

Fixed Point Theorems for Contractive Mappings in Non–Archimedean Fuzzy Metric–Like Spaces

Hawa Ibnouf Osman Ibnouf*

Department of Mathematics, College of science, Qassim University, Saudi Arabia

*Corresponding author: h.ibnouf@qu.edu.sa

Abstract. A new fixed point theorem is established for generalized contractive mappings in NA-FMLS. The approach utilizes the ultrametric property of the fuzzy metric to ensure the convergence and uniqueness of the fixed point. This result extends several existing principles in fuzzy and b -metric settings and provides a unified framework for further applications in fuzzy nonlinear analysis.

1. INTRODUCTION

FP theory in FMS plays a crucial role in the analysis of nonlinear systems involving uncertainty and imprecision. Since the pioneering work of Kramosil & Michálek [9] and the refinement by George & Veeramani [10], FMS have become a rich environment for generalizing classical FP results. Recently, the concept of non–Archimedean FMS has been developed to model ultrametric-type behaviors, where the triangular inequality is replaced by a stronger non–Archimedean condition (see Roldán et al. [1]). Such structures naturally arise in p -adic analysis, digital topology, and nonlocal dynamics.

The present investigation is intended to establish a new FP theorem for a broad class of generalized contractive mappings defined on NA-FMLS. The introduced framework generalizes several existing results in fuzzy and b -metric settings and provides a unified tool for analyzing convergence in ultrametric fuzzy environments. The obtained theorem also ensures the existence and uniqueness of FPs via a suitable contractive inequality involving six control parameters.

Definition 1.1. [1, 10] Let $(\mathcal{Y}, \Xi, *)$ be a FMS, where $*$ represents a continuous t -norm. The space is called a FMS if the mapping $\Xi : \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y} \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ fulfils the following properties for every $z, v, l \in \mathcal{Y}$ and for all $s, t > 0$:

Received: Nov. 8, 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47H10, 54H25.

Key words and phrases. non–Archimedean fuzzy metric–like space; fixed point theorem; generalized contraction.

- (1) $\Xi(\mathfrak{z}, v, 0) = 0$ and $\Xi(\mathfrak{z}, v, s) = 1$ iff $\mathfrak{z} = v$;
- (2) $\Xi(\mathfrak{z}, v, s) = \Xi(v, \mathfrak{z}, s)$;
- (3) $\Xi(\mathfrak{z}, v, s) * \Xi(v, l, t) \leq \Xi(\mathfrak{z}, l, s + t)$;
- (4) $\Xi(\mathfrak{z}, v, \cdot)$ is continuous on $(0, \infty)$.

If, in addition, the stronger non-Archimedean property

$$\Xi(\mathfrak{z}, l, s) \geq \Xi(\mathfrak{z}, v, s) * \Xi(v, l, s)$$

holds for all $\mathfrak{z}, v, l \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $s > 0$, then $(\mathcal{Y}, \Xi, *)$ is called a NA-FMLS.

For a fixed $s > 0$, define the auxiliary function

$$\Delta(\mathfrak{z}, v) := 1 - \Xi(\mathfrak{z}, v, s), \quad \mathfrak{z}, v \in \mathcal{Y},$$

so that Δ is an ultrametric on \mathcal{Y} induced by the non-Archimedean fuzzy metric.

Theorem 1.1. Let $(\mathcal{Y}, \Xi, *)$ be an Ξ -complete NA-FMLS. Fix $s > 0$ and set Δ as above. Let $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a mapping for which there exist nonnegative constants $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_6$ satisfying

$$\Lambda := \sum_{i=1}^6 \lambda_i < 1,$$

and such that for all $\mathfrak{z}, v \in \mathcal{Y}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\mathcal{T}\mathfrak{z}, \mathcal{T}v) &\leq \lambda_1 \Delta(\mathfrak{z}, v) + \lambda_2 \Delta(\mathfrak{z}, \mathcal{T}\mathfrak{z}) + \lambda_3 \Delta(v, \mathcal{T}v) \\ &\quad + \lambda_4 \Delta(\mathfrak{z}, \mathcal{T}v) + \lambda_5 \Delta(v, \mathcal{T}\mathfrak{z}) + \lambda_6 (\Delta(\mathfrak{z}, \mathcal{T}v) + \Delta(v, \mathcal{T}\mathfrak{z})). \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

Then \mathcal{T} has a UFP $\Gamma^* \in \mathcal{Y}$ and, for any $l_0 \in \mathcal{Y}$, the Picard iteration $l_{n+1} = \mathcal{T}l_n$ converges to Γ^* in the fuzzy metric Ξ .

Proof. Let $l_0 \in \mathcal{Y}$ and define $l_{n+1} = \mathcal{T}l_n$. Denote $\Delta_n := \Delta(l_{n+1}, l_n)$. Applying (1.1) with $\mathfrak{z} = l_n$, $v = l_{n-1}$ and using the ultrametric property $\Delta(l_{n-1}, l_{n+1}) \leq \max\{\Delta_{n-1}, \Delta_n\}$, we obtain

$$\Delta_n \leq \kappa \Delta_{n-1}, \quad \kappa := \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_5 + \lambda_6}{1 - \lambda_2} < 1,$$

since $\Lambda < 1$. By induction, $\Delta_n \rightarrow 0$, so $\{l_n\}$ is Cauchy. Completeness of $(\mathcal{Y}, \Xi, *)$ ensures convergence to some $\Gamma^* \in \mathcal{Y}$.

Passing to the limit in (1.1) with $\mathfrak{z} = l_n$ and $v = \Gamma^*$ gives $\Delta(\Gamma^*, \mathcal{T}\Gamma^*) = 0$, i.e., Γ^* is a FP. Uniqueness follows from the contraction: if w^* is another FP, $\Delta(\Gamma^*, w^*) \leq (\lambda_1 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5 + \lambda_6) \Delta(\Gamma^*, w^*) < \Delta(\Gamma^*, w^*)$, so $\Gamma^* = w^*$. Picard iteration converges to Γ^* by construction. \square

Corollary 1.1. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, if the mapping \mathcal{T} satisfies the simpler condition

$$\Delta(\mathcal{T}\mathfrak{z}, \mathcal{T}v) \leq \lambda \max\{\Delta(\mathfrak{z}, v), \Delta(\mathfrak{z}, \mathcal{T}\mathfrak{z}), \Delta(v, \mathcal{T}v)\}, \quad 0 \leq \lambda < 1,$$

then \mathcal{T} has a UFP in \mathcal{Y} and the Picard iteration converges to it.

Proof. The result follows directly from Theorem 1.1 by setting $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda$ and $\lambda_4 = \lambda_5 = \lambda_6 = 0$. \square

Theorem 1.2. Let $(\mathcal{Y}, \Xi, *)$ be an Ξ -complete NA-FMLS, and let $\Delta(\mathfrak{z}, v) = 1 - \Xi(\mathfrak{z}, v, s)$. Suppose $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ satisfies

$$\Delta(\mathcal{T}\mathfrak{z}, \mathcal{T}v) \leq \alpha \Delta(\mathfrak{z}, v) + \beta(\Delta(\mathfrak{z}, \mathcal{T}\mathfrak{z}) + \Delta(v, \mathcal{T}v)) + \gamma \max\{\Delta(\mathfrak{z}, \mathcal{T}v), \Delta(v, \mathcal{T}\mathfrak{z})\},$$

for constants $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$ with $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma < 1$. Then \mathcal{T} has a UFP \mathfrak{l}^* , and the Picard iteration converges to it.

Proof. Start with any $\mathfrak{l}_0 \in \mathcal{Y}$ and define $\mathfrak{l}_{n+1} = \mathcal{T}\mathfrak{l}_n$. Denote $\Delta_n := \Delta(\mathfrak{l}_{n+1}, \mathfrak{l}_n)$. Using the contraction and the ultrametric property $\Delta(\mathfrak{l}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{l}_{n+1}) \leq \max\{\Delta_{n-1}, \Delta_n\}$, we obtain a simple recursive bound

$$\Delta_n \leq \kappa \Delta_{n-1}, \quad \kappa := \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta} < 1.$$

By induction, $\Delta_n \rightarrow 0$, so $\{\mathfrak{l}_n\}$ is Cauchy. Completeness ensures $\mathfrak{l}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{l}^* \in \mathcal{Y}$.

Passing to the limit in the contraction shows $\Delta(\mathfrak{l}^*, \mathcal{T}\mathfrak{l}^*) = 0$, hence \mathfrak{l}^* is a FP. Uniqueness follows similarly: for any other FP w^* , $\Delta(\mathfrak{l}^*, w^*) \leq (\alpha + \gamma)\Delta(\mathfrak{l}^*, w^*) < \Delta(\mathfrak{l}^*, w^*)$, so $\Delta(\mathfrak{l}^*, w^*) = 0$, i.e., $\mathfrak{l}^* = w^*$. Picard iteration converges by construction. \square

2. APPLICATION TO INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

Consider the nonlinear Volterra integral equation

$$u(t) = g(t) + \int_0^t K(t, s, u(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where g and K are continuous. Define $(\mathcal{T}u)(t) = g(t) + \int_0^t K(t, s, u(s)) ds$.

On $C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$, define

$$M(u, v, s) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|u - v\|_\infty + \min\{|u(0)|, |v(0)|\}}{s}\right), \quad s > 0.$$

Then $M(u, u, s) = e^{-|u(0)|/s} \leq 1$, so $(C([0, T], \mathbb{R}), M, *)$ is a complete NA-FMLS.

If K satisfies

$$|K(t, s, u) - K(t, s, v)| \leq \alpha|u - v| + \beta|u - \mathcal{T}u| + \gamma|u - \mathcal{T}v|,$$

for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$ with $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma < 1$, then \mathcal{T} is a contraction in this fuzzy metric-like space. Hence \mathcal{T} admits a UFP u^* , which is the unique solution of the integral equation.

When $u(0) = 0$, M reduces to the usual non-Archimedean fuzzy metric $M(u, v, s) = e^{-\|u - v\|_\infty / s}$.

Abbreviations: FP- Fixed Point, UFP- Unique Fixed Point, FMS - fuzzy metric spaces, NA-FMLS - non-Archimedean fuzzy metric-like spaces

Acknowledgment: The researcher would like to thank the Deanship of Graduate Studies and Scientific Research at Qassim University for financial support (QU-APC-2025).

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.F. Roldán López de Hierro, A. Fulga, E. Karapınar, N. Shahzad, Proinov-Type Fixed-Point Results in Non-Archimedean Fuzzy Metric Spaces, *Mathematics* 9 (2021), 1594. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math9141594>.
- [2] K. Dinesh, D. Gerbeti, K. Zoto, H. Ibnouf, Fixed Point Theorems in Fuzzy Metric Spaces via Generalized Rational-Type Contractions., *Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci.* (2025), 1003–1011. <https://doi.org/10.69793/ijmcs/04.2025/zoto>.
- [3] S. Siddamsetti, M.M. Qasim, N. Sravanthi, K. Dinesh, V. Velmurugan, et al., Wireless Sensor Networks with Modular Metric Spaces, *J. Interdiscip. Math.* 28 (2025), 659–667. <https://doi.org/10.47974/jim-2109>.
- [4] T. Gopalakrishnan, H.Z. Almgoshi, V.K. Koppula, K. Dinesh, V.K. Sonthi, et al., Fractal Image Compression Using Digital Cone Metric Space, *J. Interdiscip. Math.* 28 (2025), 647–658. <https://doi.org/10.47974/jim-2108>.
- [5] K. Dinesh, E. Abdalrhim, M.S. Jazmati, M.A. Mohamed, D. Rizk, Fixed Point Theorems of Multivalued Mappings of Integral Type Contraction in Cone Metric Space and Its Applications, *Results Nonlinear Anal.* 8 (2025), 124–130. <https://doi.org/10.31838/rna/2025.08.01.011>.
- [6] K. Zoto, I. Vardhami, D. Bajović, Z. D. Mitrović, S. Radenović, On Some Novel Fixed Point Results for Generalized α -Contractions in b -metric-Like Spaces with Application, *Comput. Model. Eng. Sci.* 135 (2023), 673–686. <https://doi.org/10.32604/cmcs.2022.022878>.
- [7] H. Huang, K. Zoto, Z.D. Mitrović, S. Radenović, Fixed Point Results for Generalized F-Contractions in B-Metric-Like Spaces, *Fractal Fract.* 6 (2022), 272. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fractalfract6050272>.
- [8] S. Siddamsetti, H.Z. Almgoshi, K. Dinesh, G.C. Prashant, M.A. Bennet, et al., Modular Metric Spaces: Some Fixed-Point Theorems and Application of Secure Dynamic Routing for WSN, *J. Interdiscip. Math.* 27 (2024), 393–401. <https://doi.org/10.47974/jim-1890>.
- [9] I. Kramosil, J. Michalek, Fuzzy Metrics and Statistical Metric Spaces, *Kybernetika* 11 (1975), 336–344. <https://eudml.org/doc/28711>.
- [10] A. George, P. Veeramani, On Some Results in Fuzzy Metric Spaces, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 64 (1994), 395–399. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(94\)90162-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(94)90162-7).
- [11] Dinesh Kannan, Hawa Ibnouf Osman Ibnouf, Parametric Metric Spaces and Integral-Type Contractions: New Fixed Point Theorems with Applications, *Gulf J. Math.* 21 (2025), 512–521. <https://doi.org/10.56947/gjom.v21i1.3500>.
- [12] K. Dinesh, E. Sila, K. Zoto, B. Shoba, D. Rizk, A Novel Approach of Digital Parametric Metric Space, *J. Interdiscip. Math.* 28 (2025), 2677–2686. <https://doi.org/10.47974/jim-2313>.