Int. J. Anal. Appl. (2026), 24:92

International Journal of Analysis and Applications

From Derivations to Automorphism: A Cohomological Classification of n-Structures in Nest Algebras

Khalil H. Hakami*

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Jazan University, P.O. Box. 114, Jazan 45142, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

**Corresponding author:* khakami@jazanu.edu.sa

Abstract. This paper develops a unified cohomological framework for analyzing n-derivations and their induced derivational automorphisms on nest algebras, extending classical Hochschild cohomology into the realm of higher-order operator algebraic structures. By constructing explicit n-cochain complexes adapted to the triangular nature of nest algebras, we classify n-derivations up to cohomological equivalence and provide precise criteria for their innerness. We demonstrate that the vanishing of higher-order cohomology groups corresponds to structural rigidity, while non-trivial cohomology classes reflect obstructions to decomposability and inner implementation. Moreover, we show that cohomologically trivial n-derivations preserve essential algebraic features, including the radical, center, invariant subspaces, and two-sided ideals. Through explicit computations of $H^n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ for low-dimensional examples, we verify the existence of non-trivial cohomological classes. Additionally, we introduce a dual cohomology theory for n-automorphisms via exponential mappings and establish a bidirectional correspondence between infinitesimal derivations and global automorphisms. This approach unifies derivational and automorphic symmetries under a single cohomological classification, offering new perspectives toward deformation theory, categorical dualities, and quantum operator structures.

1. Introduction

Derivations and automorphisms form the backbone of symmetry and deformation theory in both classical and modern algebra. In associative and Lie algebras, derivations serve as infinitesimal generators of structural change, satisfying the Leibniz rule, while automorphisms capture global invariances of the algebraic system. Within the realm of operator algebras, these concepts have provided critical insights into functional identities, invariant subspace structures, and representation theory [1,2].

Received: Dec. 22, 2025.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A01, 65L10, 65L12, 65L20, 65L70.

Key words and phrases. n-derivations on nest algebras; cohomological triviality and innerness; higher-order Hochschild cohomology; structural rigidity and radical preservation; dual cohomology of n-automorphisms.

https://doi.org/10.28924/2291-8639-24-2026-92
ISSN: 2291-8639

In recent years, these foundational notions have been generalized to their higher-order analogs known as n-derivations and n-automorphisms. Initially introduced in the study of Lie and Jordan algebras [3, 4], n-derivations extend the classical derivation identity to multilinear settings, distributing the derivation action across n multiplicative inputs. These higher-order structures have found applications in symmetric spaces, Lie superalgebras, and deformation theory [5, 6], contributing to a broader understanding of algebraic rigidity and cohomological obstructions.

Nest algebras, first introduced by Ringrose [2], comprise a prominent class of non-self-adjoint operator algebras characterized by bounded linear operators that preserve a totally ordered family of closed subspaces. These algebras provide a rich testing ground for exploring noncommutative triangular structures. Classical results reveal that all derivations on nest algebras are inner under mild conditions [7, 8], yet the behavior of higher-order derivations such as triple, local, or generalized n-derivations remains less thoroughly investigated [8–10].

Theory of n-derivations and n-automorphisms on nest algebras applying with exponential mapping is discussed where it is shown such derivations not only act as infinitesimal generators of higher-order automorphisms but also preserve key algebraic invariants, including the radical, center, and subspace chains inherent to nest algebras. Despite its foundational nature, this contribution left open deeper structural questions concerning the algebraic classification and cohomological nature of n-derivations. Recently, higher-order derivations and their applications in algebraic structures have been studied by Moin [11], whereas an exploration of symmetric n-derivations in nest algebras is given by Moin [12].

This article develops a cohomological framework for studying n-derivations on nest algebras, inspired by classical Hochschild cohomology [13] and recent progress in local and 2-local derivation theory [14, 15]. We construct an explicit n-cochain complex and define cohomology groups $H^n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ to classify derivations up to coboundary equivalence. This framework introduces the notion of *cohomologically trivial n-derivations*, enabling a precise distinction between inner and outer derivational behavior. We then establish that the vanishing of H^n implies structural rigidity, while the existence of non-trivial cohomology classes signals the presence of algebraic obstructions to innerness and decomposition.

We further analyze how these cohomological properties influence key features of nest algebras, including the preservation of radicals, centers, two-sided ideals, and invariant subspaces. Our investigation also extends to the dual side of the theory: by formalizing a cohomology theory for n-automorphisms, we characterize their relation to n-derivations via exponential mappings and explore the potential for bidirectional symmetry through dual cohomology.

The results are illustrated through explicit matrix examples in low-dimensional triangular algebras, where we compute cohomology groups H^n and verify non-triviality using symbolic algebra. These concrete cases confirm the presence of rich cohomological structure and validate the theoretical framework established herein.

Organization of the paper: In Section 2, we present foundational definitions and classical results required for our construction. Section 3 introduces the n-cochain complex and provides criteria for cohomological triviality. Section 4 explores the structural consequences of n-derivations, including their action on radicals, centers, and ideals. Section 5 presents explicit cohomology computations for small-dimensional nest algebras. Section 6 provides illustrative examples and matrix-based derivations. Section 7 develops a dual cohomology theory for n-automorphisms. Finally, Section 8 offers generalizations, future problems, and concluding remarks.

2. Preliminaries

This section introduces foundational concepts, prior results, and notations essential for our development of a cohomological theory for n-derivations in nest algebras. We include relevant structural theorems and derivation identities from the literature, which we later generalize in the cohomological setting.

2.1. **Nest Algebras and Structural Context.**

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a complex Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{N}$ be a *nest* on $\mathcal{H}$, i.e., a totally ordered set of closed subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$, closed under intersections and closed linear spans. The associated *nest algebra* is $\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N}) := \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid T(N) \subseteq N \text{ for all } N \in \mathcal{N}\}$.

These algebras generalize the upper triangular matrix algebras and serve as primary examples of reflexive algebras [2].

We denote the Jacobson radical of $\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N})$ by $\mathrm{Rad}(\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N}))$, and its center by $Z(\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N}))$.

Definition 2.1 (Triangular Nest Algebra [8])**.** *A nest algebra* $\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N})$ *is called* triangular *if it contains no nontrivial self-adjoint elements; that is, if $T^* = T$ implies $T = \lambda I$ for some scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.*

2.2. **Higher-Order Derivations and Related Maps.**

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an algebra over $\mathbb{C}$.

Definition 2.2 (Derivation)**.** *A map $D : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is called a* derivation *if $D(ab) = D(a)b + aD(b), \quad$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. It is called* inner *if there exists $x \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $D(a) = [x, a] = xa - ax$.*

Definition 2.3. *A linear map $D_n : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is an n-*derivation *if*
$D_n(a_1a_2\cdots a_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_1\cdots a_{i-1}D_n(a_i)a_{i+1}\cdots a_n$*, for all $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Lemma 2.1 (Linearity of n-Derivations)**.** *Every n-derivation D_n on a complex associative algebra is $\mathbb{C}$-linear.*

Theorem 2.1. *If D_{n_1} and D_{n_2} are n_1- and n_2-derivations on $\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N})$, then their composition $D_{n_1} \circ D_{n_2}$ is an $(n_1 + n_2 - 1)$-derivation.*

Theorem 2.2. *If D_{n_1} and D_{n_2} are n_1- and n_2-derivations, then the commutator $[D_{n_1}, D_{n_2}] = D_{n_1} \circ D_{n_2} - D_{n_2} \circ D_{n_1}$ is an $(n_1 + n_2 - 2)$-derivation.*

Theorem 2.3. *[8] Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N})$. Then every Lie triple derivation D on $\mathcal{A}$ has the form $D(T) = [A, T] + f(T), \quad$ for some $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and linear f vanishing on commutators.*

Theorem 2.4. *[16] Every 2-local Lie derivation on a triangular nest algebra is a derivation.*

These results underscore that even non-linear or local derivations may behave like genuine derivations in nest algebra contexts.

2.3. **Cohomological Tools and Derivation Interpretation.** The classical Hochschild cohomology provides a natural language for interpreting derivations.

Definition 2.4 (Hochschild Cohomology [13])**.** *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital associative algebra and M an $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. Then $C^n(\mathcal{A}, M) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{A}^{\otimes n}, M)$ is the space of n-cochains, with coboundary operator δ^n given by*

$$\begin{aligned}(\delta^n f)(a_1, \ldots, a_{n+1}) = a_1 f(a_2, \ldots, a_{n+1}) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^i f(a_1, \ldots, a_i a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}) \\ + (-1)^{n+1} f(a_1, \ldots, a_n) a_{n+1}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Definition 2.5 (Cohomologically Trivial Derivation)**.** *An n-derivation D_n is said to be* cohomologically trivial *if it lies in the image of δ^{n-1}, i.e., there exists $f \in C^{n-1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ such that $D_n = \delta^{n-1}(f)$.*

Theorem 2.5 (Hochschild: H^1 and Inner Derivations [13])**.** *$H^1(\mathcal{A}, M) = 0$ if and only if every derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \to M$ is inner.*

2.4. **Preservation Results for Structure.** We also recall results that n-derivations and automorphisms preserve structural components of nest algebras.

Theorem 2.6. *Let D_n be an n-derivation on $\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N})$. Then for every $N \in \mathcal{N}$ and $T \in \mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N})$, we have: $T(N) \subseteq N \quad \Rightarrow \quad D_n(T)(N) \subseteq N$.*

Corollary 2.1. *If $T \in \mathrm{Rad}(\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N}))$, then $D_n(T) \in \mathrm{Rad}(\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N}))$ and more generally $D_n^{(k)}(T)$ also belongs to the radical for all $k \geq 1$.*

3. The n-Cochain Complex and Cohomological Classification of n-Derivations

In this section, we construct a cochain complex tailored for n-derivations on nest algebras and classify such derivations based on their cohomological properties. In particular, we define the appropriate coboundary operator and interpret inner n-derivations as coboundaries in the complex.

3.1. **The n-Cochain Complex over Nest Algebras.** Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N})$ and let M be an $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule, which we will typically take as $\mathcal{A}$ itself. Consider the complex: $C^0(\mathcal{A}, M) \xrightarrow{\delta^0} C^1(\mathcal{A}, M) \xrightarrow{\delta^1} \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta^{n-1}} C^n(\mathcal{A}, M) \xrightarrow{\delta^n} \cdots$ where $C^n(\mathcal{A}, M) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{A}^{\otimes n}, M)$ and δ^n is the Hochschild coboundary operator defined in Equation (2.1). This complex satisfies the identity $\delta^n \circ \delta^{n-1} = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Definition 3.1 (Cohomological n-Derivation)**.** *Let $D_n : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ be a linear map. We say D_n is a* cohomological n-derivation *if there exists a map $f \in C^{n-1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ such that $D_n(a_1 \cdots a_n) = (\delta^{n-1} f)(a_1, \dots, a_n), \quad \forall a_i \in \mathcal{A}$. In this case, D_n is said to be a* coboundary.

Definition 3.2 (Cohomology Class of an n-Derivation)**.** *An n-derivation D_n on $\mathcal{A}$ determines a cohomology class $[D_n] \in H^n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$. If $[D_n] = 0$, then D_n is said to be* cohomologically trivial.

3.2. **Cohomological Classification Results.** The following theorem provides a criterion for identifying when an n-derivation is cohomologically trivial and relates the notion of inner n-derivations to the image of δ^{n-1}.

Theorem 3.1 (Characterization of Cohomologically Trivial n-Derivations)**.** *Let $D_n : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ be an n-derivation. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *D_n is cohomologically trivial; i.e., $[D_n] = 0$ in $H^n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$.*
(2) *There exists $f \in C^{n-1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ such that $D_n = \delta^{n-1} f$.*
(3) *D_n is an inner n-derivation, i.e., there exists $X \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $D_n(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_1 \cdots a_{i-1} [X, a_i] a_{i+1} \cdots a_n$.*

Proof. (1) ⇔ (2): This is immediate by the definition of cohomological triviality.

(2) ⇒ (3): Suppose $f(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = X a_1 \cdots a_{n-1}$ for some fixed $X \in \mathcal{A}$. Then, using Equation (2.1), we obtain $(\delta^{n-1} f)(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_1 \cdots a_{i-1} [X, a_i] a_{i+1} \cdots a_n$. Hence, $D_n = \delta^{n-1} f$ is inner.

(3) ⇒ (2): If D_n is inner as above, then setting $f(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = X a_1 \cdots a_{n-1}$ produces $\delta^{n-1} f = D_n$.

Thus, all three statements are equivalent. □

Corollary 3.1. *Every inner n-derivation is cohomologically trivial. The converse holds if and only if $H^n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) = 0$.*

This result generalizes the classical result that $H^1 = 0$ implies all derivations are inner [13]. It provides a foundation for identifying structural obstructions to innerness and establishing rigidity.

Remark 3.1. *If $H^n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) \neq 0$, then there exist outer n-derivations which are not induced by any bounded linear perturbation of the identity map. These encode deformation directions not realized by inner derivations.*

3.3. **Interpretation in the Nest Algebra Context.** We now specialize the above theory to the case $\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N})$, where $\mathcal{N}$ is a triangular nest.

Theorem 3.2 (Rigidity Criterion for Triangular Nest Algebras)**.** *Let $\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N})$ be a triangular nest algebra. If $H^n(\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N}), \mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N})) = 0$, then every n-derivation is inner and hence of the form $D_n(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_1 \cdots a_{i-1} [X, a_i] a_{i+1} \cdots a_n$, for some $X \in \mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N})$.*

This result highlights the role of vanishing cohomology in enforcing algebraic rigidity. In later sections, we will demonstrate explicit cases where cohomology does not vanish, thus indicating the presence of outer n-derivations.

Theorem 3.3 (Exact Sequence Induced by Cohomologically Trivial n-Derivation)**.** *Let D_n be an n-derivation on $\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N})$ such that $[D_n] = 0$ in $H^n(\mathcal{A}, M)$ for an $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule M. Then D_n fits into a short exact sequence of $\mathbb{C}$-linear maps: $0 \longrightarrow C^{n-1}(\mathcal{A}, M) \xrightarrow{\delta^{n-1}} C^n(\mathcal{A}, M) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{Im}(D_n) \longrightarrow 0$, where π is the projection onto the image of D_n in M.*

Proof. Since $[D_n] = 0$, there exists $f \in C^{n-1}(\mathcal{A}, M)$ such that $D_n = \delta^{n-1}(f)$. Hence, $\mathrm{Im}(D_n) \subseteq \mathrm{Im}(\delta^{n-1})$.

Define $\pi : C^n(\mathcal{A}, M) \to \mathrm{Im}(D_n)$ by $\pi(g) = g|_{\mathrm{supp}(D_n)}$. Then the exactness follows from: - $\ker(\pi) = \mathrm{Im}(\delta^{n-1})$ by construction, - δ^{n-1} is injective because C^{n-1} is a free module (as Hom spaces over vector spaces), - Surjectivity of π follows from the fact that $D_n = \delta^{n-1}(f)$ and all images of f are mapped forward. Thus, the sequence is exact. □

Theorem 3.4 (Vanishing of H^n Implies Perturbation Stability)**.** *Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N})$ be a triangular nest algebra, and suppose $H^n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) = 0$. Then any perturbation $T \mapsto T + \varepsilon D_n(T)$, where D_n is an n-derivation, induces an automorphism of $\mathcal{A}$ for small ε if and only if D_n is cohomologically trivial.*

Proof. Let $T_\varepsilon = T + \varepsilon D_n(T)$ for $T \in \mathcal{A}$ and small $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}$.

Assume first that $[D_n] = 0$. Then by Theorem 3.1, $D_n = \delta^{n-1}(f)$ for some $f \in C^{n-1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$, so that

$T_\varepsilon = T + \varepsilon\delta^{n-1}(f)(T)$.

Define the operator $\Phi_\varepsilon(T) := e^{\varepsilon\,\mathrm{ad}_X}(T)$ for some $X \in \mathcal{A}$, which expands as: $\Phi_\varepsilon(T) = T + \varepsilon[X, T] + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}[X, [X, T]] + \cdots$.

If $f(a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}) = Xa_1 \cdots a_{n-1}$, then $\delta^{n-1}(f)(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_1 \cdots a_{i-1}[X, a_i]a_{i+1} \cdots a_n = [X, T] +$ higher-order terms.

Hence, the exponential map of inner n-derivations approximates the perturbation automorphism to first order in ε.

Conversely, if T_ε defines an automorphism to first order in ε, then it must preserve the multiplicative structure:

$T_\varepsilon^{(1)}T_\varepsilon^{(2)} = T^{(1)}T^{(2)} + \varepsilon\Big(D_n(T^{(1)})T^{(2)} + T^{(1)}D_n(T^{(2)})\Big) + O(\varepsilon^2)$,

which matches the exponential map of an inner derivation only when D_n is cohomologically trivial.

If $[D_n] \neq 0$, then the perturbation cannot be absorbed into an inner automorphism and structural instability occurs.

Thus, $H^n = 0$ implies that small derivational deformations correspond to exponential maps of inner transformations. □

Remark 3.2. *Theorem 3.4 connects cohomology with deformation theory in operator algebras and motivates the study of H^n as a stability indicator. If $H^n \neq 0$, then perturbations can break multiplicative structure irreversibly.*

4. Structural Consequences and Algebraic Invariance

In this section, we examine the implications of n-derivations and their cohomology classes on the structure of nest algebras. We focus on how these maps interact with key algebraic components such as the Jacobson radical, center, ideals, and invariant subspaces.

4.1. **Radical Preservation and Stability.** Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N})$ denote a triangular nest algebra.

Theorem 4.1 (Radical Invariance Under n-Derivations)**.** *Let D_n be an n-derivation on $\mathcal{A}$. Then for any $T \in \mathrm{Rad}(\mathcal{A})$, we have $D_n(T) \in \mathrm{Rad}(\mathcal{A})$. Moreover, if $[D_n] = 0$ in $H^n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$, then $D_n^{(k)}(T) \in \mathrm{Rad}(\mathcal{A})$ for all $k \geq 1$.*

Proof. $D_n(T) \in \mathrm{Rad}(\mathcal{A})$ for $T \in \mathrm{Rad}(\mathcal{A})$ when D_n satisfies the generalized Leibniz identity.

Now suppose $[D_n] = 0$, so $D_n = \delta^{n-1}(f)$ for some $f \in C^{n-1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$. Then $D_n(T)$ is built using products and commutators involving T, which is nilpotent or quasi-nilpotent. The radical is closed under such operations in nest algebras. Since D_n maps into the radical, and iterated derivations $D_n^{(k)}$ are defined recursively using compositions (which are $(kn - k + 1)$-derivations), the stability follows inductively. □

4.2. **Invariance of the Center.**

Theorem 4.2 (Center Preservation by Cohomologically Trivial n-Derivations)**.** *Let $Z(\mathcal{A})$ denote the center of $\mathcal{A}$. If D_n is cohomologically trivial, then*

$$T \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad D_n(T) = 0.$$

Proof. Assume $D_n = \delta^{n-1}(f)$ for some $f \in C^{n-1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$. For any $T \in Z(\mathcal{A})$, we have $[T, A] = 0$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Now apply D_n to T: $D_n(T) = (\delta^{n-1} f)(\underbrace{T, T, \ldots, T}_{n \text{ times}})$.

But each term in $\delta^{n-1}(f)$ involves multiplication or commutation with T, which commutes with every element. Hence, the commutator terms vanish, and we obtain $D_n(T) = 0$.

Thus, the center is pointwise invariant under cohomologically trivial n-derivations. □

Corollary 4.1. *If $H^n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) = 0$, then all n-derivations vanish on the center.*

4.3. **Ideal Containment and Nest Invariance.**

Theorem 4.3 (Ideal Stability Under n-Derivations)**.** *Let $I \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be a two-sided ideal, and let D_n be an n-derivation. Then $D_n(I) \subseteq I$. If $[D_n] = 0$, then every higher-order image $D_n^{(k)}(I) \subseteq I$ as well.*

Proof. Let $T_1, \ldots, T_n \in I \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. Since I is a two-sided ideal and $\mathcal{A}$ is closed under multiplication, we have $T_1 \cdots D_n(T_i) \cdots T_n \in I$ for each i. Therefore,

$$D_n(T_1 \cdots T_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_1 \cdots D_n(T_i) \cdots T_n \in I.$$

For higher powers, apply the same logic inductively on $D_n^{(k)}$ using Theorem 2.1. □

Theorem 4.4 (Preservation of Invariant Subspaces)**.** *Let $N \in \mathcal{N}$ and $T \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $T(N) \subseteq N$. Then for any n-derivation D_n, we have $D_n(T)(N) \subseteq N$.*

Proof. This is actually a generalization. Since $\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N})$ is closed under addition and multiplication and $T(N) \subseteq N$, then every term of the form $T_1 \cdots D_n(T_i) \cdots T_n$ maps N into itself. Hence, so does the sum, i.e., $D_n(T)(N) \subseteq N$. □

4.4. **Decomposition and Operator-Theoretic Behavior.**

Theorem 4.5 (Decomposition Under n-Derivations)**.** *Let $T \in \mathcal{A}$ be written as $T = T_z + T_r$ where $T_z \in Z(\mathcal{A})$ and $T_r \in \mathrm{Rad}(\mathcal{A})$. Then for any n-derivation D_n, we have:*
$D_n(T) = D_n(T_r) \in \mathrm{Rad}(\mathcal{A})$, *and* $D_n(T_z) = 0$ *if* $[D_n] = 0$.

Proof. From Theorem 4.1, $D_n(T_r) \in \mathrm{Rad}(\mathcal{A})$.
Since $T_z \in Z(\mathcal{A})$, and D_n is cohomologically trivial, Theorem 4.2 implies $D_n(T_z) = 0$.
Therefore, $D_n(T) = D_n(T_r) + D_n(T_z) = D_n(T_r)$. □

These structural results demonstrate that n-derivations respect the core subalgebraic properties of nest algebras and exhibit consistent behavior under cohomological constraints.

Theorem 4.6 (Rigidity of Cohomologically Trivial n-Derivations)**.** *Let D_n be a cohomologically trivial n-derivation on $\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N})$. Then there does not exist an extension $\widetilde{D}_n$ of D_n to a larger algebra $\mathcal{B} \supset \mathcal{A}$ such that:*

(1) $\widetilde{D}_n|_{\mathcal{A}} = D_n$,
(2) $\widetilde{D}_n$ *remains an n-derivation on* $\mathcal{B}$,
(3) $\mathcal{B}$ *preserves the nest* $\mathcal{N}$,

unless $\widetilde{D}_n$ is inner on all of $\mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Suppose such an extension $\widetilde{D}_n$ exists. Since $[D_n] = 0$ in $H^n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$, we have $D_n = \delta^{n-1}(f)$ for some $f \in C^{n-1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$.

Now, if $\widetilde{D}_n$ is not inner on $\mathcal{B}$, then there exists $T \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\widetilde{D}_n(T)$ cannot be expressed as a sum of inner n-commutator terms in $\mathcal{B}$.

However, since $T|_{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathcal{A}$ and D_n is inner there, this contradiction implies that any such $\widetilde{D}_n$ must also be inner on all of $\mathcal{B}$. Thus, $\mathcal{A}$ is rigid under cohomologically trivial n-perturbations. □

Remark 4.1. *This theorem confirms that $H^n = 0$ induces strong algebraic rigidity: derivational behavior cannot be extended beyond $\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N})$ without collapsing into inner structure.*

Theorem 4.7 (Nilpotent Action on the Radical)**.** *Let D_n be a cohomologically trivial n-derivation on $\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N})$. Then for every $T \in \mathrm{Rad}(\mathcal{A})$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*
$D_n^m(T) = 0$,
i.e., D_n acts nilpotently on the radical.

Proof. Let $T \in \mathrm{Rad}(\mathcal{A})$. Since D_n is cohomologically trivial, $D_n = \delta^{n-1}(f)$ for some $f \in C^{n-1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$.

Now write $D_n(T) = \sum_i A_i T B_i$ for $A_i, B_i \in \mathcal{A}$. Because T lies in the radical and $\mathrm{Rad}(\mathcal{A})$ is closed under left and right multiplication by elements of $\mathcal{A}$, it follows that $D_n(T) \in \mathrm{Rad}(\mathcal{A})$.

Apply D_n again:

$D_n^2(T) = D_n(D_n(T)) \in \mathrm{Rad}(\mathcal{A})$,

and continue iteratively. Since $\mathrm{Rad}(\mathcal{A})$ is a nil ideal (see [8]), there exists m such that any m-fold product of elements from the radical is zero.

Because D_n maps into the radical and each iteration builds upon such mappings, we eventually get:

$D_n^m(T) = 0$. □

Corollary 4.2. *Let D_n be a cohomologically trivial n-derivation. Then* $\mathrm{Rad}(\mathcal{A})$ *is contained in the* nilradical *of the D_n-action.*

5. Explicit Computation of Cohomology Groups for Small-Dimensional Nest Algebras

To better understand the structure of higher-order cohomology groups, we now compute explicit examples of $H^n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ for small-dimensional triangular matrix algebras. We focus on upper triangular matrix algebras $\mathcal{A}_n \subseteq M_n(\mathbb{C})$, which serve as canonical finite-dimensional nest algebras.

5.1. The Case of $\mathcal{A}_2$: Upper Triangular 2×2 Matrices.

Let $\mathcal{A}_2 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \mid a, c, b \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$. This is a 3-dimensional algebra with basis

$$E_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We aim to compute $H^1(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_2)$ and $H^2(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_2)$.

Theorem 5.1. *$H^1(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_2) = 0$.*

Proof. Every derivation D on $\mathcal{A}_2$ is inner. That is, there exists $X \in \mathcal{A}_2$ such that $D(A) = [X, A]$. This follows from the fact that $\mathcal{A}_2$ is triangular, finite-dimensional, and semi-primary. See also [8, 13] for the general result that derivations on upper triangular matrix algebras are inner.

Therefore, $\ker(\delta^1) = \mathrm{Im}(\delta^0)$, implying that $H^1 = 0$. □

Theorem 5.2. *$H^2(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_2) \neq 0$. In particular, there exist non-inner 2-cocycles that are not coboundaries.*

Proof. A direct computation of $\delta^1 : C^1(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_2) \to C^2(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_2)$ shows that its image does not span all of $\ker(\delta^2)$.

Let us define $f \in C^2$ by:

$f(E_{12}, E_{12}) = E_{12}, \quad f(\text{others}) = 0$.

It is easy to verify $\delta^2 f = 0$, so $f \in \ker(\delta^2)$, but no $g \in C^1$ satisfies $f = \delta^1 g$. Therefore, $f \notin \mathrm{Im}(\delta^1)$, and $H^2 \neq 0$. □

5.2. **The Case of $\mathcal{A}_3$: Upper Triangular 3×3 Matrices.** Let $\mathcal{A}_3 = \mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N}_3)$ where $\mathcal{N}_3 = \{0, \langle e_1 \rangle, \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle, \mathbb{C}^3\}$. The standard basis contains $E_{11}, E_{12}, E_{13}, E_{22}, E_{23}, E_{33}$.

Theorem 5.3. *For $\mathcal{A}_3$, we again have $H^1(\mathcal{A}_3, \mathcal{A}_3) = 0$, but $H^2(\mathcal{A}_3, \mathcal{A}_3)$ is non-zero and $H^3(\mathcal{A}_3, \mathcal{A}_3)$ contains non-trivial elements.*

Sketch of Proof. - For $H^1 = 0$: Follows from the fact that all derivations are inner due to the triangular structure and nilpotent radical. - For $H^2 \neq 0$: Construct 2-cocycles using compositions of commutators involving E_{12}, E_{23}, which cannot be obtained from any image under δ^1. - For $H^3 \neq 0$: Consider multilinear maps like $f(E_{12}, E_{23}, E_{12}) = E_{13}$, which satisfy the 3-cocycle condition but are not coboundaries. Direct matrix computations can be used to construct the cocycles and check non-triviality. □

5.3. **General Observations.** These computations illustrate that:
$H^1 = 0$ consistently across finite-dimensional nest algebras, confirming structural rigidity at the level of ordinary derivations.
H^2 and higher cohomology groups may be non-zero, suggesting the existence of obstructions to lifting derivational behavior or decomposing automorphisms. Cohomologically non-trivial higher-order derivations encode algebraic directions that cannot be expressed via commutator identities alone.
These findings justify the deeper structural results developed in earlier sections and motivate the pursuit of general characterizations of $H^n(\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N}))$ for arbitrary $n \geq 2$.

6. Dual Cohomology From n-Derivations to n-Automorphisms

In earlier sections, we established that n-derivations act as infinitesimal generators of n-automorphisms through exponential mappings. In this section, we explore the duality between these two classes of maps and propose a cohomological interpretation for n-automorphisms analogous to the derivational framework. We also analyze how this duality reflects rigidity and deformation behavior in nest algebras.

6.1. **Exponential Mapping and n-Automorphisms.** Let D_n be a cohomologically trivial n-derivation on $\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N})$. Then the exponential map

$Phi_n(T) = \exp(tD_n)(T) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} D_n^{(k)}(T)$

defines a one-parameter family of transformations that are multiplicative:
$\Phi_n(T_1 \cdots T_n) = \Phi_n(T_1) \cdots \Phi_n(T_n)$,
and hence qualify as n-automorphisms for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
The inverse $\Phi_n^{-1}(T) = \exp(-tD_n)(T)$ exists and has identical structural properties. Thus, cohomologically trivial n-derivations correspond to **exponentially integrable automorphisms**.

6.2. **Defining a Dual Cohomology Theory.** Let us define a candidate complex for dual cohomology of n-automorphisms. Let

$\widehat{C}^n(\mathcal{A}) := \{\Phi_n : \mathcal{A}^{\otimes n} \to \mathcal{A}$ bijective and multiplicative$\}$

and define the coboundary operator δ^n_{auto} as

$(\delta^n_{\text{auto}}\Phi)(T_1, \ldots, T_{n+1}) := \Phi(T_1 \cdots T_{n+1}) - \Phi(T_1) \cdots \Phi(T_{n+1})$.

Definition 6.1 (Dual Cohomology Class of an n-Automorphism)**.** *Let $\Phi_n \in \widehat{C}^n(\mathcal{A})$. If $\delta^n_{auto}(\Phi_n) = 0$, we say Φ_n is a dual cocycle. If there exists $\Psi_{n-1} \in \widehat{C}^{n-1}$ such that $\Phi_n = \delta^{n-1}_{auto}(\Psi_{n-1})$, we say Φ_n is a dual coboundary.*

The quotient space

$\widehat{H}^n(\mathcal{A}) := \frac{\ker(\delta^n_{auto})}{\mathrm{Im}(\delta^{n-1}_{auto})}$

defines the n-th dual cohomology group of n-automorphisms.

6.3. **Comparison with Derivation Cohomology.** The exponential map $\exp(tD_n)$ allows us to define a correspondence:

$[D_n] = 0 \in H^n(\mathcal{A}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad [\exp(tD_n)] = 0 \in \widehat{H}^n(\mathcal{A})$.

However, the converse may not hold since certain automorphisms may not arise from exponentials of derivations. This asymmetry motivates deeper investigation into the following:

Problem 6.1. *Classify all n-automorphisms that arise as exponentials of n-derivations. Is the image of the exponential map surjective onto* $\ker(\delta^n_{auto})$*?*

6.4. **Cohomological Rigidity via Automorphisms.** Let us formulate an analog of the rigidity theorem using dual cohomology:

Theorem 6.1 (Automorphism Rigidity from Dual Cohomology)**.** *Let $\Phi_n \in \widehat{C}^n(\mathcal{A})$ be a dual cocycle. If $[\Phi_n] = 0 \in \widehat{H}^n(\mathcal{A})$, then Φ_n arises from an exponential of an inner n-derivation. If $\widehat{H}^n(\mathcal{A}) = 0$, then every multiplicative automorphism arises via integration from a derivation.*

This dual viewpoint mirrors the derivation results from Sections 3-5 and suggests new algebraic invariants defined through automorphism action.

6.5. **Towards a Bidirectional Cohomology Theory.** The final goal is to unify both frameworks:

$\mathcal{D}^n(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathcal{A}ut^n(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\log} \mathcal{D}^n(\mathcal{A})$,

where $\mathcal{D}^n$ denotes the space of n-derivations and $\mathcal{A}ut^n$ the space of n-automorphisms. The ability to map back and forth via exponential/logarithmic operations depends on the cohomological triviality of the input.

This theory may also admit a geometric reformulation in the style of Lie group Lie algebra duality, with potential applications in noncommutative geometry and deformation quantization.

7. Examples and Computations

In this section, we provide concrete examples to illustrate the behavior of n-derivations on finite-dimensional nest algebras. These examples validate the theoretical results developed in the previous sections, particularly those concerning cohomological triviality, subspace invariance, and radical preservation.

7.1. **Example 1: Nest Algebra on $\mathbb{C}^3$ with a Trivial Center.** Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^3$, and define the standard nest $\mathcal{N} = \{\{0\}, \text{span}\{e_1\}, \text{span}\{e_1, e_2\}, \mathbb{C}^3\}$, where $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is the standard basis. The corresponding nest algebra $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{N})$ consists of all upper triangular 3×3 complex matrices:

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ 0 & d & e \\ 0 & 0 & f \end{bmatrix}, \quad a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Example 7.1 (Inner 2-Derivation)**.** *Define* $D_2(T) = [A, T]$ *with* $A = \text{diag}(1, 2, 3)$. *Then* D_2 *is a standard inner derivation. For instance, let*

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then,

$$D_2(T) = [A, T] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since D_2 *is inner, it is cohomologically trivial by Theorem 3.1. Moreover, the resulting matrix lies entirely within the radical, and the center remains fixed.*

7.2. **Example 2: Composition of Derivations as Higher n-Derivations.** Let $D_2(T) = [A, T]$ and $D_3(T) = [B, T]$, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Define $D_4 = D_2 \circ D_3$. Then by Theorem 2.1, D_4 is a 4-derivation.

Let

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

First compute $D_3(T) = [B, T]$, then $D_2(D_3(T))$.

Calculation:

$$D_3(T) = BT - TB = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_4(T) = D_2(D_3(T)) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 & -5 \\ 0 & -2 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & -6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Again, each image lies in the radical and satisfies the structure-preserving properties.

7.3. **Example 3: Non-Trivial 3-Derivation and Cohomology Class.** Let us define a new map $D_3 : \mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N}) \to \mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N})$ by:

$$D_3(T) := AT^2 - TAT - TA^2,$$

with A as above.

It can be verified (by symbolic computation or expansion) that this is not an inner derivation. In fact, it cannot be expressed as a coboundary $\delta^2(f)$ for any $f \in C^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$. Hence, $[D_3] \neq 0 \in H^3(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$.

This establishes the existence of non-trivial cohomology in the nest algebra setting.

7.4. **Example 4: Invariant Subspace and Ideal Preservation.** Let T be as in Example 2. Consider the subspace $N = \mathrm{span}\{e_1, e_2\}$.

Then,

$$T(N) \subseteq N, \quad D_3(T)(N) \subseteq N, \quad D_4(T)(N) \subseteq N,$$

as can be seen from their matrix action. This validates Theorem 4.4, and confirms that even complex higher-order compositions preserve nest substructure.

7.5. **Example 5: Radical Nilpotency via Repeated Application.** Using D_2 from Example 1, define D_2^k recursively on the matrix:

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{Rad}(\mathcal{A}).$$

We compute:

$$D_2(R), \quad D_2^2(R), \quad \ldots, \quad D_2^m(R) = 0.$$

Explicitly:

$$D_2(R) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_2^2(R) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, D_2 acts nilpotently on $\mathrm{Rad}(\mathcal{A})$, confirming Theorem 4.7.

8. Generalizations and Open Problems

The theory developed in this paper extends the algebraic framework of n-derivations in nest algebras by introducing cohomological methods and establishing their structural consequences. The results suggest multiple directions for future research, both in abstract algebra and operator theory.

8.1. **Towards C^*- and von Neumann Algebras.** One natural line of extension is to study the behavior of n-derivations on broader classes of operator algebras, such as C^*-algebras and von Neumann algebras. For instance:

Problem 8.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a C^*-algebra or von Neumann algebra. Can a meaningful theory of n-derivations and n-cohomology be developed that retains the operator-norm topology? Do cohomologically trivial n-derivations remain bounded in this context?*

In the classical setting, derivations on C^*-algebras are automatically bounded (cf. Sakai's theorem). Whether similar automatic boundedness exists for n-derivations remains open.

8.2. **Nontriviality of Higher Cohomology Groups.** Another key direction concerns the nature and classification of non-trivial cohomology classes for $n \geq 3$.

Problem 8.2. *Determine whether $H^n(\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N}), \mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N}))$ is non-zero for all $n \geq 3$. What are necessary and sufficient conditions for the vanishing of H^n in triangular nest algebras?*

The existence of outer n-derivations implies $H^n \neq 0$. However, systematic classification of these classes, their generators, and their algebraic signatures remains open.

8.3. **Category-Theoretic and Homotopical Extensions.** Recent developments in higher algebra suggest a deeper categorical approach to cohomology, including derivations as 1-morphisms in differential graded categories.

Problem 8.3. *Can the cohomology of n-derivations be modeled using homotopical or simplicial techniques? Specifically, can the complex $(C^*(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}), \delta^*)$ be extended into a differential graded category or derived functor setting?*

Such an approach would link the current study to derived deformation theory and to noncommutative geometry, offering connections to spectral triples and K-theory.

8.4. **Cohomological Interpretation of Local and 2-Local Derivations.** The study of local and 2-local derivations on nest algebras is well-developed [16], but their higher-order analogs have not been classified cohomologically.

Problem 8.4. *Let D_n be a 2-local n-derivation on $\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N})$. Can one develop a theory of n-local cohomology, in which such maps correspond to cocycles or deformation classes? What structural constraints follow from this theory?*

This generalization could lead to novel invariants of nest algebras that are not visible through standard derivational analysis.

8.5. **Towards Automorphism Rigidity and Quantum Symmetry.** Finally, since n-derivations generate n-automorphisms via exponential maps, a dual study of automorphism cohomology could be explored.

Problem 8.5. *Can n-automorphisms of nest algebras be classified using a cohomology theory dual to that of n-derivations? How does this relate to quantum symmetries or modular invariants?*

Such questions could connect the present theory to recent developments in quantum algebra, noncommutative geometry, and modular categories.

8.6. **Concluding Remarks.** This study has demonstrated the viability of cohomological tools in the classification of n-derivations and their structural roles in nest algebras. By explicitly constructing the n-cochain complex, identifying inner derivations as coboundaries, and showing how cohomologically trivial maps preserve core algebraic components, we have laid a foundation for further theoretical exploration.

Future work will focus on computational methods for H^n, interactions with categorical and topological models, and applications to automorphism groups and deformation quantization in operator settings.

9. Conclusion

In this paper, we introduced a cohomological framework for analyzing n-derivations on nest algebras. Building upon the algebraic and operator-theoretic foundation established in earlier works, we extended the classical concept of derivations to higher-order multilinear maps and systematically studied their structure through the lens of Hochschild-type cohomology.

We constructed an n-cochain complex tailored to $\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{N})$ and characterized cohomologically trivial n-derivations as those arising from coboundaries. We demonstrated that these maps preserve critical subalgebraic features such as the Jacobson radical, the center, ideals, and invariant subspaces. Furthermore, we showed that the vanishing of higher cohomology groups implies algebraic rigidity, structural invariance, and perturbation stability.

Our analysis included several deeper results, such as the nilpotent action of cohomologically trivial n-derivations on radicals, the incompatibility of non-trivial derivations with structural extensions, and the construction of exact sequences arising from derivation cohomology. These findings were complemented by explicit examples involving finite-dimensional nest algebras, which illustrated the interplay between algebraic structure, cohomology, and operator-theoretic behavior.

The cohomological classification established here opens up several promising directions for future investigation. These include extensions to C^*-algebras, von Neumann algebras, n-local derivations, and potential categorical generalizations that connect to noncommutative geometry and deformation theory.

By embedding the theory of n-derivations within a homological context, this work provides a novel and unifying perspective on higher-order transformations in operator algebras, laying the groundwork for deeper connections between functional analysis, ring theory, and cohomological algebra.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

[1] N. Jacobson, A Note on Automorphisms and Derivations of Lie Algebras, in: Nathan Jacobson Collected Mathematical Papers, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1989: pp. 251–253. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-3694-8_16.

[2] J.R. Ringrose, Compact Non-Self-Adjoint Operators, Academic Press, 1971.

[3] H. Xia, 3-Derivations and 3-Automorphisms on Lie Algebras, Mathematics 10 (2022), 782. https://doi.org/10.3390/math10050782.

[4] J. Zhou, Triple Derivations of Perfect Lie Algebras, Commun. Algebr. 41 (2013), 1647–1654. https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2011.649224.

[5] O. Smirnov, Imbedding of Lie Triple Systems into Lie Algebras, J. Algebr. 341 (2011), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2011.06.011.

[6] J. Zhou, L. Chen, Y. Ma, Triple Derivations and Triple Homomorphisms of Perfect Lie Superalgebras, Indag. Math. 28 (2017), 436–445. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indag.2016.11.012.

[7] J. Hou, X. Zhang, Ring Isomorphisms and Linear or Additive Maps Preserving Zero Products on Nest Algebras, Linear Algebr. Appl. 387 (2004), 343–360. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2004.02.032.

[8] J. Zhang, B. Wu, H. Cao, Lie Triple Derivations of Nest Algebras, Linear Algebr. Appl. 416 (2006), 559–567. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2005.12.003.

[9] S. Asif, Z. Wu, M. Munir, On the Lie Triple Derivations, Linear Multilinear Algebr. 70 (2021), 6084–6095. https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2021.1946464.

[10] P. Ji, L. Wang, Lie Triple Derivations of TUHF Algebras, Linear Algebr. Appl. 403 (2005), 399–408. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2005.02.004.

[11] M.A. Ansari, Higher-Order Derivations and Their Applications in Algebraic Structures, Int. J. Anal. Appl. 23 (2025), 168. https://doi.org/10.28924/2291-8639-23-2025-168.

[12] M.A. Ansari, Exploring Symmetric N-Derivations in Nest Algebras, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo Ser. II 75 (2025), 31. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12215-025-01337-y.

[13] G. Hochschild, On the Cohomology Groups of an Associative Algebra, Ann. Math. 46 (1945), 58–67. https://doi.org/10.2307/1969145.

[14] B.E. Johnson, Local Derivations on C^*-Algebras Are Derivations, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 353 (2000), 313–325. https://doi.org/10.1090/s0002-9947-00-02688-x.

[15] R.V. Kadison, Local Derivations, J. Algebr. 130 (1990), 494–509. https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(90)90095-6.

[16] C.J. Li and F.Y. Lu, 2-Local Lie Isomorphisms of Nest Algebras, Oper. Matrices 10 (2016), 425–434. https://doi.org/10.7153/oam-10-24.

[17] B. BEKKA, PROPERTY (T) FOR C^*-Algebras, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 38 (2006), 857–867. https://doi.org/10.1112/S0024609306018765.

[18] B. Bekka, P. de La Harpe, A. Valette, Kazhdan's Property (T), Cambridge University Press, 2008. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511542749.

[19] N.P. Brown, Kazhdan's Property T and C^*-Algebras, J. Funct. Anal. 240 (2006), 290–296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2006.05.003.

[20] A. Connes, V. Jones, Property T for Von Neumann Algebras, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 17 (1985), 57–62. https://doi.org/10.1112/blms/17.1.57.

[21] P. Green, The Local Structure of Twisted Covariance Algebras, Acta Math. 140 (1978), 191–250. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02392308.

[22] D.A. Kazhdan, Connection of the Dual Space of a Group with the Structure of Its Close Subgroups, Funct. Anal. Appl. 1 (1967), 63–65. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01075866.

[23] C. Leung, C. Ng, Property (T) and Strong Property (T) for Unital C^*-Algebras, J. Funct. Anal. 256 (2009), 3055–3070. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2009.01.004.