarrow p(F(x, y, z), F(x, y, z))$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

When $n \rightarrow \infty$ from (3.6) follows:

$$p(gx, F(x, y, z)) \leq 0 + p(F(x, y, z), F(x, y, z)) = p(F(x, y, z), F(x, y, z)).$$

According to the property (p2) of the partial metric space, we have

$$p(gx, F(x, y, z)) = p(F(x, y, z), F(x, y, z)).$$

Similarly, we have

$$p(gy, F(y, x, y)) = p(F(y, x, y), F(y, x, y))$$

and

$$p(gz, F(z, y, x)) = p(F(z, y, x), F(z, y, x)).$$

Since $x \preceq x, y \succeq y$ and $z \preceq z$, according to condition (3.1) with $x=u, y=v, z=w$ we obtain

$$p(F(x, y, z), F(x, y, z)) + p(F(y, x, y), F(y, x, y)) + p(F(z, y, x), F(z, y, x)) = 0$$

from which follows

$$p(F(x, y, z), F(x, y, z)) = 0, \quad p(F(y, x, y), F(y, x, y)) = 0 \text{ and} \\ p(F(z, y, x), F(z, y, x)) = 0$$

or, equivalently

$$p(gx, F(x, y, z)) = 0, \quad p(gy, F(y, x, y)) = 0 \text{ and } p(gz, F(z, y, x)) = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

From (3.7) follows

$$gx = F(x, y, z), \quad gy = F(y, x, y) \text{ and } gz = F(z, y, x). \quad (3.8)$$

Hence, in this case, we have proved that F and g have a tripled coincidence point.

Suppose that (X, p, \preceq) is regular. Then, since (gx_n, gy_n, gz_n) is comparable with (gx, gy, gz) we have that according to (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} & p(F(x_n, y_n, z_n), F(x, y, z)) + p(F(y_n, x_n, y_n), F(y, x, y)) + p(F(z_n, y_n, x_n), F(z, y, x)) \\ & \leq 3\varphi \left(\frac{p(gx_n, gx) + p(gy_n, gy) + p(gz_n, gz)}{3} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & p(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y, z)) + p(gy_{n+1}, F(y, x, y)) + p(gz_{n+1}, F(z, y, x)) \\ & \leq 3\varphi \left(\frac{p(gx_n, gx) + p(gy_n, gy) + p(gz_n, gz)}{3} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Now, taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ from (3.10) follows:

$$p(gx, F(x, y, z)) + p(gy, F(y, x, y)) + p(gz, F(z, y, x)) = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

As $n \rightarrow +\infty$ we have $p(gx_n, gx) \rightarrow p(gx, gx) = 0$, $p(gy_n, gy) \rightarrow p(gy, gy) = 0$ and $p(gz_n, gz) \rightarrow p(gz, gz) = 0$. We also have, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, $p(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y, z)) \rightarrow p(gx, F(x, y, z))$, $p(gy_{n+1}, F(y, x, y)) \rightarrow p(gy, F(y, x, y))$ and $p(gz_{n+1}, F(z, y, x)) \rightarrow p(gz, F(z, y, x))$ (see Remark 2.3 (2)).

From (3.11) follows

$$p(gx, F(x, y, z)) = 0, \quad p(gy, F(y, x, y)) = 0 \text{ and } p(gz, F(z, y, x)) = 0$$

or

$$gx = F(x, y, z), \quad gy = F(y, x, y) \text{ and } gz = F(z, y, x).$$

In this case we have also proved that F and g have a tripled coincidence point. □

When we consider a partial metric instead of a standard metric then the Theorem 3.1 is the result of Aydi et al. [5] but the method used in the proof of this theorem is completely different from that used by Aydi et al. [5]. Also, in Theorem 3.1. we consider a weaker assumption for the function g .

Corollary 3.2. Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a partial metric p on X such that (X, p) is a 0-complete partial metric space. Suppose $F : X^3 \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ are such that F has the mixed g -monotone property with $F(X^3) \subset g(X)$ and $g(X)$ is a 0-complete subspace of X . Assume there exists $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) + p(F(y, x, y), F(v, u, v)) + p(F(z, y, x), F(w, v, u)) \\ \leq \alpha (p(gx, gu) + p(gy, gv) + p(gz, gw)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

for any $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$ for which $gx \preceq gu$, $gy \succeq gv$ and $gz \preceq gw$. Suppose either F is continuous or (X, p, \preceq) is regular. If there exist $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in X$ such that

$$gx_0 \preceq F(x_0, y_0, z_0), \quad gy_0 \succeq F(y_0, x_0, y_0) \text{ and } gz_0 \preceq F(z_0, y_0, x_0),$$

then there exist $x, y, z \in X$ such that

$$F(x, y, z) = gx, F(y, x, y) = gy, \text{ and } F(z, y, x) = gz,$$

that is., F and g have a tripled coincidence point.

Proof: Follows by taking $\varphi(t) = \alpha t$ in Theorem 3.1. □

Corollary 3.3 Let $(X, \underline{\prec})$ be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a partial metric p on X such that (X, p) is a 0-complete partial metric space. Suppose $F : X^3 \rightarrow X$ has the mixed monotone property. Assume there is a function $\varphi \in \Phi$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) + p(F(y, x, y), F(v, u, v)) + p(F(z, y, x), F(w, v, u)) \\ \leq 3\varphi\left(\frac{p(x, u) + p(y, v) + p(z, w)}{3}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$ for which $x \underline{\prec} u$, $y \underline{\succ} v$ and $z \underline{\prec} w$. Suppose either F is continuous or $(X, p, \underline{\prec})$ is regular. If there exist $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in X$ such that

$$x_0 \underline{\prec} F(x_0, y_0, z_0), \quad y_0 \underline{\succ} F(y_0, x_0, y_0) \text{ and } z_0 \underline{\prec} F(z_0, y_0, x_0),$$

then there exist $x, y, z \in X$ such that

$$F(x, y, z) = x, F(y, x, y) = y, \text{ and } F(z, y, x) = z,$$

that is., F has a tripled fixed point.

Proof. Follows from Theorem 3.1 by taking $g = i_X$ (the identity map). □

Our second result is the following theorem which improve the main result from [13] in several direction.

Theorem 3.4. Let $(X, \underline{\preceq})$ be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a partial metric p on X such that (X, p) is a partial metric space. Suppose $F : X^3 \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ are such that F has the mixed g -monotone property with $F(X^3) \subset g(X)$ and $g(X)$ is a 0-complete subspace of X . Assume there is a function $\varphi \in \Phi$ such that

$$p(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) \leq \varphi(\max\{p(gx, gu), p(gy, gv), p(gz, gw)\}) \quad (3.13)$$

for any $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$ for which $gx \underline{\preceq} gu$, $gy \underline{\succ} gv$ and $gz \underline{\preceq} gw$. Suppose either F is continuous or $(X, p, \underline{\preceq})$ is regular. If there exist $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in X$ such that

$$gx_0 \underline{\preceq} F(x_0, y_0, z_0), \quad gy_0 \underline{\succ} F(y_0, x_0, y_0) \text{ and } gz_0 \underline{\preceq} F(z_0, y_0, x_0),$$

then there exist $x, y, z \in X$ such that

$$F(x, y, z) = gx, \quad F(y, x, y) = gy, \quad \text{and } F(z, y, x) = gz,$$

that is, F and g have a tripled coincidence point.

Proof. Let us consider sequences $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ in X such that

$$gx_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n, z_n), \quad gy_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n, y_n) \text{ and } gz_{n+1} = F(z_n, y_n, x_n) \text{ for } n=0,1,2,\dots$$

Proceeding exactly as in Theorem 3.1 we have that $gx_n \underline{\preceq} gx_{n+1}$, $gy_{n+1} \underline{\preceq} gy_n$ and $gz_n \underline{\preceq} gz_{n+1}$.

If $p(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) = 0$, $p(gy_{n+1}, gy_n) = 0$ and $p(gz_{n+1}, gz_n) = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$, then (x_n, y_n, z_n) is a tripled coincidence point of F and g .

So, we will consider the case when $p(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) > 0$, $p(gy_{n+1}, gy_n) > 0$, $p(gz_{n+1}, gz_n) > 0$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Applying (3.13) with $x=x_n$, $y=y_n$, $z=z_n$, $u=x_{n+1}$, $v=y_{n+1}$ and $w=z_{n+1}$ we have:

$$p(F(x_n, y_n, z_n), F(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, z_{n+1})) \leq \varphi \left(\max \{ p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), p(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), p(gz_n, gz_{n+1}) \} \right)$$

that is,

$$p(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) \leq \varphi \left(\max \{ p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), p(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), p(gz_n, gz_{n+1}) \} \right) .$$

Similarly, we have

$$p(gy_{n+1}, gy_{n+2}) \leq \varphi \left(\max \{ p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), p(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), p(gz_n, gz_{n+1}) \} \right)$$

and

$$p(gz_{n+1}, gz_{n+2}) \leq \varphi \left(\max \{ p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), p(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), p(gz_n, gz_{n+1}) \} \right) .$$

It follows:

$$\max \{ p(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}), p(gy_{n+1}, gy_{n+2}), p(gz_{n+1}, gz_{n+2}) \} \quad (3.14)$$

$$\leq \varphi \left(\max \{ p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), p(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), p(gz_n, gz_{n+1}) \} \right)$$

If we denote by $\delta_n = \max \{ p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), p(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), p(gz_n, gz_{n+1}) \}$, we can conclude that $\{\delta_n\}$ is monotone decreasing. Therefore, $\delta_n \rightarrow \delta^* \geq 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We now prove that $\delta^* = 0$. Assume, on the contrary, that $\delta^* > 0$. If we write (3.14) as $\delta_{n+1} < \varphi(\delta_n)$ and if we pass to the limit when $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that $\delta^* \leq \varphi(\delta^*) < \delta^*$, which is a contradiction. Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \max \{ p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), p(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), p(gz_n, gz_{n+1}) \} = 0 ,$$

and it follows $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(gy_{n+1}, gy_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(gz_{n+1}, gz_n) = 0$.

We next prove that $\{gx_n\}$, $\{gy_n\}$ and $\{gz_n\}$ are 0-Cauchy sequences in the space (X, p) . If at least one of sequences $\{gx_n\}$, $\{gy_n\}$ and $\{gz_n\}$ is not a 0-Cauchy sequence, it means that $\max\{p(gx_n, gx_m), p(gy_n, gy_m), p(gz_n, gz_m)\}$ does not tend to 0 when $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. It means that there exist two sequences $\{m(k)\}$ and $\{n(k)\}$ of positive integers such that $m(k) > n(k) > k$ and the following four sequences

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{p(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{m(k)}), p(gy_{n(k)}, gy_{m(k)}), p(gz_{n(k)}, gz_{m(k)})\}, \\ & \max\{p(gx_{n(k)+1}, gx_{m(k)}), p(gy_{n(k)+1}, gy_{m(k)}), p(gz_{n(k)+1}, gz_{m(k)})\}, \\ & \max\{p(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{m(k)-1}), p(gy_{n(k)}, gy_{m(k)-1}), p(gz_{n(k)}, gz_{m(k)-1})\}, \\ & \max\{p(gx_{n(k)+1}, gx_{m(k)-1}), p(gy_{n(k)+1}, gy_{m(k)-1}), p(gz_{n(k)+1}, gz_{m(k)-1})\}, \end{aligned}$$

all tend to $\varepsilon+$ when $k \rightarrow \infty$. The proof is identical as in [18] and [27].

Applying condition (3.13) to elements $x=x_{n(k)}$, $y=y_{n(k)}$, $z=z_{n(k)}$, $u=x_{m(k)-1}$, $v=y_{m(k)-1}$ and $w=z_{m(k)-1}$ we get that:

$$\begin{aligned} p(gx_{n(k)+1}, gx_{m(k)}) &= p(F(x_{n(k)}, y_{n(k)}, z_{n(k)}), F(x_{m(k)-1}, y_{m(k)-1}, z_{m(k)-1})) \\ &\leq \varphi \left(\max \left\{ p(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{m(k)-1}), p(gy_{n(k)}, gy_{m(k)-1}), p(gz_{n(k)}, gz_{m(k)-1}) \right\} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(gy_{n(k)+1}, gy_{m(k)}) &= p(F(y_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)}, y_{n(k)}), F(y_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}, y_{m(k)-1})) \\ &\leq \varphi \left(\max \left\{ p(gy_{n(k)}, gy_{m(k)-1}), p(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{m(k)-1}) \right\} \right) \\ &\leq \varphi \left(\max \left\{ p(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{m(k)-1}), p(gy_{n(k)}, gy_{m(k)-1}), p(gz_{n(k)}, gz_{m(k)-1}) \right\} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} p(gz_{n(k)+1}, gz_{m(k)}) &= p(F(z_{n(k)}, y_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)}), F(z_{m(k)-1}, y_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1})) \\ &\leq \varphi \left(\max \left\{ p(gz_{n(k)}, gz_{m(k)-1}), p(gy_{n(k)}, gy_{m(k)-1}), p(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{m(k)-1}) \right\} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

From (3.15), (3.16) and (3.17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \left\{ p(gx_{n(k)+1}, gx_{m(k)}), p(gy_{n(k)+1}, gy_{m(k)}), p(gz_{n(k)+1}, gz_{m(k)}) \right\} \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \varphi \left(\max \left\{ p(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{m(k)-1}), p(gy_{n(k)}, gy_{m(k)-1}), p(gz_{n(k)}, gz_{m(k)-1}) \right\} \right) \right\} \\ & = \varphi \left(\max \left\{ p(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{m(k)-1}), p(gy_{n(k)}, gy_{m(k)-1}), p(gz_{n(k)}, gz_{m(k)-1}) \right\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

If we pass to the limit when $k \rightarrow \infty$ we get $\varepsilon \leq \varphi(\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$, which is a contradiction since $\varepsilon > 0$.

This shows that $\{gx_n\}, \{gy_n\}$ and $\{gz_n\}$ are 0-Cauchy sequences in the space (X, p) . Since gX is a 0-complete, there exist $x, y, z \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(gx_n, gx) = p(gx, gx) = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(gy_n, gy) = p(gy, gy) = 0, \quad \text{and} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(gz_n, gz) = p(gz, gz) = 0.$$

Suppose that F is continuous. As in the proof of the Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(gx, F(x, y, z)) &= p(F(x, y, z), F(x, y, z)), \\ p(gy, F(y, x, y)) &= p(F(y, x, y), F(y, x, y)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$p(gz, F(z, y, x)) = p(F(z, y, x), F(z, y, x)).$$

Applying condition (3.13) with $x=u, y=v, z=w$ we have that $p(F(x, y, z), F(x, y, z))=0$. Similarly, we have $p(F(y, x, y), F(y, x, y))=0$ and $p(F(z, y, x), F(z, y, x))=0$. It follows

$$gx = F(x, y, z), \quad gy = F(y, x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad gz = F(z, y, x).$$

Suppose that $(X, p, \underline{\leq})$ is regular. Then, since (gx_n, gy_n, gz_n) is comparable with (gx, gy, gz) we have that according to (3.13)

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(F(x_n, y_n, z_n), F(x, y, z)) &\leq \varphi(\max\{p(gx_n, gx), p(gy_n, gy), p(gz_n, gz)\}) \\
 p(F(y_n, x_n, y_n), F(y, x, y)) &\leq \varphi(\max\{p(gy_n, gy), p(gx_n, gx), p(gy_n, gy)\}) \\
 p(F(z_n, y_n, x_n), F(z, y, x)) &\leq \varphi(\max\{p(gz_n, gz), p(gy_n, gy), p(gx_n, gx)\})
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y, z)) + p(gy_{n+1}, F(y, x, y)) + p(gz_{n+1}, F(z, y, x)) \\
 \leq 3\varphi(\max\{p(gx_n, gx), p(gy_n, gy), p(gz_n, gz)\}) \tag{3.18}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ from (3.18) follows:

$$p(gx, F(x, y, z)) + p(gy, F(y, x, y)) + p(gz, F(z, y, x)) = 0. \tag{3.19}$$

From (3.19) follows $gx = F(x, y, z)$, $gy = F(y, x, y)$ and $gz = F(z, y, x)$.

In both cases we have obtained that F and g have a tripled coincidence point. □

Corollary 3.5. Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a partial metric p on X such that (X, p) is a 0-complete partial metric space. Suppose $F : X^3 \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ are such that F has the mixed g -monotone property with $F(X^3) \subset g(X)$ and $g(X)$ is a 0-complete subspace of X and

$$p(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) \leq jp(gx, gu) + kp(gy, gv) + lp(gz, gw)$$

for any $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$ for which $gx \preceq gu$, $gy \succeq gv$ and $gz \preceq gw$ and $j+k+l < 1$. Suppose either F is continuous or (X, p, \preceq) is regular. If there exist $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in X$ such that

$$gx_0 \preceq F(x_0, y_0, z_0), \quad gy_0 \succeq F(y_0, x_0, y_0) \text{ and } gz_0 \preceq F(z_0, y_0, x_0),$$

then there exist $x, y, z \in X$ such that

$$F(x, y, z) = gx, F(y, x, y) = gy, \text{ and } F(z, y, x) = gz,$$

that is, F and g have a tripled coincidence point.

Proof.

Since

$jp(gx, gu) + kp(gy, gv) + lp(gz, gw) \leq \lambda \max \{p(gx, gu), p(gy, gv), p(gz, gw)\}$, for $\lambda = j + k + l$, then the proof follows from Theorem 3.4 taking $\varphi(t) = \lambda t$. \square

The following corollary is the result of Berinde and Borcut in [8] when we consider a partial metric instead of a standard metric.

Corollary 3.6. Let $(X, \underline{\prec})$ be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a partial metric p on X such that (X, p) is a 0-complete partial metric space. Suppose $F : X^3 \rightarrow X$ has the mixed monotone property and

$$p(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) \leq jp(x, u) + kp(y, v) + lp(z, w)$$

for any $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$ for which $x \underline{\prec} u$, $y \underline{\succ} v$ and $z \underline{\prec} w$ and $j + k + l < 1$. Suppose either F is continuous or $(X, p, \underline{\prec})$ is regular. If there exist $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in X$ such that

$$x_0 \underline{\prec} F(x_0, y_0, z_0), y_0 \underline{\succ} F(y_0, x_0, y_0) \text{ and } z_0 \underline{\prec} F(z_0, y_0, x_0),$$

then there exist $x, y, z \in X$ such that

$$F(x, y, z) = x, F(y, x, y) = y, \text{ and } F(z, y, x) = z,$$

that is, F has a tripled fixed point.

Proof. Follows from corollary 3.5 by taking $g = i_X$ (the identity map).

□

In several papers, the authors also considered some additional conditions to ensure the uniqueness of the coupled fixed point, of the coupled coincidence or of the tripled fixed point, respectively. So, we state and prove the corresponding result regarding the uniqueness of tripled coincidence points in the context of partially ordered 0-complete partial metric spaces.

Theorem 3.7. In addition to hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 (resp. Theorem 3.4.) assume that for any two elements $(x, y, z), (x^*, y^*, z^*) \in X^3$ there exists $(u, v, w) \in X^3$ such that $(F(u, v, w), F(v, u, v), F(w, v, u))$ is comparable to both $(F(x, y, z), F(y, x, y), F(z, y, x))$ and $(F(x^*, y^*, z^*), F(y^*, x^*, y^*), F(z^*, y^*, x^*))$. Then g and F have a unique tripled coincidence point.

Proof. Theorem 3.1 implies that there exists a tripled coincidence point $(x, y, z) \in X^3$, that is

$$gx = F(x, y, z), gy = F(y, x, y), gz = F(z, y, x).$$

Suppose that there exists another tripled coincidence point $(x^*, y^*, z^*) \in X^3$ and hence

$$gx^* = F(x^*, y^*, z^*), gy^* = F(y^*, x^*, y^*), gz^* = F(z^*, y^*, x^*).$$

We will prove that $gx = gx^*, gy = gy^*$ and $gz = gz^*$.

From given condition, we get there exists $(u, v, w) \in X^3$ such that $(F(u, v, w), F(v, u, v), F(w, v, u))$ is comparable to both $(F(x, y, z), F(y, x, y), F(z, y, x))$ and $(F(x^*, y^*, z^*), F(y^*, x^*, y^*), F(z^*, y^*, x^*))$.

Put $u_0 = u, v_0 = v, w_0 = w$ and analogously to the proof of Theorem 3.1, choose sequences $\{u_n\}, \{v_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ satisfying

$$gu_{n+1} = F(u_n, v_n, w_n), gv_{n+1} = F(v_n, u_n, v_n) \text{ and } gw_{n+1} = F(w_n, v_n, u_n) \text{ for } n=0,1,2,\dots$$

Starting from $x_0 = x$, $y_0 = y$, $z_0 = z$ and $x_0^* = x^*$, $y_0^* = y^*$, $z_0^* = z^*$, choose sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{z_n\}$ and $\{x_n^*\}, \{y_n^*\}, \{z_n^*\}$ satisfying

$$gx_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n, z_n), \quad gy_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n, y_n), \quad gz_{n+1} = F(z_n, y_n, x_n)$$

and $gx_{n+1}^* = F(x_n^*, y_n^*, z_n^*), \quad gy_{n+1}^* = F(y_n^*, x_n^*, y_n^*), \quad gz_{n+1}^* = F(z_n^*, y_n^*, x_n^*)$ for $n=0,1,2,\dots$

Taking into account properties of coincidence points, it is easy to see that it can be done so that $x_n = x$, $y_n = y$, $z_n = z$ and $x_n^* = x^*$, $y_n^* = y^*$, $z_n^* = z^*$, i.e.,

$$gx_n = F(x, y, z), \quad gy_n = F(y, x, y), \quad gz_n = F(z, y, x)$$

and

$$gx_n^* = F(x^*, y^*, z^*), \quad gy_n^* = F(y^*, x^*, y^*), \quad gz_n^* = F(z^*, y^*, x^*).$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Since $(F(x, y, z), F(y, x, y), F(z, y, x)) = (gx_1, gy_1, gz_1) = (gx, gy, gz)$ and $(F(u, v, w), F(v, u, v), F(w, v, u)) = (gu_1, gv_1, gw_1)$ are comparable, then $gx \underline{\prec} gu_1$, $gy \underline{\succ} gv_1$ and $gz \underline{\prec} gw_1$. It is clear that (gx, gy, gz) and (gu_n, gv_n, gw_n) are also comparable, that is $gx \underline{\prec} gu_n$, $gy \underline{\succ} gv_n$ and $gz \underline{\prec} gw_n$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then, from (3.1) and using the proof of Theorem 3.1 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{p(gx, gu_{n+1}) + p(gy, gv_{n+1}) + p(gz, gw_{n+1})}{3} \\ &= \frac{p(F(x, y, z), F(u_n, v_n, w_n)) + p(F(y, x, y), F(v_n, u_n, v_n)) + p(F(z, y, x), F(w_n, v_n, u_n))}{3} \\ &\leq \varphi \left(\frac{p(gx, gu_n) + p(gy, gv_n) + p(gz, gw_n)}{3} \right) \tag{3.20} \\ &\leq \varphi^2 \left(\frac{p(gx, gu_{n-1}) + p(gy, gv_{n-1}) + p(gz, gw_{n-1})}{3} \right) \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq \varphi^{n+1} \left(\frac{p(gx, gu_0) + p(gy, gv_0) + p(gz, gw_0)}{3} \right) \end{aligned}$$

By letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in relation (3.20) we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(gx, gu_{n+1}) + p(gy, gv_{n+1}) + p(gz, gw_{n+1})}{3} = 0,$$

that is.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(gx, gu_{n+1}) = 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(gy, gv_{n+1}) = 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(gz, gw_{n+1}) = 0. \quad (3.21)$$

Similarly, one can prove that:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(gx^*, gu_{n+1}) = 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(gy^*, gv_{n+1}) = 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(gz^*, gw_{n+1}) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Now by (p4), (3.21) and (3.22) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} p(gx, gx^*) &\leq p(gx, gu_{n+1}) + p(gu_{n+1}, gx^*) - p(gu_{n+1}, gu_{n+1}) \\ &\leq p(gx, gu_{n+1}) + p(gu_{n+1}, gx^*) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p(gy, gy^*) &\leq p(gy, gv_{n+1}) + p(gv_{n+1}, gy^*) - p(gv_{n+1}, gv_{n+1}) \\ &\leq p(gy, gv_{n+1}) + p(gv_{n+1}, gy^*) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p(gz, gz^*) &\leq p(gz, gw_{n+1}) + p(gw_{n+1}, gz^*) - p(gw_{n+1}, gw_{n+1}) \\ &\leq p(gz, gw_{n+1}) + p(gw_{n+1}, gz^*) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that $gx = gx^*$, $gy = gy^*$ and $gz = gz^*$.

□

The proof of the uniqueness result in the case of Theorem 3.4. is similar.

Remark 3.8. Taking $\varphi(t) = \alpha t$ (resp. $g = i_X$) in Theorem 3.7. we obtain the uniqueness result of tripled fixed points in Corollary 3.2 (resp. Corollary 3.3).

The following example shows that Theorem 3.1 is more general than Theorem 2.2 from [5].

Example 3.9. Let $(X, p) = (\mathbf{Q} \cap [0, +\infty), p)$ be endowed with usual order, where \mathbf{Q} denotes the set of rational numbers and p is given by $p(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$. A partial metric space (X, p) is a 0-complete partial metric space. Suppose that $g: X \rightarrow X$ and $F: X^3 \rightarrow X$ are such that $g x = x$ and

$$F(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} \frac{x - y + z}{4}, & x \geq y \\ 0, & x < y \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $\varphi(t) = \frac{3t}{4}$, for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. From (1) follows:

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \\ & \max \left\{ \frac{x - y + z}{4}, \frac{u - v + w}{4} \right\} + \max \left\{ \frac{y - x + y}{4}, \frac{v - u + v}{4} \right\} + \max \left\{ \frac{z - y + x}{4}, \frac{w - v + u}{4} \right\} \\ &= \\ & \frac{u - v + w}{4} + \frac{y - x + y}{4} + \frac{w - v + u}{4} = \frac{2u + 2y + 2w - 2v - x}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

$$R = \frac{3}{4} (\max\{x, u\} + \max\{y, v\} + \max\{z, w\}) = 3 \frac{u + y + w}{4}.$$

We have that $L = \frac{2u + 2y + 2w - 2v - x}{4} < \frac{2u + 2y + 2w}{4} < R$ and it follows that

F and g have a tripled coincidence point. It is easy to show that $(0, 0, 0)$ is a tripled coincidence point.

On the other hand, consider the same problem in the standard metric $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ and take $x = u$ and $z = w$. Then, from (1) follows

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \left| \frac{u - y + w}{4} - \frac{u - v + w}{4} \right| + \left| \frac{y - u + y}{4} - \frac{v - u + v}{4} \right| + \left| \frac{w - y + u}{4} - \frac{w - v + u}{4} \right| = |y - v| \\ R &= \frac{3}{4} (|x - u| + |y - v| + |z - w|) = 3 \frac{|y - v|}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $L \leq R$ does not hold and the existence of a tripled coincidence point can not be obtained.

4. A new type tripled coincidence point

In the sequel of this paper we introduce a new type tripled coincidence point which is maybe more natural than ones in Berinde and Borcut [8].

Definition 4.1. Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set, $F: X^3 \rightarrow X$ and $g: X \rightarrow X$ two mappings. Suppose that the mapping F has the mixed g -monotone property. An element (x, y, z) is called a new type tripled coincidence point of F and g if

$$F(x, y, z) = gx, F(y, x, y) = gy \text{ and } F(z, y, z) = gz.$$

while (gx, gy, gz) is said a new type tripled point of coincidence of mappings F and g . Moreover, (x, y, z) is called a new type tripled common fixed point of F and g if

$$F(x, y, z) = gx = x, F(y, x, y) = gy = y \text{ and } F(z, y, z) = gz = z.$$

Now, for this new tripled case, we announce the following two results:

Theorem 4.2. Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a partial metric p on X such that (X, p) is a partial metric space. Suppose $F: X^3 \rightarrow X$ and $g: X \rightarrow X$ are such that F has the mixed g -monotone property with $F(X^3) \subset g(X)$ and $g(X)$ is a 0-complete subspace of X . Assume there is a function $\varphi \in \Phi$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) + p(F(y, x, y), F(v, u, v)) + p(F(z, y, z), F(w, v, w)) \\ \leq 3\varphi \left(\frac{p(gx, gu) + p(gy, gv) + p(gz, gw)}{3} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

for any $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$ for which $gx \preceq gu$, $gy \succeq gv$ and $gz \preceq gw$. Suppose either F is continuous or (X, p, \preceq) is regular. If there exist $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in X$ such that

$$gx_0 \preceq F(x_0, y_0, z_0), \quad gy_0 \succeq F(y_0, x_0, y_0) \text{ and } gz_0 \preceq F(z_0, y_0, z_0),$$

then there exist $x, y, z \in X$ such that

$$F(x, y, z) = gx, \quad F(y, x, y) = gy, \quad \text{and } F(z, y, z) = gz,$$

that is, F and g have a new type tripled coincidence point.

Proof. The proof is very similar to the proof of the Theorem 3.1, so we will omit it. Only here, we consider sequences $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ in X such that

$$gx_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n, z_n), \quad gy_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n, y_n) \quad \text{and } gz_{n+1} = F(z_n, y_n, z_n) \quad \text{for } n=0,1,2,\dots$$

□

Theorem 4.3. Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a partial metric p on X such that (X, p) is a partial metric space. Suppose $F : X^3 \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ are such that F has the mixed g -monotone property with $F(X^3) \subset g(X)$ and $g(X)$ is a 0-complete subspace of X . Assume there is a function $\varphi \in \Phi$ such that

$$p(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) \leq \varphi(\max\{p(gx, gu), p(gy, gv), p(gz, gw)\}) \quad (4.2)$$

for any $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$ for which $gx \preceq gu$, $gy \succeq gv$ and $gz \preceq gw$. Suppose either F is continuous or (X, p, \preceq) is regular. If there exist $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in X$ such that

$$gx_0 \preceq F(x_0, y_0, z_0), \quad gy_0 \succeq F(y_0, x_0, y_0) \text{ and } gz_0 \preceq F(z_0, y_0, z_0),$$

then there exist $x, y, z \in X$ such that

$$F(x, y, z) = gx, F(y, x, y) = gy, \text{ and } F(z, y, z) = gz,$$

that is., F and g have a new type tripled coincidence point.

Proof. The proof is similiar to the proof of the Theorem 3.4. Here, we consider sequences $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ in X such that

$$gx_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n, z_n), gy_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n, y_n) \text{ and } gz_{n+1} = F(z_n, y_n, z_n) \text{ for } n=0,1,2,\dots$$

It holds that $gx_n \preceq gx_{n+1}$, $gy_{n+1} \preceq gy_n$ and $gz_n \preceq gz_{n+1}$. Applying (4.2) with $x=x_n$, $y=z_n$, $z=x_n$, $u=x_{n+1}$, $v=z_{n+1}$ and $w=x_{n+1}$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & p(F(x_n, y_n, z_n), F(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, z_{n+1})) \\ & \leq \varphi(\max\{p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), p(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), p(gz_n, gz_{n+1})\}) \end{aligned}$$

that is.,

$$p(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) \leq \varphi(\max\{p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), p(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), p(gz_n, gz_{n+1})\})$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(gy_{n+1}, gy_{n+2}) & \leq \varphi(\max\{p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), p(gy_n, gy_{n+1})\}) \\ & \leq \varphi(\max\{p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), p(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), p(gz_n, gz_{n+1})\}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} p(gz_{n+1}, gz_{n+2}) & \leq \varphi(\max\{p(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), p(gz_n, gz_{n+1})\}) \\ & \leq \varphi(\max\{p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), p(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), p(gz_n, gz_{n+1})\}) \end{aligned}$$

It follows:

$$\max \{ p(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}), p(gy_{n+1}, gy_{n+2}), p(gz_{n+1}, gz_{n+2}) \} \quad (4.3)$$

$$\leq \varphi \left(\max \{ p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), p(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), p(gz_n, gz_{n+1}) \} \right)$$

As in the proof of the Theorem 3.4. it follows $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(gy_{n+1}, gy_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(gz_{n+1}, gz_n) = 0$.

We next prove that $\{gx_n\}$, $\{gy_n\}$ and $\{gz_n\}$ are 0-Cauchy sequences in the space (X, p) in the same way as in the proof of the Theorem 3.4. Applying condition (4.2) to elements $x=x_{n(k)}$, $y=z_{n(k)}$, $Z=x_{n(k)}$, $u=x_{m(k)-1}$, $v=z_{m(k)-1}$ and $w=x_{m(k)-1}$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} p(gx_{n(k)+1}, gx_{m(k)}) &= p(F(x_{n(k)}, y_{n(k)}, z_{n(k)}), F(x_{m(k)-1}, y_{m(k)-1}, z_{m(k)-1})) \\ &\leq \varphi \left(\max \{ p(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{m(k)-1}), p(gy_{n(k)}, gy_{m(k)-1}), p(gz_{n(k)}, gz_{m(k)-1}) \} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$p(gy_{n(k)+1}, gy_{m(k)}) \leq \varphi \left(\max \{ p(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{m(k)-1}), p(gy_{n(k)}, gy_{m(k)-1}), p(gz_{n(k)}, gz_{m(k)-1}) \} \right)$$

and

$$p(gz_{n(k)+1}, gz_{m(k)}) \leq \varphi \left(\max \{ p(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{m(k)-1}), p(gy_{n(k)}, gy_{m(k)-1}), p(gz_{n(k)}, gz_{m(k)-1}) \} \right).$$

From the previous we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \{ p(gx_{n(k)+1}, gx_{m(k)}), p(gy_{n(k)+1}, gy_{m(k)}), p(gz_{n(k)+1}, gz_{m(k)}) \} \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \varphi \left(\max \{ p(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{m(k)-1}), p(gy_{n(k)}, gy_{m(k)-1}), p(gz_{n(k)}, gz_{m(k)-1}) \} \right) \right\} \\ & = \varphi \left(\max \{ p(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{m(k)-1}), p(gy_{n(k)}, gy_{m(k)-1}), p(gz_{n(k)}, gz_{m(k)-1}) \} \right) \end{aligned}$$

If we pass to the limit when $k \rightarrow \infty$ we get $\varepsilon \leq \varphi(\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$, which is a contradiction since $\varepsilon > 0$. This shows that $\{gx_n\}$, $\{gy_n\}$ and $\{gz_n\}$ are 0-Cauchy sequences in the space (X, p) . Since gX is a 0-complete, there exist $x, y, z \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(gx_n, gx) = p(gx, gx) = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(gy_n, gy) = p(gy, gy) = 0, \quad \text{and} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(gz_n, gz) = p(gz, gz) = 0.$$

Suppose that F is continuous. As in the proof of the Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(gx, F(x, y, z)) &= p(F(x, y, z), F(x, y, z)), \\ p(gy, F(y, x, y)) &= p(F(y, x, y), F(y, x, y)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$p(gz, F(z, y, z)) = p(F(z, y, z), F(z, y, z)).$$

Since $gx \preceq gx$, $gz \succeq gz$ and $gx \preceq gx$, then applying condition (4.2) with $u=x$, $v=z$, $w=x$ we obtain that $p(F(x, y, z), F(x, y, z)) = 0$.

Similarly, we have $p(F(y, x, y), F(y, x, y)) = 0$ and $p(F(z, y, z), F(z, y, z)) = 0$. It follows $gx = F(x, y, z)$, $gy = F(y, x, y)$ and $gz = F(z, y, z)$.

Suppose that (X, p, \preceq) is regular. Then, since (gx_n, gy_n, gz_n) is comparable with (gx, gy, gz) we have that according to (4.2)

$$\begin{aligned} p(F(x_n, y_n, z_n), F(x, y, z)) &\leq \varphi \left(\max \{ p(gx_n, gx), p(gy_n, gy), p(gz_n, gz) \} \right) \\ p(F(y_n, x_n, y_n), F(y, x, y)) &\leq \varphi \left(\max \{ p(gy_n, gy), p(gx_n, gx), p(gy_n, gy) \} \right) \\ p(F(z_n, y_n, z_n), F(z, y, z)) &\leq \varphi \left(\max \{ p(gz_n, gz), p(gy_n, gy), p(gz_n, gz) \} \right) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & p(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y, z)) + p(gy_{n+1}, F(y, x, y)) + p(gz_{n+1}, F(z, y, z)) \\ & \leq 3\varphi(\max\{p(gx_n, gx), p(gy_n, gy), p(gz_n, gz)\}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Now, taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ from (4.4) follows:

$$p(gx, F(x, y, z)) + p(gy, F(y, x, y)) + p(gz, F(z, y, z)) = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

From (4.5) follows $gx = F(x, y, z)$, $gy = F(y, x, y)$ and $gz = F(z, y, z)$.

In both cases we have obtained that F and g have a new type tripled coincidence point. □

The following example supports our new result.

Example 4.4 Let $X = \mathbf{R}$ with $p(x, y) = d(x, y) = |x - y|$ and usual order and let $g(x) = x$, $F(x, y, z) = \frac{4x - 4y + 3z + 1}{48}$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. Further, take $\varphi(t) = \frac{7t}{16}$, $t \in [0, +\infty)$. All conditions of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied. Indeed, for $x \prec u$, $y \succ v$ and $z \prec w$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) &= \frac{1}{48} |4(x - u) - 4(y - v) + 3(z - w)| \\ &\leq \frac{4}{48} (|x - u| + |y - v| + |z - w|) \end{aligned}$$

and similar

$$\begin{aligned} p(F(y, x, y), F(v, u, v)) &= \frac{7}{48} (|x - u| + |y - v|) \\ &\leq \frac{7}{48} (|x - u| + |y - v| + |z - w|) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} p(F(z, y, z), F(w, v, w)) &= \frac{7}{48} (|y - v| + |z - w|) \\ &\leq \frac{7}{48} (|x - u| + |y - v| + |z - w|). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &p(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) + p(F(y, x, y), F(v, u, v)) + p(F(z, y, z), F(w, v, w)) \\ &\leq 3 \frac{7}{16} \frac{p(x, u) + p(y, v) + p(z, w)}{3} = 3\varphi \left(\frac{p(x, u) + p(y, v) + p(z, w)}{3} \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that F and g have a new type tripled coincidence point. Here, $(\frac{1}{45}, \frac{1}{45}, \frac{1}{45})$ is a new type tripled coincidence point.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abbas, M. Ali Khan and S. Radenović, Common coupled fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces for w -compatible mappings, Applied Mathematics and Computation 217 (2010) 195-202.
- [2] M. Abbas, T. Nazir, S. Romaguera, Fixed point results for generalized cyclic contraction mappings in partial metric spaces, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM. doi: 10.1007/s13398-011-0051-5.
- [3] T. Abdeljawad, E. Karapinar, K. Tas, Existence and uniqueness of a common fixed point on partial metric spaces, Appl. Math. Lett. 24(2011) 1900-1904.
- [4] I. Altun, S. Romaguera, Characterizations of partial metric completeness in terms of weakly contractive mappings having fixed point, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. (to appear) DOI: 10.2298/AADM120322009A
- [5] H. Aydi, E. Karapinar, M. Postolache, Tripled coincidence point theorems for weak φ -contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Applications 2012, 2012:44, doi: 10.1186/1687-1812-2012-44.
- [6] H. Aydi, E. Karapinar, B. Samet, Remarks on some recent fixed point theorems, Fixed Point Theory and Applications 2012, 2012:76, doi: 10.1186/1687-1812-2012-76
- [7] M. Bakutin, R. Kopperman, S. Matthews, H. Pajoohesh, Partial metric spaces, Am. Math. Monthly 116 (2009) 708-718.
- [8] V. Berinde, M. Borcut, Tripled fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, Nonlinear Analysis 74 (2011) 4889-4897.

- [9] V. Berinde, M. Borcut, Tripled coincidence theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 218 (2012) 5929-5936.
- [10] T.G. Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikantham, Fixed point theorems in partially ordered cone metric spaces and applications, *Nonlinear Analysis* 65 (2006) 825-832.
- [11] A. G. Bin Ahmad, Z. M. Fadail, V. Ćojbašić Rajić, S. Radenović, Nonlinear contractions in 0-complete partial metric spaces, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Vol. 2012, article ID 451239, 12 pages, doi:10.1155/2012/451239.
- [12] M. Borcut, Tripled coincidence theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 218 (2012) 7339-7346.
- [13] B. S. Coudhury, E. Karapinar and A. Kundu, Tripled coincidence point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 2012, in press.
- [14] B. S. Choudhury and A. Kundu, A coupled coincidence point result in partially ordered metric spaces for compatible mappings, *Nonlinear Analysis* 8 (2010) 2524-2531.
- [15] Z. Golubović, Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenović, Coupled coincidence points of mappings in ordered partial metric spaces, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Vol. 2012, Article ID 192581, 18 pages, doi: 10.1155/2012/192581.
- [16] N. Hussain, Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenović, Comparison functions and fixed point results in partial metric spaces, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Vol. 2012, Article ID 605781, 15 pages, doi:10.1155/2012/605781
- [17] D. Ilić, V. Pavlović and V. Rakočević, Some new extensions of Banach's contractions principle in partial metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 24 (2011) 1326-1330.
- [18] M. Jleli, V. Ćojbašić Rajić, B. Samet and C. Vetro, Fixed point theorems on ordered metric spaces and applications to nonlinear beam equations, *Journal of Fixed Point Theory and its Applications* (2012), doi: 10.1007/s11784-012-0081-4.
- [19] Z. Kadelburg, H. K. Nashine, S. Radenović, Fixed point results under various contractive conditions in partial metric spaces, *Rev. R. Acad.Cienc.Exactas Fis.Nat. Ser. AMat. RACSAM.* doi: 10.1007/s13398-012-0066-6.
- [20] V. Lakshmikantham and Lj. Ćirić, Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partial ordered metric space, *Nonlinear Analysis* 70 (2009) 4341-4349.
- [21] N. V. Luong and N. X. Thuan, Coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces, *Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 4 (2010) 16-24.
- [22] N. V. Luong and N. X. Thuan, Coupled fixed points in partially ordered metric spaces and application, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 74(2011)983-992.
- [23] S. G. Matthews, Partial metric topology, Research Report 212. Dept. of Computer Science, University of Warwick, 1992.
- [24] S. G. Matthews, Partial metric topology, Proc. 8th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications, *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.* 728 (1994), 183-197.
- [25] S. Oltra, S. Romaguera, E. A. Sanchez-Perez, Bicompleting weightable quasi-metric spaces and partial metric spaces, *Rend. Circ.Mat. Palermo* 51(2002)151-162.

- [26] S. Oltra and O. Valer, Banach's fixed point theorem for partial metric spaces, *Rend. Istit. Math. Univ. Trieste* 36 (2004) 17-26.
- [27] S. Radenović, Z. Kadelburg, D. Jandrlić, A. Jandrlić, Some results on weakly contractive maps, *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society* (2011), available online since 30 March 2011.
- [28] S. Romaguera, Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions on partial metric spaces, *Topol. Appl.* 159 (2012) 194-199.
- [29] B. Samet, Coupled fixed point theorems for a generalized Meir-Keeler Contraction in partially ordered metric spaces, *Nonlinear Analysis* 72 (2010) 4508-4517.

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Economics, Kamenička 6, 11000 Beograd, Serbia