

## THE COMPLEMENTARY HANKEL TYPE TRANSFORMATIONS OF ARBITRARY ORDER

B.B. WAPHARE\* AND S.B. GUNJAL

ABSTRACT. In this paper four self reciprocal integral transformations of Hankel type are defined through

$$(\mathcal{H}_{i,\alpha,\beta}f)(y) = F_i(y) = \int_0^\infty p_i(x)g_{i,\alpha,\beta}(xy)f(x)dx, \mathcal{H}_{i,\alpha,\beta}^{-1} = \mathcal{H}_{i,\alpha,\beta},$$

where  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ;  $(\alpha - \beta) \geq 0$ ,  $p_1(x) = x^{4\alpha}$ ,  $g_{1,\alpha,\beta}(x) = x^{-(\alpha-\beta)}J_{\alpha-\beta}(x)$ ,  $J_{\alpha-\beta}(x)$  being the Bessel function of the first kind of order  $(\alpha - \beta)$ ,  $p_2(x) = x^{4\beta}$ ,  $g_{2,\alpha,\beta}(x) = (-1)^{\alpha-\beta}x^{2(\alpha-\beta)}g_{1,\alpha,\beta}(x)$ ,  $p_3(x) = x^{-4\alpha}$ ,  $g_{3,\alpha,\beta}(x) = x^{4\alpha}g_{1,\alpha,\beta}(x)$  and  $p_4(x) = x^{-4\beta}$ ,  $g_{4,\alpha,\beta}(x) = (-1)^{\alpha-\beta}xg_{1,\alpha,\beta}(x)$ . The simultaneous use of transformations  $\mathcal{H}_{1,\alpha,\beta}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{2,\alpha,\beta}$  (which are denoted by  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}$ ) allows us to solve many problems of Mathematical Physics involving the differential operator  $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} = D^2 + 4\alpha x^{-1}D$ , whereas the pair of transformations  $\mathcal{H}_{3,\alpha,\beta}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{4,\alpha,\beta}$  (which we express by  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}$ ) permits us to tackle those problems containing its adjoint operator  $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}^* = D^2 - (4\alpha)x^{-1}D + 4\alpha x^{-2}$ , no matter what the real value of  $\alpha - \beta$  be. These transformations are also investigated in a space of generalized functions according to the mixed Parseval equation

$$\int_0^\infty f(x)g(x)dx = \int_0^\infty (\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f)(y)(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}g)(y)dy,$$

which is now valid for all real  $\alpha - \beta$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION:

Following Zemanian [15, 17], it can be proved that the Hankel type transformation of order  $(\alpha - \beta) \geq -\frac{1}{2}$

$$(1.1) \quad (h_{\alpha,\beta}f)(y) = \int_0^\infty (xy)^{\alpha+\beta}J_{\alpha-\beta}(xy)f(x)dx,$$

where  $J_{\alpha-\beta}(x)$  denotes the Bessel type function of the first kind is an automorphism on the space  $H_{\alpha,\beta}$  of infinitely differentiable complex-valued functions  $\phi(x)$ ,  $x \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$\rho_{m,k}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) = \sup_{0 < x < \infty} |x^m(x^{-1}D)^k x^{2\beta-1}\phi(x)|$$

exists for each pair of non-negative integers  $m$  and  $k$ . Then the generalized Hankel type transformation  $h'_{\alpha,\beta}$  is defined on the dual space  $H'_{\alpha,\beta}$  by means of the adjoint

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46F12, 44A05.

*Key words and phrases.* Complementary Hankel type transformation, Parseval equation, generalized functions.

operator of  $h_{\alpha,\beta}$  that is,

$$\langle h'_{\alpha,\beta}f, \Phi \rangle = \langle f, h_{\alpha,\beta}\Phi \rangle, \quad f \in H'_{\alpha,\beta}, \quad \Phi \in H_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

We emphasize that the last expression appears as a generalization of a well-known Parseval equation for the Hankel type transformation ([17, p. 127],[6]). Consequently, the generalized transformation  $h'_{\alpha,\beta}$  is also an automorphism on the space  $H'_{\alpha,\beta}$  provided that  $(\alpha - \beta) \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ . Zemanian [16], Koh [4] later on remove the restriction  $(\alpha - \beta) \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  and assume that  $(\alpha - \beta)$  be any fixed real number. For it, a positive integer  $r$  is chosen such that  $\alpha - \beta + r \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  and then the Hankel type transformation of arbitrary order  $\alpha - \beta$  is given by

$$(h_{\alpha,\beta,r}\phi)(y) = (-1)^r y^{-r} [h_{\alpha,\beta,r}(N_{\alpha,\beta,r-1} \dots N_{\alpha,\beta,1} N_{\alpha,\beta}\phi)](y),$$

where the operator

$$N_{\alpha,\beta} = x^{2\alpha} D x^{2\beta-1}$$

generates an isomorphism from the space  $H_{\alpha,\beta}$  onto  $H_{\alpha,\beta,1}$  and the mapping  $\phi(x) \rightarrow x^r \phi(x)$  is an isomorphism between the spaces  $H_{\alpha,\beta}$  and  $H_{\alpha,\beta,r}$  as well. The main idea of this method consists in leading any member  $\phi(x) \in H_{\alpha,\beta}$  by means of the applications of the operator  $N_{\alpha,\beta}, N_{\alpha,\beta,1}, \dots$  and  $N_{\alpha,\beta,r-1}$  one after another to the space  $H_{\alpha,\beta,r}$  where the inversion formula of the Hankel type transform has already a sense since  $\alpha - \beta + r \geq \frac{1}{2}$ .

This procedure is employed to extend to an arbitrary order  $\alpha - \beta$ . The following variant of the Hankel type transformation (see [8]):

$$(1.2) \quad (\mathcal{H}_{1,\alpha,\beta}f)(y) = F_1(y) = \int_0^\infty x^{4\alpha} g_{1,\alpha,\beta}(xy) f(x) dx.$$

where  $g_{1,\alpha,\beta}(x) = x^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(x)$ . The transform (1.2) is called in the available literature the Schwartz's Hankel type transformation.

The main objective of this paper is to extend the transformation (1.2) to any real value of  $\alpha - \beta$  through a technique quite different from that used in the previous works [4],[8],[16].

To attain a more symmetrical expression for our results, from now on we assume that  $(\alpha - \beta) \geq 0$ .  $L(I)$  denotes the space of all functions  $f(x)$  that are Lebesgue integrable on the real positive axis  $I = (0, \infty)$ .  $D(I)$  stands for the space of infinitely differentiable functions whose supports are contained in  $I$  and its dual  $D'(I)$  is the space of Schwartz distributions [11]. Finally,  $E(I)$  represents the space of all infinitely differentiable functions on  $I$  and its dual  $E'(I)$  is the space of distributions with compact supports [17, p. 36].

## 2. CLASSICAL RESULTS ON SCHWARTZ'S HANKEL TYPE TRANSFORMATIONS:

We establish the following in relation with the transformation (1.2).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $(\alpha - \beta) \geq 0$ . If  $y^{2\alpha} f(y) \in L(I)$ , then*

$$\int_0^\infty y^{4\alpha} g_{1,\alpha,\beta}(xy) F_1(y) dy = \frac{1}{2} [f(x+0) + f(x-0)],$$

*in a neighborhood of every point  $y = x$  where  $f(y)$  is of bounded variation.*

*Proof.* The outcome of the theorem follows from the relation

$$(\mathcal{H}_{1,\alpha,\beta}f)(y) = y^{2\beta-1} \left\{ h_{\alpha,\beta} \left( x^{2\alpha} f(x) \right) \right\} (y)$$

between (1.1) and (1.2) and from the inversion theorem for the Hankel type transformation [13, p. 240].  $\square$

Other conditions under which Theorem 2.1 holds were proposed by A.L. Schwartz [12].

Note that the function  $g_{1,\alpha,\beta}(xy)$  satisfies the equation.

$$(2.1) \quad (\Delta_{1,\alpha,\beta,x} + y^2)g_{1,\alpha,\beta}(xy) = 0.$$

where

$$\Delta_{1,\alpha,\beta,x} = \Delta_{1,\alpha,\beta} = D^2 + (4\alpha)x^{-1}D, \quad D \equiv \frac{d}{dx}.$$

If  $f(x)$  and  $G_1(y)$  are functions defined on  $I$  such that  $x^{2\alpha}G_1(y) \in L(I)$  and if  $F_1(y) = (\mathcal{H}_{1,\alpha,\beta}f)(y)$  and  $g(x) = (\mathcal{H}_{1,\alpha,\beta}^{-1}G_1)(x) = (\mathcal{H}_{1,\alpha,\beta}G_1)(x)$ , where  $(\alpha - \beta) \geq 0$ , then we easily obtain the Parseval equation

$$(2.2) \quad \int_0^\infty x^{4\alpha} f(x)g(x)dx = \int_0^\infty y^{4\alpha} F_1(y)G_1(y)dy.$$

In past years, Schwartz's Hankel transformation has been investigated in certain spaces of distributions, amongst other authors, by L.S. Dubey and J.N. Pandey [2], W.Y. Lee [5], A. Schuitman [10] and G. Altenburg [1].

Further, we introduce the transformation

$$(2.3) \quad (\mathcal{H}_{2,\alpha,\beta}g)(y) = G_2(y) = \int_0^\infty x^{4\beta} g_{2,\alpha,\beta}(xy)g(x)dx.$$

where  $g_{2,\alpha,\beta}(x) = (-1)^{\alpha-\beta} x^{2(\alpha-\beta)} g_{1,\alpha,\beta}(x)$  fulfils the equation

$$(2.4) \quad (\Delta_{2,\alpha,\beta,x} + y^2)g_{2,\alpha,\beta}(xy) = 0,$$

$\Delta_{2,\alpha,\beta}$  denotes the differential operator

$$\Delta_{2,\alpha,\beta,x} = \Delta_{2,\alpha,\beta} = D^2 + 4\beta x^{-1}D.$$

observe that the multiplication  $x^{2(\alpha-\beta)}$  only implies the change of sign for the parameter  $(\alpha - \beta)$  in (2.1) to convert it into equation (2.4). On the other hand transformations (1.2) and (2.3) are closely related as it is made evident by

$$(2.5) \quad (\mathcal{H}_{2,\alpha,\beta}g)(y) = (-1)^{\alpha-\beta} y^{2(\alpha-\beta)} \left[ \mathcal{H}_{1,\alpha,\beta} \left( x^{-2(\alpha-\beta)} g(x) \right) \right] (y).$$

Then from Theorem 2.1 and (2.5) it is immediately inferred the classical inversion formula for the transformation  $\mathcal{H}_{2,\alpha,\beta}$ :

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $(\alpha - \beta) \geq 0$ . If  $y^{2\beta}g(y) \in L(I)$  and  $G_2(y)$  is defined as in (2.3), then we have*

$$\int_0^\infty y^{4\beta} g_{2,\alpha,\beta}(xy)G_2(y)dy = \frac{1}{2}[g(x+0) + g(x-0)]$$

in a neighborhood of every point  $y = x$  where  $g(y)$  is of bounded variation. For a pair functions  $f(x)$  and  $G_2(y)$  such that  $x^{2\beta}f(x)$  and  $y^{2\beta}G_2(y) \in L(I)$ , the following Parseval equation

$$(2.6) \quad \int_0^\infty x^{4\beta} f(x)g(x)dx = \int_0^\infty y^{4\beta} F_2(y)G_2(y)dy$$

is valid, where  $F_2(y) = (\mathcal{H}_{2,\alpha,\beta}f)(y)$ ,  $g(x) = (\mathcal{H}_{2,\alpha,\beta}^{-1}G_2)(x) = (\mathcal{H}_{2,\alpha,\beta}G_2)(x)$  and  $(\alpha - \beta) \geq 0$ .

According to the relation (2.5) the transforms  $\mathcal{H}_{1,\alpha,\beta}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{2,\alpha,\beta}$  coincide seemingly when and only when  $\alpha - \beta = 0$ . However, it is worth to remark that there are other values of  $\alpha - \beta$  which make equal the transformations  $\mathcal{H}_{1,\alpha,\beta}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{2,\alpha,\beta}$ . Infact, from the relation [13, p. 16]

$$g_{1,-n}(x) = g_{2,n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, \dots,$$

we quickly deduce that

$$(\mathcal{H}_{1,-n}f)(y) = (\mathcal{H}_{2,n}f)(y),$$

in other words, the transformation  $\mathcal{H}_{2,n}$  of positive integer order might be used to replace the transformation  $\mathcal{H}_{1,\alpha,\beta}$  of negative integer index.

This fact and above considerations suggest to adopt the notation

$$(2.7) \quad \Delta_{\alpha,\beta} = \Delta_{\alpha,\beta,x} = D^2 + (4\alpha)x^{-1}D = x^{-4\alpha}Dx^{4\alpha}D, \quad -\infty < (\alpha - \beta) < \infty$$

and

$$b_{\alpha-\beta}(x) = \begin{cases} g_{1,\alpha,\beta}(x), & \text{if } (\alpha - \beta) \geq 0 \\ g_{2,-\alpha,\beta}(x), & \text{if } (\alpha - \beta) < 0 \end{cases}.$$

Now we assemble (1.2) and (2.3) in the unique expression

$$(2.8) \quad (\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f)(y) = F(y) = \int_0^\infty x^{4\alpha} b_{\alpha-\beta}(xy)f(x)dx.$$

Thus Theorem 2.1 and 2.2 can be enunciated together:

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $(\alpha - \beta)$  be an arbitrary real number. If the function  $f(y)$  is such that  $x^{2\alpha}f(x) \in L(I)$ , if  $f(y)$  is of bounded variation in a neighborhood of  $y = x \in I$  and if  $F(y)$  is given by (2.8), then*

$$\int_0^\infty y^{4\alpha} b_{\alpha-\beta}(xy)F(y)dy = \frac{1}{2}[f(x+0) + f(x-0)].$$

Following [7], this other variant of the Hankel type transformation

$$(2.9) \quad (\mathcal{H}_{3,\alpha,\beta}f)(y) = F_3(y) = \int_0^\infty x^{-4\alpha} g_{3,\alpha,\beta}(xy)f(x)dx,$$

where  $g_{3,\alpha,\beta}(x) = x^{4\alpha}g_{1,\alpha,\beta}$  turns out to be a solution of the equation

$$(2.10) \quad (\Delta_{3,\alpha,\beta,x} + y^2)g_{3,\alpha,\beta}(xy) = 0,$$

$\Delta_{3,\alpha,\beta}$  being the differential operator

$$\Delta_{3,\alpha,\beta,x} = \Delta_{3,\alpha,\beta} = D^2 - (4\alpha)x^{-1}D + (4\alpha)x^{-2}.$$

The following inversion formula follows from [7]:

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $(\alpha - \beta) \geq 0$ . If  $f(y)$  is a function defined on  $I$  such that  $y^{2\beta-1}f(y) \in L(I)$ , then*

$$\int_0^\infty y^{-4\alpha} g_{3,\alpha,\beta}(xy) F_3(y) dy = \frac{1}{2} [f(x+0) + f(x-0)]$$

in a neighborhood of every point  $y = x$  where  $f(y)$  is of bounded variation.

Moreover, if  $f(x)$  and  $G_3(y)$  are two functions defined over the positive real axis such that  $x^{2\beta-1}f(x)$  and  $y^{2\beta-1}G_3(y) \in L(I)$ , we obtain the Parseval equation

$$(2.11) \quad \int_0^\infty x^{-4\alpha} f(x) g(x) dx = \int_0^\infty y^{-4\alpha} F_3(y) G_3(y) dy.$$

where  $F_3(y) = (\mathcal{H}_{3,\alpha,\beta} f)(y)$ ,  $g(x) = (\mathcal{H}_{3,\alpha,\beta}^{-1} G_3)(x) = (\mathcal{H}_{3,\alpha,\beta} G_3)(x)$  and  $(\alpha - \beta) \geq 0$ .

Finally, we introduce a fourth integral transformation by means of,

$$(2.12) \quad (\mathcal{H}_{4,\alpha,\beta} g)(y) = G_4(y) = \int_0^\infty x^{-4\beta} g_{4,\alpha,\beta}(xy) g(x) dx,$$

in those kernel appears the function

$$g_{4,\alpha,\beta}(x) = (-1)^{\alpha-\beta} x g_{1,\alpha,\beta}(x) = (-1)^{\alpha-\beta} x^{-2(\alpha-\beta)} g_{3,\alpha,\beta}(x),$$

$$(2.13) \quad (\Delta_{4,\alpha,\beta,x} + y^2) g_{4,\alpha,\beta}(xy) = 0.$$

Here  $\Delta_{4,\alpha,\beta}$  symbolizes the differential operator

$$\Delta_{4,\alpha,\beta,x} = \Delta_{4,\alpha,\beta} = D^2 - (4\beta)x^{-1}D + (4\beta)x^{-2}.$$

From the relation

$$(2.14) \quad (\mathcal{H}_{4,\alpha,\beta} g)(y) = (-1)^{\alpha-\beta} y \left[ \mathcal{H}_{1,\alpha,\beta} \left( x^{-1} g(x) \right) \right] (y)$$

between transformations (1.2) and (2.12), by virtue of Theorem 2.1, we can easily state the inversion formula for the new transform:

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $(\alpha - \beta) \geq 0$ . If  $g(y)$  is a function on  $I$  such that  $y^{-2\beta}g(y) \in L(I)$ , if  $g(y)$  is of bounded variation in a neighborhood of the point  $y = x \in I$  and  $G_4(y)$  is given by (2.12), then*

$$\int_0^\infty y^{-4\beta} g_{4,\alpha,\beta}(xy) G_4(y) dy = \frac{1}{2} [g(x+0) + g(x-0)].$$

Another result, which we shall need, is the corresponding Parseval equation that now takes the form

$$(2.15) \quad \int_0^\infty x^{-4\beta} f(x) g(x) dx = \int_0^\infty y^{-4\beta} F_4(y) G_4(y) dy.$$

where  $F_4(y) = (\mathcal{H}_{4,\alpha,\beta} f)(y)$ ,  $g(x) = (\mathcal{H}_{4,\alpha,\beta}^{-1} G_4)(x) = (\mathcal{H}_{4,\alpha,\beta} G_4)(x)$  and  $(\alpha - \beta) \geq 0$ ,  $f(x)$  and  $G_4(y)$  being a pair of functions such that  $x^{-2\beta}f(x)$  and  $y^{-2\beta}G_4(y) \in$

$L(I)$ .

By pursuing Theorem 2.4 and 2.5, Parseval relations (2.11) and (2.15) and differential operators  $\Delta_{3,\alpha,\beta}$  and  $\Delta_{4,\alpha,\beta}$  the unique apparent difference lies in the change of the sign on the parameter  $(\alpha - \beta)$ . In the same way it is convenient to point that the multiplication of  $g_{3,\alpha,\beta}(x)$  by  $(-1)^{\alpha-\beta}x^{-2(\alpha-\beta)}$  to get the function  $g_{4,\alpha,\beta}(x)$  exactly implies the said change of sign. Furthermore, it is easily seen that

$$(\mathcal{H}_{3,-n}g)(y) = (\mathcal{H}_{4,n}g)(y),$$

since  $g_{3,-n}(x) = g_{4,n}(x)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , now, it is wholly justified to adopt the following notation

$$(2.16) \quad \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}^* = \Delta_{4,\alpha,\beta} = D^2 - (4\alpha)x^{-1}D + (4\alpha)x^{-2} = Dx^{4\alpha}Dx^{-4\alpha}, \quad -\infty < (\alpha - \beta) < \infty$$

and

$$b_{\alpha-\beta}^*(x) = \begin{cases} g_{3,\alpha,\beta}(x), & \text{if } (\alpha - \beta) \geq 0 \\ g_{4,-\alpha,-\beta}(x), & \text{if } (\alpha - \beta) \leq 0 \end{cases}.$$

Thus, the transformation (2.9) and (2.12) can be rewritten in the unique expression

$$(2.17) \quad (\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}^*g)(y) = G^*(y) = \int_0^\infty x^{-4\alpha}b_{\alpha-\beta}^*(xy)g(x)dx.$$

Now, we summarize Theorems 2.4 and 2.5.

**Theorem 2.6.** *If  $g(y)$  is a function defined on  $I$  such that  $y^{2\beta-1}g(y) \in L(I)$ , if  $g(y)$  is of bounded variation in a neighborhood of a point  $y = x \in I$  and if  $G^*(y)$  is given by (2.17), then*

$$\int_0^\infty y^{-4\alpha}b_{\alpha-\beta}^*(xy)G^*(y)dy = \frac{1}{2}[g(x+0) + g(x-0)],$$

for any real value of  $(\alpha - \beta)$ .

By an application of Fubini's theorem, we can establish another Parseval equations involving a pair of these four transformations  $\mathcal{H}_{i,\alpha,\beta}$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ).

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $(\alpha - \beta) \geq 0$ .*

(a) *If  $x^{2\alpha}f(x) \in L(I)$  and  $y^{2\beta-1}G_3(y) \in L(I)$ , then*

$$(2.18) \quad \int_0^\infty f(x)g(x)dx = \int_0^\infty F_1(y)G_1(y)dy,$$

where  $F_1(y) = (\mathcal{H}_{1,\alpha,\beta}f)(y)$  and  $G_3(y) = (\mathcal{H}_{3,\alpha,\beta}g)(y)$ .

(b) *The pair of transformations  $\mathcal{H}_{2,\alpha,\beta}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{4,\alpha,\beta}$  verifies also the mixed Parseval equation*

$$(2.19) \quad \int_0^\infty f(x)g(x)dx = \int_0^\infty F_2(y)G_4(y)dy$$

where now  $F_2(y) = (\mathcal{H}_{2,\alpha,\beta}f)(y)$  and  $G_4(y) = (\mathcal{H}_{4,\alpha,\beta}g)(y)$ , provided that  $x^{2\beta}f(x) \in L(I)$  and  $y^{-2\beta}G_4(y) \in L(I)$ .

**Remark 1.** Note that  $\Delta_{3,\alpha,\beta}$  and  $\Delta_{4,\alpha,\beta}$  are formally the adjoints operators  $\Delta_{1,\alpha,\beta}$  and  $\Delta_{2,\alpha,\beta}$  respectively.

By this reason,  $\mathcal{H}_{3,\alpha,\beta}$  will be called the adjoint or complementary transform of  $\mathcal{H}_{1,\alpha,\beta}$  and we shall refer to  $\mathcal{H}_{4,\alpha,\beta}$  as the adjoint or complementary transform of  $\mathcal{H}_{2,\alpha,\beta}$ .

**Remark 2.** The Parseval equality (2.18) involves the transformation  $\mathcal{H}_{1,\alpha,\beta}$  and its complementary  $\mathcal{H}_{3,\alpha,\beta}$  where as the Parseval formula (2.19) relates both transforms  $\mathcal{H}_{2,\alpha,\beta}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{4,\alpha,\beta}$ . Observe that, in comparison with (2.2), (2.6), (2.11) and (2.15), expressions (2.18) and (2.19) do not contain any weight function.

**Remark 3.** According to the notation we have just adopted, Theorem 2.7 admits this statement more concisely.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $(\alpha - \beta)$  be any fixed real number. If  $x^{2\alpha}f(x)$  and  $y^{2\beta-1}G^*(y) \in L(I)$  and if we put  $F(y) = (\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f)(y)$  and  $g(x) = (\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}^{*-1}G^*)(x) = (\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}^*G^*)(x)$ , then

$$\int_0^\infty f(x)g(x)dx = \int_0^\infty F(y)G^*(y)dy,$$

that is,

$$(2.20) \quad \int_0^\infty f(x)g(x)dx = \int_0^\infty (\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f)(y)(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}^*g)(y)dy.$$

### 3. THE GENERALIZED SCHWARTZ'S HANKEL TRANSFORMATION OF ARBITRARY ORDER:

Let  $(\alpha - \beta)$  be any fixed real number and  $i$  an integer,  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ . The space  $\mathcal{H}_{i,\alpha,\beta}$  consists of all infinitely differentiable complex-valued functions  $\phi(x)$  defined on  $I$  for which

$$\eta_{m,k}^{\alpha,\beta,i}(\phi) = \sup_{x \in I} |x^m(x^{-1}D)^k w_i(x)\phi(x)|$$

exist for each pair of non-negative integers  $m$  and  $k$ , where  $w_1(x) = 1$ ,  $w_2(x) = x^{-2(\alpha-\beta)}$ ,  $w_3(x) = x^{-4\alpha}$  and  $w_4(x) = x^{-1}$  with the topology generated by the collections of seminorms  $\{\eta_{m,k}^{\alpha,\beta,i}\}$ ,  $H_{i,\alpha,\beta}$  are Frechet spaces.

We denote  $H_{1,\alpha,\beta} = H_1$  and  $H_{4,\alpha,\beta} = H_4$ , since the definition of these spaces is independent of the particular choice of the parameter  $\alpha - \beta$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** A function  $\phi(x)$  defined on  $I$  is a member of  $H_{i,\alpha,\beta}$  if and only if,

- (a)  $\phi(x)$  is infinitely differentiable on  $I$ ,
- (b)  $\phi(x)$  has the form

$$\phi(x) = w_i^{-1}(x)[a_0 + a_1x^2 + a_2x^4 + \dots + a_kx^{2k} + o(x^{2k})]$$

in some vicinity of the origin, and

- (c)  $D^k\phi(x)$  is of rapid descent when  $x \rightarrow \infty$  for each  $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ .  $H'_{i,\alpha,\beta}$  symbolizes the dual space of  $H_{i,\alpha,\beta}$  and its members are generalized functions of slow growth. We assign to  $H'_{i,\alpha,\beta}$  the weak topology generated by the multinorm  $\{\xi_{i,\phi}\}$  defined through

$$\xi_{i,\phi}(f) = |\langle f, \phi \rangle|, \quad f \in H'_{i,\alpha,\beta}, \quad \phi \in H_{i,\alpha,\beta}.$$

Thus,  $H'_{i,\alpha,\beta}$  is also sequentially complete.

Now we introduce the new spaces

$$(3.1) \quad H_{\alpha,\beta} = \begin{cases} H_1, & \text{if } (\alpha - \beta) \geq 0 \\ H_2, -\alpha, -\beta & \text{if } (\alpha - \beta) \leq 0 \end{cases},$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad H_{\alpha,\beta}^* = \begin{cases} H_{3,\alpha,\beta}, & \text{if } (\alpha - \beta) \geq 0 \\ H_4, & \text{if } (\alpha - \beta) \leq 0 \end{cases}.$$

$H'_{\alpha,\beta}$  and  $H_{\alpha,\beta}^{*'}$ , denote the dual spaces of  $H_{\alpha,\beta}$  and  $H_{\alpha,\beta}^*$  respectively some properties of these spaces are listed below:

(a)  $D(I) \subset H_{i,\alpha,\beta}$  and the restriction of every  $f \in H'_{i,\alpha,\beta}$  to  $D(I)$  is a member of  $D'(I)$ . Moreover,  $H_{i,\alpha,\beta}$  is a dense subspace of  $E(I)$ .

Therefore,  $E'(I)$  is a subspace of  $H'_{i,\alpha,\beta}$ . Consequently,  $D(I) \subset H_{\alpha,\beta} \subset E(I)$  and  $D(I) \subset H_{\alpha,\beta}^* \subset E(I)$ .

(b) The operations  $\phi \rightarrow \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}\phi$  and  $\psi \rightarrow \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}^*\psi$ , where  $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}$  and  $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}^*$  represent the operators given by (2.7) and (2.16), are continuous linear mappings from the testing function spaces  $H_{\alpha,\beta}$  and  $H_{\alpha,\beta}^*$  into themselves, respectively. Infact, the inequality

$$\eta_{m,k}^{\alpha,\beta,i}(\Delta_{i,\alpha,\beta}\phi) \leq |2k + 6\alpha + 2\beta| \eta_{m,k+1}^{\alpha,\beta,i}(\phi) + \eta_{m+2,k+2}^{\alpha,\beta,i}(\phi),$$

$i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , is satisfied for every  $\phi \in H_{i,\alpha,\beta}$  by taking into account that

$$\Delta_{i,\alpha,\beta} = w_i^{-1}(x)[x^2(x^{-1}D)^2 + (6\alpha + 2\beta)(x^{-1}D)]w_i(x).$$

Hence, the generalized differential operator  $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}$  defined on the distributional space  $H_{\alpha,\beta}^*$  as the adjoint operator of  $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}^*$ , that is to say,

$$\langle \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}f, \psi \rangle = \langle f, \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}^*\psi \rangle, \quad f \in H_{\alpha,\beta}^*, \quad \psi \in H_{\alpha,\beta},$$

is also a continuous linear mapping of  $H_{\alpha,\beta}^*$  into itself. Conversely, the generalized differential operator  $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}^*$  will be defined on  $H'_{\alpha,\beta}$  by means of

$$\langle \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}^*f, \psi \rangle = \langle f, \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}\psi \rangle, \quad f \in H'_{\alpha,\beta}, \quad \psi \in H_{\alpha,\beta}$$

and produces a continuous linear mapping of the space  $H'_{\alpha,\beta}$  into itself.

(c) Assume that  $(\alpha - \beta)$  is any real number. Then,  $H_{\alpha,\beta}$  may be identified with a subspace of  $H_{\alpha,\beta}^{*'}$ , that is,  $H_{\alpha,\beta} \subset H_{\alpha,\beta}^{*'}$ , the topology of  $H_{\alpha,\beta}$  being stronger than that induced on it by  $H_{\alpha,\beta}^{*'}$ . Indeed, any  $f \in H_1$  generates a regular distribution  $f$  in the space  $H'_{3,\alpha,\beta}$  by

$$(3.3) \quad \langle f, \phi \rangle = \int_I f(x)\phi(x)dx, \quad \phi \in H_{3,\alpha,\beta}.$$

provided that  $(\alpha - \beta) \geq 0$ . The linearity of (3.3) being obvious, the continuity is inferred from

$$|\langle f, \phi \rangle| \leq \eta_{0,0}^{\alpha,\beta,3}(\phi) \int_I x^{4\alpha} |f(x)| dx.$$

Notice that last integral exists since  $f(x) = o(1)$  when  $x \rightarrow 0$ , and  $f(x)$  is of rapid descent at infinity, by virtue of Theorem 3.1. To see the second part of the assertion,

observe that

$$\xi_{3,\phi}(f) \leq \rho_{0,0}^{\alpha,\beta,1}(f) \int_0^\infty |\phi(x)| dx.$$

On the other hand, two members  $f$  and  $g$  of  $H_1$  giving rise to the same regular distribution in  $H'_{3,\alpha,\beta}$  must be identical.

These considerations justify the inclusion  $H_1 \subset H'_{3,\alpha,\beta}$ . Analogously,  $H_{2,-\alpha,-\beta}$  may be identified one-to-one with a subspace of  $H'_4$ . In other words,  $H_{2,-\alpha,-\beta} \subset H'_4$  whenever  $(\alpha - \beta) \leq 0$ . We can now conclude, in view of notation (3.1) and (3.2), that  $H_{\alpha,\beta} \subset H^*_{\alpha,\beta}$ . Finally, we can proceed in similar way to get the inclusions  $H_{3,\alpha,\beta} \subset H'_1$  and  $H_4 \subset H'_{2,-\alpha,-\beta}$  for  $(\alpha - \beta) \geq 0$  and  $(\alpha - \beta) \leq 0$ , respectively, from which one deduces that  $H^*_{\alpha,\beta} \subset H'_{\alpha,\beta}$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** (a) *The Hankel type transformation  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}$  defined by (2.8) is an automorphism on the space  $H_{\alpha,\beta}$ , no matter what the real value of  $(\alpha - \beta)$  be.*

(b) *The complementary Hankel type transformation  $\mathcal{H}^*_{\alpha,\beta}$ , as given by (2.17) is as well an automorphism on  $H^*_{\alpha,\beta}$  whatever be the real number  $\alpha - \beta$ .*

*Proof.* (a) In [1, Th.5] it was proven that  $\mathcal{H}_{1,\alpha,\beta}$  is an automorphism on  $H_1$ . To study the transformation  $\mathcal{H}_{2,\alpha,\beta}$ , firstly we note that the operation  $\phi \rightarrow x^{2(\alpha-\beta)}\phi$  is an isomorphism from  $H_1$  into  $H_{2,\alpha,\beta}$  and then we take into account the relation (2.5) between transformations  $\mathcal{H}_{1,\alpha,\beta}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{2,\alpha,\beta}$ .

(b) Since the operation  $\psi \rightarrow x^{4\alpha}\psi$  is an isomorphism from  $H_1$  into  $H_{3,\alpha,\beta}$  the expression

$$(\mathcal{H}_{3,\alpha,\beta}\phi)(y) = y^{2\alpha}[\mathcal{H}_{1,\alpha,\beta}(x^{-4\alpha}\phi)](y), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{H}_{3,\alpha,\beta},$$

which connects the transforms (2.9) and (1.2), implies that  $\mathcal{H}_{3,\alpha,\beta}$  is an automorphism on  $\mathcal{H}_{3,\alpha,\beta}$ . Finally in view that the mapping  $\phi \rightarrow x\phi$  defines an isomorphism from  $H_1$  into  $H_4$  and (2.14), we deduce that  $\mathcal{H}_{4,\alpha,\beta}$  is an automorphism on the space  $H_4$  as well. According to convention (2.17), we can now conclude the validity of statement (b).  $\square$

Let  $(\alpha - \beta)$  be any fixed real number. We define the generalized Schwartz's Hankel transformation  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}$  of arbitrary order in the distributional space  $H^*_{\alpha,\beta}$ , as the adjoint operator of  $\mathcal{H}^*_{\alpha,\beta}$  acting on  $\mathcal{H}^*_{\alpha,\beta}$ , namely,

$$(3.4) \quad \langle \mathcal{H}'_{\alpha,\beta}f, \phi \rangle = \langle f, \mathcal{H}^*_{\alpha,\beta}\phi \rangle,$$

for every  $f \in H^*_{\alpha,\beta}$  and  $\Phi \in H^*_{\alpha,\beta}$ . If we put  $\phi = \mathcal{H}^*_{\alpha,\beta}\Phi$ , the application of part (b) in Theorem 3.2 allows us to rewrite (3.4) as follows

$$(3.5) \quad \langle \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f, \mathcal{H}^*_{\alpha,\beta}\phi \rangle = \langle f, \phi \rangle, \quad f \in H^*_{\alpha,\beta}, \quad \phi \in H^*_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

This expression can be understood as an extension of the Parseval equation (2.20) to distributions.

**Theorem 3.3.** *The generalized Schwartz's Hankel type transformation  $H'_{\alpha,\beta}$  given by (3.4) or (3.5) is an automorphism on  $H^*_{\alpha,\beta}$ , whatever be the real value of the parameter  $\alpha - \beta$ .*

*Proof.* It is a consequence of part (b) in Theorem 3.2 and [17, Th.1.10-2].  $\square$

**Remark 4.** Note that the generalized transformation  $\mathcal{H}'_{\alpha,\beta}$  is defined on the space  $\mathcal{H}^*_{\alpha,\beta}$  as the adjoint operator of the complementary transform, and not over the space  $\mathcal{H}'_{\alpha,\beta}$  by means of the adjoint operator of itself, as usual in the available literature. This is suggested and justified, at once, by the inclusion  $H_{\alpha,\beta} \subset H^*_{\alpha,\beta}$ .

Indeed, because of this inclusion if  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}$  acts on  $H_{\alpha,\beta}$ , the most natural would be to define  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}$  on  $H^*_{\alpha,\beta}$  instead of doing it on the space  $H'_{\alpha,\beta}$ .

On the other hand, when  $\mathcal{H}'_{\alpha,\beta}$  is defined as usual, that is,

$$(3.6) \quad \langle \mathcal{H}'_{\alpha,\beta} f, \Phi \rangle = \langle f, \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} \Phi \rangle, \quad f \in H'_{\alpha,\beta}, \quad \Phi \in H_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

A Schuitman [10] found the relation

$$\mathcal{H}'_{\alpha,\beta} f = y^{4\alpha} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(x^{-4\alpha} f), \quad f \in H_{\alpha,\beta},$$

between classical and generalized transformations, which means that the conventional transformation  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}$  is not a particular case of generalized one.

On the contrary, if definitions (3.4) or (3.5) are adopted,  $f \in H_{\alpha,\beta}$  implies that  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f \in H_{\alpha,\beta}$  in view of part(i) in Theorem 3.2.

Therefore,  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f$  generates a regular member in  $\mathcal{H}^*_{\alpha,\beta}$  through (3.3),

$$(3.7) \quad \langle \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f, \Phi \rangle = \int_I (\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f)(y) \Phi(y) dy, \quad \Phi \in H^*_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

By virtue of Parseval relation (2.20), the right-hand side of (3.7) takes the form

$$\int_I f(x) (\mathcal{H}^*_{\alpha,\beta}(\Phi))(x) dx = \langle f, \mathcal{H}^*_{\alpha,\beta} \Phi \rangle = \langle \mathcal{H}'_{\alpha,\beta} f, \Phi \rangle,$$

by definition (3.4). We have

$$\langle \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f, \Phi \rangle = \langle \mathcal{H}'_{\alpha,\beta} f, \Phi \rangle,$$

for all  $\Phi \in H^*_{\alpha,\beta}$ . In other words, the classical transformation  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}$  coincides now with the generalized one  $\mathcal{H}'_{\alpha,\beta}$ , when this acts on the testing-function space  $H^*_{\alpha,\beta}$ . This proves that the definition (3.4) is more appropriate than (3.6).

**Remark 5.** Note that the definitions of the generalized operators introduced in (ii) are consistent with the rules of distributional calculus, in particular, with the definitions of multiplication by an infinitely differentiable function, differentiation of distribution which does not occur in [1], [5] and [10].

For every real  $\alpha - \beta$ , it is easily seen that  $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}$  acts as a classical differential operator on the space  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}$  and as a generalized one on  $H^*_{\alpha,\beta}$ , while that the conventional operator  $\Delta^*_{\alpha,\beta}$  acting on  $H^*_{\alpha,\beta}$  is understood as a distributional operator on  $H'_{\alpha,\beta}$ . These facts are justified in view of the inclusions  $H_{\alpha,\beta} \subset H^*_{\alpha,\beta}$  and  $H^*_{\alpha,\beta} \subset H'_{\alpha,\beta}$ , since  $\Delta^*_{\alpha,\beta}$  is the adjoint operator of  $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}$ .

**Remark 6.** Assume that  $(\alpha - \beta)$  is any real number. The generalized transformation  $\mathcal{H}'_{\alpha,\beta}$  can be similarly defined on the space  $H'_{\alpha,\beta}$  as the adjoint operator of  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}$  on  $H_{\alpha,\beta}$ , namely,

$$(3.8) \quad \langle \mathcal{H}'_{\alpha,\beta} g, \Psi \rangle = \langle g, \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} \Psi \rangle, \quad g \in H'_{\alpha,\beta}, \quad \Psi \in H_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

Finally, set  $\psi = \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} \Psi$ . Then, because of Theorem 3.2(a), (3.8) becomes

$$\langle \mathcal{H}'_{\alpha,\beta} g, \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} \Psi \rangle = \langle g, \psi \rangle, \quad g \in H'_{\alpha,\beta}, \quad \psi \in H_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

Again this expression can be considered as an exact transcription to generalized functions of the mixed Parseval relation (2.20). It is fulfilled that  $\mathcal{H}'_{\alpha,\beta} g = \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} g$  whatever be  $g \in H^*_{\alpha,\beta}$  as well.

An analogous result to Theorem 3.3 can be inferred from Theorem 3.2(a):

**Theorem 3.4.** The generalized complementary Hankel transformation  $\mathcal{H}'_{\alpha,\beta}$ , as given by (3.8) is an automorphism on  $\mathcal{H}'_{\alpha,\beta}$  independently of the value of  $(\alpha - \beta) \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Next, we collect some operation-transform formulas.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $(\alpha - \beta)$  be an arbitrary real number. For all  $\phi \in H_{\alpha,\beta}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} \{ \Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \phi \} &= -y^2 \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} \phi \\ \Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} \phi &= \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} (-x^2 \phi). \end{aligned}$$

If  $\psi \in H^*_{\alpha,\beta}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} \{ \Delta^*_{\alpha,\beta} \psi \} &= -y^2 \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} \psi \\ \Delta^*_{\alpha,\beta} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} \psi &= \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} (-x^2 \psi). \end{aligned}$$

For every  $f \in H^*_{\alpha,\beta}$  one has

$$\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} \{ \Delta_{\alpha,\beta} f \} = -y^2 \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f$$

whereas

$$\mathcal{H}'_{\alpha,\beta} \{ \Delta^*_{\alpha,\beta} g \} = -y^2 \mathcal{H}'_{\alpha,\beta} g$$

holds for any  $g \in H'_{\alpha,\beta}$ .

**Remark 7.** The Cauchy problem posed by W.Y. Lee [5]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= P(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,x})u(x,t) \\ u(x,0) &= f(x) \end{aligned}$$

where  $f(x)$  is a known member of  $H^*_{\alpha,\beta}$ ,  $P$  denotes a polynomial with constant coefficients and the unknown function  $u(x,t) \in H^*_{\alpha,\beta}$ , can now be solved by applying the transformation  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}$  not only for  $(\alpha - \beta) \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ , but whatever be the real value of the parameter  $\alpha - \beta$ . This and above results justify that the transformation  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}$  be called the generalized Schwartz Hankel type transformation of arbitrary order.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Altenburg G; Bessel Transformation in Raumen von Grundfunktionen uber dem Interval  $\Omega = (0, \infty)$  und deven Dualraumen, Math. Nachr, 108 (1982), 197-218.
- [2] Dubey L.S. and Pandey J.N., on the Hankel Transform of Distribution, Tohoku Math. J. 27, (1975), 337-354.
- [3] Gray A., Mathews, G.B. and MacRobert T.M., A Treatise on Bessel Functions and their Applications to Physics, Macmillan, London, 1952.
- [4] Koh E.L., The Hankel Transformation of Negative order for Distributions of Rapid Growth, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 1(1970), 322-327.
- [5] Lee W.Y., On Schwartz's Hankel Transformation of certain spaces of Distributions, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 6 (1975), 427-432.
- [6] Macauley-Owen P, Parseval Theorem for Hankel Transform, Proc. London Math. Soc. 45 (1939), 458-474.
- [7] Mendez Perez, J.M.R., On the Bessel Transforms, Jnanabha 17(1987), 79-88.
- [8] Mendez Perez J.M.R., On the Bessel Transformation of Arbitrary Order, Math. Nachr, 136 (1988), 233-239.
- [9] Mendez Perez J.M.R, A mixed Parseval Equation and the Generalized Hankel Transformation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 102(1988), 619-624.
- [10] Shuitman A, On a certain Test Function space for Schwartz's Hankel Transform, Delft Prog, Rep.2 (1977), 192-206.
- [11] Schwartz L., Theorie des Distributions, Herman Paris 1966.
- [12] Schwartz A.L, An Inversion Theorem for Hankel Transform, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 22 (1969), 713-717.
- [13] Titchmarsh E.C., Introduction to the Theory of Fourier Integrals, Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1959.
- [14] Watson G.N., A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge , 1958.
- [15] Zemanian A.H., A Distributional Hankel Transformation, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 14(1966), 561-576.
- [16] Zemanian A.H., Hankel Transforms of Arbitrary Order, Duke Math. J. 34 (1967), 761-769.
- [17] Zemanian A.H., Generalized Integral Transformations Interscience. N.Y. 1968.

MIT ACSC, ALANDI, TAL. KHED, DIST: PUNE, MAHARASHTRA, INDIA

\*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR