

ON THE GROWTH OF ITERATED ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

DIBYENDU BANERJEE* AND NILKANTA MONDAL

ABSTRACT. We consider iteration of two entire functions of (p, q) -order and study some growth properties of iterated entire functions to generalise some earlier results.

1. Introduction

For any two transcendental entire functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(r, f \circ g)}{M(r, f)} = \infty$ and Clunie [2] proved that the same is true for the ratio $\frac{T(r, f \circ g)}{T(r, f)}$. In [7] Singh proved some results dealing with the ratios of $\log T(r, f \circ g)$ and $T(r, f)$ under some restrictions on the orders of f and g . In this paper, we generalise the results of Singh [7] for iterated entire functions of (p, q) -orders. Following Sato [6], we write $\log^{[0]} x = x$, $\exp^{[0]} x = x$ and for positive integer m , $\log^{[m]} x = \log(\log^{[m-1]} x)$, $\exp^{[m]} x = \exp(\exp^{[m-1]} x)$.

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be an entire function. Then the (p, q) -order and lower (p, q) -order of $f(z)$ are denoted by $\rho_{(p,q)}(f)$ and $\lambda_{(p,q)}(f)$ respectively and defined by [1]

$$\rho_{(p,q)}(f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} r}$$

$$\text{and } \lambda_{(p,q)}(f) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} r}, \quad p \geq q \geq 1.$$

According to Lahiri and Banerjee [4] if $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be entire functions then the

iteration of f with respect to g is defined as follows:

$$f_1(z) = f(z)$$

$$f_2(z) = f(g(z)) = f(g_1(z))$$

$$f_3(z) = f(g(f(z))) = f(g_2(z))$$

$$\dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$$

$$f_n(z) = f(g(f(g(\dots(f(z) \text{ or } g(z) \text{ according as } n \text{ is odd or even}))))$$

and so are $g_n(z)$.

Clearly all $f_n(z)$ and $g_n(z)$ are entire functions.

The main purpose of this paper is to study growth properties of iterated entire functions to that of the generating functions under some restriction on (p, q) -orders and lower (p, q) -orders of f and g .

Throughout we assume f, g etc., are non-constant entire functions having finite (p, q) -orders.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30D35.

Key words and phrases. entire functions, iteration, growth.

©2014 Authors retain the copyrights of their papers, and all open access articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License.

2. Lemmas

Following two lemmas will be needed throughout the proof of our theorems.

Lemma 1[5]. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be entire functions. If $M(r, g) > \frac{2+\epsilon}{\epsilon} |g(0)|$ for any $\epsilon > 0$, then $T(r, f \circ g) \leq (1 + \epsilon)T(M(r, g), f)$.

In particular, if $g(0) = 0$ then $T(r, f \circ g) \leq T(M(r, g), f)$ for all $r > 0$.

Lemma 2[3]. If $f(z)$ be regular in $|z| \leq R$, then for $0 \leq r < R$

$$T(r, f) \leq \log^+ M(r, f) \leq \frac{R+r}{R-r} T(R, f).$$

In particular if f be entire, then

$$T(r, f) \leq \log^+ M(r, f) \leq 3T(2r, f).$$

3. Main Results

First we shall show that if we put some restriction on (p, q) -orders of f and g then the limit superior of the ratio is bounded above by a finite quantity. The following two theorems admit the results.

Theorem 1. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two entire functions with $f(0) = g(0) = 0$ and $\rho_{(p,q)}(g) < \lambda_{(p,q)}(f)$. Then for even n

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p+(n-2)(p+1-q)]} T(r, f_n)}{\log^{[q-1]} T(2^{n-2}r, f)} \leq \rho_{(p,q)}(f).$$

Proof. We have by Lemma 1 and Lemma 2

$$\begin{aligned} \log^{[p]} T(r, f_n) &\leq \log^{[p]} T(M(r, g_{n-1}), f) \\ &< (\rho_{(p,q)}(f) + \epsilon) \log^{[q]} M(r, g_{n-1}), \quad \text{for all large values of } r \end{aligned}$$

and $\epsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (\rho_{(p,q)}(f) + \epsilon) \log^{[q-1]} \{3T(2r, g_{n-1})\} \\ &= (\rho_{(p,q)}(f) + \epsilon) \log^{[q-1]} T(2r, g_{n-1}) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

So, $\log^{[p+(p+1-q)]} T(r, f_n) < \log^{[p]} T(2r, g_{n-1}) + O(1)$

$$< (\rho_{(p,q)}(g) + \epsilon) \log^{[q-1]} T(2^2r, f_{n-2}) + O(1).$$

Proceeding similarly after $(n-2)$ steps we get

$$\begin{aligned} \log^{[p+(n-2)(p+1-q)]} T(r, f_n) &< \log^{[p]} T(2^{n-2}r, f(g)) + O(1) \\ &\leq \log^{[p]} T(M(2^{n-2}r, g), f) + O(1) \\ &< (\rho_{(p,q)}(f) + \epsilon) \log^{[q]} M(2^{n-2}r, g) + O(1) \\ &< (\rho_{(p,q)}(f) + \epsilon) \{ \exp^{[p-q]} (\log^{[q-1]}(2^{n-2}r))^{\rho_{(p,q)}(g) + \epsilon} \} + O(1) \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

for all large values of r

$$< (\rho_{(p,q)}(f) + \epsilon) \{ \exp^{[p-q]} (\log^{[q-1]}(2^{n-2}r))^{\lambda_{(p,q)}(f) - \epsilon} \} + O(1)$$

by choosing $\epsilon > 0$ so small that $\rho_{(p,q)}(g) + \epsilon < \lambda_{(p,q)}(f) - \epsilon$.

On the other hand,

$$T(r, f) > \exp^{[p-1]} (\log^{[q-1]} r)^{\lambda_{(p,q)}(f) - \epsilon}, \quad \text{for all } r \geq r_0$$

$$\text{or, } \log^{[q-1]} T(r, f) > \exp^{[p-q]} (\log^{[q-1]} r)^{\lambda_{(p,q)}(f) - \epsilon}, \quad \text{for all } r \geq r_0.$$

Therefore, from above

$$\frac{\log^{[p+(n-2)(p+1-q)]} T(r, f_n)}{\log^{[q-1]} T(2^{n-2}r, f)} < \frac{(\rho_{(p,q)}(f) + \epsilon) \{ \exp^{[p-q]} (\log^{[q-1]}(2^{n-2}r))^{\lambda_{(p,q)}(f) - \epsilon} \} + O(1)}{\exp^{[p-q]} (\log^{[q-1]}(2^{n-2}r))^{\lambda_{(p,q)}(f) - \epsilon}},$$

for all $r \geq r_0$.

Hence,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p+(n-2)(p+1-q)]} T(r, f_n)}{\log^{[q-1]} T(2^{n-2}r, f)} \leq \rho_{(p,q)}(f) + \epsilon.$$

The theorem now follows since $\epsilon (> 0)$ is arbitrary.

Note 1. From the hypothesis it is clear that f must be transcendental.

Theorem 2. Let f and g be two entire functions with $f(0) = g(0) = 0$ and $\rho_{(p,q)}(f) < \lambda_{(p,q)}(g)$. Then for odd n

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p+(n-2)(p+1-q)]} T(r, f_n)}{\log^{[q-1]} T(2^{n-2}r, g)} \leq \rho_{(p,q)}(g).$$

The proof of the theorem is on the same line as that of Theorem 1.

If $\rho_{(p,q)}(g) > \rho_{(p,q)}(f)$ holds in Theorem 1 we shall show that the limit superior will tend to infinity. Now we prove the following two theorems.

Theorem 3. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two entire functions of positive lower (p, q) -orders with $\rho_{(p,q)}(g) > \rho_{(p,q)}(f)$. Then for even n

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p+(n-2)(p+1-q)]} T(r, f_n)}{\log^{[q-1]} T(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, f)} = \infty.$$

Proof. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f_n) &= T(r, f(g_{n-1})) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3} \log M(\frac{1}{8}M(\frac{r}{4}, g_{n-1}) + o(1), f) \quad \{ \text{see [7], page 100} \} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3} \log M(\frac{1}{9}M(\frac{r}{4}, g_{n-1}), f) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3} T(\frac{1}{9}M(\frac{r}{4}, g_{n-1}), f) \\ &> \frac{1}{3} \exp^{[p-1]} \{ \log^{[q-1]} \frac{1}{9} M(\frac{r}{4}, g_{n-1}) \}^{\lambda_{(p,q)}(f) - \epsilon}, \quad \text{for all } r \geq r_0 \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \exp^{[p-1]} \{ \log^{[q-1]} M(\frac{r}{4}, g_{n-1}) \}^{\lambda_{(p,q)}(f) - \epsilon} + O(1), \quad \text{for all } r \geq r_0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \log^{[p]} T(r, f_n) &> \log \{ \log^{[q-1]} M(\frac{r}{4}, g_{n-1}) \}^{\lambda_{(p,q)}(f) - \epsilon} + O(1), \\ &= (\lambda_{(p,q)}(f) - \epsilon) \log^{[q]} M(\frac{r}{4}, g_{n-1}) + O(1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

So, we have for all $r \geq r_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \log^{[p+(p+1-q)]} T(r, f_n) &> \log^{[p]} [\log M(\frac{r}{4}, g_{n-1})] + O(1) \\ &\geq \log^{[p]} T(\frac{r}{4}, g_{n-1}) + O(1) \\ &> (\lambda_{(p,q)}(g) - \epsilon) \log^{[q]} M(\frac{r}{4^2}, f_{n-2}) + O(1), \quad \text{using (3.2)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{or, } \log^{[p+2(p+1-q)]} T(r, f_n) &> \log^{[p]} T(\frac{r}{4^2}, f_{n-2}) + O(1) \\ &> (\lambda_{(p,q)}(f) - \epsilon) \log^{[q]} M(\frac{r}{4^3}, g_{n-3}) + O(1), \quad \text{using (3.2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding similarly after some steps we get

$$\begin{aligned} \log^{[p+(n-2)(p+1-q)]} T(r, f_n) &> (\lambda_{(p,q)}(f) - \epsilon) \log^{[q]} M(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g) + O(1) \\ &> (\lambda_{(p,q)}(f) - \epsilon) \exp^{[p-q]} (\log^{[q-1]} (\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}))^{\rho_{(p,q)}(g) - \epsilon} + O(1) \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

for a sequence of values of $r \rightarrow \infty$.

On the other hand for all $r \geq r_0$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &< \exp^{[p-1]} (\log^{[q-1]} r)^{\rho_{(p,q)}(f) + \epsilon} \\ \text{or, } \log^{[q-1]} T(r, f) &< \exp^{[p-q]} (\log^{[q-1]} r)^{\rho_{(p,q)}(f) + \epsilon}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

So, from (3.3) and (3.4) we have for a sequence of values of $r \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{\log^{[p+(n-2)(p+1-q)]} T(r, f_n)}{\log^{[q-1]} T(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, f)} > \frac{(\lambda_{(p,q)}(f) - \epsilon) \exp^{[p-q]} (\log^{[q-1]} (\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}))^{\rho_{(p,q)}(g) - \epsilon}}{\exp^{[p-q]} (\log^{[q-1]} \frac{r}{4^{n-1}})^{\rho_{(p,q)}(f) + \epsilon}} + o(1)$$

and so,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p+(n-2)(p+1-q)]} T(r, f_n)}{\log^{[q-1]} T(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, f)} = \infty$$

since we can choose $\epsilon (> 0)$ such that $\rho_{(p,q)}(g) - \epsilon > \rho_{(p,q)}(f) + \epsilon$.

This proves the theorem.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 3 for odd n is the following theorem.

Theorem 4. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two entire functions of positive lower (p, q) -orders with $\rho_{(p,q)}(g) < \rho_{(p,q)}(f)$. Then for odd n

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p+(n-2)(p+1-q)]} T(r, f_n)}{\log^{[q-1]} T(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g)} = \infty.$$

Next if we consider the ratios $\frac{\log^{[p+(n-1)(p+1-q)]} T(r, f_n)}{\log^{[p]} T(2^{n-2}r, g)}$ or $\frac{\log^{[p+(n-2)(p+1-q)]} T(r, f_n)}{\log^{[p]} T(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g)}$

we have obtained the following four theorems.

Theorem 5. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental entire functions with $f(0) = g(0) = 0$ and let $\lambda_{(p,q)}(g) > 0$. Then for even n

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p+(n-1)(p+1-q)]} T(r, f_n)}{\log^{[p]} T(2^{n-2}r, g)} \leq \frac{\rho_{(p,q)}(g)}{\lambda_{(p,q)}(g)}.$$

Proof. We get from (3.1), for all large values of r

$$\log^{[p+(n-2)(p+1-q)]} T(r, f_n) < (\rho_{(p,q)}(f) + \epsilon) \{ \exp^{[p-q]} (\log^{[q-1]} (2^{n-2}r))^{\rho_{(p,q)}(g) + \epsilon} \} + O(1)$$

$$\text{or, } \log^{[p+(n-1)(p+1-q)]} T(r, f_n) < (\rho_{(p,q)}(g) + \epsilon) \log^{[q]} (2^{n-2}r) + O(1).$$

On the other hand,

$$\log^{[p]} T(r, g) > (\lambda_{(p,q)}(g) - \epsilon) \log^{[q]} r, \text{ for all } r \geq r_0.$$

Thus for all $r \geq r_0$

$$\frac{\log^{[p+(n-1)(p+1-q)]} T(r, f_n)}{\log^{[p]} T(2^{n-2}r, g)} < \frac{(\rho_{(p,q)}(g) + \epsilon) \log^{[q]} (2^{n-2}r) + O(1)}{(\lambda_{(p,q)}(g) - \epsilon) \log^{[q]} (2^{n-2}r)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p+(n-1)(p+1-q)]} T(r, f_n)}{\log^{[p]} T(2^{n-2}r, g)} \leq \frac{\rho_{(p,q)}(g)}{\lambda_{(p,q)}(g)}.$$

Hence the theorem is proved.

Theorem 6. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental entire functions with $f(0) = g(0) = 0$ and let $\lambda_{(p,q)}(f) > 0$. Then for odd n

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p+(n-1)(p+1-q)]} T(r, f_n)}{\log^{[p]} T(2^{n-2}r, f)} \leq \frac{\rho_{(p,q)}(f)}{\lambda_{(p,q)}(f)}.$$

The proof is omitted.

Theorem 7. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental entire functions of positive lower (p, q) -orders with $\rho_{(p,q)}(g) > 0$. Then for even n

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p+(n-2)(p+1-q)]} T(r, f_n)}{\log^{[p]} T(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g)} = \infty.$$

Proof. From (3.3), we have for a sequence of values of $r \rightarrow \infty$

$$\log^{[p+(n-2)(p+1-q)]} T(r, f_n) > (\lambda_{(p,q)}(f) - \epsilon) \exp^{[p-q]} (\log^{[q-1]} (\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}))^{\rho_{(p,q)}(g) - \epsilon} + O(1).$$

$$\text{Also, } \log^{[p]} T(r, g) < (\rho_{(p,q)}(g) + \epsilon) \log^{[q]} r, \text{ for all } r \geq r_0.$$

Thus

$$\frac{\log^{[p+(n-2)(p+1-q)]} T(r, f_n)}{\log^{[p]} T(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g)} \geq \frac{(\lambda_{(p,q)}(f) - \epsilon) \exp^{[p-q]} (\log^{[q-1]} (\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}))^{\rho_{(p,q)}(g) - \epsilon}}{(\rho_{(p,q)}(g) + \epsilon) \log^{[q]} \frac{r}{4^{n-1}}}$$

which tends to infinity as $r \rightarrow \infty$, through this sequence since $\rho_{(p,q)}(g) > 0$.

Theorem 8. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental entire functions of positive lower (p, q) -orders with $\rho_{(p,q)}(f) > 0$. Then for odd n

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p+(n-2)(p+1-q)]} T(r, f_n)}{\log^{[p]} T\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, f\right)} = \infty.$$

The proof is omitted, since it follows easily as in Theorem 7.

Note 2. If we put $n = 2$, $p = q = 1$ in the Theorem 1 and Theorem 5 we get the results of A.P. Singh [7].

REFERENCES

- [1] Walter Bergweiler, Gerhard Jank and Lutz Volkmann, Results in Mathematics 7 (1984), 35-53.
- [2] J. Clunie, The composition of entire and meromorphic functions, Mathematical Essays dedicated to A. J. Macintyre, Ohio University Press, 1970, 75-92.
- [3] W. K. Hayman, Meromorphic functions, Oxford University Press, 1964.
- [4] B. K. Lahiri and D. Banerjee, Relative fix points of entire functions, J. Indian Acad. Math., 19 (1), (1997), 87-97.
- [5] K. Ninno and N. Suita, Growth of a composite function of entire functions, Kodai Math. J., 3 (1980), 374-379.
- [6] D. Sato, On the rate of growth of entire functions of fast growth, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 69 (1963), 411-414.
- [7] A. P. Singh, Growth of composite entire functions, Kodai Math. J., 8 (1985), 99-102.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VISVA-BHARATI, SANTINIKETAN-731235, WEST BENGAL, INDIA

*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR